TRANSACTIONS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 212, 1975

SMOOTH LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES[1]

BY

JOHN LLOYD

ABSTRACT. The main theorem is

Let E be a separable (real) Fréchet space with a nonseparable strong dual. Then E is not strongly D_F^1-smooth.

It follows that if X is uncountable, locally compact, σ-compact, metric space, then $C(X)$ (with the topology of compact convergence) does not have a class of seminorms which generate its topology and are Fréchet differentiable (away from their null-spaces).

1. **Preliminaries.** Throughout this paper, TLS will denote the class of all (Hausdorff) topological linear spaces over the real field $\mathbf{R}$. If X is a topological space, $\mathcal{O}(X)$ will denote the class of all open subsets of X. $L_1(E, F) = L(E, F)$ will denote the set of all continuous linear maps from E into F, where $E, F \in$ TLS. We define by induction $L_p(E, F) = L(E, L_{p-1}(E, F))$. Each $L_p(E, F)$ is given the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets of E. It will be convenient in a later proof to identify $L_p(E, F)$ with the space $\widetilde{L}_p(E, F)$ of multilinear maps u from E^p into F, which satisfy the following "continuity" condition:

For each $m \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$, for each sequence $\{y_1, \ldots, y_{m-1}\}$ of points in E, for each sequence $\{B_{m+1}, \ldots, B_p\}$ of bounded subsets of E and for each 0-neighbourhood V in F, there exists a 0-neighbourhood U in E such that

$$u(\{y_1\} \times \cdots \times \{y_{m-1}\} \times U \times B_{m+1} \times \cdots \times B_p) \subset V.$$

A basic 0-neighbourhood in $\widetilde{L}_p(E, F)$ is a set of the form $\{u \in \widetilde{L}_p(E, F) : u(B_1 \times \cdots \times B_p) \subset W\}$, where the B_i are bounded subsets of E and W is a 0-neighbourhood in F.

Let $f : U \longrightarrow V$, where $U \in \mathcal{O}(E)$, $V \in \mathcal{O}(F)$, $E \in$ TLS and $F \in$ TLS. Then f is *Fréchet differentiable at* $x \in U$, if there exists $u \in L(E, F)$, such that for each bounded subset B of E and for each 0-neighbourhood W in F, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f(x + th) - f(x) - u(th) \in tW$, whenever $h \in B$ and $|t| \leqslant \delta$.

Received by the editors February 5, 1974 and, in revised form, September 15, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 58C20, 46A99.

Key words and phrases. Smooth locally convex space, locally convex direct sum, Fréchet derivative, Hadamard derivative.

(1) This research was supported by an Australian National University post-doctoral travelling scholarship.

The mapping u is then uniquely determined and is denoted by $f'(x)$. If f is Fréchet differentiable at each $x \in U$, then f is *Fréchet differentiable.* The map $f' : U \longrightarrow L(E, F)$ defined by $x \longrightarrow f'(x)$ is called the *Fréchet derivative* of f. Higher order derivatives are defined in the natural way. The nth order Fréchet derivative is denoted by $f^{(n)}$ and is a map from U into $L_n(E, F)$. In case E and F are normed spaces, the Fréchet derivative coincides with the standard definition of derivative in normed spaces [5, p. 149]. Note that a Fréchet differentiable mapping need not be continuous. In fact, we have the following result, the proof of which appears in [12, p. 18]:

Let E be a nonnormed quasi-barrelled locally convex space with strong dual E'. Then the evaluation mapping ev: $E \times E' \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ *defined by* $\mathrm{ev}(x, x') = \langle x, x' \rangle$ *has Fréchet derivatives of all orders and each derivative is continuous, but* ev *is not continuous.*

Let $E, F \in$ TLS be separated by their duals, $U \in \mathcal{O}(E)$ and $V \in \mathcal{O}(F)$. Then $D_F^k(U, V)$ will denote the class of all continuous mappings from U into V, which are k-times Fréchet differentiable ($k \in \{1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$). The Fréchet derivative has the *composition property*: if $f \in D_F^k(U, V)$ and $g \in D_F^k(V, W)$, then $g \circ f \in D_F^k(U, W)$ [2, p. 234], [13, p. 7].

If we replace "bounded set" by "sequentially compact set" in the definition of the Fréchet derivative, we get a weaker derivative, known as the *Hadamard derivative.* $D_H^k(U, V)$ will denote the class of all continuous mappings from U into V, which are k-times Hadamard differentiable ($k \in \{1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$). The Hadamard derivative also has the composition property. In normed spaces, the Hadamard derivative coincides with the quasi-derivative [5, p. 157], [3, p. 91]. Finally, if we replace "bounded set" by "finite set", we get the *Gâteaux derivative*. For a detailed discussion of the differential calculus in topological linear spaces, see [2] and [3].

2. **Smoothness and density character.** In this section we prove the result announced in the abstract. Suppose p is a seminorm on a linear space. Its *null-space* N_p is the set $\{x : p(x) = 0\}$. LCS will denote the class of (Hausdorff) locally convex spaces over $\mathbf{R}$. We say $E \in$ LCS is *strongly D_F^k-smooth* ($k \in \{1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$), if there exists a collection $P(E)$ of continuous seminorms on E which generate the topology on E and satisfy $p \in D_F^k(E \backslash N_p, \mathbf{R})$, for each $p \in P(E)$. Similarly, we define *strongly D_H^k-smooth* spaces. This definition was first given (in the abstract setting of $\mathcal{S}$-categories) in [10] and was also studied in [11] and [12].

2.1 *Let $E \in LCS$. Then E is strongly D_F^k-smooth* ($k \in \{1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$) *if* *and only if E has a* 0*-neighbourhood base* $\mathcal{N}$ *consisting of absolutely convex,*(2) *closed sets such that if $U \in \mathcal{N}$ and p is the gauge of U, then $p \in D_F^k(E\backslash N_p, \mathbf{R})$. The analogous statement for the Hadamard derivative also holds.*

PROOF. The sufficiency of the condition is obvious, so we have only to show its necessity. Consider $\phi_1: \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by $\phi_1(x) = \exp(-1/x)$, for $x > 0$ and $\phi_1(x) = 0$, for $x \leqslant 0$. Put $\phi_2(x) = \phi_1(x-1) \cdot \phi_1(2-x)$ and $\phi_3(x) = \int_1^x \phi_2(t)\,dt / \int_1^2 \phi_2(t)\,dt$. Finally, put $\phi(x) = 1 - \phi_3(|x|)$. Clearly $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$, and ϕ is convex downwards for $|x| \leqslant 3/2$. Also $\phi(3/2) = \phi(-3/2) = 1/2$.

Since E is strongly D_F^k-smooth, it has a class, $P(E)$, of seminorms which generate its topology and satisfy $p \in D_F^k(E\backslash N_p, \mathbf{R})$, for each $p \in P(E)$. We can suppose $p \in P(E)$ and $\alpha > 0$ imply $\alpha p \in P(E)$. For each finite subset $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\} \subset P(E)$, consider the set $U = \{x \in E : \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(p_i(x)) \geqslant 1/2\}$.

2.2. LEMMA. *U is an absolutely convex, closed* 0*-neighbourhood in E and the collection of all such U (as $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ varies over all finite subsets of $P(E)$) is a* 0*-neighbourhood base for E.*

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.2. Clearly U is closed and balanced. To show U is convex, let $x, y \in U$ and $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$. Thus $\phi(p_1(x)) \cdots \phi(p_n(x)) \geqslant 1/2$ and so $p_i(x) \leqslant 3/2$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Similarly, for y. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=1}^n \phi(p_i[\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y]) &\geqslant \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(\alpha p_i(x) + (1-\alpha)p_i(y))\\ &\geqslant \prod_{i=1}^n [\alpha\phi(p_i(x)) + (1-\alpha)\phi(p_i(y))]\\ &\geqslant \prod_{i=1}^n \phi^\alpha(p_i(x)) \cdot \phi^{1-\alpha}(p_i(y))\\ &\geqslant (1/2)^\alpha \cdot (1/2)^{1-\alpha} = 1/2.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the fact that U is a 0-neighbourhood and the collection of all such U forms a 0-neighbourhood base follows by verifying that

$$\left\{x : \sup_{i=1,\ldots,n} p_i(x) \leqslant 1\right\} \subset U \subset \left\{x : \sup_{i=1,\ldots,n} p_i(x) \leqslant 2\right\}.$$

2.3. LEMMA. *Let $q : \mathbf{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the gauge of the absolutely convex, closed* 0*-neighbourhood $W = \{x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) : \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(x_i) \geqslant 1/2\}$. Then $q \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n\backslash\{0\}, \mathbf{R})$.*

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.3. We give the proof for $n = 2$ only. Let $(x, y) \neq$

(2) "Absolutely convex" means convex and balanced.

(0, 0). Then $q(x, y)$ is the unique $z > 0$ such that $\phi(x/z) \cdot \phi(y/z) = ½$. Consider ψ: $\mathbf{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by $\psi(x, y, z) = \phi(x/z) \cdot \phi(y/z) - ½$. If $U = \{(x, y, z): (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } z > 0\}$, then $\psi \in C^\infty(U, \mathbf{R})$.

Suppose $(a, b, c) \in U$ and $\psi(a, b, c) = 0$. We show $D_3\psi(a, b, c) \neq 0$. Now $D_3\psi(x, y, z) = (-y/z^2) \cdot \phi(x/z) \cdot \phi'(y/z) + (-x/z^2) \cdot \phi(y/z) \cdot \phi'(x/z)$. Thus $D_3\psi(a, b, c) > 0$, because each term in the sum is $\geqslant 0$ and, since $\phi(a/c) \cdot \phi(b/c) = ½$, at least one is > 0.

Thus, by the implicit function theorem, $q \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2\backslash\{0\}, \mathbf{R})$.

2.4. LEMMA. *Let p be the gauge of $U = \{x \in E\colon \Pi_{i=1}^n \phi(p_i(x)) \geqslant ½\}$. Then $p \in D_F^k(E\backslash N_p, \mathbf{R})$.*

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.4. Suppose $p(x) > 0$. Choose β such that $0 < \beta < p(x)$. Put $I = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and $J = \{i \in I\colon p_i(x) = 0\}$. J may be empty. Let m be the number of elements in $I\backslash J$. Put $W = \{y \in E : p(y) > \beta, p_i(y) < \beta, \text{ for } i \in J \text{ and } p_i(y) > 0, \text{ for } i \in I\backslash J\}$.

W is an open set containing x. Let $y \in W$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} p(y) &= \inf\left\{\lambda > 0\colon \prod_{i \in I} \phi(p_i(y/\lambda)) \geqslant ½\right\} \\ &= \inf\left\{\lambda > \beta\colon \prod_{i \in I} \phi(p_i(y/\lambda)) \geqslant ½\right\} \\ &= \inf\left\{\lambda > \beta\colon \prod_{i \in I\backslash J} \phi(p_i(y/\lambda)) \geqslant ½\right\} \\ &= q(A(y)), \end{aligned}$$

where $A : E \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ is defined by $A(z) = (p_i(z))_{i \in I\backslash J}$ and q is the seminorm for $\mathbf{R}^m$ given in Lemma 2.3. Now $A | W \in D_F^k(W, \mathbf{R}^m\backslash\{0\})$ and $q \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n\backslash\{0\}, \mathbf{R})$. Hence $p | W \in D_F^k(W, \mathbf{R})$ and the result follows.

This completes the proof of 2.1 for the Fréchet derivative. The proof for the Hadamard derivative is the same. In fact, if we define strongly S-smooth spaces, where S is an S-category, as in [10], [11] or [12], then, with the same proof, 2.1 holds with D_F^k replaced by S.

Let $E \in$ TLS. We define the *neighbourhood base character* of E to be the minimal cardinal belonging to the set of cardinals of 0-neighbourhood bases for E. We denote it by bas(E). It is clear that we may assume the cardinality of N in 2.1 is bas(E).

Now let X be a topological space. We define the *density character* of X to be the minimal cardinal belonging to the set of cardinals of dense subsets of X. We denote it by dense(X). We will need the following simple properties of density character.

2.5. *Let X and Y be topological spaces.*

(i) *If* $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, *then* $\operatorname{dens}(U) \leqslant \operatorname{dens}(X)$.

(ii) *Let* $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ *be a continuous mapping. Then* $\operatorname{dens}(f(X)) \leqslant \operatorname{dens}(X)$.

Now let C be a closed convex subset of $E \in$ LCS. A point x_0 on the boundary of C is called a *support point* of C if there is a nonzero continuous linear functional u such that $\sup_{y \in C} uy = ux_0$. u is called a *support functional* of C. u is a *normalised support functional* if $\sup_{y \in C} uy = ux_0 = 1$.

Let p be a continuous seminorm on E and $C = \{x \in E: p(x) \leqslant 1\}$. Let $p(x_0) = 1$. If p is a Gâteaux differentiable at x_0, then $p'(x_0)$ is the unique normalised support functional to C at x_0 [6, p. 349].

2.6 (PHELPS [14, p. 397]). *If C is a closed convex set with nonempty interior in the complete locally convex space E, then the support functionals of C are dense (in the strong topology) among those continuous linear functionals in E′, which are bounded above on C.*

2.7 (ASPLUND AND ROCKAFELLAR [1, p. 459]). *Let* $E \in$ *LCS and p be a continuous seminorm on E. Suppose p is Fréchet differentiable on* $A \subset E$. *Then* $p' : A \longrightarrow E'$ *is continuous, when E′ has the strong topology.*

Now we can prove our main result.

2.8. *Let E be a complete locally convex space, with strong dual E′, such that* $\operatorname{dens}(E') > \operatorname{bas}(E) \cdot \operatorname{dens}(E)$. *Then E is not strongly* D_F^1*-smooth.*

PROOF. Suppose E is strongly D_F^1-smooth. We show $\operatorname{dens}(E') \leqslant \operatorname{bas}(E) \cdot \operatorname{dens}(E)$. Let $\mathcal{N} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be the 0-neighbourhood base for E given by 2.1 (for the case $k = 1$) and such that the cardinality of A is $\operatorname{bas}(E)$. For each $\alpha \in A$, put $E'_\alpha = \{u \in E' : u$ is bounded on $U_\alpha\}$. Then $E' = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} E'_\alpha$, since a linear functional is continuous if and only if it is bounded on some 0-neighbourhood.

Let p be the gauge of some U_α. By 2.7, $p': E \backslash N_p \longrightarrow E'$ is continuous. Define $\mu : E \backslash N_p \longrightarrow E'$ by $\mu(x) = p(x) \cdot p'(x)$. Then μ is continuous. Thus $\operatorname{dens}(\mu(E \backslash N_p)) \leqslant \operatorname{dens}(E)$. But $\mu(E \backslash N_p)$ is the set of all support functionals to U_α and so is dense in E'_α, by 2.6. Thus $\operatorname{dens}(E'_\alpha) \leqslant \operatorname{dens}(E)$ and so $\operatorname{dens}(E') \leqslant \operatorname{bas}(E) \cdot \operatorname{dens}(E)$.

2.9. COROLLARY. *Let E be a separable Fréchet space with a nonseparable strong dual. Then E is not strongly* D_F^1*-smooth.*

2.8 generalises the result of Kadec [16] and Restrepo [15] to locally convex spaces. Stronger versions of the Kadec-Restrepo result have been obtained in Banach spaces by Leach and Whitfield [7] and Leduc [8].

Of course, we cannot omit the hypothesis in 2.9 that E be metrizable. For let $E = \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{R}}$ (product of $\mathbf{R}$ copies of $\mathbf{R}$, with the product topology). Then E is a complete separable locally convex space, which is clearly strongly D_F^1-smooth. But the strong dual of $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{R}}$ is $\mathbf{R}^{(\mathbf{R})}$ (locally convex direct sum), which is not separable.

We give a class of locally convex spaces satisfying the hypotheses of 2.9. Let X be an uncountable, σ-compact, locally compact, metric space. Let $C(X)$ be the real linear space of all continuous, complex- or real-valued functions on X, with the topology of compact convergence. Then $C(X)$ is a separable Fréchet space with a nonseparable strong dual and, consequently, is not strongly D_F^1-smooth. Note that $C(X)$ is strongly D_H^1-smooth, however, since it is separable [10], [11].

3. **Smooth locally convex direct sums.** First we need a result about the differentiability of functions defined on a strict inductive limit. A topological inductive limit of the form $E[T] = \Sigma_\alpha E_\alpha[T_\alpha]$, where each $E_\alpha[T_\alpha]$ is a locally convex space, is said to be *strict* if $E_\alpha \subset E_\beta$, for $\alpha < \beta$, and if the topology induced by T_β on the subspace E_α of E_β is equal to T_α [6. p. 222]. The next result was given in [10]. However, the proof given there contains a mistake in the induction step.

3.1. *Let $E[T] = \Sigma_\alpha E_\alpha[T_\alpha]$ be a strict inductive limit with the property that a subset $B \subset E$ is bounded if and only if B is contained in some E_α and is bounded there. Let $f: E \longrightarrow F$, where $f \in LCS$, be a continuous mapping. Let $U \in O(E)$. Then $f \in D_F^k(U, F)$ $(k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \infty\})$ if and only if $f|E_\alpha \in D_F^k(U \cap E_\alpha, F)$, for each α.*

PROOF. The necessity is obvious. For the sufficiency, we prove, by induction, that $f \in D_F^k(U, F)$ $(k \in \{1, 2, \ldots\})$ and, for each $x \in U$, $f^{(k)}(x) \cdot (y_1, \ldots, y_k) = (f|E_\alpha)^{(k)}(x) \cdot (y_1, \ldots, y_k)$, where $x, y_1, \ldots, y_k \in E_\alpha$.

Thus suppose first that $f|E_\alpha \in D_F^1(U \cap E_\alpha, F)$ for each α. Let $x \in U$. We define a map $u_1: E \longrightarrow F$ as follows. Given $y \in E$, there exists α such that $x, y \in E_\alpha$. Then define $u_1(y) = (f|E_\alpha)'(x) \cdot y$. The value of $u_1(y)$ is independent of the choice of α. For suppose $x, y \in E_\beta$ also. Choose γ such that $\gamma \geqslant a$ and $\gamma \geqslant \beta$. Then $(f|E_\alpha)'(x) \cdot y = (f|E_\gamma)'(x) \cdot y = (f|E_\beta)'(x) \cdot y$. Also u_1 is linear and is continuous, since $u_1|E_\alpha$ is continuous, for each α [6, p. 217].

We show that $u_1 = f'(x)$. Let B be a bounded subset of E. Then there exists an α such that $B \subset E_\alpha$ and is bounded there. Also $x \in E_\beta$, for some β. Now choose γ such that $\gamma \geqslant \beta$ and $\gamma \geqslant \alpha$. Then $x \in E_\gamma$ and $B \subset E_\gamma$. Also, B is bounded in E_γ, since the topology induced by E_γ on E_α is the original topology T_α on E_α.

Now let W be a 0-neighbourhood in F. Then the existence of $(f|E_\gamma)'(x)$ gives the existence of $\delta > 0$ such that $f(x + th) - f(x) - u_1 \cdot th \in tW$, whenever $|t| \leqslant \delta$ and $h \in B$. That is, $f'(x) = u_1$. Thus $f \in D_F^1(U, F)$ and the proposition is true for $k = 1$.

Now suppose the proposition is true for some k. Let $f \mid E_\alpha \in D_F^{k+1}(U \cap E_\alpha, F)$, for each α, and $x \in U$. We define a map $u_{k+1}: E^{k+1} \longrightarrow F$ as follows: $u_{k+1}(y_1, \ldots, y_{k+1}) = (f|E_\alpha)^{(k+1)}(x) \cdot (y_1, \ldots, y_{k+1})$, where α is chosen so that $x, y_1, \ldots, y_{k+1} \in E_\alpha$.

First we have to show u_{k+1} is well defined. Thus suppose we also have $x, y_1, \ldots, y_{k+1} \in E_\beta$. Choose γ so that $\gamma \geqslant \alpha$ and $\gamma \geqslant \beta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(f|E_\alpha)^{(k+1)}(x) \cdot (y_1, \ldots, y_{k+1}) &= (f|E_\gamma)^{(k+1)}(x) \cdot (y_1, \ldots, y_{k+1}) \\ &= (f|E_\beta)^{(k+1)}(x) \cdot (y_1, \ldots, y_{k+1}).\end{aligned}$$

Next we show $u_{k+1} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{k+1}(E, F)$. Clearly u_{k+1} is multilinear. As for the continuity property, let $m \in \{1, \ldots, k+1\}$, $y_1, \ldots, y_{m-1}, B_{m+1}, \ldots, B_{k+1}$ and V, an absolutely convex 0-neighbourhood in F, be given. Let α be given. Choose $\beta \geqslant \alpha$ such that $x, y_1, \ldots, y_{m-1} \in E_\beta$ and $B_{m+1}, \ldots, B_{k+1} \subset E_\beta$. Now since $(f|E_\beta)^{(k+1)}(x) \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{k+1}(E_\beta, F)$, there exists a 0-neighbourhood W_β in E_β such that

$$(f|E_\beta)^{(k+1)}(x) \cdot (\{y_1\} \times \cdots \times \{y_{m-1}\} \times W_\beta \times B_{m+1} \times \cdots \times B_{k+1}) \subset V.$$

Then $W_\alpha = W_\beta \cap E_\alpha$ is a 0-neighbourhood in E_α and

$$u_{k+1}(\{y_1\} \times \cdots \times \{y_{m-1}\} \times W_\alpha \times B_{m+1} \times \cdots \times B_{k+1}) \subset V.$$

Put $W = \Gamma_\alpha W_\alpha$. That is, W is the absolutely convex cover of the W_α. Then W is a 0-neighbourhood in E and, since u_{k+1} is multilinear,

$$u_{k+1}(\{y_1\} \times \cdots \times \{y_{m-1}\} \times W \times B_{m+1} \times \cdots \times B_{k+1}) \subset V.$$

Thus $u_{k+1} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{k+1}(E, F)$.

Now we show $u_{k+1} = f^{(k+1)}(x)$. Let $\{u \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{L}}_k(E, F) : u(B_1 \times \cdots \times B_k) \subset W\}$ be a 0-neighbourhood in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{L}}_k(E, F)$ and B a bounded subset of E. Choose α such that $x \in E_\alpha$ and $B, B_1, \ldots, B_k \subset E_\alpha$. By the existence of $(f|E_\alpha)^{(k+1)}(x)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}(f|E_\alpha)^{(k)}(x + th) \cdot (h_1, \ldots, h_k) &- (f|E_\alpha)^{(k)}(x) \cdot (h_1, \ldots, h_k) \\ &- (f|E_\alpha)^{(k+1)}(x) \cdot (th, h_1, \ldots, h_k) \in tW,\end{aligned}$$

whenever $h \in B$, $h_1 \in B_1, \ldots, h_k \in B_k$ and $|t| \leqslant \delta$. Thus, by the inductive hypothesis,

$$f^{(k)}(x+th)\cdot(h_1,\ldots,h_k) - f^{(k)}(x)\cdot(h_1,\ldots,h_k)$$
$$- u_{k+1}(th, h_1, \ldots, h_k) \in tW,$$

whenever $h \in B$, $h_1 \in B_1, \ldots, h_k \in B_k$ and $|t| \leqslant \delta$. Thus $f^{(k+1)}(x)$ exists and $f^{(k+1)}(x) = u_{k+1}$. Hence $f \in D_F^{k+1}(U, F)$. This completes the proof of 3.1.

If E satisfies the conditions of 3.1 and also has the property that a subset of $K \subset E$ is sequentially compact if and only if K is contained in some E_α and is sequentially compact there, then there is a result analogous to 3.1 for the Hadamard derivative. In particular, we have the following result.

3.2. *Let $E = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in A} E_\alpha$ be the locally convex direct sum of the locally convex spaces E_α. Let $f: E \longrightarrow F$ be a continuous mapping, where $F \in LCS$. Let $U \in \mathcal{O}(E)$. Then $f \in D_F^k(U, F)$ (resp. D_H^k) ($k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \infty\}$) if and only if $f|\bigoplus_{i=1}^n E_{\alpha_i} \in D_F^k(U \cap \bigoplus_{i=1}^n E_{\alpha_i}, F)$ (resp. D_H^k) for each finite subset $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \subset A$.*

Now let $E = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbf{N}} E_n$ be the countable locally convex direct sum of the locally convex spaces $\{E_n\}_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ and I_n the canonical injection from E_n into E (where $\mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$). The absolutely convex covers $\Gamma_{n\in\mathbf{N}} I_n(V_n)$ form a 0-neighbourhood base for E, as V_n ranges over a 0-neighbourhood base for E_n. Our final theorem (3.3) will show that the countable locally convex direct sum of smooth spaces is smooth. First we introduce another type of smoothness.

Let $E \in$ TLS be separated by its dual. We say E is D_F^k*-smooth* ($k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \infty\}$) if, given $V \in \mathcal{O}(E)$ and $a \in V$, there exists $f \in D_F^k(E, \mathbf{R})$ such that $f(a) > 0$, $f \geqslant 0$ and $\{x \in E: f(x) > 0\} \subset V$. Similarly we define D_H^k*-smooth* spaces. This concept was first given (in the abstract setting of $\mathcal{S}$-categories) by Bonic and Frampton [4] for Banach spaces and later studied in topological linear spaces in [10], [11] and [12]. In [10] we showed that if S is an arbitrary $\mathcal{S}$-category (e.g. D_F^k) and $E \in$ LCS is strongly S-smooth, then E is S-smooth. It is not known if the converse is true, although some partial converses are known. For example, combining the results of Leach and Whitfield [7] and Restrepo [15], if E is a separable, D_F^1-smooth Banach space, then E is strongly D_F^1-smooth. See also [9].

3.3. *Let $E = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbf{N}} E_n$ be the countable locally convex direct sum of the locally convex spaces $\{E_n\}_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$. Then*:

(i) *E is D_F^k-smooth (resp. D_H^k-smooth) ($k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \infty\}$) if and only if each E_n is D_F^k-smooth (resp. D_H^k-smooth).*

(ii) *E is strongly D_F^k-smooth (resp. strongly D_H^k-smooth) if and only if each E_n is strongly D_F^k-smooth (resp. strongly D_H^k-smooth).*

PROOF. We give the proofs for the Fréchet derivative only. The Hadamard case is similar.

(i) The necessity is obvious. For the converse, it suffices to verify the smoothness condition for $V = \Gamma_{n\in\mathbf{N}} I_n(V_n)$, a basic 0-neighbourhood in E, and $a = 0$. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists $f_n \in D_F^k(E_n, \mathbf{R})$ such that $f_n \geqslant 0, f_n(0) = 1$ and $\{ x_n \in E_n\colon f_n(x_n) > 0\} \subset V_n$. Define $A\colon E \longrightarrow l^2$ by $x \longrightarrow (1 - f_n(2^n \cdot x_n))_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$, where $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}} \in E$. Choose $\psi \in C^\infty(l^2, \mathbf{R})$ such that $\psi \geqslant 0$, $\psi(0) > 0$ and $\psi(x) = 0$, if $\|x\|_2 \geqslant 1$. Then define $f\colon E \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $f = \psi \circ A$.

Now $f \geqslant 0$ and $f(0) = \psi(0) > 0$. Also $\{x\colon f(x) > 0\} \subset V$. For let $f(x) > 0$. Hence $\|A(x)\|_2 < 1$. That is, $1 - f_n(2^n \cdot x_n) < 1$ and so $2^n \cdot x_n \in V_n$, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Thus $x = \Sigma_{n\in\mathbf{N}} 2^{-n} \cdot I_n(2^n \cdot x_n) \in V$.

Finally, we show $f \in D_F^k(E, \mathbf{R})$. For this it suffices to show $A \in D_F^k(E, l^2)$ and hence, by 3.2, $A | \bigoplus_{n=1}^m E_n \in D_F^k(\bigoplus_{n=1}^m E_n, l^2)$, for each $m \in \mathbf{N}$. However, this is clear.

(ii) The necessity is obvious. Conversely, let each E_n be strongly D_F^k-smooth. Consequently, by 2.1, each E_n has a 0-neighbourhood base $\mathcal{N}_n$ consisting of absolutely convex sets such that if $V_n \in \mathcal{N}_n$ and p_n is the gauge of V_n, then $p_n \in D_F^k(E_n \backslash W_{p_n}, \mathbf{R})$.

Consider a basic 0-neighbourhood $V = \Gamma_{n\in\mathbf{N}} I_n(V_n)$ in E, where each V_n has the above property. Put $U = \{x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}\colon \Pi_{n\in\mathbf{N}}\ \phi(p_n(2^{n+1} \cdot x_n)) \geqslant 1/2\}$, where ϕ is the function in the proof of 2.1 Then U is absolutely convex. Also U is a 0-neighbourhood. For let $W = \Gamma_{n\in\mathbf{N}} I_n(2^{-n-1} \cdot V_n)$ and $x \in W$. Then $p_n(x_n) \leqslant 2^{-n-1}$ and, consequently $\phi(p_n(2^{n+1} x_n)) = 1$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Thus $x \in U$.

The collection of all such U forms a 0-neighbourhood base for E. For let $x \in U$. Then $\phi(p_n(2^{n+1} x_n)) \neq 0$, and so $p_n(2^{n+1} x_n) < 2$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Thus $x \in V$.

Finally, we show that if p is the gauge of U, then $p \in D_F^k(E \backslash W_p, \mathbf{R})$. By 3.2, it suffices to show that $p | \bigoplus_{n=1}^m E_n \in D_F^k(\bigoplus_{n=1}^m E_n \backslash W_p, \mathbf{R})$ for each $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Put $F = \bigoplus_{n=1}^m E_n$. Let q_n be the continuous seminorm on F defined by $q_n(x) = p_n(2^{n+1} x_n)$, where $x = (x_n) \in F$. Then $q_n \in D_F^k(F \backslash W_{q_n}, \mathbf{R})$. Also $p|F$ is the gauge of $U \cap F = \{x \in F\colon \Pi_{n=1}^m \phi(q_n(x)) \geqslant 1/2\}$. Consequently, by 2.4, $p|F \in D_F^k(F \backslash W_p, \mathbf{R})$.

REFERENCES

1. E. Asplund and R. T. Rockafellar, *Gradients of convex functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (1969), 443–467. MR 39 #1968.

2. V. I. Averbuh and O. G. Smoljanov, *Differentiation theory in linear topological spaces*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 22 (1967), no. 6 (138), 201–260 = Russian Math. Surveys 22 (1967), no. 6, 201–258. MR 36 #6933.

3. ———, *Different definitions of derivative in linear topological spaces*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 23 (1968), no. 4 (142), 67–116 = Russian Math. Surveys 23 (1968), no. 4, 67–113. MR 39 #7424.

4. Robert Bonic and John Frampton, *Smooth functions on Banach manifolds*, J. Math. Mech. 15 (1966), 877–898. MR #6647.

5. J. Dieudonné, *Foundations of modern analysis*, 2nd ed., Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 10, Academic Press, New York, 1968; reprinted, 1969.

6. G. Köthe, *Topologische linear Räume*. I, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 107, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1960; English transl., Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 159, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1969. MR 24 #A411; 40 #1750.

7. E. B. Leach and J. H. M. Whitfield, *Differentiable functions and rough norms on Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1972), 120–126. MR 45 #2471.

8. Michel Leduc, *Densité de certaines familles d'hyperplans tangents*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B 270 (1970), A326–A328. MR 43 #2473.

9. ———, *Jauges différentiables et partitions de l'unité*, Séminaire Choquet, 1965/65, no. 12.

10. John W. Lloyd, *Inductive and projective limits of smooth topological vector spaces*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 6 (1972), 227–240. MR 45 #4099.

11. ———, *Smooth partitions of unity on manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 187 (1974), 249–259.

12. ———, *Two topics in the differential calculus on topological linear spaces*, Ph.D. Dissertation at the Australian National University.

13. Jean-Paul Penot, *Calcul différentiel dans les espaces vectoriels topologiques*, Studia Math. 47 (1973), 1–23.

14. R. R. Phelps, *Support cones and their generalizations*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1963, pp. 393–401. MR 27 #4052.

15. G. Restrepo, *Differentiable norms in Banach spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 70 (1964), 413–414. MR 28 #4338.

16. M. I. Kadéc, *Conditions for the differentiability of a norm in a Banach space*, Upsehi Mat. Nauk 20 (1965), no. 3 (123), 183–187. (Russian) MR 32 #2883.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, CAMBRIDGE CB2 1SB, ENGLAND

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia