HOMOLOGICAL ALGEBRA ON A COMPLETE INTERSECTION, WITH AN APPLICATION TO GROUP REPRESENTATIONS

BY

DAVID EISENBUD¹

ABSTRACT. Let R be a regular local ring, and let A = R/(x), where x is any nonunit of R. We prove that every minimal free resolution of a finitely generated A-module becomes periodic of period 1 or 2 after at most dim A steps, and we examine generalizations and extensions of this for complete intersections. Our theorems follow from the properties of certain universally defined endomorphisms of complexes over such rings.

Let A be a commutative ring, and let $x \in A$ be a nonzero divisor. How does homological algebra over A/(x) = B differ from that over A? In this paper we will study a certain natural endomorphism t of complexes of free A/(x)-modules which seems to reflect some of the difference. For example, the (homotopic) triviality of t is an obstruction (closely related to the usual one in Ext_B^2) to the lifting of a complex of free B-modules to a complex of free A-modules. More generally, if x_1, \ldots, x_n is an A-sequence, we study n natural endomorphisms t_1, \ldots, t_n of complexes of free $A/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ -modules, and try to use them to explain the way in which free resolutions over $A/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ differ from free resolutions over A (the construction and elementary properties of these endomorphisms is given in §1).

In this paper, we will study the case in which A is a regular local ring and $B = A/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is not regular. (It would also be very interesting to understand the case in which both A and A/(x) = B were regular—with, say, A of mixed characteristic and B ramified or of characteristic p.) In this case, the homological algebra over A is dominated, roughly speaking, by the fact that minimal A-free resolutions are finite; we seek to understand the eventual behavior of minimal B-free resolutions in terms of the t_i . For example, if n = 1, so that B = A/(x), we prove that B = A/(x) is eventually an isomorphism, so that every minimal B-free resolution becomes periodic of period 2 after at most $1 + \dim B$ steps (§6). We also show that the B-modules with periodic resolutions are the maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules without free direct summands. Since the periodic part of a periodic resolution over A/(x) (or more generally, over $A/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, if x_1, \ldots, x_n is an A-sequence) is easy to describe explicitly (§5), this yields information on maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules.

Received by the editors February 24, 1978.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 13D99, 13H10, 13C05; Secondary 18H20.

¹The author is grateful to the Sloan Foundation and the NSF for their support, and to Harvard University and the IHES for their hospitality during the preparation of this work.

We are further able to apply this theory, in §9, to deduce some properties of modular representations of finite groups. (Although §9 is last, only the ideas of 1.7, 3.1, and 5.2 are used there.)

Our results on periodic resolutions, and the case n = 1, are contained in §§5 and 6. Because they are more elementary and complete than the rest of this paper, the reader may wish to look at these sections first.

For n > 1 the situation is more complicated. To indicate the nature of our results, we will discuss the contents of the paper by sections. We then mention some of the open problems.

§1 is devoted to the construction and properties of the t_i . They are endomorphisms of complexes, of degree -2. They are defined in terms of certain choices, but are unique and natural up to homotopy, and behave well under change of rings. §2 contains an example which is of theoretical importance to us. Here we see that, on the minimal resolution \mathbf{F} of the residue class field of B, the t_i commute, so that \mathbf{F} is a $B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ -module. In fact it is a sort of "relatively artinian" module—for instance, if B is artinian, then \mathbf{F} is an artinian $B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ -module. This fact, which should be taken as measuring the nontriviality of the t_i , is in some sense typical of what we find for minimal resolutions in general; in the next section we use it to show that if the residue class field of B is infinite, then for any minimal B-free resolution

$$\mathbf{F}: \ldots \to F_1 \to F_0$$

there is a linear combination t of the t_i which is eventually an epimorphism—that is, $t: F_{i+2} \to F_i$ is an epimorphism for large i. This is the result we will use for the analysis of minimal B-free resolutions.

One immediate consequence of this result, explored in $\S4$, is that if the ranks of the free modules F_i are bounded, then in fact F becomes periodic, of period 2, after finitely many steps; the map t is in this case the isomorphism which gives the periodicity.

What can be said about periodic resolutions of period 2? All the examples of which we are aware (over local rings), and, in particular, all examples over a ring like B (a complete intersection) are constructed in a very simple way. This construction and some of its properties are explored in §5. We also prove, there, that in any resolution of period 2, all the ranks of the free modules in the resolution are equal.

§6 is devoted to the case n = 1, where B = A/(x). In this situation every resolution becomes periodic, and periodic resolutions correspond to maximal Cohen-Macaulay B-modules. By virtue of the theory developed in §5, both these things correspond to factorizations, in a matrix ring over A, of x times the identity matrix.

In §7 we return to the general case n > 1; we show how to construct a *B*-free resolution of a *B*-module *M* from an *A*-free resolution (even without the hypothesis that *A* is regular).

In general, the *B*-free resolution obtained by the method of §7 is not minimal. However, using the "eventually epimorphic" map t of §3, we show in §8 that some truncation of any minimal *B*-free resolution is obtained, by the process of §7, from a resolution over a ring of the form $B_1 = A/(x_2, \ldots, x_n)$; that is, a ring which is in some sense closer to being regular. Thus the maps t_i lead to a fairly good "relative-codimension 1" structure theory for minimal *B*-free resolutions.

A number of interesting problems are raised by the foregoing ideas. One is: Why period 2? There are plenty of periodic resolutions with large periods over noncommutative rings. (For instance, if G is a group with Sylow p-subgroup S, where p is an odd prime, and if Z/(p) is the ring of integers modulo p, then the Z/(p)[G] free resolution of Z/(p) (as a trivial module) is periodic if and only if S is cyclic, in which case its period is $2|\text{Normalizer}_G S|/|\text{Centralizer}_G S|$. Note that the difference of this number from 2 is a sort of measure of the noncommutativity of Z/p[G].) But we know of no similar examples for commutative rings. In fact, we do not even know any bounded free resolution which does not become periodic of period 2.

Conjecture. Let B be a local commutative ring. If $F: \ldots \to F_1 \to F_0$ is a minimal B-free resolution such that the ranks of the free modules F_i are bounded, then F is eventually periodic of period 2.

Let us return to the case of a ring $B = A/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, where A is regular local, and x_1, \ldots, x_n is an A-sequence. We define a *standard B-free resolution* to be one which is constructed, by the method of §7, from an A-free resolution. For standard resolutions there is a natural choice of the maps t_i , and these standard t_i commute with one another.

Conjecture. The minimal free resolution of any B-module is a subcomplex of a standard resolution, in such a way that the maps t_i may be chosen to be induced by the standard t_i . In particular, the maps t_i may be chosen to commute.

In the spirit of this paper, it would be interesting to prove this conjecture just for some truncation of any minimal free resolution.

Since the B-duals of standard resolutions are free $B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ -modules, one consequence of this conjecture, even in the weakened form above, would be the "finite determination" of any minimal B-free resolution.

I am glad to acknowledge the great debt that this paper owes to the important work [G2] of Gulliksen. Suppose A is a local ring, x_1, \ldots, x_n is an A-sequence, and $B = A/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Gulliksen defines, for example, a $B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ -module structure on $Tor^B(M, N)$ for any B-modules M and N, and proves that if $Tor^A(M, N)$ is artinian over A, then $Tor^B(M, N)$ is artinian over $B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$. Now consider a B-free resolution F of M; the endomorphisms t_i of F that we define are unique and commutative up to homotopy, so they too define a $B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ -module structure on $Tor^A(M, N)$. These structures are the same [M]. Given this fact, our Lemma 3.2 becomes a special case of Gulliksen's result (the general case could be deduced in much the same way). Since our construction of the t_i is somewhat more straightforward than Gulliksen's, our methods offer a simplified approach to Gulliksen's work.

It should also be noted that some part of our results on hypersurfaces were proved first, in a slightly different form, by Shamash in [Sh], who relied, in turn, partly on results of Nagata [Nag].

I am grateful to M. Hochster for a simplified proof of Theorem 6.1. I also profited from discussions with the members of the "ad hoc seminar on periodic phenomena"—Jon Alperin, Harvey Margolis, and Mark Ramras—and with Graham Evans.

0. Some preliminaries. Here we collect various definitions, and one general lemma, that we will use repeatedly.

In this paper, all rings are supposed to be commutative and noetherian, and modules (except graded ones) are finitely generated.

Let A be a ring. The sequence of maps of free A-modules

$$\mathbf{F}: \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial} F_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} F_0$$

is said to be a *complex* if $\partial^2 = 0$. If A is local with maximal ideal M, then F is minimal if $A/M \otimes \partial = 0$. A complex F is a resolution if it is exact except (possibly) at the right-hand end-in this case at F_0 . Any resolution over a local ring is the direct sum of a minimal resolution and various trivial resolutions of the form

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{1} F \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

(see for example [S, Appendix I to Chapter IV]).

If **F** is as above, we write $\mathbf{F}^{(d)}$ for the truncation

$$\mathbf{F}^{(d)}: \ldots \to F_{d+1} \to F_d$$

of **F** at the dth step.

If M is an A-module, then a sequence of elements $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in A$ is an M-sequence if

- (a) x_{i+1} is a nonzero divisor on $M/(x_1, \ldots, x_i)M$,
- (b) $(x_1, ..., x_n)M \neq M$.

(If M is finitely generated, as we have supposed, and if A is local, then condition

(b) only serves to exclude the possibilities M = 0 and $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = A$.)

LEMMA 0.1. Let B be a local ring, and suppose that

$$\mathbf{F}: \ldots \to F_{d+1} \overset{\varphi_{d+1}}{\to} F_d \overset{\varphi_d}{\to} F_{d-1} \to \cdots \overset{\varphi_1}{\to} F_0$$

is a B-free resolution. Then

- (i) If a_1, \ldots, a_d is a B-sequence, then a_1, \ldots, a_d is a regular sequence on image φ_k for $k \ge d$.
 - (ii) If **F** is minimal, then image φ_k has no free summands for k > 1 + depth B.

REMARK. At least part (i) is well known. Part (ii) is a strengthening of the statement that a B-module of finite projective dimension has projective dimension $\leq d$

PROOF OF LEMMA 0.1. Set $B_m = B/(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$.

To prove part (i), let $k \ge d$; by induction we may suppose that a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1} is a regular sequence on image φ_k , and it suffices to prove that a_d is a nonzero divisor

on $B_{d-1} \otimes \text{image } \varphi_k$. However, projective dimension_B $B_{d-1} = d - 1$, so

$$\operatorname{Tor}_d(B_{d-1},\operatorname{coker}\varphi_1)=0,$$

and thus the sequence $0 \to B_{d-1} \otimes \text{image } \varphi_k \to B_{d-1} \otimes F_{k-1}$ is exact; since a_d is a nonzero divisor on B_{d-1} , this concludes the proof.

For part (ii), suppose that \mathfrak{M} is the maximal ideal of B and that a_1, \ldots, a_d is a maximal B-sequence, so d = depth B.

For k > d+1 we have as before an exact sequence $0 \to B_d \otimes \operatorname{image} \varphi_k \to B_d \otimes F_{k-1}$. By the minimality of F, $B_d \otimes \operatorname{image} \varphi_k$ is actually contained in $\mathfrak{M}(B_d \otimes F_{k-1})$; since B_d has depth 0, $\mathfrak{M}(B_d \otimes F_{k-1})$ is annihilated by some nonzero element of B_d . However, if im φ_k had a free summand, then $B_d \otimes \operatorname{im} \varphi_k$ would contain a copy of B_d , which would be a contradiction.

Finally, we will say that a local ring B is a complete intersection (of codimension n > 1) if it can be written in the form 1 = 1, 1 = 1, 1 = 1, where 1 = 1 is regular and 1 = 1, 1 = 1

1. The construction and its naturality. In this section we will construct the endomorphisms of free complexes over a complete intersection which are the fundamental objects of study in this paper.

Let A be a commutative ring, and let $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be an ideal of A. Set B = A/I, and suppose that I/I^2 is a free B-module on the images of x_1, \ldots, x_n . (This condition will, for example, be satisfied if x_1, \ldots, x_n form an A-sequence; this is the case of primary interest. In fact if the projective dimension of I, as an A-module, is finite, then I/I^2 is free over B if and only if I is generated by an A-sequence [L-V].)

A-sequence [L-V].) Let $F: \ldots F_{i+2} \xrightarrow{\partial} F_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} F_i \rightarrow \ldots$ be a complex of free B-modules.

(a) Choose a sequence of free A-modules \tilde{F}_i and maps $\tilde{\partial}$ between them:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}: \dots \tilde{F}_{i+2} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}} \tilde{F}_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}} \tilde{F}_{i} \rightarrow \dots$$

so that $\mathbf{F} = B \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{F}}$. (To do this, one can think of the maps ∂ as being given by matrices over B, and define the maps $\tilde{\partial}$ by lifting these matrices to A. Of course, in general, $\tilde{\partial}^2 \neq 0$.)

(b) Since $\tilde{\partial}^2 \equiv 0$ modulo (x_1, \ldots, x_n) , we may write $\tilde{\partial}^2 = \sum x_j \tilde{t_j}$ where $\tilde{t_j}$: $\tilde{F_i} \to \tilde{F_{i-2}}$ (for every i). When necessary, we will write $\tilde{t_j} = \tilde{t_j}(A, \{x_i\}, \tilde{\mathbf{F}})$.

Define, for j = 1, ..., n, the map $t_j = t_j(A, \{x_i\}, \mathbf{F})$: $\mathbf{F} \to \mathbf{F}$ by $t_j = B \otimes \tilde{t}_j$.

When there is no danger of confusion, we will write $t_j(\mathbf{F})$ in place of $t_j(A, \{x_i\}, \mathbf{F})$. We will preserve the above hypothesis and notation throughout this section.

Note. (1) One should perhaps write $t_j = t_j(A, \{x_i\}, \tilde{\mathbf{F}}, \tilde{\partial})$, since t_j depends not only on \mathbf{F} but also on the liftings $\tilde{F_i}$, $\tilde{\partial}$ chosen. We have chosen to write $t_j(A, \{x_i\}, \mathbf{F})$, because t_j is in fact determined up to homotopy by these data (Corollary 1.4).

Example. The following is very typical of the case n = 1; see §§2 and 7 for examples of what happens when n is larger.

Let A = Z, the ring of integers, and let $x = x_1 = 8$, so that B = Z/(8). Let **F** be the minimal free B-resolution of the B-module Z/(4):

$$\mathbf{F}: \ldots \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z}/8 \xrightarrow{4} \mathbb{Z}/8 \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z}/8 \xrightarrow{4} \mathbb{Z}/8.$$

For $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}$, we may choose

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}: \ldots \xrightarrow{2} Z \xrightarrow{4} Z \xrightarrow{2} Z \xrightarrow{4} Z.$$

Then clearly $\tilde{\partial}^2 = 8 = x \cdot 1$, so $\tilde{t} = 1$, and

$$t = t_1(Z, 8, \mathbf{F}) = 1$$
: $Z/8 = F_{i+2} \rightarrow F_i = Z/8$.

Thus the evident periodicity of F is given by t.

PROPOSITION 1.1. The map t_j is a homomorphism of complexes of degree -2; that is $t_j \partial = \partial t_j$.

PROOF. We have

$$\sum_{j} x_{j}(\tilde{t_{j}}\tilde{\partial}) = \left(\sum_{j} x_{j}\tilde{t_{j}}\right)\tilde{\partial} = \tilde{\partial}^{3} = \tilde{\partial}\left(\sum_{j} x_{j}\tilde{t_{j}}\right) = \sum_{j} x_{j}(\tilde{\partial}\tilde{t_{j}}).$$

Since the elements x_j form a free *B*-basis of I/I^2 we obtain $\tilde{t_j}\tilde{\partial} \equiv \tilde{\partial}\tilde{t_j}$ modulo *I*, or $t_j\partial = \partial t_j$.

PROPOSITION 1.2. The map t_i is independent of the choice made in (b).

PROOF. If, for j = 1, ..., n, \tilde{t}'_j is another choice, then $\sum_j x_j \tilde{t}_j = \tilde{\partial}^2 = \sum_j x_j \tilde{t}'_j$. Thus $\sum_j x_j (\tilde{t}_j - \tilde{t}'_j) = 0$. As in Proposition 1.1 this implies

$$\tilde{t_j} - \tilde{t_j'} \equiv 0 \mod I, \qquad t_j = B \otimes \tilde{t_j} = B \otimes \tilde{t_j'}.$$

Proposition 1.3 (Naturality). Let

$$G: \ldots G_{i+2} \xrightarrow{\partial'} G_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\partial'} G_i \rightarrow \ldots$$

be another complex of free B-modules, and set $s_j = t_j(A, \{x_i\}, \mathbf{G})$. If $f: \mathbf{F} \to \mathbf{G}$ is a homomorphism of complexes, then for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$, ft_i is homotopic to $s_i f$.

PROOF. Suppose f is of degree k, so that f is given by maps $f_i \colon F_i \to G_{i+k}$, for each i. Let $(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}, \tilde{\partial})$ and $(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}, \tilde{\partial}')$ be the sequences of maps of free A-modules used in constructing t_j and s_j , and let $\tilde{s}_j = \tilde{t}_j(A, \{x_i\}, \tilde{\mathbf{G}})$. Since $f\partial = \partial' f$, we may choose maps $\tilde{h}_i \colon \tilde{F}_i \to \tilde{G}_{i+k-1}$, such that $\tilde{f}\tilde{\partial} - \tilde{\partial}' \tilde{f} = \sum x_j h_i$. We have

$$\sum x_{j}(\tilde{f}\tilde{t}_{j}) = \tilde{f}\tilde{\theta}^{2} = \tilde{\theta}'\tilde{f}\tilde{\theta} + \sum x_{j}h_{j}\tilde{\theta}$$

$$= \tilde{\theta}'^{2}\tilde{f} + \sum x_{j}\tilde{\theta}'\tilde{h}_{j} + \sum x_{j}\tilde{h}_{j}\tilde{\theta}$$

$$= \sum_{j} x_{j}\tilde{s}_{j}\tilde{f} + \sum_{j} x_{j}\tilde{\theta}'\tilde{h}_{j} + \sum_{j} x_{j}\tilde{h}_{j}\tilde{\theta}$$

or,

$$\sum_{i} x_{j} (\tilde{f}\tilde{t}_{j} - \tilde{s}_{j}\tilde{f}) = \sum_{i} x_{j} (\tilde{\eth}'\tilde{h}_{j} + \tilde{h}_{j}\tilde{\eth}).$$

If we write $h_j = B \otimes \tilde{h_j}$, then by the same reasoning as in Proposition 1.1,

$$ft_j - s_j f = \partial' h_j + h_j \partial,$$

as required.

COROLLARY 1.4. The maps $t_j \colon \mathbf{F} \to \mathbf{F}$ are determined uniquely up to homotopy by the data $(A, \{x_i\}, \mathbf{F})$. In particular, if M is any B-module, they induce well-defined maps

 $t_j: H_{i+2}(\mathbb{F} \otimes M) \to H_i(\mathbb{F} \otimes M)$ and $t_j: H^i(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{F}, M)) \to H^{i+2}(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{F}, M))$ on the homology and cohomology of \mathbb{F} with coefficients in M.

PROOF. Apply Proposition 1.3 to the identity map 1: $\mathbf{F} \to \mathbf{F}$.

COROLLARY 1.5. The maps t_i (j = 1, ..., n) commute up to homotopy.

PROOF. Apply Proposition 1.3 to the map $t_k : \mathbf{F} \to \mathbf{F}$.

Problem. Can the maps t_i be chosen to commute?

PROPOSITION 1.6. Let M and N be finitely generated B-modules, and let $\mathbf{F} \to M$ and $\mathbf{G} \to N$ be B-free resolutions. Then $t_i(\mathbf{F})$ and $t_i(\mathbf{G})$ induce the same map on

$$H_{\star}(\mathbf{F} \otimes N) = \operatorname{Tor}_{\star}^{B}(M, N) = H_{\star}(M \otimes \mathbf{G}).$$

Thus $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{B}(M, N)$ is unambiguously a graded module over the ring $B[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}]$ (with degree $t_{i} = -2$).

REMARK. By Proposition 1.3, $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{B}(M, N)$ is functorial (as a $B[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}]$ -module) in M and N.

PROOF. The isomorphism $H_*(\mathbf{F} \otimes N) = H_*(M \otimes \mathbf{G})$ is the composition of isomorphisms on homology induced by the projections of complexes

$$\mathbf{F} \otimes N \stackrel{\pi_1}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{G} \stackrel{\pi_2}{\rightarrow} M \otimes \mathbf{G}.$$

We will prove the proposition by constructing $t_j(\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{G})$ in such a way that $\pi_1 t_j(\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{G}) = (t_j(\mathbf{F}) \otimes N)\pi_1$ and $\pi_2 t_j(\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{G}) = (M \otimes t_j(\mathbf{G}))\pi_2$.

If $(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}, \tilde{\partial})$ and $(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}, \tilde{\partial})$ are the sequences of maps of free A-modules used in the construction of $t_j(\mathbf{F})$ and $t_j(\mathbf{G})$, then $(\tilde{\mathbf{F}} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{G}}, \tilde{\partial} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{\partial})$ is a lifting of $\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{G}$. Note that there is a sign convention for tensor products of graded modules: if $f \in \tilde{F}_i$ and $g \in \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$, then $1 \otimes \tilde{\partial}(f \otimes g) = (-1)^i f \otimes \tilde{\partial}g$. Because of this sign convention, $1 \otimes \tilde{\partial}$ and $\tilde{\partial} \otimes 1$ anticommute. Thus

$$(\tilde{\partial} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{\partial})^2 = \tilde{\partial}^2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{\partial}^2 = \sum_i x_i (\tilde{t_i}(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{t_i}(\mathbf{G})).$$

Consequently, we may take $t_j(\mathbf{F} \otimes G) = t_j(\mathbf{F}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t_j(\mathbf{G})$. This choice has the desired property.

PROPOSITION 1.7 (CHANGE OF RINGS). Let $\alpha: A \to A'$ be a ring homomorphism, and let $x'_1, \ldots, x'_m = I'$ be an ideal of A'. Set B' = A'/I', and suppose that I'/I'^2 is B'-free on the images of x'_1, \ldots, x'_n .

Suppose $\alpha(I) \subset I'$, with

$$\alpha(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_{ij} x_j'$$
 for some elements $c_{ij} \in A'$.

Then $t_i(A', \{x_i'\}, B' \otimes \mathbb{F})$ is homotopic to $\sum_{i=1}^n c_{ii}(B' \otimes t_i(A, \{x_i\}, \mathbb{F}))$.

PROOF. Write $t_j = t_j(A, \{x_i\}, \mathbf{F})$. In view of Corollary 1.4, it is enough to show that with suitable choices in the construction of $t'_j = t_j(A', \{x'_i\}, B' \otimes \mathbf{F})$, we will have $t'_j = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ij}(B' \otimes t_i)$. Let $(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}, \tilde{\partial})$ be the sequence of maps of free A-modules used in the construction of t_j , with $\tilde{\partial}^2 = \sum x_i \tilde{t_i}$. Take

$$(B' \otimes F)^{\sim} = A' \otimes \tilde{F}, \qquad (B' \otimes \partial)^{\sim} = A' \otimes \tilde{\partial}.$$

Defining $\tilde{t}'_i = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ii}(A' \otimes \tilde{t}_i)$, we have

$$\sum_{i} x_{j}' \tilde{t}_{j}' = \sum_{i} \sum_{i} c_{ij} x_{j}' (A' \otimes \tilde{t}_{i}) = \sum_{i} \alpha(x_{i}) (A' \otimes \tilde{t}_{i}) = A' \otimes \tilde{\delta}^{2},$$

so we may take $t_i' = t_i(A', \{x_i'\}, B' \otimes \mathbb{F}) = B' \otimes \tilde{t_i'} = B' \otimes t_i$, as claimed.

The following remarks are due to V. Mehta [M]:

- (1) Consider the case in which **F** is the free resolution of a *B*-module *M*. The maps t_j induce (uniquely defined) natural transformations t_{j*} : $\operatorname{Tor}_{i+2}(M, -) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i(M, -)$ for each *i*. Any such natural transformation comes from an element $\xi_j \in \operatorname{Ext}^2(M, M)$. These "natural" elements of $\operatorname{Ext}^2(M, M)$ are the obstructions to lifting *M* modulo $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$ studied by Nastold [Nas], Grothendieck, and others.
- (2) The natural transformations t_{j^*} : $\operatorname{Tor}_{i+2}(M, -) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i(M, -)$ in (1) coincide, in the case where x_1, \ldots, x_n are an A-sequence, with the ones defined by Gulliksen in [G2].
- (3) The results of this section may be summarized by saying that if I/I^2 is B = A/I-free, then the symmetric algebra $\operatorname{Sym}_B(\operatorname{Hom}_B(I/I^2, B))$ acts, functorially in the pair (A, I), on the category of complexes of free B-modules modulo homotopy. Mehta has shown that a similar result holds for arbitrary ideals I.
- 2. Example. The resolution of the residue class field. Let A be a regular local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} and residue class field $K = A/\mathfrak{M}$. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathfrak{M}^2$ be an A-sequence, and set $B = A/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. We are going to compute the minimal B-free resolution F of K (following [Tate]) and the maps $t_i(A, \{x_i\}, F)$ of F. In particular, we will see that each t_i is an epimorphism. In §7, we will generalize the construction to obtain a (nonminimal) B-free resolution of any B-module, starting from an A-free resolution of that module (Theorem 7.2). Also, given any minimal B-free resolution, we will show that after a sufficiently general choice of generators x_i for the ideal (x_1, \ldots, x_n) , t_1 is an epimorphism on some truncation of the resolution (Theorem 3.1).

We begin by describing F; see [G-L] for further details.

Let y_1, \ldots, y_m be a minimal set of generators for the maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} of A. The minimal A-free resolution of K is the Koszul complex $K(y_1, \ldots, y_m)$. This complex has the structure of a differential graded algebra, the underlying algebra

being the exterior algebra ΛA^m , with differential ∂ , say.

Because $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathfrak{M}^2$, there exist elements $x_i' \in \mathbf{K}_1$ such that $\partial x_i' = x_i$. We will write σ_i for the map $\mathbf{K}(y_1, \ldots, y_m) \to \mathbf{K}(y_1, \ldots, y_m)$ of graded modules given by $\sigma_i(e) = e \wedge x_i$. It is easy to check that $\sigma_i \partial + \partial \sigma_i = x_i \cdot 1$, so that σ_i is a homotopy for multiplication by x_i . Since σ_i is defined from the exterior product, we have $\sigma_i \sigma_j = -\sigma_j \sigma_i$, $\sigma_i^2 = 0$ for all i, j.

To construct the minimal B-free resolution of K, we make use of the divided power algebra $D(A^n)$. As a graded module, $D(A^n)$ is defined as the dual of the symmetric algebra:

$$D(A^n) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} A\operatorname{-modules}}(A[t_1, \ldots, t_n], A).$$

(The algebra structure of $D(A^n)$ will not concern us.)

For our purposes, we want to grade $D(A^n)$ in such a way that it is nonzero only in even degrees, so we set degree $t_i = 2$ for all i. $D(A^n)$ is, from its definition, clearly a module over $A[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$, with each t_i acting in degree -2. If τ_1, \ldots, τ_n is a basis of $D_1(A^n)$ dual to the basis t_1, \ldots, t_n , and if $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ is a multi-index, we may write $\tau^{(\alpha)} = \tau_1^{(\alpha_1)} \cdots \tau_n^{(\alpha_n)}$ for the basis element dual to $t^{\alpha} = t_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots t_n^{\alpha_n} \in A[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$. With this notation,

$$t_i(\tau^{(\alpha)}) = \tau_1^{(\alpha_1)} \cdot \cdot \cdot \tau_i^{(\alpha_i-1)} \cdot \cdot \cdot \tau_n^{(\alpha_n)}$$

so that t_i : $D_{2k+2}(A^n) \to D_{2k}(A^n)$ is an epimorphism. We now consider the graded A-module $\tilde{\mathbf{F}} = D(A^n) \otimes \Lambda A^m$, and we define \mathbf{F} , as a graded B-module, to be $B \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{F}} = D(B^n) \otimes \Lambda B^m$. We define $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{\mathbf{F}}$: $\tilde{\mathbf{F}} \to \tilde{\mathbf{F}}$ by

$$\tilde{\partial}_{\mathbf{F}} = 1 \otimes \partial + \sum_{i} t_{i} \otimes \sigma_{i} : D(A^{n}) \otimes \Lambda A^{m} \to D(A^{n}) \otimes \Lambda A^{m}.$$

An easy calculation shows that

$$\tilde{\partial}_{\mathbf{F}}^2 = \sum_i (t_i \otimes 1) x_i, \tag{*}$$

so that $(B \otimes \tilde{\partial}_{\mathbf{F}})^2 = 0$.

Thus with the differential $\partial_{\mathbf{F}} = B \otimes \tilde{\partial}_{\mathbf{F}}$, \mathbf{F} is a minimal B-free complex; in fact \mathbf{F} is the minimal B-free resolution of K. From (*), we see at once that $t_i(A, \{x_i\}, \mathbf{F}) = B \otimes (t_i \otimes 1)$; we will drop all the \otimes signs, and simply write $t_i \colon \mathbf{F} \to \mathbf{F}$ for this map. We see that $t_i t_j = t_j t_i$, so \mathbf{F} becomes a module over $B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$.

The following consequence of the form of F will be useful to us later:

PROPOSITION 2.1. Suppose that A is a regular local ring, and that x_1, \ldots, x_n are a maximal A-sequence, so that $B = A/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is artinian. Let \mathbf{F} be the minimal B-free resolution of the residue class field of B. Then the maps $t_i = t_i(A, \{x_i\}, \mathbf{F})$: $\mathbf{F} \to \mathbf{F}$ can be chosen to commute with each other in such a way that \mathbf{F} becomes an artinian $B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ -module.

PROOF. Suppose first that x_1, \ldots, x_n are in the square of the maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} , and let y_1, \ldots, y_n be minimal generators for \mathfrak{M} . From the above construction, we see that $\mathbf{F} = D(B^n) \otimes_B \Lambda B^m$ as a graded B-module, the action of $t_j \in B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ being the natural action on the first factor. But

$$D(B^n) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{graded} B\text{-modules}} (B[t_1, \ldots, t_n], B)_{\alpha}$$

is artinian because it is the dual of a noetherian $B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ -module. Since ΛB^m is a finitely generated B-module, F is an artinian $B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ -module, as claimed.

Now if not all the x_i are contained in \mathfrak{M}^2 , we may rearrange the x_i so that $A' = A/(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ is a regular local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{M}' , say, and the images x_i' of x_i satisfy $x_{r+1}', \ldots, x_n' \in \mathfrak{M}'^2$. By the change of rings formula (Proposition 1.7), we may choose

$$t_i(A, \{x_i\}, \mathbf{F}) = t_i(A', \{x_i'\}, \mathbf{F})$$
 for $i < r$,

reducing the proposition to the case just treated.

3. The main theorem. In this section we will prove the result which makes the maps t_i useful in the analysis of free resolutions.

THEOREM 3.1. Let A be a regular local ring whose residue class field is infinite, and let I be an ideal of A which can be generated by an A-sequence. Set B = A/I. If $\mathbf{F}: \ldots \to F_1 \to F_0$ is the minimal B-free resolution of a finitely generated B-module then there exists an A-sequence x_1, \ldots, x_n generating I such that

$$t_1(A, \{x_i\}, \mathbb{F}): F_{i+2} \rightarrow F_i$$

is an epimorphism for sufficiently large i.

REMARKS. (1) If $t, t': \mathbf{F} \to \mathbf{F}$ are homotopic maps, of degree -2, say, and if $t: F_{i+2} \to F_i$ is onto, then so is $t': F_{i+2} \to F_i$, because \mathbf{F} is minimal. Thus the statement of the theorem makes sense even though $t_1(A, \{x_j\}, \mathbf{F})$ is only defined up to homotopy.

(2) Theorem 3.1 is closely related to the main theorem (Theorem 3.1) of [G2]; in fact, given that the maps on Tor defined by Gulliksen are the same as those induced by the t_j , our Lemma 3.2 becomes a special case of Gulliksen's result. On the other hand, the direct way in which the t_j are defined allows our proof to be somewhat simpler than that of [G2]. Theorem 3.1 of [G2] itself can be proved in the same style as our Lemma 3.2, using the construction of §7 in place of that of §2.

Problem. Is the restriction to the case of an infinite residue class field necessary? The next example shows that no bound can be given for the values of i for which $t_1: F_{i+2} \to F_i$ is not an epimorphism (but see Theorems 4.1 and 6.1).

EXAMPLE. Let A be a regular local ring, and let x_1, \ldots, x_n be a maximal A-sequence in the square of the maximal ideal of A, so that $B = A/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is artinian. Suppose n > 2. Let $F: \ldots \to F_2 \to F_1 \to F_0$ be the minimal B-free resolution of the residue class field K, as desribed in §2. It is known [B] that B is self-injective, so that

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{F}, B) \colon F_0^* \to F_1^* \to \dots$$

is a minimal injective resolution of $\operatorname{Hom}(K, B)$. Moreover, B has a unique minimal ideal, called the socle, which is principal; say socle B = (s). The condition on x_1, \ldots, x_n implies that s is contained in the maximal ideal of B. We see that $\operatorname{Hom}(K, B) \cong (s) \cong K$. Identifying $F_0 = R = F_0^*$, we get a doubly infinite minimal

resolution

$$\mathbf{F}^{\text{Tate}}: \ldots F_2 \to F_1 \to R \xrightarrow{s} R \to F_1^* \to F_2^* \to \ldots$$

Since rank F_i < rank F_{i+2} , the maps

$$t_j = t_j(A, \{x_j\}, \mathbf{F}^{\mathsf{Tate}}): F_i^* \to F_{i+2}^*, \quad i > 0,$$

can never be epimorphisms.

Furthermore, the natural choice of the $t_i(\mathbf{F})$ makes

$$t_i(F_0) \subset sF_1^*$$
 and $t_i(F_1) \subset sR = sF_0^*$,

so that at this point, in the "middle" of \mathbf{F}^{Tate} , the maps t_j are not even split. Thus if N is any integer, then

$$\mathbf{F}^{(-N)}: \ldots \to F_{N-1}^* \to F_N^*$$

is a minimal free resolution for which the "sufficiently large" of Theorem 3.1 means "larger than N".

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. Let y_1, \ldots, y_n be any A-sequence generating I, and set $t_j = t_j(A, \{y_j\}, F)$. We will show that there exist elements $a_j \in A$ such that the map

$$t = t_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n} a_j t_j : F_{i+2} \to F_i$$

is an epimorphism for large i.

Once this is done, we set

$$x_1 = y_1, \quad x_j = y_j - a_j y_1, \qquad 2 \le j \le n.$$

Clearly x_1, \ldots, x_j generate I. From Proposition 1.7 (applied with A = A'), we see that

$$t_1(A, \{x_i\}, \mathbf{F}) = t_1 + \sum_i a_i t_i,$$

completing the proof.

Before choosing the a_j we prove two lemmas. Recall that since the t_j commute up to homotopy, they induce commutative maps on homology. In particular, if M is the B-module resolved by F, and K is the residue class field of B, the graded B-module $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{B}(M, K)$ becomes a $B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ -module. The nontriviality of the t_i is expressed by the next lemma:

LEMMA 3.2. $\operatorname{Tor}_{\star}^{B}(M, K)$ is an artinian $B[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}]$ -module.

PROOF. Note that if **G** is the minimal *B*-free resolution of *K*, then by Proposition 1.6, the map induced on $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{B}(M, K)$ by $t_{j}(A, \{y_{j}\}, \mathbf{F})$ is the same as that induced by $t_{j}(A, \{y_{j}\}, \mathbf{G})$.

To prove Lemma 3.2, we will reduce to the case dim B = 0, and then apply Proposition 2.1.

To make the reduction, we wish to choose $d = \dim B$ elements $a_1, \ldots, a_d \in A$ such that a_1, \ldots, a_d is a *B*-sequence and $A/(a_1, \ldots, a_d)$ is a regular local ring. By an easy inductive argument, it is enough to show the existence of an element a_1 in the maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} of A such that a_1 is a nonzero divisor on B and $A/(a_1)$ is regular, supposing d > 1. For the first of these conditions, it suffices that a_1 not be

contained in $P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_r$ where P_1, \ldots, P_r are the associated prime ideals of the ideal $I \subset A$. For the second, it suffices that $a_1 \notin \mathfrak{M}^2$. However, since I can be generated by an A-sequence, depth $B = \dim B > 1$, so $\mathfrak{M} \neq P_i$ for all i. By a standard argument [Mat, Proposition 1.B], $\mathfrak{M} \nsubseteq \mathfrak{M}^2 \cup P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_r$. Thus we can choose $a_1 \in \mathfrak{M} - (\mathfrak{M}^2 \cup P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_r)$.

Having chosen a_1, \ldots, a_d , we set $\overline{A} = A/(a_1, \ldots, a_d)$ and $\overline{B} = B/(a_1, \ldots, a_d)B$. Let $N = \Omega_d(M) = \text{image } F_d \to F_{d-1}$. Since N is a dth syzygy, a_1, \ldots, a_d is an N-sequence. Since K is annihilated by a_1, \ldots, a_d , we have

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{i+d}^{B}(M, K) = \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{B}(N, K) = \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{\overline{B}}(\overline{B} \otimes N, K)$$

for all $i \ge 1$. Since each $\operatorname{Tor}_i(M, K)$ is a finite-dimensional K-vectorspace, we see that it is enough to prove that $\operatorname{Tor}_*^{\overline{B}}(\overline{B} \otimes N, K)$ is an artinian $B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ -module, where t_j acts via the action of $\overline{B} \otimes t_j(A, \{y_j\}, F)$ on $\overline{B} \otimes F$, the \overline{B} -free resolution of $\overline{B} \otimes N$.

By Proposition 1.7 (with $A' = \overline{A}$), we see that this action is the same as the action of $t_j(\overline{A}, \{y_j\}, \overline{B} \otimes F)$ (where we have written y_i for the image of y_j in \overline{B}). We thus see that it is enough to prove the lemma in the case $d = \dim B = 0$.

We now consider $\operatorname{Tor}_*(M, K) = H_*(M \otimes G)$ as a subquotient of the $B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ -module $M \otimes_B G$. Since M is a finitely generated B-module, and since G is an artinian $B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ -module by Proposition 2.1, we see that $\operatorname{Tor}_*(M, K)$ is artinian.

Note that since $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{B}(M, K)$ is annihilated by the maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} of B, it is even a $K[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ -module.

Before finishing the proof of Theorem 3.1, we need another lemma, which is in effect the dual of the standard result expressing the existence of "superficial" elements.

LEMMA 3.3. Let K be an infinite field, and let $K[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ be a graded polynomial ring, where the t_i all have the same (negative) degree -e. If $T = \sum_{i>0} T_i$ is a graded artinian $K[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ -module, then there exist elements $\alpha_j \in K$ such that multiplication by the element $t = t_1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \alpha_j t_j$ induces a surjective map $T_{i+e} \to T_i$ for all large i.

PROOF. Let $T^v = \sum_{i>0} \operatorname{Hom}(T_i, K) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{graded } K\operatorname{-modules}}(T, K)$. Each t_j induces an operator on T^v with degree e>0.

Since T^v is the dual of an artinian module, T^v is noetherian. In particular, the largest artinian submodule of T^v has finite length, so that for a sufficiently large integer N, the truncation

$$T^{v(N)} = \sum_{i > N} \operatorname{Hom}(T_i, K)$$

contains no nonzero element annihilated by (t_1, \ldots, t_n) .

Let P_1, \ldots, P_r be the associated primes of $0 \in T^{v(N)}$, so that $P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_r$ is the set of zero divisors on $T^{v(N)}$ [Mat, 7.B Corollary 2]. Since each P_i annihilates some element of $T^{v(N)}$, no P_i can contain the set $t_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n Kt_i$, which generates (t_1, \ldots, t_n) . But since K is infinite, and since $t_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n Kt_i$ is the translation of a

subspace of $K[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$, this implies that

$$t_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n Kt_i \not\subseteq P_1 \cup \cdots \cup P_r$$

so there exists a linear form

$$t = t_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \alpha_j t_j \qquad (\alpha_j \in K)$$

which is a nonzero divisor on $T^{v(N)}$. Dualizing again, we see that t satisfies the conditions of the lemma.

Returning to the proof of the theorem, we apply Lemma 3.3 to the $K[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ -module $Tor^B(M, K)$, obtaining a linear combination

$$\bar{t} = t_1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \alpha_j t_j \qquad (\alpha_j \in K)$$

of the t_i such that $t = \operatorname{Tor}_{i+2}(M, K) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i(M, K)$ is an epimorphism for all large i. Choose elements $a_j \in A$ such that the image of a_j in K is α_j . Set $t = t_1 + \sum_{j=2}^n a_j t_j$. We claim that $t: F_{i+2} \to F_i$ is an epimorphism for all large i, which suffices to prove Theorem 3.1. By Nakayama's Lemma, it is enough to show that

$$t \otimes K: F_{i+2} \otimes K \to F_i \otimes K$$

is an epimorphism for large i.

But $t \otimes K = t_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \alpha_j t_j$, and because **F** is minimal, $\mathbf{F} \otimes K = \operatorname{Tor}_{+}^{\mathbf{B}}(M, K)$, so the desired condition on $t \otimes K$ is the conclusion of Lemma 3.3.

4. Modules with bounded resolutions. As a first application of Theorem 3.1, we will analyse resolutions by free modules of bounded rank. This analysis will be carried further in the next two sections (see especially Theorem 5.2).

We will say that a complex $F: \ldots \to F_1 \to F_0$ is periodic of period 2 if there exists a map of complexes $s: F \to F$ of degree -2 such that $s: F_{i+2} \to F_i$ is an isomorphism for all $i \ge 0$.

THEOREM 4.1. Let A be a regular local ring, and let $I \subset A$ be an ideal generated by an A-sequence. Set B = A/I, and let

$$\mathbf{F}: \ldots \to F_1 \to F_0$$

be a minimal B-free resolution. If $\{rank F_i\}$ is bounded, then **F** becomes periodic of period 2 after at most $1 + \dim B$ steps.

REMARK. (1) Using the ideas of Corollary 6.2, the result can be generalized to the case in which B is an "abstract complete intersection"—that is, in which only the completion of B is assumed to have the given form.

(2) We will see in Proposition 5.3 that rank $F_{d+1} = \operatorname{rank} F_{d+2} = \dots$, so "{rank F_i } bounded" implies "{rank F_i }, constant".

PROOF. We first reduce to the case in which the residue class field of A is infinite. We must prove $\operatorname{Coker}(F_{d+2} \to F_{d+1}) \cong \operatorname{Coker}(F_{d+4} \to F_{d+3})$. If such an isomorphism exists after a faithfully flat extension of B, then it exists already over B [EGA, Chapter IV, Proposition 2.5.8]. On the other hand, writing \mathfrak{M} for the

maximal ideal of A, and letting z be an indeterminate, $A[z]_{\mathfrak{R}A[z]}$ is a faithfully flat extension of A, and

$$A[z]_{\mathfrak{M}A[z]}/(x_1,\ldots,x_n)A[z]_{\mathfrak{M}A[z]} \cong B[z]_{\mathfrak{M}B[z]}$$

is a faithfully flat extension of B which has an infinite residue class field. Thus we may assume from the outset that the residue class field of A is infinite.

We can now apply Theorem 3.1, which shows that if x_1, \ldots, x_n is a well-chosen set of generators for (x_1, \ldots, x_n) , then there exists an integer N such that $t_1 = t_1(A, \{x_i\}, \mathbb{F})$: $F_{i+2} \to F_i$ is an epimorphism for all i > N.

From this property of t_1 it follows that rank $F_N < \text{rank } F_{N+2} < \dots$ and rank $F_{N+1} < \text{rank } F_{N+3} < \dots$ Since $\{\text{rank } F_i\}$ is bounded, both these increasing sequences become stationary; as soon as this happens t_1 becomes an isomorphism. Thus there exists an integer M such that $t_1: F_{i+2} \to F_i$ is an isomorphism for i > M. Thus F becomes periodic after M steps.

It remains to show that we can take M=d+1. To do this we reduce to the case dim B=0: To this end, let $x_{n+1},\ldots,x_{n+d}\in A$ be a B-sequence and let $\overline{B}=B/(x_{n+1},\ldots,x_{n+d})$. For any $i,\ t_1\colon F_{i+2}\to F_i$ is an isomorphism if $\overline{B}\otimes t_1\colon \overline{B}\otimes F_{i+2}\to \overline{B}\otimes F_i$ is an isomorphism. Furthermore, by Lemma 0.1(i)

$$\overline{B} \otimes \mathbf{F}^d : \ldots \to \overline{B} \otimes F_{d+1} \to \overline{B} \otimes F_d$$

is a minimal free resolution over \overline{B} . Thus we may assume that dim B = d = 0, and prove that $t_1: F_{i+2} \to F_i$ is an isomorphism for all i > 1. To do this we will use the self-injectivity of B [B] which implies that any truncation of F uniquely determines all but F_0 :

LEMMA 4.2. Let B be a zero-dimensional Gorenstein local ring. If

$$\mathbf{F}: \ldots \to F_2 \to F_1 \to F_0$$

is a minimal B-free resolution, then for each i > 1, F_i is the injective envelope of Coker $F_{i+2} \to F_{i+1}$.

PROOF. Let $C_i = \operatorname{Coker}(F_{i+2} \to F_{i+1})$. Since B is self-injective, and F is minimal and exact, the free complex $\operatorname{Hom}(F, B)$ is also minimal and exact. Thus if i > 1,

$$\operatorname{Hom}(F_{i-1}, B) \to \operatorname{Hom}(F_i, B) \to \operatorname{Hom}(C_i, B) \to 0$$

is the beginning of a minimal free resolution. But the functor Hom(, B) is a duality on the category of finitely generated B-modules. Thus $0 \to C_i \to F_i \to F_{i-1}$ is the beginning of a minimal injective resolution of C; in particular F_i is the injective envelope of C_i .

Returning to the proof of Theorem 4.1, we may assume by induction that t_1 : $F_{i+2} \to F_i$ is an isomorphism for all $i \ge 2$, so in particular

$$\operatorname{Coker}(F_5 \to F_4) \cong \operatorname{Coker}(F_3 \to F_2).$$

By Lemma 4.2, there exists an isomorphism s making the diagram

commute. If we regard (*) as a map of complexes, then we see by Proposition 1.3, that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F_5 & \stackrel{\iota_1}{\rightarrow} & F_3 \\
\iota_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\
F_3 & \stackrel{\iota_1}{\rightarrow} & F_1
\end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy. Since $F_3 \stackrel{st_1^{-1}}{\to} F_1$ is an isomorphism and **F** is minimal, $t_1: F_3 \to F_1$ is an isomorphism as well.

5. Resolutions of period 2. Motivated by Theorem 4.1, and by the fact (to be proved in the next section) that over a hypersurface every minimal free resolution becomes periodic of period 2, we take up a general study of resolutions of period 2.

We begin with a general construction of periodic resolutions. It will turn out (Theorem 5.2) that all periodic resolutions over complete intersections arise from this construction.

A matrix factorization of an element x in a ring A is an ordered pair of maps of free A-modules $(\varphi: F \to G, \Psi: G \to F)$ such that $\varphi \Psi = x \cdot 1_G$ and $\Psi \varphi = x \cdot 1_F$.

Note that if (φ, Ψ) is a matrix factorization of x, then x annihilates Coker φ .

PROPOSITION 5.1. Let $(\varphi: F \to G, \Psi: G \to F)$ be a matrix factorization of an element x in a ring A. Set B = A/(x), and write $\bar{}$ for reduction modulo x. If $(x)/(x^2)$ is free over B, then the periodic complex

$$\mathbf{F}(\varphi, \Psi): \ldots \to \overline{F} \xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi}} \overline{G} \xrightarrow{\overline{\Psi}} \overline{F} \xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi}} \overline{G}$$

is a B-free resolution of Coker φ , and $t(x, \mathbf{F}(\varphi, \Psi))$ is the identity.

PROOF. Since $x(\operatorname{Coker} \varphi) = 0$, we have $\operatorname{Coker} \varphi = \operatorname{Coker} \overline{\varphi}$. The relation of φ and Ψ being symmetric, it will be enough to show the exactness at \overline{F} . If K is a free A-module and $\kappa \colon K \to F$ is a map such that $\overline{\varphi}\overline{\kappa} = 0$, we must show that $\overline{\kappa}$ factors through $\overline{\Psi}$.

Since $\overline{\varphi \kappa} = (\overline{\varphi \kappa})$ there exists a map $\gamma \colon K \to G$ such that $\varphi \kappa = x\gamma$. Thus

$$x\kappa = \Psi \varphi \kappa = \Psi(x\gamma) = x\Psi \gamma.$$

Since $(x)/(x^2)$ is free over A/(x), this implies $\bar{\kappa} = (\overline{\Psi}\gamma) = \overline{\Psi}\bar{\gamma}$, as required. To prove that $t(A, x, F(\varphi, \Psi))$ is the identity, consider the obvious lifting

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\varphi, \Psi): \ldots \to F \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \xrightarrow{\Psi} F \xrightarrow{\varphi} G.$$

Since (φ, Ψ) is a matrix factorization, $\tilde{t}: F \to F$ and $\tilde{t}: G \to G$ may be taken to be the identity maps.

THEOREM 5.2. Let A be a regular local ring with infinite residue class field, and let $I \subset A$ be an ideal generated by an A-sequence. Set B = A/I. If F is a periodic minimal B-free resolution, then there exist a local ring B_1 , a nonzero divisor $x \in B_1$, and a matrix factorization (φ, Ψ) of x over B_1 , such that $F \cong F(\varphi, \Psi)$.

PROOF. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, a set of generators x_1, \ldots, x_n of I can be chosen such that $t = t_1(A, \{x_i\}, \mathbf{F})$: $F_{i+2} \to F_i$ is an isomorphism for all i > 0 (because \mathbf{F} is periodic, we do not need to truncate \mathbf{F}). Set $B_1 = A/(x_2, \ldots, x_n)$, and let x be the image of x_1 in B_1 . From Proposition 1.7, we see that $t = t(B_1, x, \mathbf{F})$. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{F}} : \to \tilde{F}_2 \overset{\Psi}{\to} \tilde{F}_1 \to \tilde{F}_0$ be the sequence of maps of B_1 -free modules used to define t, and let $\tilde{t} = \tilde{t}(B_1, x, \tilde{\mathbf{F}})$. By Nakayama's Lemma, \tilde{t} is an isomorphism. Identifying \tilde{F}_0 with \tilde{F}_2 and \tilde{F}_1 with \tilde{F}_3 by means of \tilde{t} , we see that (φ, Ψ) is a matrix factorization of x. Since $\mathbf{F}(\varphi, \Psi)$ and \mathbf{F} are both minimal free resolutions of Coker $(B \otimes \varphi)$, they are isomorphic.

PROPOSITION 5.3. Let R be a noetherian ring, and let $\dots \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \xrightarrow{\Psi} F \xrightarrow{\varphi} G$ be a free resolution of a finitely generated R-module which is periodic of period 2. Then rank F = rank G.

PROOF. We may suppose that **F** has the form **F**: $\ldots \to F \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \xrightarrow{\Psi} F \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \xrightarrow{\Psi} \ldots$. By localizing at a minimal prime of B (which does not affect the rank of F or of G) we may assume that B is artinian, and thus of finite length. Now

length
$$F = \text{length}(\text{image } \varphi) + \text{length}(\text{image } \Psi) = \text{length } G$$

so

rank
$$F = \text{length } F/\text{length } B$$

= length $G/\text{length } B = \text{rank } G$.

COROLLARY 5.4. If $(\varphi: F \to G, \Psi: G \to F)$ is a matrix factorization of an element $x \in A$ such that $(x)/(x^2)$, if free over A/(x), then rank F = rank G.

Proof. Apply Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.3.

If x is a nonzero divisor, more can be said. We will use the following definitions: Let $\varphi: F \to G$ be a map of free modules, with rank G = g. We write $\operatorname{Fit}_k \varphi$ for the ideal generated by the minors of φ of order g - k. (Fit_k φ is the "kth Fitting invariant" of Coker φ [Kap]). If F and G have the same rank, then Fit₀ φ is generated by the determinant of any matrix representing φ , and we write Fit₀ φ = (det φ).

PROPOSITION 5.5. Let $x \in A$ be a nonzero divisor, and let $\varphi: F \to G$ be a map between free modules. There exists a matrix factorization of the form (φ, Ψ) if and only if

- (a) rank F = rank G,
- (b) det φ is a nonzero divisor, and
- (c) $x \cdot \operatorname{Fit}_1(\varphi) \subset (\det \varphi)$.

If Ψ exists, it is uniquely determined by φ .

PROOF. Suppose (φ, Ψ) is a matrix factorization of x. Condition (a) follows from Corollary 5.4. Since $\Psi \varphi = x \cdot 1_F$ is a monomorphism, φ must also be a monomorphism, and (b) follows ("Mc Coy's Theorem": see [Kap]). Choosing bases for F and G, and regarding φ as a square matrix, we let φ^c be the matrix of cofactors of φ , so that $\varphi^c \varphi = \varphi \varphi^c = (\det \varphi) \cdot 1$. The entries of φ^c are precisely the generators of

 $\operatorname{Fit}_{1}(\varphi)$. But $\varphi \Psi = x \cdot 1_{G}$, so

$$x\varphi^c = \Psi\varphi\varphi^c = \Psi \cdot (\det \varphi),$$

proving (c). From this last equation, we see that $\Psi = x\varphi^c/\det \varphi$ (over the ring $A[(\det \varphi)^{-1}]$). Since $\det \varphi$ is a nonzero divisor, this shows that Ψ is determined by φ .

Conversely, if (a) and (c) are satisfied, then there exists a matrix Ψ such that $x \cdot \varphi^c = \Psi(\det \varphi)$. Thus $\det \varphi \cdot \Psi \varphi = x \varphi^c \varphi = x \cdot \det \varphi$, and $(\det \varphi) \varphi \Psi = x \varphi \varphi^c = x \cdot \det \varphi$. If now (b) is satisfied, $\varphi \Psi = x = \Psi \varphi$ as required.

REMARK. (1) Let $x \in A$ be a nonzero divisor, and suppose $\varphi \colon F \to G$ and $\Psi \colon G \to F$ are maps of free modules with rank $F = \operatorname{rank} G$. Then $\varphi \Psi = x \cdot 1_G$ implies that $\Psi = x\varphi^{-1}$ over the ring $A[x^{-1}]$, so $\Psi \varphi = x \cdot 1_G$. Thus half the condition for (φ, Ψ) to be a matrix factorization implies the other half.

(2) If $\varphi: F \to G$ is a map between free modules of the same rank such that det φ is a nonzero divisor, then $\operatorname{ann}_R(\operatorname{Coker} \varphi) = (\operatorname{Fit}_1(\varphi): \det \varphi)$ (see, for example, [B-E 3]). Thus condition (c) is equivalent to x Coker $\varphi = 0$.

We now consider the case in which x is a prime nonzero divisor, so that B = A/(x) is an integral domain. Let Q be the quotient field of B. If M is a B-module, we define rank_B M to be the dimension of the Q-vectorspace $Q \otimes M$.

PROPOSITION 5.6. Suppose that $x \in A$ is a prime nonzero divisor, and that (φ, Ψ) is a matrix factorization of x. Write $\det \varphi = x^k u$, with $u \notin (x)$. Then $\operatorname{rank}_B(\operatorname{Coker} \varphi) = k$.

PROOF. Localizing at (x), we may assume that A is a discrete valuation ring with parameter x. It follows easily (for example from the theory of elementary divisors) that $(\varphi, \Psi) \cong (1_{g-k} \oplus x1_k, x1_{g-k} \oplus 1_k)$, where g = rank G. The desired result follows.

Finally, if $(\varphi: F \to G, \Psi: G \to F)$ is a matrix factorization of x, consider the problem of explicitly determining Ψ from φ . If x is a prime nonzero divisor, then, since $(\det \varphi)(\det \Psi) = x^g$, where $g = \operatorname{rank} G$, we must have $\det \varphi = x^k u$, for some unit u. If k = 1, then letting φ^c be the matrix of cofactors of φ , $(\varphi, u^{-1}\varphi^c)$ is also a matrix factorization of x, so by Proposition 5.5, $\Psi = u^{-1}\varphi^c$. The following proposition generalizes this remark. Recall that if F and G have rank G, then a choice of generators in f and f and f induces isomorphisms

$$\bigwedge^{k} F \xrightarrow{\delta} \bigwedge^{g-k} F^{*}, \qquad \bigwedge^{k} G \xrightarrow{\delta} \bigwedge^{g-k} G^{*}.$$

With this notation, $\varphi^c = \delta^{-1}(\bigwedge^{g-1} \varphi^*)\delta$. More generally [Bou, Chapitre III]

$$\bigwedge^{k} \varphi \cdot \delta^{-1} \left(\bigwedge^{g-1} \varphi^{*} \right) \delta = \bigwedge^{g} \varphi.$$

PROPOSITION 5.7. Suppose (φ, Ψ) is a matrix factorization of a nonzero divisor $x \in A$, and that $\det \varphi = x^k u$, for some $u \in A$. Then

$$u \wedge^{k} \Psi = \delta^{-1} (\wedge^{g-k} \varphi^{*}) \delta.$$

PROOF. Both $(\bigwedge^k \varphi, u \bigwedge^k \Psi)$ and $(\bigwedge^k \varphi, \delta^{-1} \bigwedge^{g-k} \varphi^* \delta)$ are matrix factorizations of $xu = \det \varphi$, which is a nonzero divisor by Proposition 5.5, condition (b). The conclusion follows from the last statement of Proposition 5.5.

6. Resolutions and Cohen-Macaulay modules over a hypersurface. In this section, we will show that minimal resolutions over a hypersurface are eventually periodic (Theorem 6.1), and deduce some consequences for these rings. Theorem 6.1 could be deduced from the machinery we have already built up; but we have chosen to give an elementary proof, which foreshadows some of the ideas in the next two sections. We are grateful to M. Hochster for a remark which simplified our original proof of Theorem 6.1.

We will say that a complex $F: \ldots \to F_1 \to F_0$ becomes periodic of period 2 after k steps if the truncation $F^{(k)}: \ldots \to F_{k+1} \to F_k$ is periodic of period 2.

If B is a local ring, then a (finitely generated) B-module M is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module if depth $M = \dim B$ (the largest possible value); that is, if there is a system of parameters p_1, \ldots, p_d in B which form an M-sequence.

THEOREM 6.1. Let A be a regular local ring, $x \in A$, and let B = A/x. Let $d = \dim A$. If

$$\mathbf{F}: \ldots \to F_1 \to F_0$$

is the minimal B-free resolution of a finitely generated B-module M, then:

- (i) F becomes periodic of period 2 after d + 1 steps,
- (ii) F is periodic (necessarily of period 2) if and only if M is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay B-module with no free summand.
- (iii) Every periodic minimal free resolution over B has the form $\mathbf{F}(\varphi, \Psi)$ for some matrix factorization φ , Ψ of x over A. In particular, the map $t(A, x, \mathbf{F})$ gives the periodicity.

The following corollary generalizes the Auslander-Buchsbaum-Serre characterization of regular local rings as those of finite global dimension:

COROLLARY 6.2. Let R be a local ring. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) The maximal ideal of R can be generated by $1 + \dim R$ elements, and the zero ideal of R is analytically unmixed (that is, 0 is unmixed in the completion of R).
- (ii) The minimal free resolution of any finitely generated R-module becomes periodic, of period 2, after 1 + dim R steps.
- (iii) There exists a free resolution $\mathbf{F}: \ldots \to F_1 \to F_0$ of the residue class field of R such that for some n, rank $F_n < n$.

REMARK. A ring satisfying condition (i) is sometimes called an "abstract hypersurface", since its completion can be written as a regular local ring modulo a principal ideal. Since condition (ii) of the corollary is preserved by localization, one consequence of the corollary is that any localization of an abstract hypersurface is an abstract hypersurface. Using the material of $\S 8$, an analogous result could be proved for "abstract complete intersections" of codimension $\P r$ for any r.

PROOF OF THEOREM 6.1. By Lemma 0.1, part (i) follows from part (ii). For the "only if" part of (ii), note that if M has a periodic minimal free resolution $\ldots \to G \to F \to G \to M \to 0$, then $M \cong \ker(G \to F)$, so Lemma 0.1 applies to show that M is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module with no free summands.

We will now show that if M is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay B-module without free summands, then the minimal B-free resolution of M has the form $F(\varphi, \Psi)$, where (φ, Ψ) is a matrix factorization of x. By virtue of Proposition 5.1 this will conclude the proof.

By the theorem of Auslander-Buchsbaum-Serre,

projective dimension_A
$$(M) = \dim A - \operatorname{depth} M = 1$$
.

Let $F^{\sharp}: 0 \to F \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \to M \to 0$ be the minimal free resolution of M as an A-module. Since M is annihilated by x, $xG \subset (\text{image } \varphi)$, so there exists a map $\Psi: G \to F$ so that $\varphi \Psi = x1_G$. Multiplying by φ on the right we get $\varphi \Psi \varphi = x\varphi$. Since φ is a monomorphism, this implies $\Psi \varphi = x \cdot 1_F$, so (φ, Ψ) is a matrix factorization of x over A.

Writing \bar{x} for reduction modulo x,

$$\mathbf{F}(\varphi, \Psi): \ldots \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} \overline{G} \xrightarrow{\overline{\Psi}} \overline{F} \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} \overline{G}$$

is a periodic free resolution of M by Proposition 5.1. It remains to show that $F(\varphi, \Psi)$ is minimal. Since $0 \to F \xrightarrow{\varphi} G$ was chosen to be minimal, we need only show that if $\mathfrak M$ is the maximal ideal of B, then $\overline{\Psi}(\overline{G}) \subset \mathfrak M \overline{F}$. However, since $F(\varphi, \Psi)$ is exact,

$$\overline{\Psi}(\overline{G}) \simeq \operatorname{Coker} \overline{\varphi} \simeq \overline{M} \simeq M.$$

If $\overline{\Psi}(\overline{G})$ were not contained in $\mathfrak{N}\overline{F}$, then $\overline{\Psi}(\overline{G})$ would contain a basis element of \overline{F} , so $\overline{\Psi}(\overline{G})$ would have a *B*-free summand. Since we assumed that *M* had no free summands, this concludes the proof.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 6.2. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $F: \ldots \to F_2 \to F_1 \to F_0$ be the minimal free resolution of an R-module M. Because F is minimal, it suffices to prove that $\Omega_{i+2}^R(M) \cong \Omega_i^R(M)$ for large i. But it follows from Cohen's structure theorems that the completion \hat{R} can be written in the form $\hat{R} = A/I$, where A is a regular local ring, whose dimension is the number of generators $1 + \dim R$ of the maximal ideal of R, and I is an ideal of A. The hypothesis (i) on R means that the associated primes of 0 in \hat{R} all have the same dimension, so I is unmixed of height 1. Since A is regular, A factorial, so I is principal, say I = (x).

By Theorem 3.1(i), the minimal resolution $\hat{R} \otimes \mathbf{F}$ of $\hat{R} \otimes M$ becomes periodic of period 2. Writing $\Omega_k^R(M)$ for the kth-syzygy module of M as an R-module, and using the corresponding notation for syzygies over \hat{R} , we have:

$$\hat{R} \otimes \Omega_{i+2}^R(M) \cong \Omega_{i+2}^{\hat{R}}(\hat{R} \otimes M) \cong \Omega_i^{\hat{R}}(\hat{R} \otimes M) \cong \hat{R} \otimes \Omega_i^R(M)$$

for i large.

The fact that $\hat{R} \otimes \Omega_{i+2}^R(M) \cong \hat{R} \otimes \Omega_i^R(M)$ implies that $\Omega_{i+2}^R(M) \cong \Omega_i^R(M)$ [EGA, Chapitre IV, 2.5.8]. (Sketch of proof. The maps

$$\hat{R} \otimes \Omega_{i+2}^R(M) \stackrel{\alpha}{\underset{\beta}{\longrightarrow}} \hat{R} \otimes \Omega_i^R(M)$$

that give the isomorphism may be approximated \mathfrak{N} -adically by maps of the form $\hat{R} \otimes \alpha'$ and $\hat{R} \otimes \beta'$. For sufficiently good approximations, α' and β' will be onto. But then $\alpha'\beta'$ and $\beta'\alpha'$, being epimorphisms from a noetherian module to itself, are isomorphisms.) This proves (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is obvious.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Condition (i) is really a condition on the completion of R, and condition (iii) passes to the completion, so we may assume that R is complete. Write R = S/I, where S is a regular local ring of the minimal possible dimension; say dim S = d. The number d will also be the minimal number of generators of the maximal ideal of R. Suppose I is minimally generated by e elements. Then by [G1], the underlying graded R-module of F may be written as a tensor product of which one of the factors is $D(R^e) \otimes \Lambda R^d$, where ΛR^d is the exterior algebra on d generators of degree 1 and $D(R^e)$ is the divided power algebra on e elements of degree 2. (See §2 or [G-L] for more details.)

If e = 0, then R = S is regular, and (i) is trivial. If e = 1, then by the unmixedness theorem [Mat, Theorem 16.D], I is unmixed of height 1, and (i) follows. If d < 1, then I is principal, so e = 1. Thus we are done unless d > 2, e > 2. But then a straightforward computation shows that

rank
$$F_k \ge \operatorname{rank}(D(R^e) \otimes \Lambda R^d)_k$$

 $\ge \operatorname{rank}(D(R^2) \otimes \Lambda R^2)_k = k,$

contradicting condition (iii).

As a consequence of Theorems 6.1 and 5.2, we see that periodic free resolutions and Cohen-Macaulay modules over a hypersurface correspond to matrix factorizations. To be more precise, we introduce some terminology:

Two matrix factorizations $(\varphi: F \to G, \Psi: G \to F)$ and $(\varphi': F' \to G', \Psi': G' \to F')$ of $x \in A$ are equivalent if there exist isomorphisms α, β making the diagram

commutes.) The direct sum of (φ, Ψ) and (φ', Ψ') is $(\varphi \oplus \varphi', \Psi \oplus \Psi')$. The trivial factorizations are (1, x) and (x, 1). A factorization is reduced if it is not equivalent to a factorization having a trivial factorization as a direct summand. If x is a nonzero divisor and A is local with maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} , it is easy to show that (φ, Ψ) is reduced if and only if $\varphi(F) \subset \mathfrak{M}G$ and $\Psi(G) \subset \mathfrak{M}F$.

COROLLARY 6.3. Let A be a regular local ring, and let B = A/(x) be a proper factor ring. Write $\bar{}$ for reduction modulo x. The associations

$$(\varphi, \Psi) \mapsto \mathbf{F}_{(\varphi, \Psi)}: \ldots \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} \overline{G} \xrightarrow{\bar{\Psi}} \overline{F} \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} \overline{G}$$

and

$$(\varphi, \psi) \mapsto M_{(\varphi, \Psi)} = \text{Coker } \varphi$$

induce bijections between the set of

- (1) Equivalence classes of reduced matrix factorizations of x over A.
- (2) Isomorphism classes of nontrivial periodic minimal free resolutions over B.
- (3) Maximal Cohen-Macaulay B-modules without free summands.

If $x \in A$ is a prime, we can be still more explicit:

COROLLARY 6.4. Let A be a regular local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} and let F be a free A-module of rank n. Let $x \in A$ be a prime element and set B = A/(x). There is a bijection between the sets

- (i) Maximal Cohen-Macaulay B-modules of rank k requiring exactly n generators.
- (ii) Equivalence classes of endomorphisms $\varphi \colon F \to F$ with $\varphi(F) \subset \mathfrak{N}F$, $\det \varphi = x^k$, and $\operatorname{Fit}_1(\varphi) \subset (x^{k-1})$. (The equivalence relation is given by $\varphi \sim P\varphi Q$ if P and Q are automorphisms of F with $\det P \det Q = 1$.)

The bijection is induced by $\varphi \mapsto \operatorname{Coker} \varphi$.

REMARK. If k = 1, the condition Fit₁ $\varphi \subset (x^{k-1})$ becomes vacuous.

Suppose φ satisfies (ii). From Proposition 5.5, we see that there is a matrix factorization of x of the form (φ, Ψ) . (In particular, Coker φ is a *B*-module!) Corollary 6.4 now follows from Corollary 6.3 and Proposition 5.6.

7. Construction of free resolutions over a complete intersection. In this section we will give a construction for free resolutions over a complete intersection which generalizes the constructions of §§2 and 5 (and also the construction given in [G2]). Its disadvantage is that it does not always produce minimal resolutions. We will see in the next section that this can be overcome "in relative codimension 1".

Let A be a ring, let x_1, \ldots, x_n be an A-sequence, and set $B = A/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Given any A-free resolution of a B-module M, and certain additional data, we will construct a B-free resolution of M, and we will describe the action of the $t_i(A, \{x_i\}, -)$ on this resolution.

Let $\mathbf{F}: \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial} F_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} F_i \xrightarrow{\partial}$ be a complex of A-modules. We will distinguish between endomorphisms of degree k of \mathbf{F} as a graded module (that is, a family of maps $s: F_i \to F_{i+k}$, for all i) and endomorphisms of degree k of \mathbf{F} as a complex (s as above, subject to $s\partial = \partial s$).

We also introduce some multi-index conventions. A multi-index (of length n) is a sequence $\alpha = \langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \rangle$ where each α_i is an integer ≥ 0 . We write $0 = \langle 0, \ldots, 0 \rangle$. The order of a multi-index α is

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i$$
.

The sum $\alpha + \beta$ is defined as $\langle \alpha_1 + \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n + \beta_n \rangle$.

If **F** is an A-free resolution (with A as above), we will show how to make $B \otimes D(A^n) \otimes \mathbf{F} = D(B^n) \otimes \mathbf{F}$ into a B-free resolution, using the auxiliary maps described in the next theorem:

THEOREM 7.1. Let A be a ring, and let M be an A-module which is annihilated by elements $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in A$. Suppose the ideal (x_1, \ldots, x_n) contains a nonzero divisor. If \mathbb{F} is a free resolution of M, then there are endomorphisms s_{α} of degree $2|\alpha|-1$ of \mathbb{F} as a graded module for each multi-index α satisfying

- (i) s_0 is the differential of **F**.
- (ii) If α is the multi-index $\langle 0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0 \rangle$ (1 in the jth place) then the map $s_0 s_{\alpha} + s_{\alpha} s_0$ is multiplication by x_i .
 - (iii) If γ is a multi-index with $|\gamma| > 1$, then $\sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} s_{\alpha} s_{\beta} = 0$.

REMARKS. (1) If F is finite, only finitely many s_{α} are nonzero.

(2) If x_1, \ldots, x_n is a regular sequence on A, and if K is the Koszul complex resolving (x_1, \ldots, x_n) , considered as a differential graded algebra, then it seems reasonable to hope that the minimal free resolution F can be given the structure of a differential graded K-module. (For example, if M were cyclic, and F had the structure of a graded commutative associative differential algebra conjectured in [B-E 1], this would follow. In any case, there are resolutions of any module M which are K-modules [G2].) In plain terms, this means that for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$, multiplication by x_j on F should be homotopic to 0 by a map s_j satisfying $s_j^2 = 0$, $s_j s_j = -s_j s_j$. If such maps exist for F, then for each multi-index α we could set

$$s_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ s_{j} & \text{if } \alpha = \langle 0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0 \rangle \text{ (with 1 in the } j \text{th place),} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and this choice would satisfy Theorem 7.1. Thus the theorem may be regarded as giving an "approximate K-module structure" on F. See note at end of paper.

Using Theorem 6.1, we can construct $A/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ -free resolutions from A-free resolutions, if x_1, \ldots, x_n is an A-sequence, as follows:

THEOREM 7.2. Let A be a ring, and let x_1, \ldots, x_n be an A-sequence. Set $B = A/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, and let F be an A-free resolution of a B-module M. Let $\{s_\alpha\}$ be a family of endomorphisms of F as a graded module satisfying the conditions of Theorem 7.1. Finally, let t_1, \ldots, t_n be variables of degree -2, and set $D = D(B^n) = \text{Hom}_{graded\ B-modules}(B[t_1, \ldots, t_n], B)$, with the natural structure of a $B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ -module. The graded B-module $D \otimes F$, equipped with the differential $\partial = \sum_{\alpha} t^{\alpha} \otimes s_{\alpha}$ is a B-free resolution of M. Moreover, $t_i(A, \{x_i\}, D \otimes F)$ may be chosen to be $t_i \otimes 1$.

REMARKS. (1) Write τ_1, \ldots, τ_n for the dual basis to t_1, \ldots, t_n , so that $\tau^{(\alpha)} = \tau_1^{(\alpha_1)} \cdots \tau_n^{(\alpha_n)}$ is a dual basis of **D** to the base of monomials. As in §2, we see that $t^{\alpha}(\tau^{(\beta)}) = 0$ for all α with $|\alpha| > |\beta|$. Thus $\partial_{\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F}}$ is well defined even though the sum involved is formally infinite.

(2) The construction of $D \otimes F$ generalizes the construction given in §5. If F has length 1, that is

$$\mathbf{F} \colon 0 \to G \underset{s_0}{\to} F,$$

then $s_0 = \varphi$, and the only nontrivial s_α is $s_1 : \dot{F} \to G$, which satisfies $s_1 s_0 = x$. Since x is a nonzero divisor, this implies $s_0 s_1 = x$, so (s_0, s_1) is a matrix factorization of x, and with the notation of §5, $\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}(s_0, s_1)$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 7.1. Beginning with the definition $s_0 = \partial$, we will construct the s_{α} by induction on $|\alpha|$.

Condition (ii) is the assertion that s_{α} , for $\alpha = \langle 0, \ldots, 0, 1, \ldots, 0 \rangle$ (with 1 in the jth place), is a homotopy for multiplication by x_j . Since $x_j M = 0$, multiplication by x_j is indeed homotopic to 0 on \mathbf{F} , so the existence of s_{α} for $|\alpha| = 1$ satisfying condition (ii) is assured.

Now suppose s_{α} have been constructed for α with $|\alpha| < |\gamma_0|$, for some γ_0 . Set

$$\varepsilon = -\sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta=\gamma_0\\|\alpha|<\gamma_0\\|\beta|<\gamma_0}} s_{\alpha}s_{\beta},$$

we seek a map s_{γ_0} with $s_{\gamma_0}s_0 + s_0s_{\gamma_0} = \varepsilon$. Since $s_0^2 = 0$, any map of the form $ss_0 + s_0s$ must commute with s_0 . A straightforward but tedious computation, using (i), (ii) and (iii) with $|\gamma| < |\gamma_0|$, shows that, indeed, $\varepsilon s_0 = s_0 \varepsilon$. The next lemma thus finishes the proof.

LEMMA 7.3. Let \mathbf{F} be a free resolution of an A-module M, and suppose that the annihilator of M contains a nonzero divisor. Let $\varepsilon \colon \mathbf{F} \to \mathbf{F}$ be an endomorphism of degree k > 0 of \mathbf{F} as a graded module, and let s_0 be the differential of \mathbf{F} . Then there exists an endomorphism s of \mathbf{F} as a graded module such that $s_0s + ss_0 = \varepsilon$ if and only if $s_0\varepsilon = \varepsilon s_0$.

PROOF OF LEMMA 7.3. The necessity of the condition is obvious; we prove the sufficiency. Since ϵ : $\mathbf{F} \to \mathbf{F}$ commutes with s_0 , it is an endomorphism of \mathbf{F} as a complex of degree k > 0. But since M is annihilated by a nonzero divisor, the induced map

$$M = \operatorname{Coker}(F_1 \to F_0) \to \operatorname{Coker}(F_{k+1} \to F_k) \subset F_{k-1}$$

must be 0. Thus ε is homotopic to 0; that is, there exists a map s such that $\varepsilon = s_0 s + s s_0$.

Before launching into the proof of Theorem 7.2, we examine, under the hypotheses of Theorem 7.2, the homology of the complex $B \otimes F$. If $\alpha = \langle 0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0 \rangle$ (*i* in the *j*th place), we will write s_j in place of s_{α} for the map making multiplication by x_j homotopic to 0. We continue to write s_0 for the differential of F. Since $s_j \partial + \partial s_j = x_j$, the map $1 \otimes s_j$: $B \otimes F \to B \otimes F$ is an endomorphism of $B \otimes F$ as a complex, and thus acts on $H_*(B \otimes F)$.

LEMMA 7.4. With hypotheses as in Theorem 7.2, the homology of $B \otimes F$ is given by

$$H_m(B\otimes \mathbf{F})\simeq \bigwedge^m B^n\otimes M.$$

Furthermore, there is a basis u_1, \ldots, u_n of B^n such that, writing

$$\sigma_i: \bigwedge B^n \to \bigwedge B^n$$

for the map σ_i : $b \mapsto u_i \wedge b$, the map

$$\left(\bigwedge^{m} B^{n} \right) \otimes M = H_{m}(B \otimes \mathbf{F}) \to H_{m+1}(B \otimes \mathbf{F}) = \left(\bigwedge^{m+1} B^{n} \right) \otimes M$$

induced by $1 \otimes s_i$ is $\sigma_i \otimes 1$.

PROOF. Let

K:
$$\mathbf{K}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$
: $\ldots \xrightarrow{\kappa} \bigwedge^2 A^n \xrightarrow{\kappa} A^n \xrightarrow{\kappa} A$

be the Koszul complex which resolves B as an A-module, and let $\tilde{u}_1, \ldots, \tilde{u}_n$ be a basis for A^n such that $\kappa(\tilde{u}_i) = x_i$. It is easy to check that the map

$$\tilde{\sigma}_i: \bigwedge A^n \to \bigwedge A^n$$

given by $\tilde{\sigma}_j(a) = \tilde{u}_j \wedge a$ satisfies $\kappa \tilde{\sigma}_j + \tilde{\sigma}_j \kappa = x_j$. Let π_1 and π_2 be the natural projections of complexes

$$B \otimes \mathbf{F} \overset{\pi_1}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{F} \overset{\pi_2}{\rightarrow} \mathbf{K} \otimes M.$$

Each of π_1 and π_2 induces an isomorphism on homology and factors through $B \otimes K \otimes F$. Moreover, the maps

$$S_j = \tilde{\sigma}_j \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes s_j : \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{F} \to \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{F}$$

satisfy $(\kappa \otimes s_0)S_j + S_j(\kappa \otimes s_0) = x_j$ for each j, and make the diagram of complexes

commute. Consequently, $1 \otimes s_i$ induces the same map as $\tilde{\sigma}_i \otimes 1$ on

$$H_*(B \otimes \mathbb{F}) \cong \operatorname{Tor}^A_*(B, M) \simeq H_*(\mathbb{K} \otimes M).$$

Now $H_*(\mathbb{K} \otimes M) = (\bigwedge A^n) \otimes M = \bigwedge B^n \otimes M$, since $\kappa \otimes 1_M$ is 0, so the map induced by $1 \otimes s_i$ on homology is $\sigma_i \otimes 1$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 7.2. Let $D(A^n) = \text{Hom}(A[t_1, \ldots, t_n], A)$, so that $\mathbf{D} = B \otimes D(A^n)$, and define

$$\tilde{\partial}_{\mathbf{D}\otimes\mathbf{F}} = \sum_{\alpha} t^{\alpha} \otimes s_{\alpha} : D(A^{n}) \otimes \mathbf{F} \to D(A^{n}) \otimes \mathbf{F},$$

so that $\partial = B \otimes \tilde{\partial}$. We will continue to write s_0 for the differential of F, and s_j for s_α when $\alpha = \langle 0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0 \rangle$ (with a 1 in the jth place).

It follows easily from the formulas of Theorem 7.1 that

$$\tilde{\partial}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j \otimes x_j = \sum x_j(t_j \otimes 1),$$

where we have written x_j for the map "multiplication by x_j ". Thus $\partial^2 = B \otimes \tilde{\partial}^2 = 0$, so $\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F}$ is a complex, and $t_j(A, \{x_j\}, \mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F}) = B \otimes (t_j \otimes 1) = t_j \otimes 1$, as claimed.

It remains to prove that $D \otimes F$ is exact. We will do this by regarding $D \otimes F$ as a filtered complex, and considering the associated spectral sequence. (See, for example, [S].)

We filter $\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F}$ by degree in $\mathbf{D} = D(B^n)$, setting

$$\mathfrak{F}_l = \mathfrak{F}_l(\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F}) = \sum_{k \leq l} D_k(B^n) \otimes \mathbf{F},$$

so that $0=\mathfrak{F}_0\subset\mathfrak{F}_1\subset\ldots\subset D\otimes F.$ We also set

$$\operatorname{gr}_{l}(\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F}) = \mathfrak{F}_{l}/\mathfrak{F}_{l-1} = D_{l}(D^{n}) \otimes \mathbf{F},$$

$$gr(\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F}) = \sum gr_t(\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F}).$$

Since each t_j acts on **D** with degree -2, \mathfrak{T}_l is a subcomplex. Associated with this filtration of **D** \otimes **F** is a spectral sequence

$$E^1 = H(gr(\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F})) \Rightarrow H(\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F}).$$

We assert that the filtration is finite on each term of the complex $D \otimes F$, in fact

$$\mathcal{F}_{l}[(\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F})_{m}] = \sum_{k < l; 2k+j=m} D_{k}(B^{n}) \otimes F_{j} = (\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F})_{m}$$

if l > m/2, since $F_j = 0$ for j < 0. Thus the above spectral sequence converges.

We next compute E^1 and its differential d^1 . Since $D_l(B^n)$ is a free B-module, we have

$$H_m(\operatorname{gr}_l \mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F}) = H_m(D_l(B^n) \otimes \mathbf{F}) = D_l(B^n) \otimes H_m(B \otimes \mathbf{F})$$
$$= D_l(B^n) \otimes \bigwedge^m B^n \otimes M,$$

by Lemma 7.4. The differential

$$d^1: H_m(\operatorname{gr}_l(\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F})) \to H_{m+1}(\operatorname{gr}_{l-1}(\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F}))$$

is induced by $\partial = \sum t^{\alpha} \otimes s_{\alpha}$, and is thus given by

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \otimes s_{j} \colon D_{l}(B^{n}) \otimes H_{m}(B \otimes \mathbb{F}) \to D_{l-1}(B^{n}) \otimes H_{m+1}(B \otimes \mathbb{F}).$$

By the second statement of Lemma 7.4, this is the same as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \otimes \alpha_{1} \otimes 1 \colon D_{l}(B^{n}) \otimes \bigwedge^{m} B^{n} \otimes M \to D_{l-1}(B^{n}) \otimes \bigwedge^{m+1} B^{n} \otimes M.$$

If we now define complexes

$$\mathbf{A}_{r}(B^{n}) \colon 0 \to D_{r}(B^{n}) \otimes \bigwedge^{0} B^{n} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} D_{r-1}(B^{n}) \otimes \bigwedge^{1} B^{n} \to \cdots$$

$$\vdots \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} D_{\sigma-n}(B^{n}) \otimes \bigwedge^{n} B^{n} \to 0$$

by $\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i \otimes \sigma_i$, we see that E^1 , with its differential d^1 , is the direct sum of the complexes $A_r(B^n) \otimes M$.

The next lemma will show that the homology of all these complexes is 0, except that $H(A_0(B^n) \otimes M) \cong M$. Thus the spectral sequence will degenerate at E^2 , and we will have $H(\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F}) = M$, so that $\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{F}$ is a resolution of M. Thus Theorem 7.2 will be established by the following lemma:

LEMMA 7.5. With the above notation, the complex $A_r(B^n)$ is split exact for all r > 0. The homology of

$$A_0: 0 \to D_0(B^n) \otimes \bigwedge^0 B^n \to 0$$

is B.

PROOF. The proof is given in full in [B-E 2]. The idea is that $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} A_r$ is the B-dual of the Koszul complex $K^{B[t_1, \dots, t_n]}$ which resolves

$$B = B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]/(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$$

over the polynomial ring $B[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$. Thus the homology of $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} A_r$ is B ("in degree (0, 0)"), and A_r is exact for r > 0. Split exactness follows from exactness, since A_r is a B-free complex. The second statement of the lemma is obvious.

8. The inductive construction of minimal resolutions. In this section we will use Theorem 3.1, to give a sort of inductive construction of minimal resolutions over a complete intersection; we show that such a resolution has a truncation which is derived by the process of §7 from a resolution over a complete intersection of smaller codimension.

Consider the setting of Theorem 3.1 where we have a local ring A, an A-sequence x_1, \ldots, x_n , and the factor ring $B = A/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Let $B_1 = A/(x_2, \ldots, x_n)$. If F is a B-free complex, then $t_1(A, \{x_j\}, F) = t_1(B_1, x_1, F)$. Our basic result is the following:

THEOREM 8.1. Let B_1 be a local ring, and let $x \in B_1$ be a nonzero divisor. Set $B = B_1/(x)$, and let $F: \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial} F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} F_0$ be a B-free resolution of a B-module M such that $t = t(B_1, x, F)$: $F \to F$ is an epimorphism. Let

$$\mathbf{F}^{\sharp} \colon \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial^{\sharp}} F_{1}^{\sharp} \xrightarrow{\partial^{\sharp}} F_{0}^{\sharp}$$

be a sequence of maps of free B_1 -modules such that $B \otimes \mathbf{F}^{\sharp} = \mathbf{F}$. If $\partial^{\sharp 2} = xt^{\sharp}$, then

$$\mathbf{K}^{\sharp} = \operatorname{Ker} t^{\sharp} : \dots \xrightarrow{\partial^{\sharp} | K_{2}^{\sharp}} K_{2}^{\sharp} \xrightarrow{\partial^{\sharp} | K_{2}^{\sharp}} F_{1}^{\sharp} \xrightarrow{\partial^{\sharp}} F_{0}^{\sharp}$$

is a B_1 -free resolution of M.

Moreover setting $\mathbf{D} = D(B^1)$ then $\mathbf{F} \cong \mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{K}^{\sharp}$ as complexes, with the differential on $\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{K}^{\sharp}$ being that defined in Theorem 7.2.

REMARK. If F is minimal, then K[#] is too.

COROLLARY 8.2. Let B be a local complete intersection of codimension n, and let \mathbf{F} be the minimal B-free resolution of a B-module M. There exist a local complete intersection B_1 of codimension n-1 and an element $x \in B_1$ such that $B = B_1/(x)$, and for all large N the truncation $\mathbf{F}^{(N)}$ of \mathbf{F} has the form $\mathbf{F}^{(N)} \cong \mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{G}$, where $\mathbf{D} = D(B^1)$ is the divided power algebra, \mathbf{G} is the minimal B_1 -free resolution of the Nth B-syzygy of M, and $\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{G}$ has the differential defined in Theorem 7.2.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 8.2. Apply Theorems 3.1 and 8.1.

Part of Theorem 8.1 actually holds more generally:

LEMMA 8.3. Let B_1 be a local ring, and let $x \in B_1$ be a nonzero divisor. Set $B = B_1/(x)$ and let (\mathbf{F}, ∂) be a complex of free B-modules. Let $(\mathbf{F}^{\sharp}, \partial^{\sharp})$ be a lifting of (\mathbf{F}, ∂) to a sequence of maps of free B-modules, as in Theorem 8.1. If $t^{\sharp} \colon \mathbf{F}^{\sharp} \to \mathbf{F}^{\sharp}$ satisfies $xt^{\sharp} = \partial^{\sharp 2}$, then t^{\sharp} commutes with ∂^{\sharp} and Ker t^{\sharp} is a complex with differential $\partial^{\sharp}|\text{Ker }t^{\sharp}|$.

PROOF. $xt^{\sharp} = \partial^{\sharp 2}$ clearly commutes with ∂^{\sharp} ; since x is a nonzero divisor, t^{\sharp} does too. This implies in particular that $\partial^{\sharp}(\text{Ker }t^{\sharp}) \subset \text{Ker }t^{\sharp}$. Also, $\partial^{\sharp 2}(\text{Ker }t^{\sharp}) = xt^{\sharp}(\text{Ker }t^{\sharp}) = 0$, so the second statement follows from the first.

PROOF OF THEOREM 8.1. By Nakayama's Lemma, $t^{\sharp}: \mathbf{F}^{\sharp} \to \mathbf{F}^{\sharp}$ is an epimorphism. Thus for each i,

$$K_i^{\sharp} = \operatorname{Ker}(t^{\sharp}: F_i^{\sharp} \to F_{i-2}^{\sharp})$$

is a free summand of F_i^{\sharp} . Note that for $i = 0, 1, K_i^{\sharp} = F_i^{\sharp}$. Thus by Lemma 8.3, K^{\sharp} is a free complex.

We must now show

- (i) $\operatorname{Coker}(F_1^{\sharp} \xrightarrow{\partial} F_0^{\sharp}) = M$,
- (ii) $H_i(\mathbf{K}^{\sharp}) = 0$ for i > 0.

For (i), note that since t_1^{\sharp} : $F_2^{\sharp} \to F_0^{\sharp}$ is onto, we have

$$xF_0^{\sharp} = \text{image } xt_1^{\sharp} = \text{image } \partial^{\sharp 2} \subset \text{image} \Big(F_1^{\sharp} \overset{\partial^{\sharp}}{\rightarrow} F_0^{\sharp} \Big).$$

Thus $\operatorname{Coker}(F_1^{\sharp} \to F_0^{\sharp})$ is annihilated by x. Thus

$$\operatorname{Coker}(F_1^{\sharp} \to F_0^{\sharp}) = B \otimes \operatorname{Coker}(F_1^{\sharp} \to F_0^{\sharp}) = \operatorname{Coker}(F_1 \to F_0) = M.$$

For (ii), let $K = B \otimes K^{\sharp}$. Since K^{\sharp} is a free direct summand of F^{\sharp} , we will have K = Ker t. Thus there is an exact sequence of complexes $0 \to K \to F \xrightarrow{t} F \to 0$ (where degree t = -2 as usual). From the ensuing long exact sequence in homology, we easily deduce

$$H_i(\mathbf{K}) = 0, \qquad i \ge 2,$$

 $H_1(\mathbf{K}) \cong H_0(\mathbf{K}) \cong H_0(\mathbf{F}) = M.$ (*)

Next consider the exact sequence of complexes $0 \to \mathbf{K}^{\sharp} \xrightarrow{x} \mathbf{K}^{\sharp} \to \mathbf{K} \to 0$. The long exact sequence in homology together with (*) yields exact sequences

$$0 \to H_i(\mathbf{K}^{\sharp}) \xrightarrow{x} H_i(\mathbf{K}^{\sharp}) \to 0, \qquad i \ge 2, \tag{**}$$

and

$$0 \to H_1(\mathbf{K}^{\sharp}) \xrightarrow{x} H_1(\mathbf{K}^{\sharp}) \to M \xrightarrow{\delta} H_0(\mathbf{K}^{\sharp}) \xrightarrow{x} H_0(\mathbf{K}^{\sharp}) \to M \to 0. \tag{***}$$

From (i) we get $H_0(\mathbf{K}^{\sharp}) \cong M$ so (***) becomes

$$0 \to H_1(\mathbf{K}^{\sharp}) \stackrel{x}{\to} H_1(\mathbf{K}^{\sharp}) \to M \stackrel{\delta}{\to} M \to 0.$$

Since an endomorphism of a noetherian module which is an epimorphism must be an isomorphism, ker $\delta = 0$ and we obtain $0 \to H_1(\mathbf{K}^{\sharp}) \xrightarrow{x} H_1(\mathbf{K}^{\sharp}) \to 0$. Since x is in the maximal ideal of B_1 , this last sequence and (**) show that $H_i(\mathbf{K}^{\sharp}) = 0$ for all $i \ge 1$ by Nakayama's Lemma. This proves (ii).

It remains to show that $\mathbf{F} \cong \mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{K}^{\sharp}$. However, by Theorem 7.1, both are *B*-free resolutions of the same *B*-module *M*. Since $K_i^{\sharp} = \operatorname{Ker}(F_i^{\sharp} \stackrel{t}{\to} F_{i-2}^{\sharp})$, we have

$$\operatorname{rank} K_i^{\sharp} = \operatorname{rank} F_i - \operatorname{rank} F_{i-2}, \quad i > 0.$$

An easy computation now shows that rank $F_i = \text{rank}(\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{K}^{\sharp})_i$. Since both \mathbf{F} and $\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{K}^{\sharp}$ must be built out of the minimal B-free resolution of M by the addition of various trivial summands, this implies $\mathbf{F} \cong \mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{K}^{\sharp}$.

9. Two results on modules over finite groups. Modular representations of finite groups behave in many ways like modules over complete intersections, probably because, in characteristic p, the subalgebra corresponding to a maximal abelian p subgroup is a complete intersection (see the proof of Theorem 9.1 for details); however, the nonabelian p-part, and the whole p'-part, make it difficult to apply our theory directly except in special cases.

The proof of Theorem 9.1, below, is such a case. On the other hand, our proof of Theorem 9.2 is really an application of the philosophy of this paper, rather than of the results we have developed. Both results are extensions of results of J. Alperin [A2]; in the case of Theorem 9.1 we get a better bound (as conjectured by Alperin), while in Theorem 9.2 we are able to drop Alperin's assumption that the ground field k is algebraic over the prime field.

Theorem 9.1 has been proved, independently and by very different means, by Carlson [C]. The interested reader should consult his paper for a more precise analysis of the group-theoretic case.

THEOREM 9.1. Let k be a field of characteristic $p \neq 0$, and let G be a finite group. Suppose G contains an abelian subgroup of order p^n and exponent p^e . If M is a kG-module that can be resolved by kG-projections of bounded rank, then $p^{n-e}|\dim_k M$.

PROOF. Of course we may assume that G is abelian of rank p^n and exponent p^e ; that is, in terms of generators and relations, $G = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_s; g_1^{p^e} = g_2^{p^{n^2}} = \cdots = g_s^{p^{n^e}} = 1 \rangle$, with $n_i \leq e$ and $e + \sum_{i=2}^s n_i = n$. Writing $x_i = g_i - 1$, we see that $kG = k[|X_1, \ldots, X_s|]/(X_1^{p^e}, X_2^{p^{n^2}}, \ldots, X_s^{p^{n^e}})$. Since kG is thus a complete intersection, Theorem 4.1 shows that the resolution of M becomes eventually periodic. Since kG is self-injective, M is a direct sum of a free module and a module whose

resolution is periodic. Since free modules have rank p^n , we may assume that M itself has a periodic resolution.

Extending k if necessary to an algebraically closed field, and applying Theorem 5.2 (together with the explicit construction of the ring B_1 in the proof of that theorem) we see that there are elements $r_2, \ldots, r_s \in k$ such that M has projective dimension 1 as a module over

$$k[|X_1,\ldots,X_n|]/(X_2^{p^{n_2}}-r_2X_1^{p^e},\ldots,X_s^{p^{n_s}}-r_sX_1^{p^e}).$$

Note that if $t_i = r^{1/p^{n_i}}$ then

$$X_i^{p^{n_i}} - r_i X_1^{p^e} = (X_i - t_i X_1^{p^{e^{-n_i}}})^{p^{n_i}},$$

since $n_i \le e$. This suggests the change of variable $y_1 = X_1$,

$$y_i = X_i - t_i X_1^{p^{e^{-n_i}}}, \qquad i = 2, \ldots, s,$$

and in this notation, M is a module of projective dimension 1 over the ring $k[|y_1, \ldots, y_s|]/(y_2^{p^{n_2}}, \ldots, y_s^{p^{n_s}})$. We now take any matrix presenting M, lift it to a matrix over $k[|y_1, \ldots, y_s|]$, and let \tilde{M} be the cokernel of the lifted matrix. Since \tilde{M} is complete and separated for the (y_2, \ldots, y_s) -adic topology, and

$$\tilde{M}/(y_2,\ldots,y_s)\tilde{M}=M$$

has finite length, we see that \tilde{M} is finitely generated over the subring $k[|y_2, \ldots, y_n|]$. On the other hand, it is easy to show that y_2, \ldots, y_n is an \tilde{M} -regular sequence (see for example [B-E 0]). By the Auslander-Buchsbaum-Serre Theorems, [Mat, p. 113] \tilde{M} is then a free $k[[y_2, \ldots, y_s]]$ -module, of rank t, say. But then

$$M = \tilde{M}/y_2^{p^{n_2}}, \ldots, y_s^{p^{n_s}}$$

has dimension $tp^{n_2}p^{n_3} \dots p^{n_s} = tp^{n-e}$, as desired.

THEOREM 9.2. Let k be a field, and let G be a finite group. If M is a finitely generated kG-module with no free summands, and if M can be resolved by kG-projective modules of bounded rank, then M has a periodic kG-resolution.

PROOF. Let $J = \operatorname{rad} kG$. The ring $H^*(G, k)$ is noetherian by Evens Theorem [EV]. If $P \to k$ is a projective resolution of k, then $P \otimes M \to k \otimes M = M$ is a projective resolution of M, so $H^*(G, N)$ is a finitely generated graded $H^*(G, k)$ -module.

If $H^*(G, N) \neq 0$ for infinitely many i, then, by standard theorems about primary decomposition, there will, for some large n, be an element $s \in H^n(G, k)$ such that for all large i, multiplication by s induces a monomorphism $H^i(G, N) \to H^{i+n}(G, N)$. Of course, s is represented by a map of degree -n from P to P, and so the map s induced on $H^*(G, N)$ may be represented as a map of degree -n from $P \otimes N$ to $P \otimes N$. Of course, any other resolution of N must also support a map of degree -n inducing s.

Applying this to the module $M \otimes kG/J$, we see that there is an endomorphism of degree -n of the minimal resolution Q of M which induces a monomorphism

on the homology

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{kG}^{i}(M, kG/J) = H^{i}(G, M \otimes_{k} kG/J),$$

or, for large i, equivalently, induces epimorphisms $\operatorname{Tor}_{i+n}^{kG}(M, kG/J) \to \operatorname{Tor}_{i}(M, kG/J)$ for all large i.

Since Q is minimal, this implies that the maps

$$s \colon Q_{n+i} \to Q_i \tag{*}$$

are epimorphisms for all large i; since the ranks of the Q_i are bounded, this implies that s is actually an isomorphism, at least when restricted to a sufficiently high truncation of the complex Q, and thus the resolution of M is eventually periodic, of period n. Since, however, kG is self-injective, and since M has by hypothesis no free summands, this shows that the resolution of M is itself periodic of period n.

NOTE ADDED IN PROOF (March 1980). L. Avramov, in a recent preprint (to appear in Amer. J. Math.), has shown that there is a nonvanishing obstruction to the hope expressed in Remark 2 after Theorem 7.1, above. However, as he remarks, it is still possible to hope that that hope is fulfilled for some sequences x_1, \ldots, x_n .

REFERENCES

- [A1] J. Alperin, Resolutions for finite groups, Internat. Sympos. on Theory of Finite Groups (Sapporo, Japan, 1974).
 - [A2] _____, Periodicity in groups, Illinois J. Math. (to appear).
 - [B] H. Bass, On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings, Math. Z. 82 (1963), 8-28.
 - [Bou] N. Bourbaki, Algebre, Chapitres I-III, Hermann, Paris, 1970.
- [B-E 0] D. A. Buchsbaum and D. Eisenbud, Lifting modules and a theorem on finite free resolutions, Ring Theory, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [B-E 1] _____, Algebra structures on resolutions, and some structure theorems for ideals of height 3, Amer. J. Math. (1977).
- [B-E 2] _____, Generic free resolutions and a family of generically perfect ideals, Advances in Math. (1976).
 - [B-E 3] _____, What annihilates a module?, J. Algebra 47 (1977), 231-243.
- [C] J. Carlson, The dimensions of periodic modules over modular group algebras, Illinois J. Math. 23 (1979), 295-306.
- [EGA] A. Grothendieck, Éléments de géométrie algébrique, Chapitre IV, part 2, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 24 (1965).
 - [EV] L. Evens, The cohomology ring of a finite group. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1961), 224-239.
 - [G1] T. Gulliksen, A proof of the existence of minimal R-algebra resolutions, Acta Math. 120 (1968).
- [G2] _____, A change of rings theorem, with application to Poincaré series and intersection multiplicity, Math. Scand. 34 (1974), 167–183.
- [G-L] T. Gulliksen and G. Levin, *Homology of local rings*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 20, Queen's Univ., Kingston, Ontario, 1969.
 - [Kap] I. Kaplansky, Commutative rings, 2nd ed., University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill., 1974.
- [L-V] G. Levin and W. Vasconcelos, Homological dimensions and Macaulay rings, Pacific J. Math. 25 (1968).
 - [Mat] H. Matsumura, Commutative algebra, Benjamin, New York, 1970.
 - [M] V. Mehta, Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, Calif., 1976.
 - [Nag] M. Nagata, Local rings, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [Nas] H.-J. Nastold, Zur Serreschen Multiplizitätstheorie in der arithmetischen Geometrie, Math. Ann. 143 (1961), 333-343.
- [S] J. P. Serre, Algèbre local-multiplicités, 3rd ed., Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 11, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
 - [Sh] J. Shamash, The Poincaré series of a local ring. I, J. Algebra 12 (1969), 453-470.
 - [Tate] J. Tate, Homology of Noetherian rings and local rings, Illinois J. Math. 1 (1957), 14-27.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY, WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254