ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE PRINCIPAL SERIES

IN $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$

BY

ROBERTO J. MIATELLO AND JORGE A. VARGAS¹

ABSTRACT. Let G be a semisimple Lie group of split rank one with finite center. If $\Gamma \subset G$ is a discrete cocompact subgroup, then $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) = \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{B}(G)} n_{\Gamma}(\omega) \cdot \omega$. For fixed $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(M)$, let $P(\sigma)$ denote the classes of irreducible unitary principal series $\pi_{\sigma,i\nu}$ ($\nu \in \mathfrak{A}^*$). Let, for s > 0, $\psi_{\sigma}(s) = \sum_{\omega \in P(\sigma)} n_{\Gamma}(\omega) \cdot e^{s\lambda_{\omega}}$, where λ_{ω} is the eigenvalue of Ω (the Casimir element of G) on the class ω . In this paper, we determine the singular part of the asymptotic expansion of $\psi_{\sigma}(s)$ as $s \to 0^+$ if Γ is torsion free, and the first term of the expansion for arbitrary Γ . As a consequence, if $N_{\sigma}(r) = \sum_{\omega \in P(\sigma), |\lambda_{\omega}| < r} n_{\Gamma}(\omega)$ and G is without connected compact normal subgroups, then

$$N_{\sigma}(r) \sim C_G \cdot |Z(G) \cap \Gamma| \cdot \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \setminus G) \cdot \dim(\sigma) \cdot r^c \qquad \left(c = \frac{1}{2} \dim G / K\right),$$

as $r \to +\infty$. In the course of the proof, we determine the image and kernel of the restriction homomorphism i^* : $R(K) \to R(M)$ between representation rings.

Introduction. Let G be a connected, real semisimple Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{G} . Let G=K.A.N. (respectively $\mathfrak{G}=\mathfrak{R}\oplus\mathfrak{A}\oplus\mathfrak{N}$) be an Iwasawa decomposition of G (respectively \mathfrak{G}) and let M be the centralizer of A in K. We assume throughout this paper that G has finite center and split rank one. We do not assume that G is linear. Let $\mathfrak{G}(G)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of G. If $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(M)$, $\nu \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ let $\pi_{\sigma,\nu}$ be the principal series representation of G, parametrized as in $[\mathbf{DW},\S 3]$. In this parametrization $\pi_{\sigma,\nu}$ is unitary if $\nu \in i\mathfrak{A}^*$. If $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}(G)$ let λ_{ω} and θ_{ω} denote, respectively, the eigenvalue of the Casimir element of G on the class ω and the distributional character of ω . We will abbreviate by writing $\lambda_{\sigma,\nu} = \lambda_{\pi_{\sigma,\nu}}$. If $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_2(G)$, the discrete series of G, let $d(\omega)$ denote the formal degree of ω .

For fixed $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}(M)$ set

$$P(\sigma) = \{\pi_{\sigma,i\nu} | \nu \in \mathfrak{A}^* \text{ and } \pi_{\sigma,i\nu} \text{ is irreducible} \}.$$

Recall [KS, §12] that $\pi_{\sigma,i\nu}$ is reducible only if rank $G={\rm rank}\ K$, $\nu=0$ and in this case $\pi_{\sigma,0}=\pi_{\sigma,0}^++\pi_{\sigma,0}^-$ where $\pi_{\sigma,0}^\pm$ are inequivalent irreducible representations. Let $R(\sigma)=\{\pi_{\sigma,0}^\pm\}$ if $\pi_{\sigma,0}$ is reducible and $R(\sigma)=\varnothing$, otherwise. Finally let $C(\sigma)$ denote the subset of $\mathscr{E}(G)$ of classes ω such that, if $(\pi_\omega,H_\omega)\in\omega$, then H_ω is infinitesimally equivalent to $J_{\sigma,\nu}$ for some ν s.t. ${\rm Re}\langle\nu,\lambda\rangle>0$ ($J_{\sigma,\nu}$ is as in [DW, Theorem 4.1], and λ is the long positive restricted root).

Received by the editors August 14, 1981 and, in revised form, April 5, 1982.

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 22E40.

¹Partially supported by CONICET of Argentina.

Let Γ be a discrete, cocompact subgroup of G. The right regular representation π_{Γ} of G in $\mathbb{C}^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$ decomposes $\pi_{\Gamma} = \sum n_{\Gamma}(\omega) \cdot \omega$ and $n_{\Gamma}(\omega) < \infty$, for any $\omega \in \mathcal{E}(G)$. If $\tau \in \mathcal{E}(K)$,

$$\phi_{\tau}(s) = \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{E}(G)} n_{\Gamma}(\omega) \cdot [\tau : \omega] \cdot e^{s\lambda\omega}$$

defines a C^{∞} function on \mathbf{R}^+ , the series converging uniformly on compacta with all derivatives [W]. Hence, if $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}(M)$ is fixed, the series $\psi_{\sigma}(s) = \sum_{\omega \in P(\sigma)} n_{\Gamma}(\omega) \cdot e^{s\lambda_{\omega}}$ defines a C^{∞} function for s > 0. The purpose of this paper is to study the asymptotic behavior of $\psi_{\sigma}(s)$, as $s \to 0^+$. By using the technique in [M1] we determine the singular part of the asymptotic expansion of $\psi_{\sigma}(s)$, as $s \to 0^+$, when Γ is torsion free.

THEOREM 1. Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be a discrete, cocompact, torsion-free subgroup. Then

$$\psi_{\sigma}(s) = \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \setminus G) \cdot e^{-s(|\rho|^2 + a\lambda_{\sigma})} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{c+d-1} b_{2(i-d)+1}(\sigma) \cdot \Gamma(i+1-d) \cdot (4s)^{-i-1+d} \right)$$
$$-g_{\sigma}(s)$$

where $g_{\sigma}(s)$ extends to $\tilde{g}_{\sigma}(s)$, a C^{∞} function on **R**, such that

(i) if rank G = rank K

$$\begin{split} \tilde{g}_{\sigma}(0) &= \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \setminus G) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{c-1} b_{2i+1}(\sigma) \frac{\left((\varepsilon+1) \cdot 2^{2i+1} - 1 \right)}{i+1} \cdot B_{2(i+1)} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\omega \in R(\sigma) \cup C(\sigma)} s(\omega) \cdot n_{\Gamma}(\omega) \\ &+ \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau}(G)} s(\omega) \left(n_{\Gamma}(\omega) - d(\omega) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \setminus G) \right), \end{split}$$

(ii) if rank G > rank K

$$\tilde{g}_{\sigma}(0) = \sum_{\omega \in C(\sigma)} s(\omega) \cdot n_{\Gamma}(\omega).$$

Here $c=\frac{1}{2}\dim(G/K)$, d=c-[c], $a\in \mathbf{R}^+$, and λ_{σ} is the eigenvalue of the Casimir element of M on the class σ . Furthermore, $b_{2(i-d)+1}(\sigma)$ denotes, for $i=1,\ldots,c+d-1$, the ith coefficient of the polynomial part of the Plancherel density associated to σ , B_{2j} is the jth Bernoulli number, and $\varepsilon=1$ or -1 depending on σ . Finally, if $\omega\in R(\sigma)\cup C(\sigma)\cup \mathcal{E}_2(G)$, then

$$s(\omega) = [\eta : \omega] = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_K(\eta, \omega) \in \mathbf{Z}$$

where $\eta = \eta_{\sigma}$ is a virtual representation of K (in particular, $s(\omega)$ depends on σ but not on Γ).

Let R(M) and R(K) denote the representation rings of M and K. We make use of the following.

PROPOSITION 1. Let i^* : $R(K) \to R(M)$ be the restriction homomorphism. Then $Im(i^*) = R(M)^W$, where $W = W(\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{A})$. If rank G = rank K, then $R(M)^W = R(M)$ and i^* is surjective.

Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be an arbitrary discrete cocompact subgroup. We assume for simplicity, that G has no nontrivial compact, connected, normal subgroups (see the remark below). Theorem 1.1 in [W], together with Proposition 1, imply

COROLLARY 1. If $Z(\Gamma) = Z(G) \cap \Gamma$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}(M)$ satisfies $\sigma|_{Z(\Gamma)} = 1$, then

$$\lim_{s\to 0^+} s^c \cdot \psi_{\sigma}(s) = \frac{\dim(\sigma) | Z(\Gamma)| \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \setminus G)}{(4\pi)^c}$$

(if $\sigma|_{Z(\Gamma)} \neq 1$ then $n_{\Gamma}(\pi_{\sigma,\nu}) = 0$, for all ν , hence $\psi_{\sigma}(s) = 0$).

Let $N_{\sigma}(r) = \sum_{\omega \in P(\sigma), |\lambda_{\omega}| \le r} n_{\Gamma}(\omega)$ (r > 0). Corollary 1 and the Tauberian theorem for the Laplace transform imply

(1)
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} r^{-c} \cdot N_{\sigma}(r) = \frac{\dim(\sigma) \cdot |Z(\Gamma)| \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \setminus G)}{\Gamma(c+1) \cdot (4\pi)^{c}}.$$

REMARK. When G has compact normal subgroups, (1) still holds with dim $V_{\sigma}^{\Gamma \cap N} \cdot |\Gamma \cap N|$ substituting dim $(\sigma) \cdot |Z(G) \cap \Gamma|$, where $N = \bigcap_{x \in G} xKx^{-1}$. This follows from [W, 1.1], with a correction factor as in [BH, §6], and Proposition 1. Indeed, if $\sigma = i^*(\eta), \eta = \sum m_i \tau_i \in R(K)$, one can show that $\sum m_i \dim V_{\tau}^{N \cap \Gamma} = \dim V_{\sigma}^{N \cap \Gamma}$.

The asymptotic formula (1) for the spherical principal series (i.e. $\sigma = 1$) in $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$ was proposed by Gelfand ([G, p. 77], see also [GGP, pp. 82, 94]). It was proved by Gangolli for complex G, by Eaton for G of split rank one, and by Duistermaat-Kolk-Varadarajan, for general G ([Ga, DKV], see also [GW]). With the aid of Proposition 1, Theorem 1.1 in [W] implies the Gelfand type formula (1) for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}(M)$, when G is as above.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In §1, we prove Theorem 1.1 (assuming Proposition 1). The proof of Proposition 1 is given in §2. Finally, we show (Lemma 2.6) that if rank $G = \operatorname{rank} K$, then $J = \ker i^* \neq 0$ and determine J explicitly. We recall that if Γ is torsion-free, each $\eta \in \ker i^*$ yields a finite alternating sum formula in the $n_{\Gamma}(\omega)$'s [M3, Theorem 1.2].

1. We first normalize Haar measures conveniently. If λ denotes the long positive restricted root of (\S, \mathfrak{A}) let $H \in \mathfrak{A}$ be so that $\lambda(H) = 2$. Let a = B(H, H), B the Killing form of \S . Let $d\tilde{x}$, $d\tilde{k}$ denote respectively the invariant Riemannian measures on G and K induced by the inner product on \S , $(X, Y) = a^{-1} \cdot B(X, Y)$. We will use on G and K the measures $dx = \operatorname{vol}(K)^{-1} \cdot d\tilde{x}$, $dk = \operatorname{vol}(K)^{-1} \cdot d\tilde{k}$. As usual, let dx on $\Gamma \setminus G$ be so that

$$\int_{\Gamma \setminus G} \left(\sum_{x} f(\gamma x) \right) dx = \int_{G} f(x) dx, \text{ for } f \in C_{c}(G).$$

For fixed $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}(M)$, the Plancherel density associated to σ can be written $\mu_{\sigma}(x\lambda) = q_{\sigma}(x) \cdot \phi_{\sigma}(x)$, where $q_{\sigma}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree 2c - 1 and $\phi_{\sigma}(x) = 1$, $\tanh \pi x$ or $\coth \pi x$, depending on σ [O]. Moreover, $\phi_{\sigma} = 1$ if and only if rank $G > \operatorname{rank} K$. Let d = c - [c], that is, d = 0 if rank $G = \operatorname{rank} K$ and $d = \frac{1}{2}$, otherwise. If the Haar

measure on G is normalized as above, then

$$q_{\sigma}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{c+d-1} b_{2(i-d)+1}(\sigma) \cdot x^{2(i-d)+1}$$

and $b_{2c-1}(\sigma) = \dim(\sigma)/(\Gamma(c) \cdot \pi^c)$ [M2, §3].

For fixed τ set, if $x \in G$ and s > 0,

$$g_{\tau,s}(x) = \int_{\mathcal{E}(G)} \dim(\tau)^{-1} \cdot \phi_{\tau,\omega}(x^{-1}) \cdot e^{s\lambda_{\omega}} |d\mu(\omega)$$

where $\phi_{\tau,\omega}$ is the τ -spherical trace function associated to ω and $\mu(\omega)$ is the Plancherel measure on $\mathcal{E}(G)$. DeGeorge and Wallach (unpublished) have proved a general result which implies that $g_{\tau,s} \in \mathcal{C}^p(G)$ (the *p*-Schwartz space of G) for any p > 0 (G can be of arbitrary split rank). Using this fact, one shows [M3, 1.1] that $\theta_{\omega}(g_{\tau,s}) = [\tau:\omega]e^{s\lambda_{\omega}}$ for any $\omega \in \mathcal{E}(G)$, where $[\tau:\omega] = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_K(\tau,\omega)$.

Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be a discrete, cocompact, torsion-free subgroup. Fix $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}(M)$. We assume first that rank $G = \operatorname{rank} K$. Then, by Proposition 1, there exists $\eta = \sum m_j \tau_j$, $m_j \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\tau_j \in \mathcal{E}(K)$ such that $i^*(\eta) = \sigma$. Set $g_{\eta,s} = \sum m_j g_{\tau_j,s}$. Since $g_{\eta,s} \in \mathcal{C}^p(G)$ for any p > 0, and $g_{\eta,s}$ is K-finite, the operator $\pi_{\Gamma}(g_{\eta,s})$ on $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$ is trace-class [M1, §2], and

$$\operatorname{tr} \pi_{\Gamma}(g_{\tau,s}) = \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{E}(G)} n_{\Gamma}(\omega) \cdot [\eta : \omega] \cdot e^{s\lambda\omega},$$

where $[\eta : \omega] = \sum m_i \cdot [\tau_i : \omega]$.

If $\omega \in \mathcal{E}(G)$, by Langlands' classification, either $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_2(G)$ or $\omega \in P(\xi) \cup R(\xi) \cup C(\xi)$, for some $\xi \in \mathcal{E}(M)$. If $\omega \in P(\xi)$, then

$$[\eta:\omega] = [i^*(\eta):\xi] = \begin{cases} 0, & \xi \neq \sigma, \\ 1, & \xi = \sigma. \end{cases}$$

Hence tr $\pi_{\Gamma}(g_{\tau,s}) = \psi_{\sigma}(s) + h_{\sigma}(s)$, where

$$h_{\sigma}(s) = \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{S}_{2}(G) \cup R(\sigma) \cup C(\sigma)} n_{\Gamma}(\omega) \cdot [\eta : \omega] \cdot e^{s\lambda\omega}.$$

Note that the sets $\{\omega \in \mathcal{E}_2(G) | [\eta : \omega] \neq 0\}$, $\{\omega \in C(\sigma) | n_{\Gamma}(\omega) \neq 0\}$ are finite [**DW**, p. 489]. Hence $h_{\sigma}(s)$ is analytic.

On the other hand ([M1, 5.1], essentially)

tr
$$\pi_{\Gamma}(g_{\eta,s}) \sim \text{vol}(\Gamma \mid G) \cdot g_{\eta,s}(1)$$
, as $s \to 0^+$

(that is, tr $\pi_{\Gamma}(g_{\eta,s})$ – vol $(\Gamma \mid G) \cdot g_{\eta,s}(1) = o(s^n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as $s \to 0^+$). Set $g_{\eta,s}^0 = \sum m_i \cdot g_{\tau,s}^0$, where

$$g_{\tau_j,s}^0 = \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{E}_2(G)} d(\omega) \cdot [\eta : \omega] \cdot e^{s\lambda_\omega}$$
 (a finite sum).

By choice of η , if $h_{\eta,s} = g_{\eta,s} - g_{\eta,s}^0$, then

$$h_{\eta,s}(1) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{s\lambda_{\sigma,x\lambda}} \cdot \mu_{\sigma}(x\lambda) dx,$$

where $\lambda_{\sigma,x\lambda} = -(4x^2 + |\rho|^2 + a\lambda_{\sigma})$ [M1, p. 17]. Here if X_1, \ldots, X_r is a basis of \mathfrak{M} such that $(X_i, X_j) = -\delta_{ij}$ and $\Delta_{\mathfrak{M}} = -\sum X_i^2$, λ_{σ} is so that $\sigma(\Delta_{\mathfrak{M}}) = \lambda_{\sigma} \cdot I$. On the other hand $\mu_{\sigma}(x\lambda) = q_{\sigma}(x) \cdot \phi_{\sigma}(x)$, $q_{\sigma}(x) = \sum_{0}^{c-1} b_{2i+1}(\sigma) \cdot x^{2i+1}$ and $\phi_{\sigma}(x) = \tanh \pi x$ or $\coth \pi x$. We may write (if $x \neq 0$) $1 - \phi_{\sigma}(x) = 2/(1 + \varepsilon e^{2\pi x})$, where $\varepsilon = 1$ if $\phi_{\sigma}(x) = \tanh \pi x$ (respectively $\varepsilon = -1$, if $\phi_{\sigma}(x) = \coth \pi x$). Hence

$$\begin{split} h_{\eta,s}(1) &= e^{-s(|\rho|^2 + a\lambda_{\sigma})} \cdot \left[2 \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-4sx^2} \cdot q_{\sigma}(x) \, dx - 4 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-4sx^2} \cdot q_{\sigma}(x)}{1 + \varepsilon e^{2\pi x}} dx \right] \\ &= e^{-s(|\rho|^2 + a\lambda_{\sigma})} \cdot \left[\sum_0^{c-1} b_{2i+1}(\sigma) \cdot i! \, (4s)^{-i-1} \right. \\ &\qquad \qquad \left. - \sum_0^{c-1} b_{2i+1}(\sigma) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{4 \cdot e^{-4sx^2} \cdot x^{2i+1}}{1 + \varepsilon e^{2\pi x}} dx \right]. \end{split}$$

Furthermore [WW, pp. 266–268]

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{4x^{2i+1}}{1 + e^{2\pi x}} dx = \frac{2^{2(i+1)} - 1}{i+1} \cdot B_{2(i+1)},$$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{4x^{2i+1}}{1 - e^{2\pi x}} dx = -\frac{B_{2(i+1)}}{i+1},$$

 B_{2m} the mth Bernoulli number. Hence

$$\lim_{s \to 0^{+}} \sum_{0}^{c-1} b_{2i+1}(\sigma) \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{4 \cdot e^{-4sx^{2}} \cdot x^{2i+1}}{1 + \varepsilon e^{2\pi x}} dx$$

$$= \sum_{0}^{c-1} b_{2i+1}(\sigma) \frac{\left[(\varepsilon + 1) \cdot 2^{2i+1} - 1 \right]}{i+1} B_{2(i+1)}$$

(in fact, the full asymptotic expansion

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-4sx^2} \cdot x^{2i+1}}{1 + \varepsilon e^{2\pi x}} dx \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j \cdot s^j$$

can be written down explicitly).

Summing up

$$\begin{split} & \psi_{\sigma}(s) \sim \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) \cdot \left(h_{\eta,s}(1) + g_{\eta,s}^{0}(1)\right) - h_{\sigma}(s), \\ & \psi_{\sigma}(s) \sim \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma | G) e^{-s(|\rho|^{2} + 2\lambda_{\sigma})} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^{c-1} b_{2i+1}(\sigma) \cdot i! (4s)^{-i-1}\right) - g_{\sigma}(s), \end{split}$$

where

$$g_{\sigma}(s) = \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \setminus G) \cdot e^{-s(|\rho|^2 + a\lambda_{\sigma})} \cdot \left(\sum_{0}^{c-1} b_{2i+1}(\sigma) \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{4 \cdot e^{-4sx^2} \cdot x^{2i+1}}{1 + \varepsilon e^{2\pi x}} dx \right)$$
$$-\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \setminus G) \cdot g_{\eta,s}^{0}(1) + h_{\sigma}(s).$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1, in this case.

If rank G > rank K, let $W = W(\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{A}) = \{1, u\}$. If $\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}(M)$ is such that $\sigma = \sigma^u$, then by Proposition 1, $\sigma = i^*(\eta)$, $\eta \in R(K)$, and the above proof (with several simplifications) can be repeated. Moreover, in this case $\mu_{\sigma}(x\lambda) = q_{\sigma}(x)$, $g_{\eta,s}^0 = 0$, hence $g_{\sigma}(s) = h_{\sigma}(s)$.

If $\sigma \neq \sigma^u$ then $\sigma + \sigma^u = i^*(\eta)$, $\eta \in R(K)$. Define $g_{\eta,s}$ as before. In this case $g_{\eta,s} = h_{\eta,s}$. Arguing as above, one obtains

$$\sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{E}(G)} n_{\Gamma}(\omega) \cdot [\eta : \omega] \cdot e^{s_{\lambda \omega}} \sim \text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) \cdot h_{\eta, s}(1), \text{ as } s \to 0^+.$$

The left-hand side equals

$$2\psi_{\sigma}(s) + 2\sum_{\omega \in C(\sigma)} n_{\Gamma}(\omega) \cdot e^{s\lambda_{\omega}}$$

since $\mathcal{E}_2(G) = R(\sigma) = \phi$, $\pi_{\sigma,\nu} = \pi_{\sigma'',-\nu}$ $(\nu \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{C}}^*)$ and $[\eta : \omega] = 1$ if $\omega \in C(\sigma)$, in this case. Similarly,

$$h_{\eta,s}(1) = 2 \cdot e^{-s(|\rho|^2 + a\lambda_{\sigma})} \cdot \left(\sum_{0}^{c-1/2} b_{2i}(\sigma) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-4sx^2} \cdot x^{2i} dx \right)$$

$$= 2e^{-s(|\rho|^2 + a\lambda_{\sigma})} \cdot \left(\sum_{0}^{c-1/2} b_{2i}(\sigma) \cdot \Gamma(i + \frac{1}{2}) \cdot (4s)^{-i-1/2} \right).$$

This concludes the proof. We observe that, if $\sigma \in \text{Im}(i^*)$, Corollary 1 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 in [W] and Proposition 1 (with our normalization of measures $C_G = 1$, C_G as in [W, 1.1]). If $\sigma \notin \text{Im}(i^*)$, then $\sigma + \sigma^u = i^*(\eta)$ and (essentially) the above argument yields the result.

2. This section is mainly devoted to the proof of Proposition 1. Assume first that rank G > rank K. Then rank K = rank M. Let $T_1 \subset M$ be a maximal torus. There is a commutative diagram

$$R(K) \stackrel{i^*}{\rightarrow} R(M)$$

$$j_K^* \searrow \qquad \downarrow j_M^*$$

$$R(T_1)^{W_m}$$

where j_K^* is an isomorphism onto $R(T_1)^{W_K}$. If $M^* = N_K(A)$ (the normalizer of A in K), there is $u \in M^* \cap N_K(T)$, $u \notin M$. Therefore, W_K is generated by W_M and $u(|W_K/W_M|=2)$. Thus $\text{Im}(j_K^*) = R(T)^{W_K} = (R(T)^{W_M})^W$ and Proposition 1 is clear, in this case.

From now on, we assume that rank $G=\operatorname{rank} K$. Fix $\mathfrak{F}\subset\mathfrak{R}$, a Cartan subalgebra, and let $\Delta=\Delta(\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{C}},\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{C}})$. Then $\Delta=\Delta_c\cup\Delta_n$, where $\Delta_c(\Delta_n)$ is the set of compact (noncompact) roots. Fix $\Delta^+\subset\Delta$ a system of positive roots, $\Delta^+=\Delta_c^+\cup\Delta_n^+$. Let $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Delta}$, $\{H_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Delta}$ be a Weyl basis of $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ adapted to the compact form $\mathfrak{G}_u=\mathfrak{R}\oplus i\mathfrak{F}$ [H, p. 421]. Then, if σ denotes the conjugation of $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to \mathfrak{G} , $\sigma X_\alpha=-X_{-\alpha}$ ($\alpha\in\Delta_c$) and $\sigma X_\alpha=X_{-\alpha}$ ($\alpha\in\Delta_n$). From now on, we fix $\beta\in\Delta_n^+$ and choose $\mathfrak{A}=\mathbb{R}(X_\beta+X_{-\beta})$. The following lemma is not difficult.

2.1. LEMMA.

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{C}} = \ker \boldsymbol{\beta} \oplus \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_c \\ \alpha \pm \beta \notin \Delta}} \mathbf{C} \cdot X_{\alpha} \oplus \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_c \\ \alpha + 2\beta \in \Delta}} \mathbf{C} (X_{\alpha} + c_{\alpha} X_{\alpha + 2\beta})$$

where $c_{\alpha} = -N_{\alpha,\beta}/N_{\alpha+2\beta,-\beta}$ and $N_{\alpha,\beta}$ is such that $[X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta}] = N_{\alpha,\beta} \cdot X_{\alpha,\beta}$. Furthermore, $\ker \beta$ is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{M}_{C} and

$$\Delta_{\mathfrak{M}} = \Delta(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{C}}, \ker \beta) = \{\alpha' = \alpha|_{\ker \beta} | \alpha \pm \beta \notin \Delta\} \cup \{\alpha' = \alpha|_{\ker \beta} | \alpha + 2\beta \in \Delta\}.$$

The root spaces are $\mathfrak{G}_{\alpha'} = \mathbb{C}X_{\alpha}$, if $\alpha \pm \beta \notin \Delta$ and $\mathfrak{G}_{\alpha'} = \mathbb{C}(X_{\alpha} + c_{\alpha}X_{\alpha+\beta})$, if $\alpha + 2\beta \in \Lambda$

Let $\Delta_{\mathfrak{M}}^+ \subset \Delta_{\mathfrak{M}}$ be the positive system induced by Δ^+ . Let also $T_1 = \exp(\ker \beta \cap \mathfrak{F})$, a maximal torus of M^0 (the connected component of 1 in M).

2.2. LEMMA. Let G be semisimple, of split rank one, and such that rank $G = \operatorname{rank} K$. Let $W = W(\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{A}) = \{1, u\}$. Then $\sigma = \sigma^u$, for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{E}(M)$.

PROOF. In [KS, §16] the lemma is verified for $G = \mathrm{Spin}(2n, 1)$, $G = \mathrm{SU}(n, 1)$ and $G = \mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$. We give a different proof. It is well known that $M = Z(G) \cdot M_0$. Moreover, W is generated by $u = \exp(\pi i H_\beta/\langle \beta, \beta \rangle)$. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}(M)$, then $\chi_{\sigma^u}(x) = \chi_{\sigma}(x)$ for any $x \in M$, since this holds for $x \in T_1$ and $x \in Z(G)$. Hence $\sigma^u = \sigma$.

REMARK. In [KS, Theorem 12.5], Knapp and Stein prove that if G is a linear group of split rank one, $\pi_{\sigma,\nu}$ is reducible only if $\nu=0$. Moreover, $\pi_{\sigma,0}$ is reducible if and only if (i) $\sigma=\sigma^u$, (ii) $\mu_{\sigma}(0)>0$. Lemma 2.2 says that if rank $G=\operatorname{rank} K$, (i) is automatic. If rank $G>\operatorname{rank} K$ it is no longer true that $\sigma=\sigma^u$. In fact, $\sigma=\sigma^u$ forces $\mu_{\sigma}(0)=0$, hence $\pi_{\sigma,0}$ is irreducible.

We next prove a lemma. Let K_1 be a Lie group with finitely many components, such that $Ad(K_1)$ is compact. Let $K_2 \subset K_1$ be a closed subgroup. As usual, let $R(K_i)$ and $\mathcal{E}(K_i)$ denote, respectively, the representation ring and the unitary dual of K_i (i=1,2). Let S be a closed subgroup of $Z(K_1)$ (the center of K_1) such that $S \subset K_2$. Then $R(K_i/S)$ can be identified with the subring of $R(K_i)$ generated by those representations τ of K_i such that $S \subset \ker \tau$. Let $i_S^* : R(K_1/S) \to R(K_2/S)$, $i^* : R(K_1) \to R(K_2)$ denote the restriction homomorphisms.

2.3. Lemma.
$$Im(i_S^*) = Im(i^*) \cap R(K_2/S)$$
.

PROOF. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{S}(K_1)$. If $i^*(\tau) = \Sigma_1^l r_j \cdot \xi_j$ ($r_j \neq 0$) we say that ξ_j is a K_2 -type of τ . We note that if τ has a K_2 -type ξ such that $\xi|_S = 1$, then $\tau|_S = 1$. Indeed, since S is central in K_1 , then $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_2}^K(\xi)|_S = 1$. Thus $\tau|_S = 1$, too. As a consequence, if $\tau, \gamma \in \mathcal{S}(K_1)$ have a common K_2 -type and $\tau|_S = 1$, then $\gamma|_S = 1$. We now prove the lemma. Let $\eta \in R(K_1)$ be such that $i^*(\eta) \in R(K_2/S)$. If $\eta = \Sigma_1^k r_j \cdot t_j$, $i^*\eta = \Sigma_1^l s_i \cdot \sigma_i$ set $\mathcal{S}_{\eta}(K_1) = \{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k\}$, $\mathcal{S}_{i^*(\eta)}(K_2) = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l\}$. By assumption $\sigma_j|_S = 1, j = 1, \ldots, l$. Define inductively

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{S}_1 &= \big\{ \gamma \in \mathbb{S}_{\eta}(K_1) \, | \, \gamma \text{ contains a } K_2\text{-type in } \mathbb{S}_{i^*(\eta)}(K_2) \big\}, \\ \mathbb{S}_{i+1} &= \big\{ \gamma \in \mathbb{S}_{\eta}(K_1) \, | \, \gamma \text{ has a common } K_2\text{-type with some } \tau \in \mathbb{S}_i \big\}. \end{split}$$

Then $\mathbb{S}_1 \subset \mathbb{S}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{S}_{\eta}(K_1)$. By the above observation, if $\tau \in \mathbb{S}_j$ for some j, then $\tau|_S = 1$. Thus, if $\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{S}_{\eta}(K_1)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\eta \in R(K_1/S)$ and the lemma is proved. Otherwise, there exists n such that $\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{S}_{n+1} \neq \mathbb{S}_{\eta}(K_1)$. It is then easy to see that if $\eta' = \sum_{\tau_j \in \mathbb{S}_{-} \mathbb{S}_n} m_j \tau_j$, then $i^*(\eta') = 0$. Thus $i^*(n) = i^*(\eta - \eta')$ and $\eta - \eta' \in R(K_1/S)$. We note that in general it is not true that $\ker i^* \subset R(K_1/S)$, as the example $K_1 = S^1$, $K_2 = S = \{\pm 1\}$ already shows.

2.4. LEMMA. Let G be a simply connected Lie group of split rank one. Assume that rank $G = \operatorname{rank} K$ and $\mathfrak{G} \neq \mathfrak{S}l(2, \mathbb{R})$. Then M is simply connected.

PROOF. By applying the long exact sequence in homotopy to the fibration $M \to K \to K/M$, one readily obtains $\pi_0(M) = \pi_1(M) = \{1\}$ (K/M is diffeomorphic to the unit sphere in \mathfrak{P} and dim $\mathfrak{P} \ge 4$).

2.5. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1. By Lemma 2.2, in order to prove Proposition 1, we must show that i^* : $R(K) \to R(M)$ is surjective, if rank G = rank K. By Lemma 2.3 (applied to $(K_1, K_2) = (K, M)$), it is enough to verify this under the assumption that K (hence G) be simply connected. Now, since G has split rank one, we may assume that G is simple and, on the other hand, if $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{S}l(2, \mathbb{R})$, it is clear that i^* is surjective. We thus assume that G is simple, simply connected, rank G = rank K and $\mathfrak{G} \neq \mathfrak{S}l(2, \mathbb{R})$.

It will be enough to show, by Lemma 2.4, that the fundamental representations of $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are restrictions of virtual representations of $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We give a proof by case-by-case verification. Though a direct proof would be desirable, by this method, one finds explicitly $\eta \in R(K)$ with $i^*(\eta) = \sigma$, for each fundamental representation σ of $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since, by Theorem 1, the coefficients a_i ($i \ge 0$) of the asymptotic expansion of $\psi_{\sigma}(s)$ involve the numbers $[\eta : \omega]$ ($\omega \in \mathcal{E}(G)$), the knowledge of η may be of some use.

From now on we identify, via the Killing form, the imaginary dual of \mathfrak{F} with a convenient subspace of \mathbf{R}^n , so that the usual inner product of \mathbf{R}^n corresponds to a multiple of the Killing form. Let $\{\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n\}$ be the canonical basis of \mathbf{R}^n . We often denote by 1 the trivial representation (of any Lie algebra). We will make use of the well-known branching formulas (see [\mathbf{Z} , pp. 128–132 or \mathbf{B} , 10]).

(i)
$$\mathfrak{G} = S\mathfrak{A}(n, 1) \ (n \ge 2)$$
.

$$i\mathfrak{F}^* = \left\{ \sum_{1}^{n+1} t_i \varepsilon_i | t_1 + \cdots + t_{n+1} = 0 \right\}, \quad \mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{C}} \simeq \mathfrak{U}(n), \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{C}} \simeq \mathfrak{U}(n-1),$$

$$\Delta_c^+ = \left\{ \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j | 2 \le i < j \le n+1 \right\}, \quad \Delta_n^+ = \left\{ \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_i | 2 \le i \le n+1 \right\}, \quad \beta = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2.$$

The centers of \Re and \Re correspond, respectively, to $\mathbf{R}(\varepsilon_1 - \frac{1}{n}(\varepsilon_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon_{n+1}))$ and $\mathbf{R}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 - 2(\varepsilon_3 + \cdots + \varepsilon_{n+1})/(n-1))$. Any $a \in \mathbf{R}$ defines a character $\phi_a(\phi_a')$ on $\Im(\Re)(\Im(\Re))$ by the rule

$$\phi_a\left(\varepsilon_1 - \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n+1}\varepsilon_i\right)\right) = ia\left(\phi_a'\left(\left(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2\right) - \frac{2\left(\sum_{i=3}^{n+1}\varepsilon_i\right)}{n-1}\right) = ia\right).$$

Hence $\phi_a(\phi_a')$ defines a one-dimensional representation of $\Re_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and it is easy to verify that $i^*(\phi_a) = \phi_a'$.

The fundamental representations are $\lambda_i = \varepsilon_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon_i$ $(2 \le i \le n)$, for $\Re_{\mathbb{C}}$, and $\lambda'_i = \varepsilon_3 + \cdots + \varepsilon_i$ $(3 \le j \le n)$, for $\Re_{\mathbb{C}}$. The branching formulas imply

$$i^*(\lambda_2) = \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2 \otimes \lambda_3',$$

$$i^*(\lambda_i) = \phi_{2i-3}' \otimes \lambda_i' \oplus \phi_{2i-2}' \otimes \lambda_{i+1}', \qquad 3 \le i \le n-1,$$

$$i^*(\lambda_n) = \phi_{2n-3}' \otimes \lambda_n' \oplus \phi_{2n-2},$$

where $\phi'_j = \phi'_{a_j}(a_j \text{ can be easily computed})$. Since $\text{Im}(i^*)$ contains ϕ'_a for any a, this clearly implies that $\lambda'_j \in \text{Im}(i^*)$ for $3 \le j \le n$.

(ii)
$$\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{SO}(2n, 1)$$
.

$$i \mathfrak{F}^* = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i \varepsilon_i | t_i \in \mathbf{R} \right\}, \qquad \Delta^+ = \left\{ \varepsilon_i | 1 \le i \le n, \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j | 1 \le i < j \le n \right\},$$

$$\Delta_c^+ = \left\{ \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j | 1 \le i < j \le n \right\}, \qquad \Delta_n^+ = \left\{ \varepsilon_i, 1 \le i \le n \right\},$$

$$\beta = \varepsilon_1, \qquad \Delta_{\mathfrak{M}}^+ = \left\{ \varepsilon_i | 2 \le i \le n, \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j | 2 \le i < j \le n \right\}.$$

Fundamental weights:

$$\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{C}}: \lambda_{i} = \varepsilon_{1} + \cdots + \varepsilon_{i} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n - 2), \qquad \lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_{1} + \cdots + \varepsilon_{n-1} \pm \varepsilon_{n}), \\
\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{C}}: \lambda'_{i} = \varepsilon_{2} + \cdots + \varepsilon_{i} \quad (i = 2, \dots, n - 1), \qquad \lambda'_{+} = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_{2} + \cdots + \varepsilon_{n}).$$

By the branching formulas

$$i^*(\lambda_i) = \lambda_i' \oplus \lambda_{i+1}', \quad i = 1, \dots, n-2, (\lambda_1' = 1), i^*(\lambda_+) = \lambda_+'.$$

Hence $\lambda'_{i+1} = i^*(\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1} + \lambda_{1-2} - \cdots \pm 1)$, $\lambda'_{+} = i^*(\lambda_{\pm})$. We include the case $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}(2n+1,1)$, for completeness.

(iii)
$$\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{SO}(2n+1,1)$$
.

$$\begin{split} i \mathfrak{F}^* &= \left\{ \sum_{1}^{n+1} t_i \varepsilon_i | t_i \in \mathbf{R} \right\}, \qquad \Delta^+ = \left\{ \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j | 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1 \right\}, \\ \Delta_c^+ &= \left\{ \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j | 2 \leq i < j \leq n-1, \, \varepsilon_i | 2 \leq i \leq n+1 \right\}, \\ \Delta_{\mathfrak{M}}^+ &= \left\{ \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j | 2 \leq i < j \leq n+1 \right\}. \end{split}$$

Fundamental weights:

$$\Re_{\mathbf{C}}: \lambda_i = \varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_i \quad (2 \le i \le n), \qquad \lambda_+ = \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_{n+1}),$$

$$\Re_{\mathbf{C}}: \lambda_i' = \varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_i \quad (2 \le j \le n+1), \qquad \lambda_{\pm}' = \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_n \pm \varepsilon_{n+1}).$$

Moreover, $i^*(\lambda_i) = \lambda_i' \oplus \lambda_{i-1}' (2 \le i \le n)$, $i^*(\lambda_+) = \lambda_+' \oplus \lambda_-'$.

Hence $\lambda_i' = i^*(\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1} + \lambda_{i-2} - \cdots \pm 1) \in \text{Im}(i^*) (i = 2, \dots, n)$.

Recall [**Hu**, p. 188] that $\lambda'_{+} \otimes \lambda'_{-} = \lambda'_{n} \oplus \lambda'_{n-2} \oplus \cdots$.

Thus $\lambda'_{+} \oplus \lambda'_{-}$ and $\lambda'_{+} \otimes \lambda'_{-} \in \text{Im}(i^{*})$. On the other hand, if $W = \{1, u\}$ one knows that $(\lambda'_{i})^{u} = \lambda'_{i}$ (i = 2, ..., n - 1), $(\lambda'_{\pm})^{u} = \lambda'_{\pm}$.

Hence $R(M)^W = \mathbf{Z}[\lambda'_2, \dots, \lambda'_{n-1}][\lambda'_+, \lambda'_-]^W$ is a polynomial ring over $\mathbf{Z}[\lambda'_2, \dots, \lambda'_{n-1}]$ in the symmetric functions $\lambda'_+ \oplus \lambda'_-, \lambda'_+ \otimes \lambda'_-$. Hence, if M is simply connected (i.e. $G = \text{Spin}(2n+1, 1)) \text{ Im}(i^*) = R(M)^W$.

The case G = SO(2n, 1) follows from Lemma 2.3. (iv) $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{Sp}(n, 1)$ $(n \ge 2)$.

$$\begin{split} & \Re \simeq \mathbb{S}p(1) \times \mathbb{S}p(n), \qquad i \mathfrak{F}^* = \left\{ \begin{split} & \sum_{1}^{n+1} t_i \varepsilon_i | t_i \in \mathbf{R} \right\}, \\ & \Delta^+ = \left\{ 2\varepsilon_i, 1 \leq i \leq n+1; \ \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1 \right\}, \\ & \Delta_c^+ = \left\{ 2\varepsilon_i, 1 \leq i \leq n+1; \ \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq n \right\}, \qquad \Delta_n^+ = \left\{ \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_{n+1}, 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}, \\ & \beta = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_{n+1}, \qquad \ker \beta = \mathbf{C}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_{n+1}) + \sum_{2}^n \mathbf{C} \cdot \varepsilon_i, \\ & \Delta_{\mathfrak{M}}^+ = \left\{ \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_{n+1} |_{\ker \beta} \right\} \cup \left\{ 2\varepsilon_i |_{\ker \beta} | 2 \leq i \leq n \right\} \cup \left\{ \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j |_{\ker \beta} | 2 \leq i < j \leq n \right\}. \end{split}$$

It will be understood from now on that roots and weights of $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are restricted to $\ker \beta$.

Simple roots:

$$\Re_{\mathbf{C}}: 2\varepsilon_{n+1}, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n, 2\varepsilon_n, \\ \Re_{\mathbf{C}}: \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_{n+1}), \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n, 2\varepsilon_n.$$

Fundamental weights: $\Re_{\mathbf{C}}$: $\lambda = \varepsilon_{n+1}$, $\lambda_j = \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_j$ $(1 \le j \le n)$ (with dimensions $d_{\lambda} = 2$, $d_{\lambda_j} = \binom{2n}{j-2}$) respectively)

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{C}}: \lambda' = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_{n+1}), \quad \lambda'_j = \varepsilon_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon_j \quad (2 \le j \le n).$$

It can be shown directly or by using the branching formulas in [B, 10.7] that

$$i^*(\lambda) = \lambda' \otimes 1, \quad i^*(\lambda_1) = \lambda' \otimes 1 \oplus 1 \otimes \lambda'_2, \quad i^*(\lambda_2) = \lambda' \otimes \lambda'_2 \oplus 1 \otimes \lambda'_3 \oplus 1,$$

$$i^*(\lambda_j) = \lambda' \otimes \lambda'_j \oplus 1 \otimes \lambda'_{j+1} \oplus 1 \otimes \lambda'_{j-1} \quad \text{if } 3 \leq j \leq n-1,$$

$$i^*(\lambda_n) = \lambda' \otimes \lambda'_n \oplus 1 \otimes \lambda'_{n-1}.$$

It then follows by induction that i^* is surjective. Note that making some conventions these formulas can be written in a closed form.

(v)
$$\mathfrak{G} = {}_{\mathfrak{G}^{4}}(-20).$$

 $i\mathfrak{F}^{*} = \mathbb{R}^{4}, \qquad \Delta^{+} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{1} \pm \varepsilon_{2} \pm \varepsilon_{3} \pm \varepsilon_{4}); \, \varepsilon_{i} \pm \varepsilon_{j}, \, 1 \leq i < j \leq 4; \, \varepsilon_{i}, \, 1 \leq i \leq 4 \right\},$
 $\Delta_{c}^{+} = \left\{ \varepsilon_{i} \pm \varepsilon_{j}, \, 1 \leq i < j \leq 4; \, \varepsilon_{i}, \, 1 \leq i \leq 4 \right\}, \qquad \Delta_{n}^{+} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{1} \pm \varepsilon_{2} \pm \varepsilon_{3} \pm \varepsilon_{4}) \right\},$
 $\beta = \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{1} - \varepsilon_{2} - \varepsilon_{3} - \varepsilon_{4}),$
 $\Delta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{+} = \left\{ \alpha \big|_{\ker \beta} \big| \, \alpha = \varepsilon_{i} \pm \varepsilon_{j}, \, 2 \leq i < j \leq 4 \text{ or } \alpha = \varepsilon_{1} + \varepsilon_{i}, \, 2 \leq i \leq 4 \right\}$
 $= \left\{ \alpha \big|_{\ker \beta} \big| \, \alpha = \varepsilon_{1} \pm \varepsilon_{2} \pm \varepsilon_{3} \pm \varepsilon_{4} \text{ (even number of } + \right),$
 $\alpha = \varepsilon_{1} + \varepsilon_{i}, \, 2 \leq i \leq 4, \, \text{or } \alpha = \varepsilon_{i} - \varepsilon_{j}, \, 2 \leq i < j \leq 4 \right\}.$

We have: $\Re_{\mathbf{C}} \simeq \mathbb{SO}(9)$, $\Re_{\mathbf{C}} \simeq \mathbb{SO}(7)$. The simple roots for $\Delta_{\mathfrak{M}}^+$ are $\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4$, $\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3$, $\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4)$. The fundamental representations for $\Re_{\mathbf{C}}$: $\lambda_1 = \varepsilon_1$, $\lambda_2 = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$, $\lambda_3 = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3$, $\lambda_+ = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4)$ of dimensions 9, 36, 84 and 16, respectively,

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{C}}: \lambda_{1}' = \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{1} + \varepsilon_{2} + \varepsilon_{3} - \varepsilon_{4}) |_{\ker \beta'},$$
$$\lambda_{2}' = (\varepsilon_{1} + \varepsilon_{2}) |_{\ker \beta'} \lambda_{+}' = \frac{1}{4} (3\varepsilon_{1} + \varepsilon_{2} + \varepsilon_{3} + \varepsilon_{4}) |_{\ker \beta}$$

(dimensions 7, 21, and 8). The branching formulas are

$$i^*(\lambda_1) = \lambda'_+ \oplus 1, \quad i^*(\lambda_2) = \lambda'_1 \oplus \lambda'_2 \oplus \lambda'_+, \quad i^*(\lambda_+) = \lambda'_1 \oplus \lambda'_+ \oplus 1$$

and

$$i^*(\lambda_3) = (\lambda'_1 + \lambda'_+) \oplus \lambda'_1 \oplus \lambda'_2 \oplus \lambda'_+$$
.

Therefore, $\lambda'_{+} = i^*(\lambda_1 - 1)$, $\lambda'_{1} = i^*(\lambda_+ - \lambda_1)$, $\lambda'_{2} = i^*(\lambda_2 - \lambda_+ + 1)$ and i^* is surjective. We sketch the proof of the branching formulas.

A basis for the unipotent radical of the Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by $\Delta^+_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is $X_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_i}, \ 2 \leq i \leq 4, \ X_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}, \ 2 \leq i < j \leq 4, \ X_{\epsilon_3+\epsilon_4}+c_1 \cdot X_{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2}, \ X_{\epsilon_2+\epsilon_4}+c_2 \cdot X_{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_3}$ and $X_{\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}+c_3 \cdot X_{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_4}$, where the constants c_i are as in Lemma 2.1.

Since $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}\beta + \lambda'_+$, the restriction of λ_1 contains λ'_+ . Since $\dim(\lambda_1) = 9$, $\dim(\lambda'_+) = 8$, the first identity is clear.

Now $\lambda_+ = (-\frac{1}{2})\beta + \lambda'_+$. Hence $i^*(\lambda_+)$ contains λ'_+ . Since any weight of λ_+ is of the form $\frac{1}{2}(\pm \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_3 \pm \varepsilon_4)$ one checks that any vector of weight $\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)$ is $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -dominant. Thus, λ_+ restricted to $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ contains λ'_1 . Since $\dim(\lambda') = 7$, the third identity follows. Now we study $\lambda_2 = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$, restricted to $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$. This is the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with weights $\pm \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j$, $1 \le i < j \le 4$, $\pm \varepsilon_i$, $1 \le i \le 4$, and 0, with multiplicity 4. Clearly, $i^*(\lambda_2)$ contains the $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module with highest weight λ'_2 . On the other hand, it is easily checked that any vector of weight ε_1 is $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -dominant. Since $\varepsilon_1|_{\ker\beta} = \lambda'_+$, then $i^*(\lambda_2) = \lambda'_2 \oplus \lambda'_+ \oplus \mu$, μ a representation of dimension 7. Now if $v_1 \ne 0$ is of weight $\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3$ and $v_2 \ne 0$ is of weight $\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4$, then $X_{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}(v_1)$ and $X_{\varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4}(v_2)$ are nonzero vectors of weight $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$. Hence, we can choose v_1 and v_2 so that $c_1 X_{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}(v_1) + X_{\varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4}(v_2) = 0$. It is easy to verify that with this choice $v_1 + v_2$ is $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -dominant. Since

$$\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4) - \beta, \qquad \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4 = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4) + \beta,$$

the $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{C}}$ -submodule spanned by $v_1 + v_2$ has highest weight λ'_1 . This proves the third identity, since $\dim(\lambda'_1) = 7$. We omit the proof of the last one, since from the first three one already concludes that i^* is surjective.

We conclude the paper by computing $\ker(i^*\colon R(K)\to R(M))$ explicitly. Recall that each $\eta\in\ker(i^*)$ yields an alternating sum formula in the multiplicities $n_\Gamma(\omega)$, if Γ is torsion-free [M3, 1.2]. We assume from now on that G is a connected, semisimple Lie group of split rank one, with finite center. If K is compact and $\tilde{K} \to K$, a finite covering, we identify $\mathfrak{S}(K)$ with $\{\tau\in\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{K})|\ker p\subset\ker\tau\}$ and R(K) with the corresponding subring of $R(\tilde{K})$. Let T be a maximal torus of K and $\tilde{T}=p^{-1}(T)$.

2.6. Lemma. (i) If rank G > rank K, then $\text{ker } i^* = 0$.

(ii) If rank $G = \operatorname{rank} K$, let $\tilde{G} \stackrel{p}{\to} G$ be a finite covering so that $\delta_n = \frac{1}{2}(\Sigma_{\Delta_n^+}\alpha)$ is a weight of $\tilde{T} = p^{-1}(T)$. Then $\ker i^* = R(K) \cap R(\tilde{K}) \cdot \eta_1$, where $\eta_1 \in R(\tilde{K})$ is such that $\eta_1(t) = t^{-\delta_n} \prod_{\Delta_n^+} (t^{\gamma} - 1)$, $t \in \tilde{T}$.

PROOF. As noted at the beginning of the section, if rank G > rank K, i^* : $R(K) \to R(M)^W$ is an isomorphism.

We thus assume that rank $G = \operatorname{rank} K$. We also assume that δ_n is a weight of T. The lemma is obvious once it is proved in this case.

Let $\beta \in \Delta_n^+$ and $\mathfrak{A} = \mathbf{R}(X_{\beta} + X_{-\beta})$, as above.

If $\eta \in \ker i^*$, then $\eta(t) = 0$ for $t \in T_{\beta}$, since $T_{\beta} \subset M$. Therefore ([A, 6.4], essentially), there is $\eta' \in R(T)$ so that

$$\eta(t) = (t^{\beta} - 1) \cdot \eta'(t), \quad t \in T.$$

Since $\eta^s = \eta$ $(s \in W_K)$, then $\eta(t) = 0$, for $t \in sT_\beta = T_{s\beta}$. If $s\beta \neq \pm \beta$, then $\dim T_\beta \cap T_{s\beta} < \dim T_\beta$. Thus, by continuity, $\eta'(t) = 0$, $t \in T_{s\beta}$. Hence, $\eta'(t) = (t^{s\beta} - 1) \cdot \eta''(t)$, for some $\eta'' \in R(T)$.

We may thus write

(*)
$$\eta = \prod_{\gamma \in \Psi} (t^{\gamma} - 1) \cdot \eta' \qquad (\eta' = \eta'(\Psi) \in R(T)),$$

where Ψ is any subset of $W_K \cdot \beta$ such that $\Psi \cap -\Psi = \emptyset$.

Since \mathfrak{G} is of split rank one, then either $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbb{C}}$ acts irreducibly on $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{C}}$, or $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{P}^+$ \mathfrak{P}^- , where $\mathfrak{P}^+ = \Sigma_{\Delta_n^+} \mathfrak{G}_{\alpha'} \mathfrak{P}^- = \Sigma_{\Delta_n^+} \mathfrak{G}_{\alpha}$ and \mathfrak{P}^{\pm} are irreducible subspaces. Furthermore, all noncompact roots have the same length [KW, 12.1]. Thus $W_K \cdot \beta = \Delta_n^+$ or $W_K \cdot \beta = \Delta_n$, since W_K acts transitively on weights of a fixed length.

Then, if $\Psi = \Delta_n^+$ in (*), we may write

$$\eta = \eta_0 \cdot \eta'' \quad \text{with } \eta_0(t) = \prod_{\gamma \in \Delta_n^+} (t^{\gamma} - 1), \eta'' \in R(T),$$

or

$$\eta = \eta_1 \cdot \eta'$$
, where $\eta_1(t) = t^{-\delta_n} \cdot \eta_0(t) \in R(T)^{W_K}$ and $\eta' \in R(T)^{W_K}$.

On the other hand, $M = Z(G) \cdot M^0$ (M^0 , the connected component of 1 in M) and $T_{\beta} = Z(G) \cdot T_{\beta}^0$ ($T_{\beta}^0 = \exp(\ker \beta \cap \mathfrak{F})$), a maximal torus of M^0). Hence, $M = \bigcup \{x \cdot T_{\beta} \cdot x^{-1} | x \in M\}$ and $\eta_1 \in \ker i^*$, since $\eta_1(t) = 0$ for $t \in T_{\beta}$. Thus $\ker i^* = R(K) \cdot \eta_1$, as asserted.

Examples. (i) G simply connected. Then ker $i^* = R(K) \cdot \eta_1$.

(ii)
$$G = Sl(2, \mathbf{R})$$
.

Then

$$K = T = \left\{ k(\theta) = \begin{vmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{vmatrix} \right\},\,$$

 $\mathfrak{S}(K) = \{\tau_n | \tau_n(k(\theta)) = e^{in\theta}\}, \quad \Delta = \Delta_n = \{\pm \alpha\}, \quad k(\theta)^{\alpha} = e^{2i\theta} = \tau_2(k(\theta)). \quad \text{Hence ker } i^* = R(K) \cdot (\tau_1 - \tau_{-1}), \text{ as in } [\mathbf{M3}, \text{Lemma 2.1}].$

(iii)
$$\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{A}(n,1)$$
.

Then $W_K \cdot \beta = \Delta_n^+$ and $\eta_0(t) = \prod_{\Delta_n^+} (t^{\gamma} - 1) \in R(T)^{W_K}$. Hence $\ker i^* = R(K) \cdot \eta_0$ (if δ_n is a weight of K, η_0 and η_1 differ by a unit in $R(T)^{W_K} \simeq R(K)$).

(iv)
$$G = SO(2n, 1)$$
.

In the notation of 2.5(ii), by Lemma 2.6,

$$\ker i^* = \{ \eta = \eta' \otimes \eta_1 | \eta' \in R \operatorname{Spin}(2n), \eta \in RSO(2n) \},$$

where $\eta_1 = \lambda_+ - \lambda_- \in R \operatorname{Spin}(2n)$. Now

$$R \operatorname{Spin}(2n) = \mathbf{Z}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n][\lambda_+, \lambda_-] \subset RSO(2n)[\lambda_+, \lambda_-]$$
 [Hu, Chapter 13].

It is then easy to check that $\eta' \otimes \eta_1 \in RSO(2n)$ if and only if $\eta' = \eta^+ \otimes \lambda_+ + \eta^- \otimes \lambda_-$, $\eta^{\pm} \in RSO(2n)$. That is,

$$\ker i^* = \{ (\eta^+ \otimes \lambda_+ + \eta^- \otimes \lambda_-) \otimes (\lambda_+ - \lambda_-) | \eta^{\pm} \in RSO(2n) \}.$$

REFERENCES

- [A] J. F. Adams, Lectures on Lie groups, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [B] M. W. Baldoni Silva, The embeddings of the discrete series in the principal series for semisimple Lie groups of real rank one, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 261 (1980), 303-368.
- [BH] J. Bruning and E. Heintze, Representations of compact Lie groups and elliptic operators, Invent. Math. 50 (1979), 169-203.
- [DKV] J. J. Duistermaat, J. A. C. Kolk and V. S. Varadarajan, Spectra of compact locally symmetric manifolds of negative curvature, Invent. Math. 52 (1979), 27-93.
- [DW] D. DeGeorge and N. R. Wallach, Limit formulas for multiplicities in $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$. II. The tempered spectrum, Ann. of Math. (2) 109 (1979), 477-495.
- [G] I. M. Gelfand, Automorphic functions and the theory of representations, Proc. Internat. Congr. Math., Stockholm, 1963, pp. 74–85.
- [GGP] I. M. Gelfand, M. Graev and I. Pyatetskii-Shapiro, Representation theory and automorphic functions, Saunders, Philadelphia, Pa., 1969.
- [Ga] R. Gangolli, Asymptotic behavior of spectra of compact quotients of certain symmetric spaces, Acta Math. 121 (1968), 151–192.
 - [GW] R. Gangolli and G. Warner, On Selberg's trace formula, J. Math. Soc. Japan 27 (1975), 328-343.
- [H] S. Helgason, Differential geometry, Lie groups and symmetric spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
 - [Hu] D. Husemoller, Fibre bundles, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [KS] A. W. Knapp and E. M. Stein, *Intertwining operators for semisimple groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) 93 (1971), 489-578.
- [KW] A. W. Knapp and N. R. Wallach, Szego kernels associated with discrete series, Invent. Math. 34 (1976), 163-200.
- [M1] R. J. Miatello, The Minakshisundaram-Pleijel coefficients for the vector valued heat kernel on compact, locally symmetric spaces of negative curvature, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 260 (1980), 1–33.
- [M2] _____, On the Plancherel measure for linear Lie groups of rank one, Manuscripta Math. 29 (1979), 249-276.
- [M3] _____, An alternating sum formula for multiplicities in $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 269 (1982), 567–574.
- [O] K. Okamoto, On the Plancherel formulas for some types of simple Lie groups, Osaka J. Math. 2 (1965), 247-282.
- [W] N. R. Wallach, An asymptotic formula of Gelfand and Gangolli for the spectrum of $\Gamma \setminus G$, J. Differential Geometry 11 (1976), 91–101.
- [WW] E. J. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, A course of modern analysis, Cambridge Univ. Press, London and New York, 1927.
- [Z] D. Želobenko, Compact Lie groups and their representations, Transl. Math. Monographs, vol. 40, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973, reprinted 1982.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540

INSTITUTO DE MATHEMÁTICA, ASTRONOMÍA Y FÍSICA, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CÓRDOBA, VALPARAISO Y ROGELIO MARTINEZ, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, CÓRDOBA 5000, ARGENTINA (CUITENT address of both authors)