

ON THE STABLE DECOMPOSITION OF $\Omega^2 S^{r+2}$

BY

E. H. BROWN, JR. AND F. P. PETERSON¹

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that $\Omega^2 S^{r+2}$ is stably homotopy equivalent to a wedge of suspensions of other spaces C_k^1 , and that C_k^1 is homotopy 2-equivalent to the Brown-Gitler spectrum.

1. Introduction. In this paper we show that $\Omega^2 S^{r+2}$ is stably homotopy equivalent to a wedge of suspensions of other spaces C_k^1 , that C_k^1 cannot be further decomposed into a wedge, and that C_k^1 is homotopy 2-equivalent to the Brown-Gitler spectrum $B([k/2])$ [3].

Let

$$C_k^r = C_{2,k} \ltimes_{\Sigma_k} \left(\bigwedge^k S^r \right),$$

where $C_{n,k}$ is the space of k distinct points in R^n . Snaith [12] showed that $\bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k^r$ is stably homotopy equivalent to $\Omega^2 S^{r+2}$, if $r > 0$. F. Cohen, Mahowald, and Milgram [6] showed that

$$C_k^r = \begin{cases} S^{k(r-1)} C_k^1 & \text{if } r \text{ odd,} \\ S^{kr} C_k^0 & \text{if } r \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Our two main results are the following.

THEOREM A. C_k^0 is stably homotopy equivalent to $(\bigvee_{i=1}^{[k/2]} C_i^1) \vee S^0$.

THEOREM B. C_k^1 is homotopy 2-equivalent to $S^k B([k/2])$.

Thus, $\Omega^2 S^{r+2}$ is stably homotopy equivalent to a wedge of suspensions of C_i^1 . More precisely, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY C. $\Omega^2 S^{r+2}$ is stably homotopy equivalent to $\bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} S^{k(r-1)} C_k^1$ if r is odd and to

$$\bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(S^{kr} \vee \bigvee_{i=1}^{[k/2]} S^{kr} C_i^1 \right)$$

Received by the editors August 5, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 55D35, 55D42.

Key words and phrases. Loop spaces, Brown-Gitler spectrum.

¹The work described in this paper was partially supported by NSF grants MCS76-08804 and MCS 76-06323.

for r even and positive. For completeness, we note that a component of $\Omega^2 S^2$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Omega^2 S^3$. Furthermore, each piece of $\Omega^2 S^{r+2}$ is homotopy 2-equivalent to a Brown-Gitler spectrum.

We note that $C_k^0 = C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k \cup (\text{base point})$ and that $C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k = K(B_k, 1)$, where B_k is the k th braid group [2]. Hence Theorems A and B describe the stable homotopy type of $K(B_k, 1)$.

Finally, we note that C_k^1 cannot be further decomposed into a wedge. However, our low-dimensional computations lead us to believe that $C_{3,k} \rtimes_{\Sigma_k} (\wedge S^1)$ can be decomposed into much smaller pieces.

2. Results about the Brown-Gitler spectrum. Let A be the mod two Steenrod algebra, $\chi: A \rightarrow A$ the canonical antiautomorphism, and define M_k to be the A -module:

$$M_k = A/A \{ \chi(\text{Sq}^i) | i > k \}.$$

One of the properties of the spectrum $B(k)$ is that

(a) $H^*(B(k); \mathbb{Z}_2) \approx M_k$.

In the course of proving that $h_1 h_i$ represents a homotopy element [11], Mahowald proves that $H^*(C_k^1; \mathbb{Z}_2) \approx S^k M_{[k/2]}$. If it had been known that C_k^1 and $S^k B([k/2])$ were homotopy 2-equivalent, Mahowald's proof could have been simplified. (Unfortunately, our proof of Theorem B does not simplify Mahowald's proof, since we use his technique to prove B.) J. F. Adams noted that property (a) does not characterize $B(k)$. Other properties of $B(k)$ are the following (see [3]):

(b) If $H = K(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectra and $\alpha: B(k) \rightarrow H$ corresponds to $1 \in H^0(B(k); \mathbb{Z}_2)$, then $\alpha_*: B(k)_q(X) \rightarrow H_q(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is an epimorphism for $q < 2k + 2$ and X a CW-complex.

(c) If M^n is a smooth n -manifold, ν the normal bundle, and $T(\nu)$ the Thom spectrum of ν , then $\alpha_*: B(k)^q(T(\nu)) \rightarrow H^q(T(\nu); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is an epimorphism if $n - q < 2k + 2$.

(d) $\pi_i(B(k)) \approx (\Lambda^k)_i$ for $i \leq 2k$, where Λ^k is the graded vector space with basis the symbols λ_I , $I = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$, $2i_j > i_{j+1}$, $i_l > k$, $\dim \lambda_I = \sum i_j$.

Along the way to proving Theorem B, we prove the following characterization of $B(k)$.

THEOREM 2.1. *If Y is a spectrum which is trivial at odd primes and Y satisfies properties (a) and (b), then Y is homotopy equivalent to $B(k)$.*

One may easily verify that the following sequence of A -modules is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow M_{[k/2]} \xrightarrow{\alpha} M_k \xrightarrow{\beta} M_{k-1} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\alpha(1) = \chi(\text{Sq}^k)$ and $\beta(1) = 1$.

THEOREM 2.2. *The maps α and β may be realized by a cofibration $B(k-1) \rightarrow B(k) \rightarrow S^k B([k/2])$ and hence there is a map $h: S^{k-1} B([k/2]) \rightarrow B(k-1)$ such that*

$$B(k) = B(k-1) \cup_h C(S^{k-1} B([k/2])).$$

In §3 we recall some results of [3], prove a lemma characterizing the k -invariants of $B(k)$ and prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. In §4 we make some calculations in the Adams spectral sequence of $C_k^1 \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1)$. In making these calculations we utilize the following results of Mahowald [11].

Let $f: \Omega S^3 \rightarrow \Omega S^5$ be the first James-Hopf invariant map. Then $\Omega f: \Omega^2 S^3 \rightarrow \Omega^2 S^5$ defines a stable map $g: C_{2k}^1 \rightarrow C_k^3 = S^{2k} C_k^1$.

THEOREM 2.3. *C_{2k}^1 and C_{2k+1}^1 satisfy property (a). Furthermore, there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(S^{2k} C_k^1) & \xrightarrow{g^*} & H^*(C_{2k}^1) \\ \int \int & & \int \int \\ M_{[k/2]} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & M_k \end{array}$$

In §5 we prove Theorem B and in §6 and §7 we prove Theorem A.

3. The k -invariants of $B(k)$. Throughout this section, k is a fixed integer. In [3, (5.1)], a collection of spectra E_q and L_q and maps $e_q: L_q \rightarrow E_{q-1}$ were constructed. Also a functor χ on spectra was defined. Let $Y_q = \chi(E_q)$, $K_q = \chi(L_q)$ and $\gamma_q = \chi(e_q)$. Suppose N is a smooth, closed, compact, n -manifold, ν is its normal bundle, $T(\nu)$ is the Thom spectrum of ν (the Thom class is in $H^0(T(\nu))$) and $v \in H^p(T(\nu))$. We will say that (N, ν) is adapted to M_k if $n - p < 2k + 2$ and

$$0 \rightarrow A \{ \chi(\text{Sq}^i) | i > k \} \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{v^*} H^*(T(\nu))$$

is exact, where $v^*(a) = av$. In §4 we describe an A -free acyclic resolution of M_k ,

$$\rightarrow C_q \xrightarrow{d_q} C_{q-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \xrightarrow{e} M_k \rightarrow 0.$$

PROPOSITION 3.1. (i) $Y_0 = K_0$ and K_0, K_1, K_2, \dots are generalized Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectra with $\pi_*(K_q)$ a graded \mathbb{Z}_2 vector space. Also $K_0 = H$.

(ii) Y_q may be taken as a fibration over Y_{q-1} with fibre K_q and k -invariant γ_q (γ_q has degree $+1$). $H^*(K_q) = C_q$ and $d_q: C_q \rightarrow C_{q-1}$ is realized by the composition

$$K_{q-1} \xrightarrow{i} Y_{q-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_q} K_q$$

where i is the inclusion of the fibre.

(iii) Suppose N is a smooth, compact, closed, n -manifold, $v \in H^p(T(v_N))$ and $n - p < 2k + 2$. Then any lifting of $v: T(v_N) \rightarrow H = Y_0$ to Y_{q-1} lifts to Y_q . Furthermore, if (N, v) is adapted to M_k and $\tilde{v}: T(v_N) \rightarrow Y_{q-1}$ is such a lifting, then γ_q is the unique map such that $(\gamma_q i)^* = d_q$ and $\gamma_q \tilde{v} = 0$.

PROOF. The properties of χ and [3, (5.1)] yield (i) and (ii).

Let $\text{ch}(\) = \text{Hom}(\ , R/Z)$. For any CW-complex X ,

$$(Y_q)_p(X) = \text{ch}(\chi(Y_q)^p(X)) = \text{ch}((E_q)^p(X)).$$

To prove the first part of (iii) we wish to show that

$$(Y_q)^p(T(v)) \rightarrow (Y_{q-1})^p(T(v))$$

is an epimorphism for all $q > 0$ and $n - p < 2k + 2$. By S -duality this is equivalent to

$$(Y_q)_p(N) \rightarrow (Y_{q-1})_p(N)$$

being an epimorphism for $p < 2k + 2$, which in turn, is equivalent to

$$(E_{q-1})^p(N) \rightarrow (E_q)^p(N)$$

being a monomorphism for $p < 2k + 2$. By [3, (5.1)(ii)], $L_q \xrightarrow{e_q} E_{q-1} \rightarrow E_q$ is a fibration and by (5.2)(iv), $L_{q,2k} \rightarrow E_{q-1,2k+1}$ is zero. (L_q and E_q are Ω -spectra.) The desired result now follows since

$$L_q^{p-1}(N) \xrightarrow{e_q^*} E_{q-1}^p(N) \rightarrow E_q^p(N)$$

is exact and $e_q^* = 0$ for $p < 2k + 2$.

Suppose N and \tilde{v} are as above and (N, v) is adapted to M_k . Then $\gamma_q \tilde{v} = 0$ by the above. Since $\rightarrow Y_q \rightarrow Y_{q-1} \rightarrow$ is constructed from an acyclic resolution of M_k , the image of $H^*(Y_{q-1})$ in $H^*(Y_q)$ is M_k and thus

$$0 \rightarrow M_k \rightarrow H^*(Y_{q-1}) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^*(K_{q-1})$$

is exact. The map $\tilde{v}: H^*(Y_{q-1}) \rightarrow H^*(T(v))$ factors through M_k and hence splits the above exact sequence. Therefore $\gamma_q^*: H^*(K_q) \rightarrow H^*(Y_{q-1})$, and hence γ_q , is uniquely determined by the conditions that $\gamma_q \tilde{v} = 0$ and $(\gamma_q i)^* = d_q$.

We define $B(k) = \text{proj lim } Y_q$.

In §5 we construct (N, v) adapted to M_k , but in fact, it is easy to see that they exist from results in [4].

COROLLARY 3.2. Suppose Y is a spectrum which is trivial at odd primes, $H^*(Y) \approx M_k$ and $1: Y \rightarrow H$ represents $1 \in M_k$. If for some (N, v) adapted to M_k there is a map $\tilde{v}: T(v_N) \rightarrow Y$ such that $1\tilde{v} = v$, then Y and $B(k)$ are homotopy equivalent.

PROOF. We lift $Y \rightarrow H = Y_0$ to Y_q by induction on q . Consider the

commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & Y & \xrightarrow{f} & Y_{q-1} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_q} & K_q \\
 & \nearrow \tilde{v} & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 T(\nu) & \xrightarrow{v} & H & = & Y_0 & &
 \end{array}$$

By (3.1)(iii), $\gamma_q \tilde{v} = 0$. Furthermore, $\tilde{v}^*: H^*(Y) \rightarrow H^*(T(\nu))$ is a monomorphism. Hence $\gamma_q f = 0$ and therefore, f lifts to Y_q . We may therefore find a map $F: Y \rightarrow B(k)$ which induces an isomorphism in cohomology and is thus a homotopy equivalence.

PROOF OF 2.1. Suppose Y is a spectrum satisfying (a) and (b) of §2 and (N, v) is adapted to M_k . By S -duality we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (Y)_{n-p}(N) & \rightarrow & H_{n-p}(N) \\
 \int \int & & \int \int \\
 Y^p(T(\nu)) & \rightarrow & H^p(T(\nu))
 \end{array}$$

Since $n - p < 2k + 2$, (b) implies that the horizontal maps are epimorphisms. Therefore there is a map $\tilde{v}: T(\nu) \rightarrow Y$ such that $1\tilde{v} = v$ and, by 3.2, Y and $B(k)$ are homotopy equivalent.

PROOF OF 2.2. Let $\rightarrow Y_q \rightarrow Y_{q-1} \rightarrow$ be the tower used to construct $B(k)$ and suppose (N, v) is adapted to M_{k-1} . One can lift $1: B(k-1) \rightarrow H = Y_0$ to $B(k)$ just as in the proof of 3.2 to obtain a map $f: B(k-1) \rightarrow B(k)$ realizing $\beta: M_k \rightarrow M_{k-1}$.

Define a spectrum Z by $S^k Z = B(k) \cup_f B(k-1)$ and let $g: B(k) \rightarrow Z$ be the map of degree k corresponding to the inclusion map of $B(k)$ in $S^k Z$. Then $H^*(Z) = M_{[k/2]}$. Suppose (N, v) is adapted to M_k . Then $(N, \chi(\text{Sq}^k)v)$ is adapted to $M_{[k/2]}$ and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 T(\nu) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}} & B(k) & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\
 & \searrow v & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 \\
 & & H & \xrightarrow{\chi(\text{Sq}^k)} & H
 \end{array}$$

commutes. Therefore by 3.2, Z and $B([k/2])$ are homotopy equivalent and the proof of 2.2 is complete.

4. A lemma. Throughout this section if k is an integer, $\bar{k} = [k/2]$. Let ξ_l be the l -plane bundle $C_{2,l} \times_{\Sigma_l} R^l$ over $\bar{C}_{2,l} = C_{2,l}/\Sigma_l$, where Σ_l acts on R^l by permuting the coordinates. Let $\iota(\xi_0)$ and $T(\xi_l)$ be the Thom space and Thom spectrum of ξ_l , respectively. It is immediate that $\iota(\xi_l) = C_l^1$. This section is devoted to proving

LEMMA 4.1. *For each $i \geq 0$ there is a smooth, closed, compact 2^i -manifold N_i , with normal bundle ν_i , and a map $f_i: \nu_i \rightarrow \xi_{2^i}$ such that the Stiefel-Whitney class $w_{2^i-1}(\nu_i) \neq 0$.*

PROOF. Let $K = K(Z_2, 1)$ and let $\iota \in H^1(K)$ be the generator. We first note that it is sufficient to prove there is an $[h] \in \pi_{2^i}(T(\xi_{2^i}) \wedge K)$ which is nonzero on $Sq^{2^i-1}u \otimes \iota$, where u is the Thom class. For suppose h is such a map and $p: \bar{C}_{2,i} \times K \rightarrow \bar{C}_{2,i}$ is the projection. Then $T(p^*\xi_i) = T(\xi_i) \wedge K^+ \supset T(\xi_i) \wedge K$. Making h transverse to the zero section of $p^*\xi_{2^i}$, we obtain a 2^i -manifold N_i and maps $f: \nu_i \rightarrow \xi_{2^i}$ and $s: N_i \rightarrow K$ such that

$$(f_{N_i} \times s)^*(w_{2^i-1}(\xi_{2^i}) \otimes \iota) = w_{2^i-1}(\nu_i) \cup s \neq 0.$$

We recall some results from [3]. Let Λ be the free associative algebra with unit over Z_2 generated by $\lambda_i, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, modulo the relations: If $2i < j$,

$$\lambda_i \lambda_j = \sum \binom{s-1}{2s-(j-2i)} \lambda_{i+s} \lambda_{j-s}.$$

Grade Λ by $\dim \lambda_i = i$. Define $\lambda_{-1} \lambda_i$ by the above formula. If $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)$ and $2i_j > i_{j+1}$, define $\lambda_I = \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \cdots \lambda_{i_l}$ and $l(\lambda_I) = l$. A Z_2 -basis for Λ is given by $\{\lambda_I\}, \lambda_{(\cdot)} = 1$. Let $\Lambda^* = \text{Hom}(\Lambda, Z_2)$ and let $\{\lambda^I\}$ be the basis of Λ^* dual to $\{\lambda_I\}$ (λ^I is denoted by λ_I in [3]). Let $\Lambda^k \subset \Lambda^*$ be the subspace generated by $\{\lambda^I | l(\lambda_I) = l, i_l \geq k\}$. Let C^k be the free left A module generated by Λ^k . In [3] it is shown that the following is an A -free acyclic resolution of M_k .

$$\rightarrow C_l^k \xrightarrow{d} C_{l-1}^k \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0^k \in M_k$$

where

$$d\lambda^I = \sum \lambda^J (\lambda_i \lambda_j) \chi(Sq^{i+1}) \lambda^J$$

where the sum ranges over all J (admissible) and $i = -1, 0, 1, \dots; \epsilon(1) = 1$. The following two lemmas are easily proved.

LEMMA 4.2. *The map*

$$\mu: C^{\bar{k}} \rightarrow C^{k-1}/C^k$$

defined by $\mu(\lambda^I) = \lambda^{(I, k-1)}$ is an isomorphism of chain complexes.

Let $J_t = \{\lambda_I\}$ the last entry of $I < t\} \subset \Lambda$.

LEMMA 4.3. *J_t is an ideal in Λ and $J_t \lambda_I \subset J_s$ where $s = t + \lfloor |\lambda_I|/2 \rfloor$.*

PROOF. Induction on $l(I)$.

Let $\gamma: C^{\bar{k}} \rightarrow C^{k-1}$ be the A -linear map defined by $\gamma(\lambda^I) = \lambda^{(I, k-1)}$. Then 4.2 shows that $d\gamma + \gamma d = 0 \mod C^k$ and hence we may define a map $\alpha: C^{\bar{k}} \rightarrow C^k$ by $\alpha = d\gamma + \gamma d$. Then 4.2 and 4.3 yield the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.4. *The map α is A -linear, $d\alpha = \alpha d$ and $\alpha(\lambda^{(\cdot)}) = \chi(\text{Sq}^k)\lambda^{(\cdot)}$ and hence $\alpha: \bar{C}^k \rightarrow C^k$ is a map of resolutions over the map $\alpha: \bar{M}_k \rightarrow M_k$ of 2.2.*

We next construct a resolution of $\bar{M}_k = M_k \otimes H^*(K)$. Let $\bar{C}_l^k = C_l^k \otimes H^*(K)$ with the diagonal A -module structure, that is

$$a(x \otimes y) = \sum a'_i(x) \otimes a''_i(y).$$

Let

$$\bar{d} = d \otimes \text{id}: \bar{C}_l^k \rightarrow \bar{C}_{l-1}^k, \quad \bar{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \otimes \text{id}: \bar{C}_0^k \rightarrow \bar{M}_k, \quad \bar{\alpha} = \alpha \otimes \text{id}: \bar{C}^k \rightarrow \bar{C}^k.$$

LEMMA 4.5.

$$\dots \rightarrow \bar{C}_l^k \xrightarrow{\bar{d}} \bar{C}_{l-1}^k \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bar{C}_0^k \rightarrow \bar{M}_k \rightarrow 0$$

is an A -free, acyclic resolution of \bar{M}_k , $\bar{\alpha}$ is a chain map, and $\bar{\varepsilon}\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha \otimes \text{id})\bar{\varepsilon}$. Furthermore,

$$\bar{\alpha}^*: \text{Hom}_A(\bar{C}_l^k, Z_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\bar{C}_l^k, Z_2)$$

is zero in dimensions $< 2k$.

PROOF. The first part of 4.5 is immediate from 4.4. Suppose $v \in \text{Hom}_A(\bar{C}_l^k, Z_2)$, $|v| < 2k$, and $\lambda^I \otimes \iota^I \in \bar{C}_l^k$, $|\lambda^I \otimes \iota^I| = |\alpha^*v| = |v| - k$. In \bar{C}^k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\text{Sq}^j)\lambda^I \otimes \iota^I &= \sum \chi(\text{Sq}^j)(\lambda^I \otimes \text{Sq}^{j-I}\iota^I) \\ &= \sum \chi(\text{Sq}^j) \binom{I}{j-I} (\lambda^I \otimes \iota^{I+j-I}). \end{aligned}$$

By 4.3, if $\lambda^I \in C^m$, $\lambda^I(\lambda_j \lambda_j) = 0$ for $j+1 + [|\lambda_j|/2] < m$. Consider

$$\alpha^*v(\lambda^I \otimes \iota^I) = v((d\gamma + \gamma d)(\lambda^I) \otimes \iota^I).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} v(\gamma d\lambda^I \otimes \iota^I) &= \sum v(\lambda^I(\lambda_j \lambda_j) \chi(\text{Sq}^{j+1}) \lambda^{(j,k-1)} \otimes \iota^I) \\ &= \sum \binom{I}{j+1} \lambda^I(\lambda_j \lambda_j) v(\lambda^{(j,k-1)} \otimes \iota^{I+j+1}), \end{aligned}$$

this is zero as $\binom{I}{j+1} = 0$ for $j+1 > I$, and for $j+1 \leq I$,

$$j+1 + [|\lambda_j|/2] = j+1 + [(|\lambda_j| - j)/2] = [(j+1 + |\lambda_j|)/2] < \bar{k}.$$

The same argument shows that $v(d\gamma(\lambda^I \otimes \iota^I)) = 0$ and the proof of 4.5 is complete.

Let $1 \otimes (\iota^I)^*$ denote the element of $\text{Hom}_A(\bar{C}_0^k, Z_2)$ which is one on $1 \otimes \iota^I$.

LEMMA 4.6. *On $1 \otimes (\iota^{2^{i+1}})^* \in \text{Hom}_A(\bar{C}_0^{2^i}, Z_2)$,*

$$\bar{\alpha}^*(1 \otimes (\iota^{2^{i+1}})^*) = 1 \otimes (\iota^{2^i})^*.$$

PROOF.

$$\bar{\alpha}(1 \otimes \iota^{2'}) = \chi(\text{Sq}^{2'})(1) \otimes \iota^{2'} = \sum \chi(\text{Sq}^i)(1 \otimes \text{Sq}^{2'-i} \iota^{2'}) = 1 \otimes \iota^{2'+1}.$$

In [11], the following is proved:

LEMMA 4.7. $H^*(T(\xi_k)) \approx M_{\bar{k}}$ and there is a map $g: T(\xi_{2'+1}) \rightarrow T(\xi_{2'})$ such that $g^* = \alpha: M_{2'-1} \rightarrow M_{2'}$.

Thus $g \wedge \text{id}: T(\xi_{2'+1}) \wedge K \rightarrow T(\xi_{2'}) \wedge K$ realizes $\bar{\alpha}: \bar{M}_{2'-1} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{2'}$. Therefore $g \wedge \text{id}$ induces a map of the corresponding Adams spectral sequences, which on the E_1 level is,

$$\alpha^*: \text{Hom}_A(\bar{C}^{2'}, Z_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\bar{C}^{2'-1}, Z_2).$$

We show that $1 \otimes (\iota^{2'})^*$ lives to E_∞ for all i . Suppose $d_s(1 \otimes (\iota^{2'})) = 0$ for all i and all $s < r$. Then

$$d_r(1 \otimes (\iota^{2'})^*) = d_r(\alpha^*(1 \otimes (\iota^{2'+1})^*)) = \alpha^*(d_r(1 \otimes (\iota^{2'+1})^*)) = 0,$$

since α^* is zero on $\text{Hom}_A(\bar{C}^{2'}, Z_2)$ in dimensions $< 2^{i+1}$.

Let $[h] \in \pi_{2'}(T(\xi_{2'}) \wedge K)$ represent $1 \otimes (\iota^{2'})^*$. Since $\chi(\text{Sq}^{2'-1})\iota = \iota^{2'}$ and

$$\bar{\epsilon}\left(\sum \text{Sq}^j 1 \otimes \chi(\text{Sq}^{2'-1-j})\iota\right) = (\epsilon \otimes \text{id})(\text{Sq}^{2'-1} 1 \otimes \iota) = \text{Sq}^{2'-1} 1 \otimes \iota,$$

h is nonzero on $\text{Sq}^{2'-1}u \otimes \iota$ and the proof of 4.1 is complete.

5. Proof of Theorem B. Note the diagonal map of A induces a map

$$\mu: M_{k+l} \rightarrow M_k \otimes M_l.$$

LEMMA 5.1. μ is an injection if $k < l = 2^i$ and when $k = l = 2^i$, the kernel is $\{0, \text{Sq}^{2^{i+2}-1}\}$.

PROOF. In [3] it is shown that $M_k = \{\chi(\text{Sq}^I) | I = (i_1, \dots, i_l)\}$ is admissible and $i_1 \leq k$.

One may easily verify 5.1 directly for $l = 1$. We prove 5.1 by induction on k and i . Suppose 5.1 is true for $i - 1$. Then induction on k and the following diagram give 5.1 for i .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_{\bar{k}+2^{i-1}} & \rightarrow & M_{\bar{k}} & \otimes & M_{2^{i-1}} \\ \downarrow \alpha & & & & \downarrow \\ M_{k+2^i} & \rightarrow & M_k & \otimes & M_{2^i} \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ M_{k-1+2^i} & \rightarrow & M_{k-1} & \otimes & M_{2^i} \end{array}$$

Let $F: \xi_k \times \xi_l \rightarrow \xi_{k+l}$ be the bundle map defined as follows: Let $p: R^2 \rightarrow R$ be the first coordinate. If $x = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \in C_{2,k}$, $y = \{y_1, \dots, y_l\} \in C_{2,l}$, $z = \{z_1, \dots, z_k\} \in R^k$ and $w = \{w_1, \dots, w_l\} \in R^l$, let $F(\{x, z\}, \{y, w\}) = \{u, (z, w)\}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x_i - (\max\{p(x_j)\} + 1, 0), \quad i \leq k, \\ &= y_{i-k} + (\min\{p(y_j)\} + 1, 0), \quad k < i \leq k + l. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T(F): T(\xi_k) \wedge T(\xi_l) \rightarrow T(\xi_{k+l})$ carries the Thom class to the tensor product of Thom classes, $T(F)$ realizes $\mu: M_{\bar{k}+\bar{l}} \rightarrow M_{\bar{k}} \otimes M_{\bar{l}}$ if l is even.

PROOF OF THEOREM B. If Q_l is an l -manifold, let ν_l denote its normal bundle and $u_l \in H^0(T(\nu_l))$ the Thom class. We construct a Q_l and maps $g_l: \nu_l \rightarrow \xi_l$ by induction on l such that (Q_l, u_l) is adapted to $M_{\bar{l}}$. Since $M_0 = Z_2$ and $\xi_1 = R$, we may take $Q_1 = S^1$. Suppose $Q_{l'}$ has been defined for $l' < l$. Let k be the least positive integer such that $l = 2^i + k$. If $k < 2^i$, let $Q_l = Q_k \times Q_{2^i}$ and let g_l be the composition

$$\nu_k \times \nu_{2^i} \xrightarrow{g_k \times g_{2^i}} \xi_k \times \xi_{2^i} \xrightarrow{F} \xi_{k+2^i}.$$

By 5.1,

$$T(g_l)^*: M_{\bar{l}} = H^*(T(\xi_l)) \rightarrow H^*(T(\nu_l))$$

is an injection and hence (Q_l, u_l) is adapted to $M_{\bar{l}}$. Suppose $k = 2^i$. By 4.2 there is a 2^{i+1} -manifold N and a map $f: \nu_N \rightarrow \xi_{2^{i+1}}$ such that $T(f^*)(\text{Sq}^{2^{i+1}-1}u) \neq 0$. Let $Q_{2^{i+1}} = Q_{2^i} \times Q_{2^i} \cup N$ and $g_{2^{i+1}} = F(g_{2^i} \times g_{2^i}) \cup f$. Again by 5.1, $(Q_{2^{i+1}}, u_{2^{i+1}})$ is adapted to M_{2^i} .

Theorem B now follows from 3.2 since $T(g_k): T(\nu_k) \rightarrow T(\xi_k)$ is the required lifting.

6. $H_*(K(B_k, 1))$. The homological properties of $K(B_k, 1) = C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k$ have been studied by Fadell and Neuwirth [8], Fox and Neuwirth [9], Arnold [1], Fuks [10], Birman [2], and F. Cohen et al. [5]. May [5, Theorem 5.11] constructs a map $j_k: C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k \rightarrow (\Omega^2 S^2)_0$ such that $j_{k*}: H_*(C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k) \rightarrow H_*((\Omega^2 S^2)_0)$ is a monomorphism and such that $\cup j_k: \cup C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k \rightarrow (\Omega^2 S^2)_0$ is a homotopy equivalence. Using j_k , F. Cohen [5] computes $H_*(C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k; Z_p)$ as a module over A_p . His results concerning the A -action are incorrect; the following theorem is a corrected version.²

THEOREM 6.1. $H_*(C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k; Z_2) \subset P[e_j]$ is generated by monomials $(e_j)^{i_1} \cdots (e_j)^{i_r}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r r_i 2^{j_i} \leq k$, where $|e_j| = 2^j - 1$. If Sq_*^s is defined to be the dual of Sq_*^s , then Sq_*^s is determined by the formulae:

$$\text{Sq}_*^{2^s}(e_j) = 0 \quad \text{if } s > 0,$$

$$\text{Sq}_*^1(e_{j+1}) = e_j^2 \quad \text{if } j \geq 1,$$

$$\text{Sq}_*^1(e_1) = 0.$$

$H_*(C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k; Z_p) \subset E(\lambda) \otimes E(e_j) \otimes P[\beta e_j]$ is generated by monomials

²F. Cohen was aware of these corrections and agrees with them.

$\lambda^l (\beta^e e_j)^{r_1} \cdots (\beta^e e_j)^{r_l}$ such that $2(l + \sum_{i=1}^l r_i p^i) \leq k$, where $|\lambda| = 1$, and $|\beta^e e_j| = 2p^j - 1 - \varepsilon$. P_*^s is determined by the formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} P_*^{p^s}(e_j) &= 0, \\ P_*^{p^s}(\beta e_j) &= 0 \quad \text{if } s > 0, \\ P_*^1(\beta e_j) &= -(\beta e_{j-1})^p \quad \text{if } j > 2, \\ P_*^1(\beta e_1) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We will also need the results of May [5] and F. Cohen [5] on $H_*(\Omega^2 S^3)$. Define $\xi_j = Q^{j-1}(\iota_1) \in H_*(\Omega^2 S^3; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, $j > 1$ where $\iota_1 \in H_1(\Omega^2 S^3; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the generator. Define $\text{wt}(\xi_j) = 2^{j-1}$, $\text{wt}(x \cdot y) = \text{wt}(x) + \text{wt}(y)$. For $p > 2$, define $\xi_j = Q_1^j(\iota_1) \in H_*(\Omega^2 S^3; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, $j > 1$. Define $\text{wt}(\xi_j) = p^j$, $\text{wt}(\iota_1) = 1$.

THEOREM 6.2. $H_*(C_k^1; \mathbb{Z}_2) \subset H_*(\Omega^2 S^3; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[\xi_j]$ is generated by all monomials of wt k .

$H_*(C_k^1; \mathbb{Z}_p) \subset H_*(\Omega^2 S^3; \mathbb{Z}_p) = E(\iota_1) \otimes E(\xi_j) \otimes P[\beta \xi_j]$ is generated by all monomials of wt k .

The Nishida relations with lower indices read:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sq}_*^{2^s} Q_1(x) &= Q_1 \text{Sq}_*^s(x), \quad \text{Sq}_*^{2^s+1} Q_1(x) = |x|(\text{Sq}_*^s(x))^2, \\ P_*^{p^s} Q_1(x) &= Q_1 P_*^s(x), \\ P_*^s Q_1(x) &= 0 \quad \text{if } s \not\equiv 0(p), \\ P_*^{p^s} \beta Q_1(x) &= \beta Q_1 P_*^s(x), \quad P_*^{p^s+1} \beta Q_1(x) = -Q_0 P_*^s \beta(x), \\ P_*^s \beta Q_1(x) &= 0 \quad \text{if } s \not\equiv 0, 1(p). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 6.3. The Steenrod operations on the elements in 6.2 are determined by the following formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sq}_*^{2^s}(\xi_j) &= 0 \quad \text{if } s > 0, \\ \text{Sq}_*^1(\xi_j) &= \xi_{j-1}^2 \quad \text{if } j > 1, \\ \text{Sq}_*^1(\xi_1) &= 0, \quad P_*^{p^s}(\xi_j) = 0, \\ P_*^{p^s}(\beta \xi_j) &= 0 \quad \text{if } s > 0, \\ P_*^1(\beta \xi_j) &= -(\beta \xi_{j-1})^p \quad \text{if } j > 2, \\ P_*^1(\beta \xi_1) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 6.4. $H^*(C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k)$ and $H^*(\bigvee_{i=1}^{[k/2]} C_i^1)$ are isomorphic as modules over the Steenrod algebra for $p = 2$ or p odd.

PROOF. We define an isomorphism

$$\theta: H_*(C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k) \rightarrow H_*\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{[k/2]} C_i^1\right) \subset H_*(\Omega^2 S^3)$$

as follows. If $p = 2$, define $\theta(e_j) = \xi_j$. If $p > 2$, define $\theta(\lambda) = \iota_1$, $\theta(e_j) = \xi_j$, $\theta(\beta e_j) = \beta \xi_j$, and extend θ multiplicatively. θ commutes with the action of the Steenrod algebra by 6.1 and 6.3. If a monomial in $H_*(C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k)$ is such that $\sum_{i=1}^l r_i 2^i < r$ or $2(l + \sum_{i=1}^l r_i p^i) < r$, then its image under θ has $\text{wt} < k/2$ and conversely. Since $H_*(\bigvee_{i=1}^{[k/2]} C_i^1) \subset H_*(\Omega^2 S^3)$ consists of all monomials of $\text{wt} < k/2$, this proves that θ is an isomorphism.

COROLLARY 6.5.

$$H^*(C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k; Z_2) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{[k/2]} S^i M_{[i/2]},$$

$$H^*(C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k; Z_p) = \bigoplus_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \equiv 0, 1(p)}}^{[k/2]} S^{2[i/p](p-1)+\varepsilon} M_{[i/p]},$$

where $\varepsilon = 0$ if $i \equiv 0 (p)$ and $\varepsilon = 1$ if $i \equiv 1 (p)$, and $M_k = A/A\{\chi(\beta^* p^i) | 2pi + \varepsilon > 2k\}$.

PROOF. The case $p = 2$ follows by Mahowald's results and the case p odd follows from results of R. Cohen [7] on $H^*(C_i; Z_p)$.

COROLLARY 6.6. $H^*(C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k; Z_2)$ is generated as a module over the Steenrod algebra by elements of dimension $\leq k/2$. $H^*(C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k; Z_p)$ is generated as a module over the Steenrod algebra by elements of dimension $\leq 2[[k/2]/p](p-1) + \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon = 1$ if $[k/2] \equiv 1(p)$ and 0 otherwise.

7. Proof of Theorem A. Let $Z \rightarrow \Omega S^2$ be the universal covering space. Let $h: \Omega S^3 \rightarrow Z$ be a lifting of $\Omega H: \Omega S^3 \rightarrow \Omega S^2$. Then h is a homotopy equivalence, and let $g: Z \rightarrow \Omega S^3$ be a homotopy inverse. Let $b: \Omega^2 S^3 \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i^1$ be a stable homotopy inverse to the Snaith map. Let $p: \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i^1 \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^{[k/2]} C_i^1$ be the projection. Define $f: C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^{[k/2]} C_i^1$ by $f = pb(\Omega g)j_k$, where $j_k: C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k \rightarrow (\Omega^2 S^2)_0 = \Omega(Z)$. Theorem A is proved if we show that f_* is an isomorphism on $H_*(; Z_p)$, all p . b_* and $(\Omega g)_*$ are isomorphisms as b is a homotopy equivalence and Ωg is a stable homotopy equivalence. If $p = 2$, p_* is an isomorphism in dimension $\leq k/2$ and so is j_{k*} , as the lowest dimensional element in $H_*(C_{2,k+1}/\Sigma_{k+1}; Z_2)$ which is not in the image $H_*(C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k; Z_2) \rightarrow H_*(C_{2,k+1}/\Sigma_{k+1}; Z_2)$ is $(e_1)^{(k+1)/2}$ or $(e_1)^{(k+2)/2}$. Hence f_* is an isomorphism in dimensions $\leq k/2$. By Corollary 6.6, f^* is onto the generators of $H^*(C_{2,k}/\Sigma_k; Z_2)$ over A and hence onto. By 6.4, both sides have the same rank and thus f^* is an isomorphism and so is f_* . The argument for p odd is similar with $k/2$ replaced by

$$2 \left[\frac{[k/2]}{p} \right] (p-1) + \varepsilon.$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. V. I. Arnold, *Topological invariants of algebraic functions*. II, Functional Anal. Appl. 4 (1970), 91-98.
2. J. Birman, *Braids, links, and mapping class groups*, Ann. of Math. Studies, no. 82, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1974.
3. E. Brown and S. Gitler, *A spectrum whose cohomology is a certain cyclic module over the Steenrod algebra*, Topology 12 (1973), 283-295.
4. E. Brown and F. Peterson, *Relations among characteristic classes*. I, Topology 3 (1964), 39-52.
5. F. R. Cohen, T. J. Lada and J. P. May, *The homology of iterated loop spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 533, Springer, New York, 1976.
6. F. R. Cohen, M. E. Mahowald and R. J. Milgram, *The stable decomposition for the double loop space of a sphere* (to appear).
7. R. Cohen, *On odd primary homotopy theory*, Thesis, Brandeis Univ., 1978.
8. E. Fadell and L. Neuwirth, *Configuration spaces*, Math. Scand. 10 (1962), 111-118.
9. R. Fox and L. Neuwirth, *The braid groups*, Math. Scand. 10 (1962), 119-126.
10. D. B. Fuks, *Cohomologies of the group COS mod 2*, Functional Anal. Appl. 4 (1970), 143-151.
11. M. Mahowald, *A new infinite family in ${}_2\pi_*^s$* , Topology 16 (1977), 249-256.
12. V. P. Snaith, *A stable decomposition of $\Omega^n S^n X$* , J. London Math. Soc. 2 (1974), 577-583.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY, WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139