

AN INTEGRAL INEQUALITY WITH APPLICATIONS

BY

M. A. LECKBAND

ABSTRACT. Using a technical integral inequality, J. Moser proved a sharp result on exponential integrability of a certain space of Sobolev functions. In this paper, we show that the integral inequality holds in a general setting using nonincreasing functions and a certain class of convex functions. We then apply the integral inequality to extend the above result by J. Moser to other spaces of Sobolev functions. A second application is given generalizing some different results by M. Jodeit.

1. The integral inequality (Theorem 3) presented in this paper has been of interest ever since a simple version of it was proven in 1971 by J. Moser. Subsequent improvements were made by M. Jodeit in 1972, B. F. Jones in 1979 and C. J. Neugebauer in 1980.

Let $1 \leq q < \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $f \in L^q[0, \infty)$ and $\|f\|_q \leq 1$. Let $\phi \geq 0$ be locally integrable, and define

$$\psi(x) = \left[\int_0^x \phi^p(y) dy \right]^{1/p} \quad \text{and} \quad F(x) = \int_0^x f(y)\phi(y) dy.$$

Let Φ be a nonincreasing function on $[0, \infty)$.

In this paper we investigate for which real-valued functions $N(x)$ we get the inequality

$$\int_0^\infty \phi \{ N[\psi(x)] - N[F(x)] \} dN[\psi(x)] \leq C \|\Phi\|_1.$$

Theorem 2 is basic to our proof of this inequality, and a simple calculation involving a concave function shows that the natural functions to consider are convex functions. Moreover, Theorem 1 shows that Theorem 2 is true for general ϕ and f if and only if $N(x)$ is a certain type of convex function called a C^* -convex function.

Finally in §§5 and 6 we improve upon the applications given by J. Moser and M. Jodeit, respectively.

2. DEFINITION 1. A continuous function $\rho: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ will be called a C^* -function provided there exists a constant $C_\rho < \infty$ such that for $0 < d < \infty$, we have a constant $C(d) < \infty$ with

$$\rho\{(l+d)s\} \leq C_\rho \cdot \{(l-1)s\},$$

for all $l > C(d)$ and $0 < s < \infty$.

Received by the editors March 26, 1982 and, in revised form, March 21, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42A96.

©1984 American Mathematical Society
0025-5726/84 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

We note that if $\rho: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a concave nondecreasing function, then ρ is a C^* -function. Moreover, the set of C^* -functions is closed under addition and multiplication; hence, all polynomials with positive coefficients are C^* -functions.

DEFINITION 2. A function $N: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ will be called a C^* -convex function if $N(0) = 0$, N is convex, $N \in C^1[0, \infty)$, and ρ defined by the differential equation $\rho(N(x)) = N'(x)$ is a C^* -function.

Some common examples of C^* -convex functions are $N(x) = e^x - 1$, $N(x) = e^{x^2} - 1$, and $N(x) = x^p, p \geq 1$.

The following theorem gives an equivalent condition that a convex function must satisfy to be C^* -convex.

THEOREM 1. Let $N \in C^1[0, \infty)$ be a nonnegative convex function and $N(0) = 0$. Then N is a C^* -convex function if and only if there are constants $0 < c, d < \infty$, such that for $l > d, 0 < s < \infty$, we have

$$(*) \quad [N^{-1}(ls)]^2 \leq [N^{-1}((l-1)s)][N^{-1}((l+c)s)].$$

PROOF. First assume that N is a C^* -convex function; that is, $N(0) = 0, N \in C^1[0, \infty), N \geq 0$, and the function ϕ defined by $\phi(N(x)) = N'(x)$ is a C^* -function.

If (*) does not hold, then for any $c > 0$ there is sequence $\{l_n s_n\}$ such that:

- (i) $l_n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (ii) $[N^{-1}(l_n s_n)]^2 > [N^{-1}((l_n - 1)s_n)][N^{-1}((l_n + c)s_n)]$.

We will show that for some $a, c/2 < a < c$, we get

$$\phi(\{l_n + a\} s_n) \geq \frac{c}{4} \phi(\{l_n - 1\} s_n).$$

This will imply that ϕ is not a C^* -function, hence contradicting the assumption that N is C^* -convex.

We calculate from $N'(x) = \phi(N(x))$ that $(N^{-1})'(y) = 1/\phi(y)$; therefore,

$$N^{-1}(\{l_n + c\} s_n) = \int_{l_n s_n}^{(l_n + c)s_n} \frac{1}{\phi(y)} dy + N^{-1}(l_n s_n)$$

and

$$N^{-1}(\{l_n - 1\} s_n) = N^{-1}(l_n s_n) - \int_{(l_n - 1)s_n}^{l_n s_n} \frac{1}{\phi(y)} dy.$$

We use the above two expressions to reduce inequality (ii) to

$$(A) \quad N^{-1}(l_n s_n) \int_{(l_n - 1)s_n}^{l_n s_n} \frac{1}{\phi(y)} dy > N^{-1}(\{l_n - 1\} s_n) \cdot \int_{l_n s_n}^{(l_n + c)s_n} \frac{1}{\phi(y)} dy.$$

By the way ϕ is defined, $1/\phi(y)$ is nonincreasing and we see

$$(B) \quad N^{-1}(l_n s_n) \cdot \frac{s_n}{\phi(\{l_n - 1\} s_n)} \geq N^{-1}(l_n s_n) \int_{(l_n - 1)s_n}^{l_n s_n} \frac{1}{\phi(y)} dy,$$

and for any $c/2 < a < c$,

$$(C) \quad N^{-1}(\{l_n - 1\} s_n) \int_{l_n s_n}^{(l_n + c)s_n} \frac{1}{\phi(y)} dy \geq N^{-1}(\{l_n - 1\} s_n) \cdot \frac{s_n}{\phi(\{l_n + a\} s_n)} \cdot \frac{c}{2}.$$

Hence (A), (B) and (C) imply

$$(D) \quad \phi\{(l_n + a)s_n\} \geq \frac{N^{-1}\{(l_n - 1)s_n\}}{N^{-1}(l_n s_n)} \frac{c}{2} \phi\{(l_n - 1)s_n\}.$$

The mean value theorem implies, since $N^{-1}(0) = 0$,

$$\frac{N^{-1}\{(l_n - 1)s_n\}}{N^{-1}(l_n s_n)} = \frac{(N^{-1})'(\xi)}{(N^{-1})'(\xi_+)} \frac{(l_n - 1)s_n}{l_n s_n}, \quad \text{where } 0 < \xi \leq \xi_+.$$

N^{-1} is concave, so $(N^{-1})'(\xi) \geq (N^{-1})'(\xi_+)$ and inequality (D) becomes

$$\phi\{(l_n + a)s_n\} \geq \frac{(l_n - 1)}{l_n} \frac{c}{2} \phi\{(l_n - 1)s_n\},$$

and for l_n large, $(l_n - 1)/l_n \geq 1/2$. Thus ϕ is not a C^* -function.

We assume now that (*) holds and show that ϕ is a C^* -function by contradiction.

Suppose $\{l_n s_n\}$ is a sequence for which the following is true.

Given $0 < c, d < \infty$, then:

(a) $l_n \rightarrow \infty$.

(b) $\phi\{(l_n + d)s_n\} > c\phi\{(l_n - 1)s_n\}$.

We will show that there exists a sequence $\{\bar{l}_n \bar{s}_n\}$ with

$$(E) \quad [N^{-1}(\bar{l}_n \bar{s}_n)]^2 > [N^{-1}\{(\bar{l}_n - 1)\bar{s}_n\}][N^{-1}\{(\bar{l}_n + a)\bar{s}_n\}],$$

where $a = c/2$. This contradicts (*).

From above, inequality (E) will be true if

$$(**) \quad \int_{(\bar{l}_n - 1)\bar{s}_n}^{\bar{l}_n \bar{s}_n} \frac{1}{\phi(x)} dx \geq \int_{\bar{l}_n \bar{s}_n}^{(\bar{l}_n + a)\bar{s}_n} \frac{1}{\phi(x)} dx.$$

To achieve (**), for suitable α and d let

$$\bar{l}_n \bar{s}_n = (l_n + d)s_n \quad \text{and} \quad (\bar{l}_n - 1)\bar{s}_n = (l_n - 1)s_n - \alpha s_n.$$

Then

$$\bar{s}_n = (d + 1 + \alpha)s_n \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{l}_n = (l_n + d)/(d + 1 + \alpha).$$

To determine suitable α and d , we observe

$$\frac{a\bar{s}_n}{\phi\{(l_n + d)s_n\}} \geq \int_{\bar{l}_n \bar{s}_n = (l_n + d)s_n}^{(\bar{l}_n + a)\bar{s}_n} \frac{1}{\phi(x)} dx$$

and

$$\int_{(\bar{l}_n - 1)\bar{s}_n}^{\bar{l}_n \bar{s}_n} \frac{1}{\phi(x)} dx \geq \int_{(l_n - 1 - \alpha)s_n}^{(l_n - 1)s_n} \frac{1}{\phi(x)} dx \geq \frac{\alpha s_n}{\phi\{(l_n - 1)s_n\}}.$$

The inequality (**) will be true if we have

$$\frac{\alpha s_n}{\phi\{(l_n - 1)s_n\}} \geq a(d + 1 + \alpha) \frac{s_n}{\phi\{(l_n + d)s_n\}}$$

or

$$\phi\{(l_n + d)s_n\} \geq \frac{a(d + 1 + \alpha)}{\alpha} \phi\{(l_n - 1)s_n\}.$$

From hypothesis, this is true if we require that $a = c/2$, $\alpha = a + 1$, and d be some fixed constant greater than $c/2$.

3. Let (x, \mathfrak{M}, μ) be a measure space and, for $0 < r < \infty$, let $S_r \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that $S_{r'} \subset S_{r''}$ if $r' \leq r''$ and S_0 is the empty set. For $\phi \geq 0$, $f \geq 0$, $1 \leq q < \infty$ and $1/q + 1/p = 1$ we will write $\phi_r = \phi \cdot \chi_{S_r}$, $\psi(r) = \|\phi_r\|_{p, \mu}$ and $F(r) = \int_X f \cdot \phi_r d\mu$. In addition, we always assume $\|f\|_{q, \mu} \leq 1$ and $\psi(r) < \infty$ for $0 < r < \infty$.

LEMMA 1. Let $N \in C^1[0, \infty)$, $N \geq 0$, N convex, and $N(0) = 0$. If $1 \leq q < \infty$, then there is a constant C , $2 < C < \infty$, which depends only upon q , such that

$$N\{\psi(r_1)\} \geq C \max_{j=1,2} [N\{\psi(r_j)\} - N\{F(r_j)\}]$$

implies $\psi(r_2) \leq 2\psi(r_1)$.

PROOF. This proof is done by assuming $1 < q < \infty$ and a similar argument proves $1 = q$. Let $1 < q < \infty$, $s = \max_{j=1,2} [N\{\psi(r_j)\} - N\{F(r_j)\}]$ and assume $r_2 \geq r_1$.

(i) $\|\phi_{r_2} - \phi_{r_1}\|_p \leq p^{1/p} \psi^{1/q}(r_2) (\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1))^{1/p}$.

To demonstrate (i) we use the definition of ϕ_r to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{r_2} - \phi_{r_1}\|_p^p &= \int \phi_{r_2}^p - \phi_{r_1}^p d\mu = \psi^p(r_2) - \psi^p(r_1) \\ &\leq p\psi^{p-1}(r_2) [\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality uses the mean value theorem.

(ii) $\|f \cdot \chi_{X \setminus S_1}\|_q \leq (qs/N\{\psi(r_1)\})^{1/q}$, if $N\{\psi(r_1)\} > 2s$, where $S_1 = S_{r_1}$.

To prove (ii), Hölder's inequality, $\|f \cdot \chi_{S_1}\|_q \leq 1$, and the convexity of N , imply

$$N\{F(r_1)\} \leq N\{\|f \cdot \chi_{S_1}\|_q \psi(r_1)\} \leq \|f \cdot \chi_{S_1}\|_q N\{\psi(r_1)\},$$

so

$$N\{\psi(r_1)\} \leq s + N\{F(r_1)\} \leq s + \|f \cdot \chi_{S_1}\|_q N\{\psi(r_1)\}.$$

Rearranging the terms we get

$$\left(1 - \frac{s}{N\{\psi(r_1)\}}\right)^q \leq \|f \cdot \chi_{S_1}\|_q^q \leq 1 - \|f \cdot \chi_{X \setminus S_1}\|_q^q.$$

Now we apply the mean value theorem to x^q , for $0 < x < 1$,

$$\|f \cdot \chi_{X \setminus S_1}\|_q^q \leq 1 - \left(1 - \frac{s}{N\{\psi(r_1)\}}\right)^q \leq \frac{qs}{N\{\psi(r_1)\}}.$$

This proves (ii).

To prove the lemma assume $N\{F(r_2)\} \geq N\{\psi(r_1)\}$; if not, we calculate

$$N\{\psi(r_1)\} \geq CN\{\psi(r_2)\} - CN\{F(r_2)\}$$

or

$$N\left\{\frac{(C+1)}{C}\psi(r_1)\right\} \geq \left(\frac{C+1}{C}\right)N\{\psi(r_1)\} \geq N\{\psi(r_2)\}.$$

Hence $2\psi(r_1) \geq (C+1)\psi(r_1)/C \geq \psi(r_2)$, and the lemma is proved.

We start with

$$(A) \quad N\{\psi(r_2)\} - N\{\psi(r_1)\} \leq s + N\{F(r_2)\} - N\{F(r_1)\}.$$

The mean value theorem and the convexity of N imply

$$\begin{aligned} N\{\psi(r_2)\} - N\{\psi(r_1)\} &= N'(\eta)\{\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1)\}, \\ N\{F(r_2)\} - N\{F(r_1)\} &= N'(\xi)\{F(r_2) - F(r_1)\}, \\ N'(\eta) &\geq N'(\xi), \quad \eta \geq \xi \quad \text{and} \quad \eta \geq \psi(r_1). \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (A) now becomes

$$(B) \quad N'(\eta)(\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1)) \leq s + N'(\eta)[F(r_2) - F(r_1)].$$

Hölder's inequality (i), and (ii) are applied to $F(r_2) - F(r_1)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} F(r_2) - F(r_1) &\leq \|f \cdot \chi_{X \setminus S_1}\|_q \|\phi_{r_2} - \phi_{r_1}\|_p \\ &\leq p^{1/p} \left(\frac{qs}{N\{\psi(r_1)\}} \right)^{1/q} (\psi(r_2))^{1/q} \cdot (\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1))^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

We now apply Young's inequality on the right-hand side:

$$F(r_2) - F(r_1) \leq \frac{p^{q/p} s \psi(r_2)}{N\{\psi(r_1)\}} + \frac{(\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1))}{p}.$$

Inequality (B) now becomes

$$N'(\eta)(\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1)) \leq s + \frac{N'(\eta)\psi(r_2)s}{N\{\psi(r_1)\}} \cdot p^{q/p} + \frac{N'(\eta)(\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1))}{p}.$$

Rearranging terms we get

$$\psi(r_2) \left(1 - \frac{qp^{q/p}s}{N\{\psi(r_1)\}} \right) \leq \left(1 + \frac{qs}{\psi(r_1)N'(\eta)} \right) \cdot \psi(r_1).$$

Since $\eta \geq \psi(r_1)$ implies $\psi(r_1)N'(\eta) \geq N\{\psi(r_1)\}$, the choice $N\psi\{(r_1)\} \geq (q + 2qp^{q/p})s$, i.e., $C = q + 2qp^{q/p}$, will complete the proof.

THEOREM 2. *Let N be a C^* -convex function. There is a constant C , $2 < C < \infty$, which depends only upon N and q , $1 \leq q < \infty$, such that*

$$N\{\psi(r_1)\} \geq C \max_{j=1,2} N\{\psi(r_j)\} - N\{F(r_j)\}$$

implies

$$N\{\psi(r_2)\} - N\{\psi(r_1)\} \leq C \max_{j=1,2} N\{\psi(r_j)\} - N\{F(r_j)\}.$$

PROOF. This is done by assuming $1 < q < \infty$ and a similar proof proves $q = 1$.

Assume $1 < q < \infty$, $r_2 \geq r_1$ and $N\{F(r_2)\} \geq N\{\psi(r_1)\}$. If $N\{F(r_2)\} \leq N\{\psi(r_1)\}$, then

$$N\{\psi(r_2)\} - N\{\psi(r_1)\} \leq N\{\psi(r_2)\} - N\{F(r_2)\}$$

and we are done.

Let $s = \max_{j=1,2}\{N\langle\psi(r_j)\rangle - N\langle F(r_j)\rangle\}$. The following inequalities are used:

- (i) $\|\phi_{r_2} - \phi_{r_1}\|_p \leq p^{1/p} \psi^{1/q}(r_2)[\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1)]^{1/p}$.
 (ii) $\|f \cdot \chi_{X \setminus S_1}\|_q \leq q^{1/q}[1 - N^{-1}\{N[\psi(r_1)] - s\}/\psi(r_1)]^{1/q}$.

The first inequality has already been shown, and to prove (ii) we start with

$$N\langle\psi(r_1)\rangle \leq s + N\langle F(r_1)\rangle \leq s + N\langle\|f \cdot \chi_{S_1}\|_q \psi(r_1)\rangle,$$

by Hölder's inequality. Thus

$$N^{-1}[N\langle\psi(r_1)\rangle - s] \leq \psi(r_1) \cdot \|f \cdot \chi_{S_1}\|_q.$$

Since $\|f \cdot \chi_{S_1}\|_q^q \leq 1 - \|f \cdot \chi_{X \setminus S_1}\|_q^q$, we derive

$$\left[\frac{N^{-1}\{N[\psi(r_1)] - s\}}{\psi(r_1)} \right]^q \leq \|f \cdot \chi_{S_1}\|_q^q \leq 1 - \|f \cdot \chi_{X \setminus S_1}\|_q^q$$

or

$$\|f \cdot \chi_{X \setminus S_1}\|_q^q \leq 1 - \left[\frac{N^{-1}\{N[\psi(r_1)] - s\}}{\psi(r_1)} \right]^q.$$

We now apply the mean value theorem to x^q , $0 < x < 1$, to derive

$$\|f \cdot \chi_{X \setminus S_1}\|_q^q \leq q \left[1 - \frac{N^{-1}\{N[\psi(r_1)] - s\}}{\psi(r_1)} \right].$$

This is (ii).

The mean value theorem and the convexity of N imply

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} N'(\eta)[\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1)] &= N\langle\psi(r_2)\rangle - N\langle\psi(r_1)\rangle, \\ N'(\xi)[F(r_2) - F(r_1)] &= N\langle F(r_2)\rangle - N\langle F(r_1)\rangle, \\ N'(\eta) &\geq N'(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad n \geq \xi. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the theorem we start with

$$\begin{aligned} N'(\eta)[\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1)] &= N\langle\psi(r_2)\rangle - N\langle\psi(r_1)\rangle \leq s + N\langle F(r_2)\rangle - N\langle\psi(r_1)\rangle \\ &\leq s + N'(\xi)[F(r_2) - F(r_1)] \end{aligned}$$

and from (iii)

$$\leq s + N'(\eta)[F(r_2) - F(r_1)].$$

We recall the definition of $F(r)$ and use Hölder's inequality to derive

$$F(r_2) - F(r_1) \leq \|f \cdot \chi_{X \setminus S_1}\|_q \cdot \|\phi_{r_2} - \phi_{r_1}\|_p.$$

Thus

$$(A') \quad N'(\eta)[\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1)] \leq s + N'(\eta)(\|f \cdot \chi_{X \setminus S_1}\|_q \|\phi_{r_2} - \phi_{r_1}\|_p).$$

with the right-hand sides of inequalities (i) and (ii) we obtain from (A')

$$\begin{aligned} N'(\eta)[\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1)] &\leq s + p^{1/p} q^{1/q} N'(\eta) \left[1 - \frac{N^{-1}\{N[\psi(r_1)] - s\}}{\psi(r_1)} \right]^{1/q} \\ &\quad \times \psi(r_2)^{1/q} [\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1)]^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

We apply Young's inequality to get

$$N'(\eta)[\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1)] \leq s + p^{q/p}N'(\eta) \left[\frac{\psi(r_2)}{\psi(r_1)} \right] [\psi(r_1) - N^{-1}\{N[\psi(r_1)] - s\}] + \frac{N'(\eta)}{p} [\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1)].$$

We require the constant C in the conclusion to be greater than the constant \bar{C} in Lemma 1. Then $\psi(r_2)/\psi(r_1) \leq 2$ and we now have

$$(*) \quad N'(\eta)[\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1)] \leq qs + 2qp^{q/p}N'(\eta)[\psi(r_1) - N^{-1}\{N[\psi(r_1)] - s\}].$$

Let $\rho(N(x)) = N'(x)$. Since N is a C^* -convex function there is a constant $C_\rho < \infty$ such that $\rho((l+d)s) \leq C_\rho \rho((l-1)s)$ for $0 < d < \infty$, $C(d) < l$ and $0 < s < \infty$.

Suppose for fixed $a > 4qp^{q/p}C_\rho$ we have $N\{\psi(r_2)\} - N\{\psi(r_1)\} > as$. Then $\psi(r_2) \geq N^{-1}[N\{\psi(r_1)\} + as]$ and

$$N'(\eta) = \frac{N\{\psi(r_2)\} - N\{\psi(r_1)\}}{\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1)} \leq \frac{N\{\psi(r_2)\} - N\{\psi(r_1)\}}{N^{-1}[N\{\psi(r_1)\} + as] - \psi(r_1)}.$$

We substitute this expression into the right-hand side of (*) and get

$$(**) \quad N'(\eta)[\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1)] \leq qs + 2qp^{q/p} [N\{\psi(r_2)\} - N\{\psi(r_1)\}] \times \left[\frac{\psi(r_1) - N^{-1}\{N[\psi(r_1)] - s\}}{N^{-1}[N\{\psi(r_1)\} + as] - \psi(r_1)} \right].$$

To the quotient in brackets, we apply the mean value theorem to both numerator and denominator:

$$\frac{\psi(r_1) - N^{-1}\{N[\psi(r_1)] - s\}}{N^{-1}\{N[\psi(r_1)] + as\} - \psi(r_1)} = \frac{N^{-1}\{N[\psi(r_1)]\} - N^{-1}\{N[\psi(r_1)] - s\}}{N^{-1}\{N[\psi(r_1)] + as\} - N^{-1}\{N[\psi(r_1)]\}} = \frac{(N^{-1})'(\delta)}{(N^{-1})'(\bar{\delta})} \frac{s}{as};$$

where $N[\psi(r_1)] - s \leq \delta \leq N[\psi(r_1)]$ and $N[\psi(r_1)] \leq \bar{\delta} \leq N[\psi(r_1)] + as$. Since $(N^{-1})'$ is a nonincreasing function the right-hand side is

$$\leq \frac{(N^{-1})'(N\{\psi(r_1)\} - s)}{(N^{-1})'(N\{\psi(r_1)\} + as)} \cdot \frac{1}{a}.$$

From $N'(x) = \rho(N(x))$ we calculate $(N^{-1})'(y) = 1/\rho(y)$, and

$$\frac{(N^{-1})'(N\{\psi(r_1)\} - s)}{(N^{-1})'(N\{\psi(r_1)\} + as)} \cdot \frac{1}{a} = \frac{\rho[N\{\psi(r_1)\} + as]}{\rho[N\{\psi(r_1)\} - s]} \cdot \frac{1}{a}.$$

We require $N\{\psi(r_1)\} \geq C(a)s$, i.e. the constant $C \geq C(a)$, and then since ρ is a C^* -function the above is less than C_ρ/a . Thus (**) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} N\{\psi(r_2)\} - N\{\psi(r_1)\} &= N'(\eta)[\psi(r_2) - \psi(r_1)] \\ &\leq qs + 2qp^{q/p} \frac{C_\rho}{a} [N\{\psi(r_2)\} - N\{\psi(r_1)\}] \\ &= qs + \frac{1}{2} [N\{\psi(r_2)\} - N\{\psi(r_1)\}] \end{aligned}$$

and the choice of $C > \max(\bar{C}, C(a))$ completes the proof.

It is not possible to improve upon Theorem 2 to include all convex functions. For some simple cases the conclusion of Theorem 2 is equivalent to the inequality used in Theorem 1.

4. THEOREM 3. *Let $\Phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be nonincreasing, $\psi(r)$ continuous and N a C^* -convex function. Then there is a constant C , which depends only on N and q , such that*

$$\int_0^\infty \Phi\{N[\psi(r)] - N[F(r)]\} dm^* \leq C \int_0^\infty \Phi(t) dt,$$

where m^* is the measure induced by $N\{\psi(r)\}$.

PROOF. Let

$$E_s = \{r: \Phi\{N[\psi(r)] - N[F(r)]\} > s\}, \quad \Omega(t) = \sup\{u: \Phi(u) \geq t\}.$$

We note that $\Omega(t)$ is the inverse of Φ and obtain

$$E_s \subset \{r: N[\psi(r)] - N[F(r)] \leq \Omega(s)\}.$$

For $r_1, r_2 \in E_s$ and $r_2 \geq r_1$ we have

$$(i) \max_{j=1,2} N[\psi(r_j)] - N[F(r_j)] \leq \Omega(s).$$

Theorem 2 says there is a constant C , which depends only on N and q , such that $N\{\psi(r_1)\} \geq C\Omega(s)$. This and inequality (i) imply

$$N\{\psi(r_2)\} - N\{\psi(r_1)\} \leq C\Omega(s).$$

This and the continuity of $N[\psi(r)]$ give $m^*(E_s) \leq 2C\Omega(s)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \Phi\{N[\psi(r)] - N[F(r)]\} dm^* &= \int_0^\infty m^*(E_s) ds, \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty 2C\Omega(s) ds = 2C \int_0^\infty \Phi(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem is proven.

5. Let D be an open domain in \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, with finite measure. For $1 \leq q \leq n$, we define $L^q(D)$ to be the space of functions in L^q with compact support in D , and whose derivatives exist in the weak sense and are functions in L^q .

Given a measurable function $u: D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, let $\lambda_u(y) = \{x \in D: |u(x)| > y\}$ and $u^*(t) = \inf\{s: \lambda_u(s) \leq t\}$. The function $u^*(t)$ is called the nonincreasing rearrangement of u and a general reference is [1].

In the calculations that follow we desire to extend the domain of the rearrangement to \mathbf{R}^n . Let

$$u^\#(x) = u^*\left(\frac{|x|^n \omega_{n-1}}{n}\right) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Here ω_{n-1} denotes the area of the unit sphere in \mathbf{R}^n , and if we denote $D^\#$ to be the ball in \mathbf{R}^n centered at the origin of measure equal to D , then the support of $u^\#$ is contained in $D^\#$.

We have the following

LEMMA A. Let $u \in L^p(D)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then

$$\int_{D^\#} [u^\#(x)]^p dx = \int_D |u(x)|^p dx.$$

LEMMA B. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence of functions in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, converging to u in L^p . Then there exists a subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}$ such that $u_{n_k}^\#$ converges to $u^\#$ a.e.

Lemma B allows us to prove Theorem 4 using sufficiently nice enough functions for which the techniques in G. D. Mostow [5] will prove the following

LEMMA C. Let $u \in C_0^\infty(D)$. Then

$$\int_{D^\#} |\nabla(u^\#(x))|^p dx \leq \int_D |\nabla u(x)|^p dx \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

The following theorem is a generalization of J. Moser [4].

THEOREM 4. Let N be a C^* -convex function. If $u \in L^q(D)$, $1 \leq q \leq n$, such that $\int_D |\nabla u(x)|^q dx \leq 1$, then there exists a constant $C_{N,q,n}$, which depends only on N , q and n , such that

$$C_{N,q,n} \geq \frac{1}{|D|} \int_{D^\#} e^{N(\alpha_q u^\#(x))} m_{N,q,n}(|x|) dx,$$

where $\alpha_q = (\omega_{n-1} \cdot n^{q-1})^{1/q}$, and if $R = \text{diam}(D^\#)$, $r = |x|$, we have

- (a) $m_{N,1,n}(r) = R^n e^{-N(r^{1-n})} \cdot N'(r^{1-n}) \cdot 1/r^{2n-1}$,
- (b) $m_{N,n,n}(r) = R^n e^{-N(\log(R^n/r^n))^{n/(n-1)}} \cdot N' \left\{ \log\left(\frac{R^n}{r^n}\right) \right\}^{n/(n-1)} \cdot \left\{ \log\left(\frac{R^n}{r^n}\right) \right\}^{-1/n}$,
- (c) for $1 < q < n$, $m_{n,q,n}(r)$ is bounded below by

$$\begin{aligned} & R^n \exp \left[-N \left\{ \left(\frac{n(q-1)}{n-q} \right)^{(q-1)/q} \cdot r^{((q-n)/q)} \right\} \right] \\ & \cdot N' \left\{ \left(\frac{n(q-1)}{n-q} \right)^{(q-1)/q} \cdot [r^{(q-n)/(q-1)} - R^{(q-n)/(q-1)}]^{(q-1)/q} \right\} \\ & \cdot \frac{1}{(r^{(n+(n-q)/q})}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We prove (c) of the theorem noting that (a) and (b) are similar. From Lemma C we have

$$\int_{D^\#} |\nabla u^\#(x)|^q dx \leq \int |\nabla u(x)|^q dx \leq 1.$$

Define a variable t by

$$(1) \quad |r/R|^n = e^{-t}, \quad \text{where } R = \text{diameter of } D^\#.$$

Let $f(t) = \alpha_q u^\#(r)$. We compute

$$\{f'(t)\}^q \left| \frac{dt}{dr} \right|^q = (\alpha_q)^q \left| \frac{du^\#(r)}{dr} \right|^q$$

or

$$\{f'(t)\}^q \left| \frac{n}{R} e^{t/n} \right|^q = (\alpha_q)^q |\nabla u^\#(r)|^q.$$

We note that $f(0) = 0$ from $\text{supp } u^\# \subset D^\#$, and $f'(t) \geq 0$ for $t > 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (-) \int_0^\infty [f'(t)]^q \left| \frac{n}{R} e^{t/n} \right|^q d\{R^n e^{-t}\} &= (\alpha_q)^q \cdot n \int_0^R |\nabla u^\#(r)|^q r^{n-1} dr, \\ &\leq (\alpha_q)^q \frac{n}{\omega_{n-1}} \cdot (1) = 1 \cdot n^q. \end{aligned}$$

Define a weighted measure $\mu(t)$ by

$$d\mu(t) = e^{-((n-q)/n)t} R^{n-q} dt,$$

and let

$$\phi_\rho(t) = e^{((n-q)/n)t} \cdot R^{q-n} \cdot \chi_{[0,\rho]}(t).$$

Then

- (i) $\int_0^\infty [f'(t)]^q d\mu(t) \leq 1$,
- (ii) $\int_0^\infty f'(t) \cdot \phi_\rho(t) d\mu(t) = f(\rho) \equiv F(\rho)$, and
- (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\rho) &= \|\phi_\rho\|_{q/(q-1), \mu(t)} = \left[\int_0^\rho \frac{e^{(1/(q-1))((n-q)/n)t}}{R^{(n-q)/(q-1)}} dt \right]^{(q-1)/q} \\ &= R^{(q-n)/q} \left[\frac{n(q-1)}{n-q} \right]^{((q-1)/q)} [e^{(n-q)\rho/n(q-1)} - 1]^{(q-1)/q}. \end{aligned}$$

We apply Theorem 3 with $1 < q < n$, $\Phi(t) = e^{-t}$, N a C^* -convex function, and (i), (ii), (iii) above to get

$$C_q \int_0^\infty e^{-t} dt \geq \int_0^\infty e^{-N(\psi(\rho)) + N(F(\rho))} dN\{\psi(\rho)\},$$

where C_q depends only on N and q . We compute

$$C_q \geq - \int_0^\infty e^{N(F(\rho))} \cdot [e^{-N(\psi(\rho))} \cdot N'\{\psi(\rho)\} \cdot e^{+\rho}] \psi'(\rho) de^{-\rho},$$

and changing variables according to (1), this is

$$C_q \geq \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \frac{n}{R^n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_0^R e^{N(\alpha_q u^*(r))} m_{N,q,n}(r) r^{n-1} dr d\sigma,$$

where, in terms of the variable ρ ,

$$m_{N,q,n}(\rho) = e^{-N(\psi(\rho))} \cdot N'(\psi(\rho)) \cdot \psi'(\rho) \cdot e^\rho.$$

We compute, according to relation (1),

$$\begin{aligned} e^\rho &= (R/r)^n, \\ \psi'(\rho) &= R^{((q-n)/q)} \left(\frac{q-1}{q} \right) \left(\frac{n-q}{n(q-1)} \right)^{1/q} \\ &\quad \cdot [R/r]^{(n-q)/(q-1)} [(R/r)^{(n-q)/(q-1)} - 1]^{-1/q} \\ &\geq C_{n,q} r^{(q-n)/q} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\rho) &= R^{((q-n)/q)} \left(\frac{n(q-1)}{n-q} \right)^{(q-1)/q} \cdot [(R/r)^{(n-q)/(q-1)} - 1]^{(q-1)/q} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{n(q-1)}{n-q} \right)^{(q-1)/q} \cdot r^{(q-n)/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} m_{N,q,n}(r) &\geq C_{q,n} R^n \exp \left[-N \left\{ \left(\frac{n(q-1)}{n-q} \right)^{(q-1)/q} \cdot r^{(q-n)/q} \right\} \right] \\ &\quad \cdot N' \left\{ \left(\frac{n(q-1)}{n-q} \right)^{(q-1)/q} [r^{(q-n)/(q-1)} - R^{(q-n)/(q-1)}]^{(q-1)/q} \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{r^{n+(n-q)/q}}. \end{aligned}$$

6. The theorems presented here are generalizations to those found in M. Jodeit [2].

Let $1 < q < \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, and f be Lebesgue measurable on $(0, \infty)$ with $\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^q dx \leq 1$. Let N be C^* -convex and $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$. Then, Theorem 3 implies that there exists a constant $C_{q,N}$ depending on q and N with

$$\int_0^\infty e^{N(F(x)) - N(x^{1/p})} dN(x^{1/p}) \leq C_{q,N}.$$

A substitution $x = [N^{-1}(\log(1/u))]^p$ gives the following

THEOREM 5. Let N be C^* -convex and g measurable on $I = (0, 1)$ with

$$1 \geq \int_0^1 g^q(u) \frac{p [N^{-1}(\log(1/u))]^{p-1} (N^{-1})'[(\log(1/u))]}{u} du.$$

If, for $0 < x < 1$,

$$Tg(x) = \int_x^1 g(u) \frac{p [N^{-1}(\log(1/u))]^{p-1} (N^{-1})'(\log(1/u))]}{u} du,$$

then $\int_0^1 e^{N(Tg(x))} dx \leq C_{q,N}$.

Since $g \rightarrow Tg$ is a linear map from $L^q(I, d[N^{-1}(\log(1/u))]^p)$ into $L_A(I, dx)$, where L_A is the Orlicz space of norm $e^{N(x)} - 1$, then the transpose of T is a bounded linear map from $L_{\bar{A}}(I, dx)$ into $L^p(I, d[N^{-1}(\log(1/u))]^p)$. We calculate that $L_{\bar{A}}$ is the Orlicz space of norm equivalent to $x[N^{-1}(\log^+(x))]$, and the transpose of T is $Sf(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$. Hence we have the following

THEOREM 6. *Let N be C^* -convex, $1 < p < \infty$, $\int_0^1 f(t)[N^{-1}(\log^+ f(t))] dt \leq 1$ and $Sf(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$. Then there exists a constant $K_{p,N}$ which depends only on N and p such that*

$$\int_0^1 (Sf(u))^p \frac{p [N^{-1}(\log(1/u))]^{p-1} (N^{-1})'[(\log 1/u)]}{u} du \leq K_{p,N}.$$

REFERENCES

1. R. A. Hunt, *On $L(p, q)$ spaces*, Enseign. Math. **12** (1966), 249–276.
2. M. Jodeit, *An equality for the indefinite integral of a function in Lq* , Studia Math. **44** (1972), 545–554.
3. B. F. Jones, *A note on Hölder's inequality*, Studia Math. **64** (1979), 272–278.
4. J. Moser, *A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20** (1971), 1077–1092.
5. G. D. Mostow, *Quasi-conformal mappings in a n -space and the rigidity of hyperbolic space forms*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **34** (1968), 82–88.
6. C. J. Neugebauer, *Some inequalities related to Hölder's inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1981), 560–564.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47907

Current address: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Florida International University, Miami, Florida 33199