

## DECOMPOSITIONS OF THE MAXIMAL IDEAL SPACE OF $L^\infty$

BY

PAMELA GORKIN

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we show the existence of one point maximal antisymmetric sets for  $H^\infty + C$ .

Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $A$  be a closed unital subalgebra of  $C(X)$ . There are several decompositions of  $X$  relative to  $A$ . We shall be primarily concerned with the Bishop and Shilov decompositions for the case where  $X = M(L^\infty)$  and  $A = H^\infty + C$ . We first present a summary of our results. Definitions and further details are presented in §1.

In [14] D. Sarason shows that the Bishop decomposition of  $M(L^\infty)$  into maximal antisymmetric sets for  $H^\infty + C$  is a proper refinement of the Shilov decomposition. In [13] Sarason refined Bishop's theorem to support sets for representing measures of multiplicative linear functionals in  $M(H^\infty + C)$ . In [13 and 14] Sarason asked for the precise relation between support sets and sets of antisymmetry for  $H^\infty + C$ . Is every maximal antisymmetric set for  $H^\infty + C$  the support set of the representing measure of some multiplicative linear functional on  $H^\infty + C$ ? This question is still open. In fact it was unknown whether any maximal antisymmetric set equals the support set of a multiplicative linear functional on  $H^\infty + C$ . We shall show the existence of a maximal antisymmetric set consisting of a single point. It is easy to see that a maximal antisymmetric set consisting of a single point must be a support set. We shall give many examples of one point maximal antisymmetric sets and shall show that many of these are contained in  $QC$  level sets consisting of more than one point, extending a result of Sarason's that will appear in [14].

These results were part of the author's thesis. I would like to express my gratitude to Sheldon Axler for his help.

**1. Preliminaries.** The space of essentially bounded, measurable, complex valued functions on the unit circle  $\partial\mathbf{D}$  with normalized Lebesgue measure will be denoted by  $L^\infty$ . The space  $L^\infty$  is a Banach algebra when it is given pointwise multiplication and the essential supremum norm. Let  $f \in L^\infty$ . We define  $f$  in the unit disc by

$$f(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) P_r(\theta - t) dt$$

where

$$P_r(\theta) = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r \cos \theta + r^2}.$$

---

Received by the editors July 7, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30H05, 46J15, 46J20.

©1984 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9947/84 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

The space of continuous, complex valued functions on  $\partial\mathbf{D}$  will be denoted by  $C$ . By  $H^\infty$  is meant the space of bounded analytic functions on the unit disc  $\mathbf{D}$ . We will frequently identify a function in  $H^\infty$  with its boundary function on the circle. When we do this, we may view  $H^\infty$  as a (uniformly closed) subalgebra of  $L^\infty$ . The algebra  $H^\infty + C = \{f + g : f \in H^\infty, g \in C\}$  is a closed subalgebra of  $L^\infty$  [12]. Finally, the largest  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $H^\infty + C$  will be denoted by  $QC$ .

The maximal ideal space  $M(B)$  of a commutative Banach algebra  $B$  with a unit 1 is the set of multiplicative linear functionals of  $B$ . We give  $M(B)$  the weak-\* topology. With this topology,  $M(B)$  is a compact Hausdorff space. For  $f \in B$ , the Gelfand transform of  $f$  is the complex valued function  $\hat{f} \in C(M(B))$  defined by  $\hat{f}(\varphi) = \varphi(f)$  for all  $\varphi \in M(B)$ . In the cases we are interested in here, the Gelfand transform is an isometry and we write  $f$  for  $\hat{f}$ , since the meaning will be clear from the context.

In the case of  $M(L^\infty)$ ,  $L^\infty$  is isometrically isomorphic (via the Gelfand transform) to  $C(M(L^\infty))$ . In [7 and 9] it is shown that  $M(L^\infty)$  is an extremally disconnected, compact Hausdorff space. For these and other relevant facts about the topology of  $M(L^\infty)$  the reader is referred to [7 and 9].

We will also use facts about  $M(H^\infty)$ . Further information is available in [7–9]. As usual, we regard  $\mathbf{D}$  as an open subset of  $M(H^\infty)$  and write

$$M(H^\infty) = \mathbf{D} \cup \{\varphi \in M(H^\infty) : |\varphi(z)| = 1\}.$$

The Corona Theorem [4] states that  $\mathbf{D}$  is dense in  $M(H^\infty)$ .

For each  $\varphi \in M(H^\infty)$ , there is a unique positive Borel measure  $\mu_\varphi$  on  $M(L^\infty)$  such that

$$\varphi(f) = \int_{M(L^\infty)} f d\mu_\varphi \quad \text{for all } f \in H^\infty.$$

If  $\varphi \in M(H^\infty + C)$  the closed support of  $\mu_\varphi$  is denoted  $\text{supp } \mu_\varphi$ , or simply  $\text{supp } \varphi$ .

Let  $B$  denote a closed subalgebra of  $L^\infty$  containing the constant functions which separates the points of  $M(L^\infty)$ . A closed subset  $S \subseteq M(L^\infty)$  is called a peak set for  $B$  if there is a function  $f \in B$  such that  $f$  equals one on  $S$  and  $|f|$  is less than one off  $S$ . The function  $f$  will be called a peaking function for  $S$ . A closed subset  $S$  of  $M(L^\infty)$  is called a weak peak set for  $B$  if it is the intersection of peak sets. If  $S$  is a weak peak set for  $B$ , the restriction algebra  $B|S$  is a Banach algebra [7, p. 57].

Let  $B$  denote a closed subalgebra of  $L^\infty$  containing the function  $z$ . For  $\lambda \in \partial\mathbf{D}$  we let  $M_\lambda(B) = \{\varphi \in M(B) : \varphi(z) = \lambda\}$ . We call  $M_\lambda(B)$  the  $B$ -fiber over  $\lambda$ . We note that

$$M(H^\infty + C) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \partial\mathbf{D}} \{\varphi \in M(H^\infty) : \varphi(z) = \lambda\} = M(H^\infty) \sim \mathbf{D}.$$

The  $L^\infty$ -fiber over  $\lambda$  is a weak peak set for  $H^\infty$ , hence for  $H^\infty + C$ . A function  $f$  in  $C$  is constant on each fiber and its value on the fiber over  $\lambda$  is simply  $f(\lambda)$ . Therefore  $H^\infty + C|_{M_\lambda(L^\infty)} = H^\infty|_{M_\lambda(L^\infty)}$ .

The sets in the Shilov decomposition are called  $QC$  level sets. Thus for  $\psi \in M(L^\infty)$  (or  $\psi \in M(QC)$ ) we let

$$E_\psi = \{\varphi \in M(L^\infty) : \varphi(q) = \psi(q) \text{ for all } q \in QC\}.$$

We call  $E_\psi$  the  $QC$  level set corresponding to  $\psi$ . Each  $QC$  level set is a weak peak set for  $H^\infty + C$  and is contained in some  $L^\infty$  fiber. In this context a theorem of Shilov [15] specializes to give:

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $f \in L^\infty$ . If  $f|E_\psi \in H^\infty|E_\psi$  for each  $QC$  level set  $E_\psi$ , then  $f \in H^\infty + C$ .*

The sets in Bishop's decomposition are called antisymmetric sets. A set  $S \subseteq M(L^\infty)$  is called an antisymmetric set for  $H^\infty + C$  if whenever  $f \in H^\infty + C$  and  $f|S$  is real valued, then  $f|S$  is constant. A maximal antisymmetric set for  $H^\infty + C$  is a weak peak set for  $H^\infty + C$ . It is easy to see that each antisymmetric set is contained in some  $QC$  level set. A special case of Bishop's theorem [2] says the following:

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $\{S_\alpha\}$  denote the maximal antisymmetric sets for  $H^\infty + C$ . If  $f \in L^\infty$  is such that  $f|S_\alpha \in H^\infty|S_\alpha$  for each maximal antisymmetric set  $S_\alpha$ , then  $f \in H^\infty + C$ .*

Sarason [14] has given an example of a  $QC$  level set that is not an antisymmetric set for  $H^\infty + C$ . Thus Bishop's decomposition for  $M(L^\infty)$  is strictly finer than Shilov's decomposition for  $M(L^\infty)$ .

The third theorem along these lines is due to Sarason [13].

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $f \in L^\infty$ . If  $f|\text{supp } \varphi \in H^\infty|\text{supp } \varphi$  for each  $\varphi \in M(H^\infty + C)$ , then  $f \in H^\infty + C$ .*

It is not difficult to show that for  $\varphi \in M(H^\infty + C)$  the support of  $\varphi$  is an antisymmetric set for  $H^\infty + C$ . Therefore Sarason's theorem is a refinement of Bishop's theorem. This paper is concerned with the relation of Theorem 1.3 to Theorem 1.2.

## 2. The main result.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  be a sequence of distinct points of  $\partial\mathbf{D} \sim \{1\}$  with  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 1$ . Let  $\psi_n \in M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty)$  and  $\psi \in \{\bar{\psi}_n\}^{M(L^\infty)} \cap M_1(L^\infty)$ . Then  $\{\psi\}$  is a maximal antisymmetric set for  $H^\infty + C$ .*

An unpublished result of K. Hoffman shows that any point of  $M(L^\infty)$  in the closure of a sequence of points from distinct  $L^\infty$  fibers is a maximal support set. Our proof is independent of this fact, although Hoffman's result follows easily from Theorem 2.1.

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, we need the result given below.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  be a sequence of distinct points of  $\partial\mathbf{D} \sim \{1\}$  such that  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 1$ . Let  $\{I_n\}$  be a sequence of intervals of  $\partial\mathbf{D}$  with  $\bar{I}_n \cap \bigcup_{m \neq n} I_m = \emptyset$  and  $\lambda_n \in I_n$ . Then there exists  $q \in QC$  satisfying:*

- (1)  $q$  is continuous except at  $\lambda = 1$ ;
- (2)  $|\arg q(\lambda_n) - \pi| < \frac{1}{4}$  for all  $n$ ;
- (3)  $|\arg q(\lambda)| < \frac{1}{4}$  for  $\lambda \in \partial\mathbf{D} \sim \bigcup I_n$ .

Before proceeding with the proof we prove a lemma which will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Let  $C_{\mathbf{R}}^1$  denote the space of real valued, continuously differentiable functions on  $\partial\mathbf{D}$ . Each function  $u$  in  $C_{\mathbf{R}}^1$  has a unique extension to a harmonic function on  $\mathbf{D}$  (which we continue to denote by  $u$ ) whose boundary values are the given function. The harmonic conjugate  $\tilde{u}$  of  $u$  is harmonic on  $\mathbf{D}$  and extends continuously to  $\bar{\mathbf{D}}$ .

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $I$  be an open interval contained in  $\partial\mathbf{D}$  and let  $w \in I$ . Then given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , there exists  $u \in C_{\mathbf{R}}^1$  with  $\|u\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ ,  $|\tilde{u}(z)| < \varepsilon$  for  $z \in \partial\mathbf{D} \sim I$  and  $\tilde{u}(w) = \lambda_0$ .*

PROOF. By choosing  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small and rotating, we may assume  $w = 1$  and  $I = \{e^{i\theta} : -2\delta < \theta < 2\delta\}$ . It is enough to show that there exists  $v \in C_{\mathbf{R}}^1$  with  $\|v\| < 1$ ,  $|\tilde{v}(z)| < 1$  for  $z \in \partial\mathbf{D} \sim I$  and  $\tilde{v}(1) = \lambda_0/\varepsilon$ , for then  $u = \lambda_0 v/\tilde{v}(1)$  satisfies  $\|u\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ ,  $|\tilde{u}(z)| < \varepsilon$  for  $z \in \partial\mathbf{D} \sim I$  and  $\tilde{u}(1) = \lambda_0$ .

It is not hard to show that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - (1/k)^{1/x}}{1/x} = \ln k \quad \text{for } k > 0.$$

We use this fact below. To find  $v$ , let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{R}^+$  be given. Choose  $k$  so that  $\ln k > 2\pi\lambda_0/(\varepsilon \tan \frac{\delta}{2})$ . Choose an odd integer  $m$  satisfying (i)  $m[1 - (1/k)^{1/m}] > 2\pi\lambda_0/(\varepsilon \tan \frac{\delta}{2})$ , (ii)  $1/m < \delta$  and (iii)  $\cos(1/2m) > 1/2$ .

Let

$$v(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } z \in \partial\mathbf{D} \sim \frac{I}{2}, \\ \left(-\frac{1}{2} \tan \frac{\delta}{2}\right)(mt)^{1/m} & \text{if } z = e^{it} \in \left\{e^{is} : \frac{1}{km} < s < \frac{1}{m}\right\}, \end{cases}$$

and extend  $v$  so that  $v \in C_{\mathbf{R}}^1$ ,  $v(1) = 0$ ,  $v(e^{is}) \leq 0$  for  $0 \leq s \leq \pi$ ,  $v(e^{-is}) = -v(e^{is})$ , and  $\|v\|_{\infty} < \tan \frac{\delta}{2} \leq 1$ .

Writing  $v(\theta)$  for  $v(e^{i\theta})$  we have [9, p. 79]

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}(0) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{v(-t) - v(t)}{2 \tan \frac{t}{2}} \frac{dt}{2\pi} = 2 \int_0^{\pi} \frac{v(-t)}{\tan \frac{t}{2}} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \\ &\geq 2 \int_{1/km}^{1/m} \frac{v(-t)}{\tan \frac{t}{2}} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \\ &= 2 \int_{1/km}^{1/m} \frac{(\frac{1}{2} \tan \frac{\delta}{2})(mt)^{1/m}}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \cos \frac{t}{2} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/km}^{1/m} \frac{\tan \frac{\delta}{2} m^{1/m} t^{1/m}}{\frac{t}{2}} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \\ &= \left(\tan \frac{\delta}{2}\right) m^{1/m} \int_{1/km}^{1/m} t^{1/m-1} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \\ &= \frac{(\tan \frac{\delta}{2}) m^{1/m+1}}{2\pi} \cdot \left[ \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^{1/m} - \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{1/m} \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^{1/m} \right] \\ &= \frac{(\tan \frac{\delta}{2})}{2\pi} m \left[ 1 - \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{1/m} \right] > \frac{\lambda_0}{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\tilde{v}(0) > \lambda_0/\varepsilon$ .

Suppose  $z = e^{i\theta} \notin I$ . Since the (closed) support of  $v$  is contained in  $I/2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{v}(\theta)| &\leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{v(\theta+t) - v(\theta-t)}{2 \tan \frac{t}{2}} \right| \frac{dt}{2\pi} \\ &= \int_{|t| \leq \delta} \left| \frac{v(\theta+t) - v(\theta-t)}{2 \tan \frac{t}{2}} \right| \frac{dt}{2\pi} \\ &\quad + \int_{\delta < |t| \leq \pi} \left| \frac{v(\theta+t) - v(\theta-t)}{2 \tan \frac{t}{2}} \right| \frac{dt}{2\pi} \\ &= \int_{\delta \leq |t| \leq \pi} \left| \frac{v(\theta+t) - v(\theta-t)}{2 \tan \frac{t}{2}} \right| \frac{dt}{2\pi} \leq \frac{\|v\|_\infty}{\tan \frac{\delta}{2}} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $|\tilde{v}(z)| \leq 1$  if  $z \in \partial \mathbf{D} \sim I$ , as desired.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2. Given intervals  $I_n$  with  $I_n \cap \overline{\bigcup_{m \neq n} I_m} = \emptyset$ ,  $\lambda_n \in I_n$  and  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 1$ , choose functions  $u_n \in C_{\mathbf{R}}^1$  with  $\|u_n\|_\infty < 1/2^{n+3}$ ,  $|\tilde{u}_n(z)| < 1/2^{n+3}$  for  $z \in \partial \mathbf{D} \sim I_n$  and  $\tilde{u}_n(\lambda_n) = (2n+1)\pi$ . Let  $u = \sum_{n=1}^\infty u_n$ . Then  $u \in C_{\mathbf{R}}$  and since the map  $T: L^2 \rightarrow L^2$  defined by  $T(f) = \tilde{f}$  is continuous,  $\tilde{u} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \tilde{u}_n$  in  $L^2$  norm. Since each  $u_n \in C_{\mathbf{R}}^1$ ,  $\tilde{u}_n \in C_{\mathbf{R}}$ . It is easy to see that  $\{\sum_{n=1}^m \tilde{u}_n\}_m$  converge uniformly to  $\tilde{u}$  on compact subsets of  $\partial \mathbf{D} \sim \{1\}$ . Hence  $\tilde{u}$  is continuous except possibly at  $\lambda = 1$ .

Let  $q = e^{i\tilde{u}}$ . Then

$$q = e^{u+i\tilde{u}}e^{-u} \in H^\infty + C \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{q} = e^{-u-i\tilde{u}}e^u \in H^\infty + C.$$

Therefore  $q \in QC$ .

For any  $n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\arg q(\lambda_n) - \pi| &= |\arg e^{i\tilde{u}(\lambda_n)} - \pi| \\ &= \left| \arg \exp \left\{ i \sum_m \tilde{u}_m(\lambda_n) \right\} - \pi \right| \\ &= \left| \arg \exp \left\{ i \left[ (2n+1)\pi + \sum_{m \neq n} \tilde{u}_m(\lambda_n) \right] \right\} - \pi \right| \\ &= \left| \arg \left( -\exp \left\{ i \sum_{m \neq n} \tilde{u}_m(\lambda_n) \right\} \right) - \pi \right| < \frac{1}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

and if  $\lambda \in \partial \mathbf{D} \sim \overline{\bigcup_{n} I_n}$ , then

$$|\arg q(\lambda)| = \left| \arg \exp \left\{ i \sum_m \tilde{u}_m(\lambda) \right\} \right| < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Before we present the proof of Theorem 2.1 we prove a proposition that will be used frequently.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let  $t \in M_1(QC)$  and  $\{\lambda_n\}$  be a sequence of distinct points of  $\partial \mathbf{D} \sim \{1\}$  such that  $t$  is in the  $M(QC)$  closure of a sequence of points  $\{t_n\}$ , where  $t_n \in M_{\lambda_n}(QC)$  and  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 1$ . Then  $E_t \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_n M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty)}$ .

PROOF. Suppose  $\varphi \in M(L^\infty) \sim \overline{\bigcup_n M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty)}$ . If  $\varphi \in M(L^\infty) \sim M_1(L^\infty)$ , then  $\varphi \in M(L^\infty) \sim E_t$ . Therefore we may assume  $\varphi \in M_1(L^\infty)$ . Since  $M(L^\infty)$  has a basis

of clopen sets (sets that are both closed and open), we can find a clopen set  $F \subseteq M(L^\infty)$  with  $\varphi \in F \subseteq M(L^\infty) \sim \bigcup_n M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty)$ . For each  $n$ ,  $M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty) \subseteq M(L^\infty) \sim F$  and therefore

$$\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \varphi' \in M(L^\infty) : |\varphi'(z) - \lambda_n| > \frac{1}{m} \right\} \supseteq F.$$

Since  $F$  is compact, there exists  $N$  such that

$$\bigcap_{m=1}^N \left\{ \varphi' \in M(L^\infty) : |\varphi'(z) - \lambda_n| \leq \frac{1}{m} \right\}$$

is contained in  $M(L^\infty) \sim F$ . Thus there exists an interval  $I_n$  with  $\lambda_n \in I_n$  satisfying  $M_{\lambda}(L^\infty) \subseteq M(L^\infty) \sim F$  for all  $\lambda \in \overline{I_n}$ . By choosing  $I_n$  sufficiently small we may assume  $\overline{I_n} \cap \bigcup_{m \neq n} \overline{I_m} = \emptyset$ . Note that

$$(*) \quad \bigcup_n \{M_{\lambda}(L^\infty) : \lambda \in \overline{I_n}\} \subseteq M(L^\infty) \sim F.$$

Thus there is a  $QC$  function  $q$  satisfying conditions (1)–(3) of Theorem 2.2.

For any  $n$  and any  $\psi \in M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty)$  we have, by (1) and (2) of Theorem 2.2, that  $|\arg q(\psi) - \pi| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Passing to  $M(QC)$  we have  $|\arg q(t) - \pi| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Therefore for any  $\Psi' \in E_t$  we have  $|\arg q(\Psi') - \pi| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ .

To see that  $\varphi \in M(L^\infty) \sim E_t$ , we shall show that  $|\arg q(\varphi)| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $F_\varepsilon = \{\eta \in M(L^\infty) : |\arg \varphi(\eta) - \arg \eta(q)| < \varepsilon\}$ . Then  $F_\varepsilon \cap F$  is an open set in  $M(L^\infty)$  containing  $\varphi$ . Since  $M_1(L^\infty)$  has no interior in  $M(L^\infty)$  there exists  $\lambda_0 \neq 1$  such that  $M_{\lambda_0}(L^\infty) \cap F \cap F_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$ .

Choose  $\lambda_0 \in \partial \mathbf{D}$  satisfying  $\lambda_0 \neq 1$  and  $M_{\lambda_0}(L^\infty) \cap F \cap F_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$ . By (\*),  $\lambda_0 \in \partial \mathbf{D} \sim \bigcup I_n$ . Hence  $|\arg q(\lambda_0)| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Let  $\psi_{\varepsilon,0} \in M_{\lambda_0}(L^\infty) \cap F \cap F_\varepsilon$ . Then  $|\arg \psi_{\varepsilon,0}(q)| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Therefore  $|\arg \varphi(q)| \leq \frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary,  $|\arg \varphi(q)| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Therefore  $\varphi \in M(L^\infty) \sim E_t$ , so

$$M(L^\infty) \sim \left( \overline{\bigcup M_{\lambda}(L^\infty)} \right) \subseteq M(L^\infty) \sim E_t,$$

which implies the result.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1.** Choose  $\varphi \in M(L^\infty)$  with  $\varphi \neq \psi$  such that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are in the same  $QC$  level set. If no such  $\varphi$  exists, then  $E_\psi = \{\psi\}$  and hence the maximal antisymmetric set containing  $\psi$ ,  $S_\psi$ , satisfies  $S_\psi = \{\psi\}$  and we are done. We assume then that such a  $\varphi$  exists. Since  $\varphi \neq \psi$ , there exists a clopen set  $F$  with  $\varphi \in F$  and  $\psi \in M(L^\infty) \sim F$ . Thus passing to a subsequence of  $\{\psi_n\}$  if necessary, we may assume  $\{\psi_n\} \subseteq M(L^\infty) \sim F$ . By a theorem of Axler [1], for each  $n$  we can find  $f_n \in H^\infty + C$  with  $\|f_n\|_\infty = 1$  such that  $|\psi_n(f_n)| = 1$  and  $\eta(f_n) = 0$  for all  $\eta \in F$ . Using an idea of Sarason, we let  $G_n$  denote the open ellipse with major axis  $[-1, 1]$  and minor axis  $[-i/n, i/n]$ . Let  $T_n$  denote a conformal mapping of the open unit disc  $\mathbf{D}$  onto  $G$  such that  $T_n(0) = 0$ , and by [11, p. 309] we may assume  $T_n \in C$ . Choose  $z_n \in \mathbf{D}$  with  $|z_n| > n/(n+1)$ ,  $T_n(z_n)$  real and  $T_n(z_n) > n/(n+1)$ . By multiplying  $f_n$  by a constant of modulus one, we may assume  $|z_n| \psi_n(f_n) = z_n$ .

Since  $H^\infty + C \mid M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty) = H^\infty \mid M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty)$ , there exists an  $H^\infty$  function whose restriction to  $M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty)$  is  $f_n \mid M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty)$ . Multiplying that function by a suitable peaking function for  $M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty)$ , we obtain a function  $g_n \in H^\infty$  such that  $\|g_n\|_\infty < 1/|z_n|$  and  $g_n \mid M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty) = f_n \mid M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty)$ . Thus  $T \circ (|z_n|g_n) \in H^\infty$ . Let  $\eta \in M(L^\infty)$ . We claim that

$$\eta(T_n \circ |z_n|g_n) = T_n(|z_n|\eta(g_n)).$$

To see this, note that  $T_n$  is a uniform limit of polynomials  $p_{m,n}$ . If  $f \in H^\infty$  with  $\|f\|_\infty < 1$ , then

$$\eta(T_n \circ f) = \eta\left(\lim_m p_{m,n}(f)\right) = \lim_m p_{m,n}(\eta(f)) = T_n(\eta(f)).$$

Therefore for each  $n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n(T_n \circ |z_n|g_n) &= T_n(|z_n|\psi_n(g_n)) \\ &= T_n(|z_n|\psi_n(f_n)) = T_n(z_n) > n/(n+1). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\tau \in F \cap M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty)$  for some  $n$ , then

$$\tau(T_n \circ |z_n|g_n) = T_n(|z_n|\tau(f_n)) = T_n(0) = 0.$$

For each  $\lambda_n$  choose intervals  $I_n$  centered at  $\lambda_n$  with  $I_n \cap \overline{\bigcup_{m \neq n} I_m} = \emptyset$ , where the Lebesgue measure of  $I_n$ ,  $|I_n|$ , satisfies  $|I_n| < 1/2^{n+4}$  and  $1 \in \partial \mathbf{D} \sim (\bigcup_n I_n)$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}(I_n) = \{z \in \overline{\mathbf{D}} : |z - \lambda_n| < |I_n|/2\}$  and let  $h_n$  be a peaking function for  $M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty)$ . By raising  $h_n$  to a sufficiently large power, we may assume  $\|h_n \mid \overline{\mathbf{D}} \sim \mathcal{O}(I_n)\|_\infty < 1/2^{n+4}$ .

Let  $K_n$  be a linear fractional transformation such that  $\|K_n\|_\infty = 1$ ,  $K_n(1) = 0$  and  $K_n(\lambda_n) = 1$ . Let  $l_n = h_n(T_n \circ |z_n|g_n)K_n$ . Then

$$(1) \quad l_n \mid M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty) = (T_n \circ |z_n|g_n) \mid M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty) \quad \text{for all } n$$

and

$$(2) \quad \|l_n \mid \overline{\mathbf{D}} \sim \mathcal{O}(I_n)\|_\infty < 1/2^{n+4}.$$

Let  $L_m = \sum_{n=1}^m l_n$  and  $L = \sum_{n=1}^\infty l_n$ . It is easy to see that  $L_m$  converges to  $L$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\overline{\mathbf{D}} - \{1\}$ . Furthermore,  $\|L_m\| \leq 2$  and thus  $L \in H^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ .

To see that  $L \mid E_\psi$  is real valued, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Choose  $N$  such that  $\sum_{n=N}^\infty 1/2^n < \varepsilon/3$ . Let  $I$  be an open interval of  $\partial \mathbf{D}$  containing 1 such that  $\max_{1 \leq j \leq N} \|K_j \mid I\|_\infty < \varepsilon/3N$ . Then  $\|l_j \mid I\|_\infty < \varepsilon/3N$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Choose  $\psi_0$  in the QC level set corresponding to  $\psi$ ,  $E_\psi$ . Let

$$V = \left\{ \eta \in M(L^\infty) : |\eta(L) - \psi_0(L)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right\} \cap \bigcup_{\lambda \in I} M_\lambda(L^\infty).$$

Then  $V$  is an open set about  $\psi_0$ . By Proposition 2.4 there exists an integer  $m$  satisfying  $m > \max(N, 3/\varepsilon)$  and such that  $V \cap M_{\lambda_m}(L^\infty) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\varphi_0 \in V \cap M_{\lambda_m}(L^\infty)$ . Since  $\sum_n l_n$  converges uniformly on  $\bar{I}_m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Im} \varphi_0(L)| &= \left| \operatorname{Im} \sum_n \varphi_0(l_n) \right| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \operatorname{Im} \varphi_0(l_n) + \operatorname{Im} \varphi_0(l_m) + \sum_{\substack{n=N+1 \\ n \neq m}}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im} \varphi_0(l_n) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N |\operatorname{Im} \varphi_0(l_n)| + |\operatorname{Im} \varphi_0(T_m \circ (|z_m|g_m))| + \sum_{\substack{n=N+1 \\ n \neq m}}^{\infty} |\operatorname{Im} \varphi_0(l_n)| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\varepsilon}{3N} + |\operatorname{Im}(T_m \circ (\varphi_0(|z_m|g_m)))| + \sum_{\substack{n=N+1 \\ n \neq m}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+4}} \\ &< \varepsilon/3 + 1/m + \varepsilon/3 < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $|\operatorname{Im} \psi_0(L)| < 4\varepsilon/3$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary,  $\psi_0(L)$  must be real valued.

Recall that we chose  $\varphi$  to be a point in  $M(L^\infty)$  with  $\varphi \neq \psi$  such that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are in the same  $QC$  level set and  $F$  was a clopen subset of  $M(L^\infty)$  with  $\varphi \in F$  and  $\psi \in \{\bar{\psi}_n\}^{M(L^\infty)} \subseteq M(L^\infty) \sim F$ . Since  $\{\eta \in M(L^\infty) : |\eta(L) - \psi(L)| < 1/8\}$  is an open subset containing  $\psi$ , there exists  $n$  with  $n \geq 7$  and  $\psi_n \in M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty)$  such that  $|\psi_n(L) - \psi(L)| < 1/8$ . Thus

$$|\psi_n(L)| = \left| \psi_n(l_n) + \sum_{m \neq n} \psi_n(l_m) \right| \geq \frac{n}{n+1} - \frac{1}{4} \geq \frac{7}{8} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{5}{8}.$$

Therefore  $|\psi(L)| \geq 1/2$ .

To determine  $\varphi(L)$  note that  $U = \{\eta \in M(L^\infty) : |\eta(L) - \varphi(L)| < 1/8\} \cap F$  is an open set in  $M(L^\infty)$  containing  $\varphi$ . By Proposition 2.4 there exists  $m$  such that  $M_{\lambda_m}(L^\infty) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\varphi_m \in M_{\lambda_m}(L^\infty) \cap U$ . Then we have

$$|\varphi_m(L)| = \left| \varphi_m(l_m) + \sum_{n \neq m} \varphi_m(l_n) \right| \leq |\varphi_m(l_m)| + \sum_{n \neq m} |\varphi_m(l_n)| \leq 0 + \frac{1}{4}.$$

Therefore  $|\varphi(L)| \leq 3/8$  and  $\psi(L) \neq \varphi(L)$ .

The maximal antisymmetric set  $S_\psi$  containing  $\psi$  is contained in  $E_\psi$ , so  $L|S$  is real valued. Thus  $L|S$  is constant. Therefore  $\varphi \notin S$ . Since  $\varphi$  was an arbitrary point of  $E_\psi$  distinct from  $\psi$ ,  $S = \{\psi\}$  and the proof is complete.

We will show that many of the points that are in the  $M(L^\infty)$  closure of a sequence of points from distinct  $L^\infty$  fibers are contained in  $QC$  level sets consisting of more than one point. We will also show that not every  $QC$  level set contains such a point.

We call a sequence  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of distinct points in  $\mathbf{D}$  an interpolating sequence if whenever  $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is a bounded sequence of complex numbers, there exists a function  $f \in H^\infty$  with  $f(z_j) = w_j$  for all  $j$ . It is well known [4] that a sequence  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is an interpolating sequence if and only if there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\prod_{j \neq k} \left| \frac{z_k - z_j}{1 - \bar{z}_j z_k} \right| \geq \delta > 0 \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

A Blaschke product with zeroes  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbf{D}$  is a function  $b \in H^\infty(\mathbf{D})$  of the form

$$b(z) = \lambda z^k \prod_{|z_j| \neq 0} \frac{-\bar{z}_j}{|z_j|} \left( \frac{z - z_j}{1 - \bar{z}_j z} \right) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbf{D},$$

where  $|\lambda| = 1$  and  $\sum_n (1 - |z_n|) < \infty$ . If the zeroes of  $b$  form an interpolating sequence,  $b$  is called an interpolating Blaschke product. We will use the theorem stated below. The proof is given in [9, p. 205].

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be an interpolating sequence and let  $b$  be the Blaschke product with zeroes  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ . Then  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \overset{M(H^\infty)}{\sim}$  is homeomorphic to the Stone-Ćech compactification of  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  and every zero of  $b$  in  $M(H^\infty) \sim \mathbf{D}$  is in the  $M(H^\infty)$  closure of  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ .*

The following lemma was proven by K. Clancey and J. A. Gosselin [5] and by R. G. Douglas [6].

**LEMMA 2.6.** *Let  $u$  be an inner function. If  $t \in M(QC)$  with  $u|_{E_t}$  invertible in  $H^\infty|_{E_t}$ , then  $u|_{E_t}$  is constant. In fact  $\{t \in M(QC): u|_{E_t} \text{ is constant}\} = \{t \in M(QC): u|_{E_t} \text{ is invertible in } H^\infty|_{E_t}\}$  is an open set in  $M(QC)$ .*

Using Lemma 2.6 together with the following result of D. E. Marshall [10, p. 15], we prove a similar result about characteristic functions. In what follows,  $H^\infty[f]$  denotes the closed subalgebra of  $L^\infty$  generated by  $H^\infty$  and  $f$ .

**THEOREM 2.7.** *Let  $\chi_E$  be a nonconstant characteristic function in  $L^\infty$ . Then there is an inner function  $u$  such that  $H^\infty[\chi_E] = H^\infty[\bar{u}]$ .*

**THEOREM 2.8.** *Let  $\chi_E$  be a nonconstant characteristic function in  $L^\infty$ . If  $\chi_E|_{E_{t_0}} \in H^\infty|_{E_{t_0}}$  for some  $QC$  level set  $E_{t_0}$ , then  $\chi_E|_{E_{t_0}}$  is constant.*

Sarason has given a proof of Theorem 2.8. Since his proof is unpublished we include a proof below. Our proof is different from Sarason's; his did not use Theorem 2.7.

**PROOF.** By Theorem 2.7 there exists an inner function  $u$  such that  $H^\infty[\chi_E] = H^\infty[\bar{u}]$ . Therefore  $M(H^\infty[\chi_E]) = M(H^\infty[\bar{u}])$ . Thus

$$M(H^\infty|_{E_{t_0}}) \subseteq M(H^\infty[\chi_E]) = M(H^\infty[\bar{u}]).$$

Hence  $|\varphi(u)| = 1$  for all  $\varphi \in M(H^\infty|_{E_t})$ . Therefore  $u|_{E_t}$  is invertible in  $H^\infty|_{E_t}$ . By Lemma 2.6 there exists  $\emptyset$  open in  $M(QC)$  containing  $t_0$  with  $\bar{u}|_{E_t} \in H^\infty|_{E_t}$  for all  $t \in \emptyset$ . Let  $q \in QC$  with  $q(t_0) = 1$ ,  $q(s) = 0$  for  $s \in M(QC) \sim \emptyset$  and  $0 \leq q \leq 1$ . Choose  $\psi \in M(H^\infty + C)$ . If  $\text{supp } \psi \subseteq E_s$  and  $s \in M(QC) \sim \emptyset$ , then  $q|_{\text{supp } \psi} = 0$  and therefore  $q\chi_E|_{\text{supp } \psi} = 0$ . If  $\text{supp } \psi \subseteq E_t$  and  $t \in \emptyset$ , then  $\bar{u}|_{\text{supp } \psi} \in H^\infty|_{\text{supp } \psi}$  and, hence,  $\chi_E|_{\text{supp } \psi} \in H^\infty|_{\text{supp } \psi}$ . By Theorem 1.3  $q\chi_E \in H^\infty + C$ . Since  $q\chi_E$  is real valued,  $q\chi_E \in QC$ . Thus  $q\chi_E|_{E_{t_0}}$  is constant. Since  $\varphi(q) = 1$  for all  $\varphi \in E_{t_0}$  we must have  $\chi_E|_{E_{t_0}}$  constant, as desired.

By the Shilov Idempotent Theorem we obtain the corollary below, answering a question of R. G. Douglas in [6].

**COROLLARY 2.9.** *If  $t \in M(QC)$ , then  $M(H^\infty | E_t)$  is connected.*

It is a consequence of the following result of T. Wolff [17] that any function  $f \in L^\infty$  is constant on some  $QC$  level set.

**THEOREM 2.10.** *Let  $f \in L^\infty$ . There exists an outer function  $q \in QC \cap H^\infty$  such that  $qf \in QC$ .*

We will show that for any  $\lambda \in \partial \mathbf{D}$  and any clopen set  $F$  contained in  $M_\lambda(L^\infty)$  there exists a  $QC$  level set contained in  $F$ . By [9, p. 171] we see that for  $f \in L^\infty$  and  $\lambda \in \partial \mathbf{D}$ ,  $f$  is constant on some  $QC$  level set contained in  $M_\lambda(L^\infty)$ .

**THEOREM 2.11 [14, 16].** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be functions in  $L^\infty$ . If for each  $\varphi \in M(H^\infty + C)$  either  $f|_{\text{supp } \varphi} \in H^\infty|_{\text{supp } \varphi}$  or  $g|_{\text{supp } \varphi} \in H^\infty|_{\text{supp } \varphi}$ , then for each  $QC$  level set  $E_t$  either  $f|_{E_t} \in H^\infty|_{E_t}$  or  $g|_{E_t} \in H^\infty|_{E_t}$ .*

This theorem, together with the following unpublished result of K. Hoffman, provides us with much more information about the points in  $M(L^\infty)$  that are in the closure of a sequence of points from distinct  $L^\infty$  fibers.

**THEOREM 2.12.** *Let  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be an interpolating sequence such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{m \neq n} \left| \frac{z_n - z_m}{1 - \bar{z}_m z_n} \right| = 1.$$

*If  $\varphi \in \overline{\{z_n\}}^{M(H^\infty)}$  and  $\varphi \in M(H^\infty + C)$ , then  $\text{supp } \varphi$  is a maximal support set.*

**THEOREM 2.13.** *Let  $E$  be a nonempty clopen subset of  $M_1(L^\infty)$ . Then  $E$  contains a  $QC$  level set that is not a maximal antisymmetric set for  $H^\infty + C$ .*

As we remarked earlier Sarason [14] has shown that each  $L^\infty$  fiber contains a  $QC$  level set that is not a maximal antisymmetric set for  $H^\infty + C$ . Some of the ideas used to prove Theorem 2.13 are similar to techniques communicated by T. Wolff (private communication).

**PROOF.** Let  $F$  be a clopen subset of  $M(L^\infty)$  such that  $E = F \cap M_1(L^\infty)$ . Then there exists a measurable subset  $G$  of  $\partial \mathbf{D}$  of positive measure such that  $\chi_F = \hat{\chi}_G$ . Let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  be a sequence of distinct points of  $\partial \mathbf{D}$  with  $\lambda_n = e^{i\theta_n} \rightarrow 1$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \chi_G(re^{i\theta_n}) = 1$ . We claim that there exists an interpolating sequence  $\{z_m\}$  with the following properties:

(1)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{m \neq n} \left| \frac{z_n - z_m}{1 - \bar{z}_m z_n} \right| = 1.$$

(2)  $\{z_m\}$  is the disjoint union of interpolating sequences  $\{z_{m,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $z_{m,n} = r_{m,n}e^{i\theta_n}$  for suitable choices of  $r_{m,n}$  and

(3)  $\chi_G(z_m) \rightarrow 1$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

We construct such a sequence as follows: Let  $z_1 = z_{1,1} = r_{1,1}e^{i\theta_1}$ , where  $0 < r_{1,1} < 1$ . Choose  $z_2 = z_{2,1} = r_{2,1}e^{i\theta_2}$  such that  $\chi_G(z_2) > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $|(z_2 - z_1)/(1 - \bar{z}_2 z_1)| > e^{-1/2}$ . Choose  $z_3 = z_{1,2} = r_{1,2}e^{i\theta_1}$  such that  $\chi_G(z_3) > 1 - \frac{1}{3}$  and  $|(z_3 - z_j)/(1 - \bar{z}_j z_3)| > e^{-1/2^{3+j}}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . We choose  $z_4 = z_{2,2} = r_{2,2}e^{i\theta_2}$  satisfying  $\chi_G(z_4) > 1 - \frac{1}{4}$  and

$|(z_4 - z_j)/(1 - \bar{z}_j z_4)| \geq e^{-1/2^{4+j}}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . We continue to choose  $z_n$  satisfying (2) such that  $\chi_G(z_n) > 1 - 1/n$  and  $|(z_n - z_j)/(1 - \bar{z}_j z_n)| > e^{-1/2^{n+j}}$  for  $j < n$ . It is not hard to see that (1) and (3) also hold.

Let  $\varphi_n \in \{z_{m,n}\}_{m=1}^\infty^{M(H^\infty + C)}$ . Then  $\varphi_n \in M_{\lambda_n}(H^\infty)$ . Let  $\varphi_0 \in \overline{\{\varphi_n\}}^{M(H^\infty + C)} \cap M_1(H^\infty)$ . By Theorem 2.12 we have that, for each  $n$ ,  $\text{supp } \varphi_n$  is a maximal support set. Let  $b$  be the interpolating Blaschke product with zeroes  $\{z_m\}$ . Choose  $\psi \in M(H^\infty + C)$ . If  $\bar{b}|_{\text{supp } \psi} \notin H^\infty|_{\text{supp } \psi}$ , then  $|\psi(b)| < 1$ . Since  $b|_{\text{supp } \psi}$  is not invertible in  $H^\infty|_{\text{supp } \psi}$ , there exists  $\tau \in M(H^\infty|_{\text{supp } \psi}) = \{\eta \in M(H^\infty) : \text{supp } \eta \subseteq \text{supp } \psi\}$  with  $\tau(b) = 0$ . By Theorem 2.5,  $\tau \in \overline{\{z_m\}}$  and hence by Theorem 2.12,  $\text{supp } \tau$  is a maximal support set. Therefore  $\text{supp } \tau = \text{supp } \psi$ . Since  $\chi_G(z_m) \rightarrow 1$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\tau(\chi_G) = 1$ . Thus  $\text{supp } \tau \subseteq G$ , so  $\text{supp } \psi \subseteq G$ . Thus for any  $\psi \in M(H^\infty + C)$  either  $\bar{b}|_{\text{supp } \psi} \in H^\infty|_{\text{supp } \psi}$  or  $\chi_F|_{\text{supp } \psi} \in H^\infty|_{\text{supp } \psi}$ . By Theorem 2.11 on each  $QC$  level set  $E_t$  we have  $\bar{b}|_{E_t} \in H^\infty|_{E_t}$  or  $\chi_F|_{E_t} \in H^\infty|_{E_t}$ . Consider the  $QC$  level set  $E_{t_0}$  containing  $\text{supp } \varphi_0$ . Since  $\bar{b}|_{\text{supp } \varphi_0} \notin H^\infty|_{\text{supp } \varphi_0}$ , we must have  $\chi_F|_{E_{t_0}} \in H^\infty|_{E_{t_0}}$ . By Theorem 2.8,  $\chi_F|_{E_{t_0}}$  is constant. By (3) above, we must have  $E_{t_0} \subseteq F$ .

Let  $\{\varphi_{n_\alpha}\}$  be a subnet of  $\{\varphi_n\}$  such that  $\varphi_{n_\alpha} \rightarrow \varphi_0$ . Choose  $\psi_n \in \text{supp } \varphi_{n_\alpha}$ . Then some subnet of  $\{\psi_n\}$  converges. We may assume without loss of generality that  $\psi_{n_\alpha} \rightarrow \psi_0$ . Therefore  $\psi_0|_{QC} = \varphi_0|_{QC}$ . Hence  $\psi_0 \in E_{t_0}$ . By Theorem 2.1,  $\{\psi_0\}$  is a maximal antisymmetric set for  $H^\infty + C$ . Since  $\varphi_0 \in M(H^\infty) \sim M(L^\infty)$ ,  $\text{supp } \varphi_0$  consists of more than one point. Since  $\psi_0 \in E_{t_0}$  and  $\text{supp } \varphi_0 \subseteq E_{t_0}$ ,  $E_{t_0}$  is not a maximal antisymmetric set for  $H^\infty + C$ .

One may ask whether every  $QC$  level set contains a point that is in the closure of a sequence of points from distinct  $L^\infty$  fibers. The answer to this question is no, as we shall see. We first state a lemma due to Sarason that appears in [14].

**LEMMA 2.14.** *Let  $b$  be an inner function. If  $\varphi \in M(H^\infty + C)$  and  $b|_{\text{supp } \varphi}$  is nonconstant, then  $b(\text{supp } \varphi) = \partial \mathbf{D}$ .*

**EXAMPLE 2.15.** Let  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be an interpolating sequence with  $z_n \rightarrow 1$  and let  $\varphi \in \overline{\{z_n\}}^{M(H^\infty)}$ ,  $\varphi \in M(H^\infty + C)$ . Let  $E_\psi$  denote the  $QC$  level set containing  $\text{supp } \varphi$ . Then  $E_\psi$  does not contain a point which is in the closure of a sequence of points from distinct fibers.

**PROOF.** Suppose not, that is, suppose  $\varphi_0 \in E_\psi$  and  $\varphi_0 \in \overline{\{\varphi_n\}}^{M(L^\infty)}$ , where  $\varphi_n \in M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty)$  and  $\lambda_n \neq \lambda_m$  for  $n \neq m$ . Let  $b$  be the interpolating Blaschke product with zeroes  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . By assumption,  $z_n \rightarrow 1$ . Thus  $b$  is continuous at  $\lambda$  for  $\lambda \neq 1$ . Hence  $b|_{M_\lambda(L^\infty)}$  is constant for  $\lambda \neq 1$ . Let  $U = \{\psi \in M(L^\infty) : |\psi(b) - \varphi_0(b)| < \frac{1}{2}\}$ . Then  $U$  is an open set in  $M(L^\infty)$  containing  $\varphi_0$ . Therefore  $\varphi_0 \in \overline{\{\varphi_n : \varphi_n \in U\}}^{M(L^\infty)}$ . By Corollary 2.4,

$$E_\psi = E_{\varphi_0} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup \{M_{\lambda_n}(L^n) : \varphi_n \in M_{\lambda_n}(L^\infty) \cap U\}}^{M(L^\infty)}.$$

Hence  $E_{\varphi_0} \subseteq \bar{U}$ . If  $b|_{E_{\varphi_0}}$  were nonconstant, then by Lemma 2.14 we have  $b(E_{\varphi_0}) = \partial \mathbf{D}$ . Therefore there exists  $\eta \in E_{\varphi_0}$  with  $\eta(b) = -\varphi_0(b)$ . Hence  $\eta \notin \bar{U}$ . Thus  $b|_{E_{\varphi_0}}$  is constant. Therefore  $|\varphi(b)| = 1$  and, hence,  $\varphi$  cannot be in the closure of the zeroes of  $b$ . This contradiction implies the result.

## REFERENCES

1. S. Axler, *Factorization of  $L^\infty$  functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **106** (1977), 567–572.
2. E. Bishop, *A generalization of the Stone Weierstrass theorem*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 777–783.
3. L. Carleson, *An interpolation problem for bounded analytic functions*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 921–930.
4. ———, *Interpolations by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **76** (1962), 547–559.
5. K. F. Clancey and J. A. Gosselin, *The local theory of Toeplitz operators*, Illinois J. Math. **22** (1978), 449–458.
6. R. G. Douglas, *Local Toeplitz operators*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **36** (1978), 243–272.
7. T. W. Gamelin, *Uniform algebras*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1969.
8. J. B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
9. K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962.
10. D. E. Marshall, *Approximation and interpolation by inner functions*, Thesis, Univ. of California, Los Angeles, Calif., 1976.
11. W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, 2nd ed, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.
12. D. Sarason, *Algebras of functions on the unit circle*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1973), 286–299.
13. ———, *Functions of vanishing mean oscillation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **207** (1975), 391–405.
14. ———, *The Shilov and Bishop decompositions of  $H^\infty + C$* , Conference on Harmonic Analysis in Honor of Antoni Zygmund, Volume II, Wadsworth, Belmont, California, 1983, pp. 461–474.
15. G. E. Shilov, *On rings of functions with uniform convergence*, Ukrain. Mat. Zh. **23** (1951), 404–411.
16. T. Wolff, *Some theorems on vanishing mean oscillation*, Thesis, Univ. of California, Berkeley, Calif., 1979.
17. ———, *Two algebras of bounded functions*, Duke Math. J. **49** (1982), 321–328.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BUCKNELL UNIVERSITY, LEWISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17837