

ERRATUM TO "THE BEHAVIOR OF THE SUPPORT OF SOLUTIONS OF THE EQUATION OF NONLINEAR HEAT CONDUCTION WITH ABSORPTION IN ONE DIMENSION"

BY

BARRY F. KNERR

The proofs of Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.6 must be modified if the function ψ in (1.1) is not Lipschitz; but both results remain valid in any case, and the proofs are unchanged when ψ is Lipschitz. All other proofs are correct as they stand.

The problem is that Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.6 are based on the approximating sequence $\{w_n\}$ (introduced in [8] of the original paper) which solves

$$(w_n)_{xx} - \Phi'(w_n)(w_n)_t - \psi(\Phi(w_n)) = 0. \quad (2.23)$$

However, due to an oversight in [8], classical solutions may not exist if ψ is not Lipschitz. This is because it is not possible to establish *positive a priori* lower bounds for the functions w_n , and thus (2.23) is degenerate.

I have communicated this technical problem to Robert Kershner, and, in his paper *Degenerate parabolic equations with general nonlinearities* (to appear) he has succeeded in proving Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.6 when ψ is not Lipschitz. Roughly speaking, Kershner adds a term $\psi(c_n)$ to the left side of (2.23) (where $0 < c_n \downarrow 0$) and then establishes *a priori positive* lower bounds for the new w_n , so that the modified (2.23) is now nondegenerate.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 needs no correction since, even if ψ were not Lipschitz, (5.11) still follows (because $\psi(c_n) > 0$).

REFERENCES

Barry F. Knerr, *The behavior of the support of solutions of the equation of nonlinear heat conduction with absorption in one dimension*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **249** (1979), 409–424.

BELL LABORATORIES, NAPERVILLE, ILLINOIS 60540

Received by the editors August 14, 1979.