

## NECESSARY CONDITIONS FOR ISOMORPHISM OF LIE ALGEBRAS OF BLOCK

BY

JOHN B. JACOBS

**ABSTRACT.** Two algebras of Block,  $\mathfrak{L}(G, \delta, f)$  and  $\mathfrak{L}(G', \delta', f')$ , are isomorphic only if  $m(G) = m(G')$ . This is not sufficient for isomorphism.

Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be a simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra over  $\Phi$ , an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic  $p$ . Simplicity allows the identification  $x \leftrightarrow \text{ad } x$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{L}$ . (That  $\mathfrak{L}$  be centerless is sufficient for the identification.) Then if  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{L})$  denotes the derivation algebra of  $\mathfrak{L}$  we have  $\mathfrak{L} (= \text{ad } \mathfrak{L}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{L})$ . For each  $x \in \mathfrak{L}$ ,  $(\text{ad } x)^p$  is a derivation of  $\mathfrak{L}$  and, if  $(\text{ad } \mathfrak{L})^{p^k}$  is the vector space spanned by  $\{(\text{ad } x)^{p^k} | x \in \mathfrak{L}\}$ , then  $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{L}) = \text{ad } \mathfrak{L} + (\text{ad } \mathfrak{L})^p + (\text{ad } \mathfrak{L})^{p^2} + \cdots$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{L})$  which is restricted. We will call  $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{L})$  the restricted algebra of  $\mathfrak{L}$ . If  $\mathfrak{L}$  is restricted, then  $\text{ad } \mathfrak{L} = \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{L})$ , or under the identification,  $\mathfrak{L} = \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{L})$ . Thus, for any arbitrary centerless algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$ ,  $\mathfrak{L} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{L}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{L})$ . Clearly, any two isomorphic simple algebras  $\mathfrak{L}$  and  $\mathfrak{L}'$  over  $\Phi$  must have  $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{L}) \cong \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{L}')$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{L}) \cong \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{L}')$ . We will use this relationship to determine isomorphism conditions upon the algebras of Block.

Let  $G$  be an elementary abelian  $p$ -group written as a direct summand of elementary abelian  $p$ -groups,  $G = G_0 \oplus G_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_m$ . Let  $\Phi$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 3$ . For each  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$  define  $f: G \times G \rightarrow \Phi$  such that  $f|_{G_i} = f_i: G_i \times G_i \rightarrow \Phi$  is a skew-symmetric, nondegenerate biadditive form. Then  $f = f_0 + f_1 + \cdots + f_m$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , assume that there exist additive functions  $g_i, h_i: G_i \rightarrow \Phi$  such that  $f_i(\alpha, \beta) = g_i(\alpha)h_i(\beta) - g_i(\beta)h_i(\alpha)$ . Pick  $\delta_i \in G_i$  for which  $g_i(\delta_i) = 0$ , and set  $\delta = \delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_m$ . Define  $\mathfrak{L}(G, \delta, f)$  to be the Lie algebra over  $\Phi$  with basis  $\{u_\alpha | \alpha \in G, \alpha \neq 0, -\delta\}$  where multiplication is given by

$$u_\alpha u_\beta = \sum_{i=0}^m f_i(\alpha_i, \beta_i) u_{\alpha+\beta-\delta_i}.$$

Received by the editors January 17, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classification (1970). Primary 17B40.

Key words and phrases. Algebras of Block, restricted algebra, isomorphism.

Copyright © 1975. American Mathematical Society

Here  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  denote the  $i$ th components of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively, in  $G$  and  $\delta_0$  is assumed to be zero.  $\mathfrak{L}(G, \delta, f)$  is then a simple algebra over  $\Phi$  called an algebra of Block.

The derivations of the algebras of Block have been completely determined in [1]. As they will be utilized later, a brief description follows.

Since  $G$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group it is an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $\Phi_p$  (the prime subfield of  $\Phi = GF(p)$ ), each of the  $G_i$ 's being a subspace of dimension, say,  $n_i$ . Pick a basis  $\{\sigma_{01}, \sigma_{02}, \dots, \sigma_{0n_0}\}$  for  $G_0$  and  $\{\sigma_{i1}, \dots, \sigma_{in_i-1}, \delta_i\}$  for  $G_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , such that  $f(\sigma_{i1}, \delta_i) = f_i(\sigma_{i1}, \delta_i) \neq 0$ . Such is possible since  $f$  is nondegenerate. For each  $\alpha \in G$ , write  $\alpha = \sum_{i,j} s_{ij}(\alpha)\sigma_{ij} + \sum_i s_i(\alpha)\delta_i$ . The coefficients  $s_{ij}(\alpha)$ ,  $s_i(\alpha)$  of the  $\sigma_{ij}$ 's and  $\delta_i$ 's are unique since the  $\sigma_{ij}$ 's and  $\delta_i$ 's form a basis of  $G$ . The derivations of  $\mathfrak{L}(G, \delta, f)$  are linear combinations (over  $\Phi$ ) of the elements in the following sets:

(i)  $R = \{\text{ad } u_\alpha | \alpha \in G, \alpha \neq 0\}$  (ad  $u_{-\delta}$  is included although not an element of  $\mathfrak{L}(G, \delta, f)$ ).

(ii)  $S = \{D(\sigma_{k1}, -\delta_k), D(\delta_k, -\delta_k) | k = 1, \dots, m\}$  where  $u_\alpha D(\gamma_k, -\delta_k) = f(\alpha, \gamma_k)u_{\alpha-\delta_k}$  for  $\gamma_k$  in  $G_k$ .

(iii)  $T = \{D(\sigma_{0k}, 0), D(\sigma_{ij}, 0) | k = 1, \dots, n_0; i = 1, \dots, m; j = 2, \dots, n_i - 1\}$  where  $G_0 \neq \{0\}$  and  $T = \{D(\delta, 0), D(\sigma_{ij}, 0) | i = 1, \dots, m; j = 2, \dots, n_i - 1\}$  when  $G_0 = \{0\}$ ; where  $u_\alpha D(\sigma_{ij}, 0) = s_{ij}(\alpha)u_\alpha$  and

$$u_\alpha D(\delta, 0) = \left(-1 + \sum_i s_i(\alpha)\right)u_\alpha$$

$(D(\sigma_{i1}, 0))$  is a linear combination of  $u_{\delta_i}$  and the remaining  $D(\sigma_{ij}, 0)$ 's.)

The set  $S$  is, of course, empty when  $m = 0$ . The dimension of  $\mathfrak{L}(G, \delta, f)$  is  $np^n - 1$  for  $m = 0$  and  $np^n - 2$  for  $m > 0$ , and it follows that the dimension of its derivation algebra,  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{L}(G, \delta, f))$ , is

(i)  $np^n + n - 1$  when  $G = G_0$  or when  $G_0 \neq 0$  and  $m > 0$ .

(ii)  $np^n + n$  when  $G_0 = 0$ .

From the dimensions of the derivation algebras and their derived algebras, Block concludes in [1, Theorem 14, Corollary 1] that necessary conditions for two algebras  $\mathfrak{L}(G, \delta, f)$  and  $\mathfrak{L}(G', \delta', f')$  to be isomorphic are that either  $G_0 = 0$ ,  $G'_0 = 0$ , and  $m(G) = m(G')$ ; or  $G_0 \neq 0 \neq G'_0$  and  $\min\{2, m(G)\} = \min\{2, m(G')\}$ . By considering the restricted algebra of  $\mathfrak{L}(G, \delta, f)$  we will show that it is necessary that  $m(G) = m(G')$  for isomorphism and that, indeed, this is not sufficient.

For  $u_\alpha, u_\beta \in \mathfrak{L}(G, \delta, f)$  it is easily shown by induction on  $m$  that

$$u_\alpha (\text{ad } u_\beta)^p = \sum_{i=0}^m f(\alpha_i, \beta_i) f(\alpha_i - \delta_i, \beta_i) \cdots f(\alpha_i - (p-1)\delta_i, \beta_i) u_\alpha$$

The following lemma then shows that

$$u_\alpha (\text{ad } u_\beta)^p = \sum_{i=0}^m \{f(\alpha_i, \beta_i)^p - f(\alpha_i, \beta_i) f(\delta_i, \beta_i)^{p-1}\} u_\alpha$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $a, b \in \Phi$ ,  $\text{char } \Phi = p > 0$ . Then*

$$a(a-b)(a-2b) \cdots (a-(p-1)b) = a^p - ab^{p-1}.$$

**Proof.** The polynomial  $x^p - xb^{p-1}$  has roots  $ib$  for  $i = 0, \dots, p-1$ . Hence,  $x^p - xb^{p-1} = \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} (x - ib)$ . Substituting  $a$  for  $x$  yields the desired result.

It is evident that

$$\begin{aligned} u_\alpha (\text{ad } u_\beta)^{p^2} &= \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m f(\alpha_i, \beta_i)^p - f(\alpha_i, \beta_i) f(\delta_i, \beta_i)^{p-1} \right\}^p u_\alpha \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \{f(\alpha_i, \beta_i)^{p^2} - f(\alpha_i, \beta_i)^p f(\delta_i, \beta_i)^{p(p-1)}\} u_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

and more generally that

$$u_\alpha (\text{ad } u_\beta)^{p^k} = \sum_{i=0}^m \{f(\alpha_i, \beta_i)^{p^k} - f(\alpha_i, \beta_i)^{p^{k-1}} f(\delta_i, \beta_i)^{p^{k-1}(p-1)}\} u_\alpha.$$

Suppose that  $\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^m (\sum_{j=1}^{n_i-1} s_{ij}(\alpha) \sigma_{ij} + s_i(\alpha) \delta_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} s_{0j}(\alpha) \sigma_{0j}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=0}^m \{f(\alpha_i, \beta_i)^{p^k} - f(\alpha_i, \beta_i)^{p^{k-1}} f(\delta_i, \beta_i)^{p^{k-1}(p-1)}\} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} s_{ij}(\alpha) \{f(\sigma_{ij}, \beta_i)^{p^k} - f(\sigma_{ij}, \beta_i)^{p^{k-1}} f(\delta_i, \beta_i)^{p^{k-1}(p-1)}\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + s_i(\alpha) \{f(\delta_i, \beta_i)^{p^k} - f(\delta_i, \beta_i)^{p^{k-1}} f(\delta_i, \beta_i)^{p^{k-1}(p-1)}\} \right), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad } u_\beta^{p^k} &= \sum_{i=0}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \{f(\sigma_{ij}, \beta_i)^{p^k} \right. \\ (1) \quad &\quad \left. - f(\sigma_{ij}, \beta_i)^{p^{k-1}} f(\delta_i, \beta_i)^{p^{k-1}(p-1)}\} D(\sigma_{ij}, 0) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $q_0 = n_0$  and  $q_i = n_i - 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . The restricted algebra  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}(G, \delta, f))$  is therefore contained within the span of  $R \cup T$ . In the following discussion we will show that a basis for  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}(G, \delta, f))$  is  $R \cup T \setminus \{\text{ad } u_{-\delta}\}$  when  $G_0 \neq \{0\}$  and  $R \cup T \setminus \{\text{ad } u_{-\delta}, D(\delta, 0)\}$  when  $G_0 = \{0\}$ . It follows that  $\dim \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}(G, \delta, f)) = \dim \mathcal{L}(G, \delta, f) + n - 2m$ .

**Definition.** The column rank over  $\Phi_p$  of a matrix  $A$  with entries from  $\Phi$  is the dimension of the vector space over  $\Phi_p$  spanned by the columns of  $A$ . Denote this dimension by  $\text{col rank}_{\Phi_p}(A)$ .

**Lemma 2.** If  $G = G_0$ , then  $\text{col rank}_{\Phi_p}(f(\sigma_{0i}, \sigma_{0j})^p) = n$ , the dimension of  $G$  over  $\Phi_p$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\text{col rank}_{\Phi_p}(f(\sigma_{0i}, \sigma_{0j})^p) < n$ , that is, suppose that there exist elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \Phi_p$ , not all zero, such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_j f(\sigma_{0i}, \sigma_{0j})^p = 0$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then from the biadditivity of  $f$  we conclude that  $f(\sigma_{0i}, \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \sigma_{0j})^p = 0$ , or  $f(\sigma_{0i}, \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \sigma_{0j}) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . This contradicts the nondegeneracy of  $f$ , whence the lemma is proved.

**Lemma 3.** Suppose  $G = G_1$ . Let  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k, \delta\}$  be a basis for  $G$  where  $f(\beta_1, \delta) \neq 0$ , and let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f(\beta_1, \beta_2)^p - f(\beta_1, \beta_2)f(\beta_1, \delta)^{p-1} \dots f(\beta_1, \beta_k)^p - f(\beta_1, \beta_k)f(\beta_1, \delta)^{p-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ f(\beta_k, \beta_1)^p - f(\beta_k, \beta_1)f(\beta_k, \delta)^{p-1} \dots f(\beta_k, \beta_{k-1})^p - f(\beta_k, \beta_{k-1})f(\beta_k, \delta)^{p-1} & 0 \\ f(\delta, \beta_1)^p & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f(\delta, \beta_k)^p \end{bmatrix}$$

Then  $\text{col rank}_{\Phi_p}(A) = k$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\text{col rank}_{\Phi_p}(A) < k$ . Then there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \Phi_p$ , not all zero, such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k a_j \{f(\beta_i, \beta_j)^p - f(\beta_i, \beta_j)f(\beta_i, \delta)^{p-1}\} = 0$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^k a_j f(\delta, \beta_j)^p = 0$ . For each of the first  $k$  equalities we have

$$f\left(\beta_i, \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \beta_j\right)^p = f\left(\beta_i, \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \beta_j\right) f(\beta_i, \delta)^{p-1}$$

or

$$\left(f\left(\beta_i, \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \beta_j\right) / f(\beta_i, \delta)\right)^{p-1} = 1,$$

if  $f(\beta_i, \delta) \neq 0$ . Thus, for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , there exists  $c_i \in \Phi_p$  such that  $f(\beta_i, \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \beta_j) = c_i f(\beta_i, \delta)$  (if  $f(\beta_i, \delta) = 0$ , then  $c_i = 0$ ). Now define  $g: G \rightarrow \Phi$  and  $h: G \rightarrow \Phi$  by  $g(\alpha) = f(\alpha, \delta)$  and  $h(\alpha) = f(\beta_1, \alpha) [f(\beta_1, \delta)]^{-1}$ , whence  $f(\alpha, \beta) = g(\alpha)h(\beta) - g(\beta)h(\alpha)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j \beta_j - c_1 \delta\right) &= f\left(\beta_1, \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \beta_j\right) [f(\beta_1, \delta)]^{-1} - f(\beta_1, c_1 \delta) [f(\beta_1, \delta)]^{-1} \\ &= \left\{ f\left(\beta_1, \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \beta_j\right) - c_1 f(\beta_1, \delta) \right\} [f(\beta_1, \delta)]^{-1} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$g\left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j \beta_j - c_1 \delta\right) = f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j \beta_j - c_1 \delta, \delta\right) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j f(\beta_j, \delta).$$

But  $\sum a_j f(\delta, \beta_j)^p = 0$ , so  $g(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j \beta_j - c_1 \delta) = 0$ . This implies the contradiction  $f(\alpha, \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \beta_j - c_1 \delta) = 0$  for all  $\alpha$ , implying that  $\text{col rank}_{\Phi_p}(A) = k$ .

Now suppose  $G$  is arbitrary and  $\{\sigma_{01}, \dots, \sigma_{0n_0}, \sigma_{11}, \dots, \sigma_{1, n_1-1}, \delta_1, \dots, \sigma_{m1}, \dots, \delta_m\}$  is a basis of  $G$  over  $\Phi_p$ . Equation (1) shows that  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}(G, \delta, f)) \subseteq \langle D(\sigma_{ij}, 0), \text{ad } x \mid x \in \mathcal{L}(G, \delta, f) \rangle$  and for the special case  $k=1$  we have a matrix equation of the form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{ad } u_{\sigma_{01}}^p \\ \vdots \\ \text{ad } u_{\sigma_{0n_0}}^p \\ \vdots \\ \text{ad } u_{\sigma_{m1}}^p \\ \vdots \\ \text{ad } u_{\delta_m}^p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_0 & & & 0 \\ & \vdots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & C_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D(\sigma_{01}, 0) \\ \vdots \\ D(\sigma_{0n_0}, 0) \\ \vdots \\ D(\sigma_{m1}, 0) \\ \vdots \\ D(\sigma_{m, n_m-1}, 0) \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $C_0 = (f(\sigma_{0i}, \sigma_{0j})^p)$  and, for  $i > 0$ ,  $C_i$  is an  $n_i \times (n_i - 1)$  matrix of the form of the matrix in Lemma 3. Denote this matrix by  $C$ . To determine the coefficient matrix of the  $D(\sigma_{ij}, 0)$ 's for higher powers of  $p$ , one merely raises the elements in  $C$  to the appropriate  $p$ th power.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be an  $r \times s$  matrix over a field  $\Phi$  of characteristic  $p > 0$  and let  $A_{p^t} = (a_{ij}^{p^t})$  for  $t \geq 0$ . If  $\text{col rank}_{\Phi_p} A = s$ , then  $\text{rank}_{\Phi} (A A_p \cdots A_{p^t})^T = s$  for sufficiently large  $t$  ( $T$  denotes transpose).*

**Proof.** Since  $\text{rank}_{\Phi} (A \cdots A_{p^i})^T \leq \text{rank}_{\Phi} (A \cdots A_{p^{i+1}})^T \leq s$  for all  $i$  there exists some  $t$  such that  $\text{rank}_{\Phi} (A \cdots A_{p^t})^T = \text{rank}_{\Phi} (A \cdots A_{p^{t+1}})^T$ . If  $\text{rank}_{\Phi} (A \cdots A_{p^t})^T < s$ , then there exist  $b_1, \dots, b_s \in \Phi$ , not all zero, such that  $\sum_{j=1}^s b_j a_{ij}^{p^v} = 0$  for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , and all  $v$ ,  $0 \leq v \leq t$ . Note that this, and the choice of  $t$ , implies  $\sum_{j=1}^s b_j a_{ij}^{p^{t+1}} = 0$ . Assume that the  $b$ 's have been chosen so that the number of nonzero  $b_j$  is minimal. In addition, assume  $b_1 = 1$ . Then

$$0 = \left( \sum_{j=1}^s b_j a_{ij}^{p^v} \right)^p = \sum_{j=1}^s b_j^p a_{ij}^{p^{v+1}}.$$

On the other hand, since  $\sum_{j=1}^s b_j a_{ij}^{p^{v+1}} = 0$  for  $0 \leq v \leq t$  we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^s (b_j^p - b_j) a_{ij}^{p^{v+1}} = 0.$$

Extracting  $p$ th roots and using the minimality of the  $b$ 's (recall  $b_1 = 1$ ) gives  $b_j^p - b_j = 0$  for all  $j$ , that is,  $b_j \in \Phi_p$  for all  $j$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $\text{col rank}_{\Phi_p} A = s$ .

Returning to  $C$ , recall that the nondegeneracy of  $f$  guarantees that  $\text{col rank}_{\Phi_p} C_0 = n_0$  and  $\text{col rank}_{\Phi_p} C_i = n_i - 1$  for  $i > 0$ . Lemma 4 then allows us to conclude that

$$\langle D(\sigma_{ij}, 0), \text{ad } x \mid x \in \mathfrak{L}(G, \delta, f) \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{L}(G, \delta, f)).$$

Inclusion in the other direction was illustrated earlier, completing the proof of the main theorem.

**Theorem.** *Let  $\mathfrak{L}(G, \delta, f)$  be a simple Lie algebra of Block. Then  $\dim \mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{L}(G, \delta, f)) = \dim \mathfrak{L}(G, \delta, f) + n - 2m$ .*

**Corollary.** *Two algebras of Block of the same dimension,  $\mathfrak{L}(G, \delta, f)$  and  $\mathfrak{L}(G', \delta', f')$ , are isomorphic only if  $m(G) = m(G')$ .*

From the preceding discussion it is evident that for  $\mathfrak{L}(G, \delta, f)$  and  $\mathfrak{L}(G', \delta', f')$  of the same dimension isomorphism is not guaranteed by the

equality  $m(G) = m(G')$ . This follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}(G, \delta, f))$  need not be isomorphic to  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}(G', \delta', f'))$ . For example, let  $m(G) = m(G') = 0$  and  $n = 4$ . Suppose  $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4\}$  and  $\{\beta'_1, \beta'_2, \beta'_3, \beta'_4\}$  are bases for  $G$  and  $G'$ , respectively, where the matrices  $(f(\beta_i, \beta_j))$  and  $(f(\beta'_i, \beta'_j))$  are

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & x \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -x & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x & 0 & 1 \\ -x & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1/x \\ -1 & 0 & 1/x & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively,  $x \notin \Phi_p$ . In the first case,  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}(G, \delta, f)) = \text{ad } \mathcal{L} + (\text{ad } \mathcal{L})^p$  while this is not true in the second.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Richard Block, *New simple Lie algebras of prime characteristic*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 89 (1958), 421-449. MR 20 #6446.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OREGON

97403