

NONIMMERSION OF LENS SPACES WITH 2-TORSION

BY

A. J. BERRICK

ABSTRACT. From a study of the equivariant unitary K -theory of the Stiefel manifold $V_{k+1,2}(\mathbb{C})$, it is shown that the lens space $L^k(n)$, with n a multiple of $2^{2k-1-\alpha(k-1)}$, does not immerse in Euclidean space of dimension $4k - 2\alpha(k) - 2$.

1. Introduction. Considerable effort has been devoted to the problem of finding the minimum-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^m in which one can immerse the lens space $L^k(n)$ (the quotient of S^{2k+1} by the free action of the cyclic group of order n , whose generator ζ acts as $(z_0, \dots, z_k) \mapsto (e^{2\pi i/n} z_0, \dots, e^{2\pi i/n} z_k)$). Although the case where n is odd has met with the most success [9], [10], [12], [13], more attention has been focussed on $n = 2$ [5]. In general, only the cases $\nu_2(n) \leq 1$ have previously been discussed (where $\nu_2(n)$ is the exponent of the highest power of 2 dividing n). [14, Theorem 5] shows that if $m \geq 3k + 2$, then m is a function solely of k and $\nu_2(n)$. We prove here the following. (Let $\alpha(k)$ be the number of nonzero terms in the dyadic expansion of k .)

THEOREM. *If $\nu_2(n) \geq 2k - 1 - \alpha(k - 1)$, then $L^k(n)$ does not immerse in $\mathbb{R}^{4k-2\alpha(k)-2}$.*

In view of the subsequent retraction of the announcement [4], this result may be seen as the nearest approach to date to a strong general nonimmersion result for real projective spaces. It is interesting to compare the above result with [8], which implies the above when $\alpha(k + 1) = 1$. Apparently Professors D. M. Davis and M. Mahowald have proved (unpublished) that for $2 \leq \alpha(k) \leq 8$, $\mathbb{C}P^k$ immerses in $\mathbb{R}^{4k-2\alpha(k)+1+\epsilon_k}$ (so that $L^k(n)$ immerses in $\mathbb{R}^{4k-2\alpha(k)+2+\epsilon_k}$), where ϵ_k is nonzero only if k is even and $\alpha(k) = 2, 6, 8$ (when $\epsilon_k = 1$) or $\alpha(k) = 3, 7$ (when $\epsilon_k = 2$). In addition, if $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $\alpha(k) = 5$, $k \neq 31$, then $L^k(2)$ immerses in \mathbb{R}^{4k-12} , whereas the above theorem implies that $L^k(2^{2k-5})$ does not immerse in \mathbb{R}^{4k-12} .

Received by the editors October 23, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 57D40, 55F50; Secondary 55F25, 55G25, 55G40.

Key words and phrases. Complex G -vector bundle, complex Grassmannian, complex oriented Grassmannian, complex Stiefel manifold, equivariant unitary K -theory, immersion, lens space, projective tangent bundle.

The chief novelty of the present work is its derivation of nonimmersion results by equivariant K -theory. The Gysin sequence of [1] is used in §3 below to derive the K -theory of a certain quotient space of the complex Stiefel manifold $V_{k+1,2}(\mathbb{C})$, whose relation to the problem is discussed in §2. Calculations yielding the theorem are performed in the final section.

This work formed part of the author's Oxford D. Phil. thesis; the author would like to thank his examiners, Professors I. M. James and R. L. E. Schwarzenberger, and especially his supervisor, Dr. B. F. Steer, for their helpfulness.

2. The projective tangent bundle. Let L be the canonical real line bundle over the projective tangent bundle PrM of a smooth r -dimensional manifold M . We begin with a reformulation of [6, Theorem 4.2].

LEMMA 2.1. *There is a function from the set of regular homotopy classes of immersions of M in \mathbb{R}^m to the set of homotopy classes of (never-zero) cross-sections of the real m -plane bundle mL , which is surjective if $2m \geq 3r + 1$, bijective if $2m \geq 3r + 2$.*

Here $M = L^k(n)$, $r = 2k + 1$. We pass to a subspace of PrM given as follows. Let G denote the direct product of the cyclic group of order n , generator ζ , with the group of order 2, generator η . ζ acts on $V_{k+1} = V_{k+1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ by sending the ordered pair (x, y) , $x \perp y$, to $(e^{2\pi i/n}x, e^{2\pi i/n}y)$, while η sends (x, y) to $(x, -y)$. The quotient of $V_{k+1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ by this G -action is clearly a subspace of $PrL^k(n)$. However, calculations are simplified if a slightly different G -action is considered, viz. that where η instead maps (x, y) to (y, x) . The homeomorphism $(x, y) \mapsto ((x+y)/\sqrt{2}, (y-x)/\sqrt{2})$, from the quotient of V_{k+1} by the latter action to the quotient by the former, pulls back the bundle L above to the canonical line bundle over V_{k+1}/G whose complexification we call β . (The latter G -action is used exclusively henceforth.) So the total space of β is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta = \{ (x, y, t) \in S^{2k+1} \times S^{2k+1} \times \mathbb{C} \mid x \perp y; \\ (x, y, t) = (e^{2\pi i/n}x, e^{2\pi i/n}y, t) = (y, x, -t) \}. \end{aligned}$$

The above lemma now has the following consequence (cf. [3, (2.1)c]).

LEMMA 2.2. *If $L^k(n)$ immerses in \mathbb{R}^{2m} , then the complex m -plane bundle $m\beta$ over V_{k+1}/G admits a (never-zero) cross-section.*

3. Some topology of the complex Stiefel manifold. In this section the G -space V_{k+1} is described in terms of the G -spaces $SG_{k+1} = SG_{k+1,2}(\mathbb{C})$, the oriented Grassmannian (of oriented complex 2-planes in \mathbb{C}^{k+1}), and $G_{k+1} = G_{k+1,2}(\mathbb{C})$, the (unoriented) Grassmannian. The G -action on these latter spaces is such that the projections $f: V_{k+1} \rightarrow SG_{k+1}$ and $g: SG_{k+1} \rightarrow G_{k+1}$ are

G -equivariant. In particular, G acts trivially on G_{k+1} . Since

$$V_{k+1} = U(k+1)/U(k-1),$$

$$SG_{k+1} = U(k+1)/(U(k-1) \times SU(2)),$$

and

$$G_{k+1} = U(k+1)/(U(k-1) \times U(2)),$$

it follows that f is the projection map of a principal S^3 -bundle while g is the projection map of a principal S^1 -bundle. We show now that these bundles are both the sphere bundles of complex G -vector bundles which we determine in terms of the canonical complex 2-plane bundle γ over G_{k+1} .

The unitary representation ring $R(G)$ may be written as

$$R(G) = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta]/\langle \alpha^n - 1, \beta^2 - 1 \rangle$$

where the representations α, β are given by

$$\alpha: \zeta \mapsto e^{2\pi i/n}, \eta \mapsto 1;$$

$$\beta: \zeta \mapsto 1, \eta \mapsto -1.$$

Then the homomorphism $R(G) \rightarrow K_G(V_{k+1}) = K(V_{k+1}/G)$, induced from $V_{k+1} \rightarrow \text{pt}$, sends β to its namesake introduced in §2; or rather, to $f^*g^*\beta$ where $R(G)$ is a direct summand of $K_G(G_{k+1}) = K(G_{k+1}) \otimes R(G)$. (We shall be less pedantic when calculations are under way in §4.)

LEMMA 3.1. SG_{k+1} is homeomorphic as a G -space to the sphere bundle of the complex G -line bundle

$$\lambda^2(\gamma) \otimes \alpha^2\beta \in K_G(G_{k+1}).$$

PROOF. The homeomorphism $h: SG_{k+1} \rightarrow S(\lambda^2(\gamma) \otimes \alpha^2\beta)$ is given by mapping the oriented plane generated by $(x, y) \in V_{k+1}$ to the pair $([x, y], x \wedge y)$, $[x, y]$ being the unoriented plane which (x, y) generates. Since $x \wedge y$ has isotropy group $SU(2)$ under the $U(2)$ -action on the pair (x, y) , h is well defined and 1-1. Further, h is clearly onto, and G -equivariance is easily checked. \square

LEMMA 3.2. V_{k+1} is homeomorphic as a G -space to the sphere bundle of the complex G -vector bundle

$$g^*(\gamma \otimes \alpha) \in K_G(SG_{k+1}).$$

PROOF.

$$Sg^*(\gamma \otimes \alpha) = \{((x, y), z) \in V_{k+1,2}(\mathbb{C}) \times S^{2k+1} \mid z \in [x, y]\};$$

$$((x, y), z) = (P(x, y), z) \quad \forall P \in SU(2),$$

with G -action given by

$$\zeta: ((x, y), z) \mapsto ((e^{2\pi i/n}x, e^{2\pi i/n}y), e^{2\pi i/n}z),$$

$$\eta: ((x, y), z) \mapsto ((y, x), z).$$

Inverse G -homeomorphisms $\varphi: V_{k+1} \rightarrow Sg^*(\gamma \otimes \alpha)$ and $\psi: Sg^*(\gamma \otimes \alpha) \rightarrow V_{k+1}$ are given by

$$\varphi: (x, y) \mapsto ((x, y), (x + y)/\sqrt{2}), \quad \psi: ((x, y), ax + by) \mapsto (M_{(a,b)}(x, y)),$$

where, for $(a, b) \in SC^2$,

$$M_{(a,b)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} a + \bar{b} & b - \bar{a} \\ a - \bar{b} & \bar{a} + b \end{bmatrix}.$$

The map ψ is well defined, since if $P \in SU(2)$ then $M_{P(a,b)} = M_{(a,b)} P^T$. $M_{(1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2})} = I$ implies that $\psi \circ \varphi = \text{id}$, while $M_{(a,b)}^T(1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2}) = (a, b)$ implies that $\varphi \circ \psi = \text{id}$. Again, G -equivariance may be readily checked. \square

4. Calculations in K -theory. It is well known (e.g. [1]) that if $m\beta$ admits a section over V_{k+1}/G , then the "Thom class" $\lambda_{-1}[m\beta] = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \lambda^i(m\beta)$ vanishes in $K_G^*(V_{k+1})$. Conversely, if $\lambda_{-1}[m\beta] = 0$ then it follows from [2] that $K_G^*(S\beta)$ and $K_G^*(V_{k+1} \times S^{2m-1})$ are isomorphic as $K_G^*(V_{k+1})$ -algebras. We therefore determine this obstruction.

Hoggar [7] has adapted [1] to present $K(G_{k+1})$ in a form amenable to calculation. Letting γ be the canonical bundle as in §3, write

$$x = 2 - \gamma, \quad y = \gamma - \lambda^2(\gamma) - 1 \in K(G_{k+1}),$$

and also in this ring set

$$v_0 = 1, \quad v_1 = x, \quad v_t = xv_{t-1} + yv_{t-2} = \sum_{s \geq 0} \binom{t-s}{s} x^{t-2s} y^s, \\ 2 \leq t \leq k+1.$$

If a weight function on monomials $x^r y^s$ be defined by $\text{wt}(x^r y^s) = r + 2s$, then all the monomials in the sum v_t have the same weight, viz. t ; so v_t is homogeneous.

LEMMA 4.1 [7].

$$K(G_{k+1}) = \mathbb{Z}[x, y] / \langle v_k, v_{k+1} \rangle \\ = \mathbb{Z}[x, y] / \langle y^{k-t} v_t, 0 \leq t \leq k \rangle,$$

a torsion-free ring in which all monomials of weight exceeding $2k - 2$ vanish.

Now for two purely combinatorial preliminaries to the proof of the theorem.

LEMMA 4.2. *The ring $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\langle v_k, v_{k+1}, x-2 \rangle$ has torsion $2^{2k-\alpha(k)}$.*

PROOF. By (4.1), $y^{k-1} \neq 0$ whereas $2y^{k-1} = 0$. We show by induction on r that

$$2^{2r-\alpha(r)-1}y^{k-r} = y^{k-1}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq k.$$

Accordingly suppose that the result holds for $1 \leq r \leq t-1 \leq k-1$, the case $r=1$ being trivial.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2\left(\binom{t-i}{i}\right) + 2(t-i) - \alpha(t) - 1 \\ = \alpha(i) + \alpha(t-2i) - \alpha(t-i) - \alpha(t) + 2(t-i) - 1 \\ = \nu_2\left(\binom{t}{2i}\right) + (2(t-i) - \alpha(t-i) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

When this is combined with the induction hypotheses and inserted in the expansion of $2^{t-\alpha(t)-1}y^{k-t}v_t = 0$ (4.1), the following occurs. (Since $2y^{k-1} = 0$, the calculations are effectively modulo 2.)

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{2t-\alpha(t)-1}y^{k-t} &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \binom{t-i}{i} 2^{2(t-i)-\alpha(t)-1}y^{k-t+i} \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \binom{t}{2i} 2^{2(t-i)-\alpha(t-i)-1}y^{k-(t-i)} \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \binom{t}{2i} y^{k-1} \\ &= (2^{t-1} - 1)y^{k-1} \\ &= y^{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

since $t \geq 2$. \square

LEMMA 4.3. *If $\nu_2(r) \geq 2k-2-\alpha(k-1)$, then the ring*

$$\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\langle v_k, v_{k+1}, x-2, (1+y)^r-1 \rangle$$

has torsion $2^{2k-\alpha(k)}$.

PROOF. By (4.2) it suffices to show that in the expansion

$$(1+y)^r - 1 = \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \binom{r}{s} y^s,$$

$\nu_2\left(\binom{r}{s}\right) \geq 2(k-s) - \alpha(k-s)$ for each s . We first evaluate the left-hand side of this inequality. From the expansion

$$\binom{r}{s} = r(r-1) \cdots (r-s+1)/s(s-1) \cdots 1,$$

$$\nu_2\left(\binom{r}{s}\right) = \nu_2(r) - \nu_2(s) + \sum_{t=1}^{s-1} (\nu_2(r-t) - \nu_2(t)).$$

However, $t < s < k$ implies $\nu_2(t) < \nu_2(r)$ and thence $\nu_2(r-t) = \nu_2(t)$. So $\nu_2\left(\binom{r}{s}\right) = \nu_2(r) - \nu_2(s)$ and it remains to show that

$$(2k-2-\alpha(k-1)) - \nu_2(s) \geq 2(k-s) - \alpha(k-s).$$

But the difference between these two expressions is just

$$\begin{aligned} & 2s-2+\alpha(k-s)-\alpha(k-1)-\nu_2(s) \\ &= 2s-2+\alpha(k-s)-\alpha(k-1)-\alpha(s-1)+\alpha(s)-1 \\ &= 2[(s-1)-\alpha(s-1)] + \nu_2\left(\binom{k-1}{s-1}\right) + (\alpha(s)-1), \end{aligned}$$

a sum of three nonnegative terms. \square

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. We show that if $m \leq 2k - \alpha(k) - 1$, then $\lambda_{-1}[m\beta] = 2^{m-1}(1-\beta)$ is nonzero in $f^*g^*K_G(G_{k+1})$. It follows from the exact Gysin sequences

$$\begin{aligned} K_G(G_{k+1}) &\xrightarrow{\lambda_{-1}} K_G(G_{k+1}) \xrightarrow{g^*} K_G(SG_{k+1}), \\ K_G(SG_{k+1}) &\xrightarrow{\lambda_{-1}} K_G(SG_{k+1}) \xrightarrow{f^*} K_G(V_{k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

that the ring $f^*g^*K_G(G_{k+1})$ may be obtained by factoring out

$$K_G(G_{k+1}) = \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\langle v_k, v_{k+1} \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta]/\langle \alpha^n - 1, \beta^2 - 1 \rangle$$

by the principal ideals generated by

$$\lambda_{-1}[\lambda^2(\gamma) \otimes \alpha^2\beta] = 1 - (1-x-y)\alpha^2\beta \quad (3.1),$$

and

$$\lambda_{-1}[\gamma \otimes \alpha] = 1 - (2-x)\alpha + (1-x-y)\alpha^2 \quad (3.2).$$

However, since our interest lies in the torsion of $(1-\beta)$, we factor out further by the ideal generated by $(1+\beta)$. It suffices to show that $2^m \neq 0$ in this last ring, namely

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{Z}[x, y, \alpha]/\langle v_k, v_{k+1}, \alpha^n - 1, x-2, 1-(1+y)\alpha^2 \rangle \\ &= \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\langle v_k, v_{k+1}, x-2, (1+y)^{n/2} - 1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(4.3) now clinches the proof. \square

It can be shown that $\lambda_{-1}[(2k - \alpha(k))\beta] = 0$ in $f^*g^*K_G(G_{k+1})$, although the proof is too long to present here.

By applying (2.1) to complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^k$ immersed in \mathbb{R}^m and arguing as for (2.2), one infers the existence of a section to the canonical bundle over V_{k+1}/H (H being a semidirect product of $S^1 \times S^1$ by \mathbb{Z}_2) whose pull-back to V_{k+1}/G is $m\beta$, and thence a section to $m\beta$ itself. So our theorem has the following (known) consequence [11].

COROLLARY 4.4. $\mathbb{C}P^k$ does not immerse in $\mathbb{R}^{4k-2\alpha(k)-2}$.

REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah, *K-theory*, Benjamin, New York, 1967. MR 36 #7130.
2. A. J. Berrick, *Obstructions in K-theory* (to appear).
3. A. J. Berrick, S. Feder and S. Gitler, *Symmetric axial maps and embeddings of projective spaces*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (to appear).
4. D. M. Davis and M. Mahowald, *A strong nonimmersion theorem for RP^{8l+7}* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1975), 155–156.
5. S. Gitler, *Immersion and embedding of manifolds*, Algebraic Topology, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 22, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1971, pp. 87–96. MR 47 #4275.
6. A. Haefliger and M. W. Hirsch, *Immersions in the stable range*, Ann. of Math. (2) 75 (1962), 231–241. MR 26 #784.
7. S. G. Hoggar, *On KO theory of Grassmannians*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 20 (1969), 447–463. MR 40 #8048.
8. I. M. James, *On the immersion problem for real projective spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1963), 231–238. MR 26 #1900.
9. T. Kambe, *The structure of K_Λ -rings of the lens space and their applications*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 18 (1966), 135–146. MR 33 #6646.
10. T. Kobayashi, *Non-immersion theorems for lens spaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 6 (1966), 91–108. MR 36 #3371.
11. B. J. Sanderson and R. L. E. Schwarzenberger, *Non-immersion theorems for differentiable manifolds*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 59 (1963), 319–322. MR 26 #5589.
12. D. Sjerve, *Geometric dimension of vector bundles over lens spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (1968), 545–557. MR 38 #1695.
13. ———, *Vector bundles over orbit manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (1969), 97–106. MR 38 #6621.
14. C. B. Thomas, *Structures on manifolds defined by cross-sections*, Math. Ann. 196 (1972), 163–170. MR 45 #9355.

ST. JOHN'S COLLEGE, OXFORD OX1 3JP, ENGLAND