## DESCRIPTIVE COMPLEXITY OF FUNCTION SPACES

BY

D. LUTZER<sup>1</sup>, J. VAN MILL AND R. POL<sup>2</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that  $C_{\pi}(X)$ , the set of continuous, real-valued functions on X topologized by the pointwise convergence topology, can have arbitrarily high Borel or projective complexity in  $\mathbf{R}^X$  even when X is a countable regular space with a unique limit point. In addition we show how to construct countable regular spaces X for which  $C_{\pi}(X)$  lies nowhere in the projective hierarchy of the complete separable metric space  $\mathbf{R}^X$ .

1. Introduction. Let  $C_{\pi}(X)$  be the set of continuous, real-valued functions on a space X and topologize  $C_{\pi}(X)$  as a subspace of the full product  $\mathbf{R}^{X}$ . In  $[\mathbf{DGLvM}]$  it is shown that if X is completely regular, then  $C_{\pi}(X)$  cannot be a  $G_{\delta^{-}}$ ,  $F_{\sigma^{-}}$  or  $G_{\delta\sigma}$ -subset of  $\mathbf{R}^{X}$  unless X is discrete and that for any countable metrizable space X,  $C_{\pi}(X)$  will be an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -subset of  $\mathbf{R}^{X}$ . In the terminology of  $[\mathbf{KM}$  and  $\mathbf{K}]$ ,  $C_{\pi}(X)$  cannot have multiplicative class 1 and cannot have additive class 1 or 2, but may have multiplicative class 2.

In this paper we study the descriptive complexity of  $C_{\pi}(X)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^{X}$  when X is countable (so that  $\mathbf{R}^{X}$  is a complete separable metric space). Our main results can be summarized as follows.

THEOREM. (a) Given any  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , there is a countable regular space X such that  $C_{\pi}(X)$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$  having additive class  $\beta$ , where  $\alpha \leq \beta \leq 3 + \alpha + 2$  (§§2 and 3).

- (b) Given any  $n \geq 1$  there is a countable regular space Y such that  $C_{\pi}(Y) \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{R}^Y) \mathcal{L}_{n-1}(\mathbf{R}^Y)$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{R}^Y)$  is the family of projective sets of class n in the complete separable metric space  $\mathbf{R}^Y$  (§4).
- (c) There is a countable regular space Z such that  $C_{\pi}(Z) \notin \bigcup \{\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{R}^Z) : 0 \leq n < \omega\}$  (§§4 and 5).

The spaces X,Y and Z in the above Theorem can be obtained from a single general construction which associates with each subset  $S \subset 2^{\omega}$  a certain countable regular space  $\Sigma_S$  having a unique nonisolated point. The descriptive complexity of S in  $2^{\omega}$  determines the complexity of  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma_S)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma_S}$ . To describe  $\Sigma_S$  precisely, we begin by letting  $T_n = 2^n$  be the set of functions from  $\{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$  into  $\{0, 1\}$ , i.e., the set of ordered n-tuples of 0's and 1's. Let  $T = \bigcup \{T_n | n \geq 1\}$  and partially order T by function extension. A branch of T is a maximal linearly ordered subset of T, i.e., a linearly ordered subset  $B \subset T$  having  $\operatorname{card}(B \cap T_n) = 1$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Observe that if B and  $\hat{B}$  are distinct branches of T, then  $B \cap \hat{B}$  must be a finite set.

Received by the editors August 3, 1984.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 03E15, 04A15, 54H05; Secondary 54C35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Partially supported by NATO grant 1927, NSF grant MCS80-016617 and by Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Partially supported by Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam.

Given  $x \in 2^{\omega}$ , the set  $B_x = \{\langle x(0) \rangle, \langle x(0), x(1) \rangle, \langle x(0), x(1), x(2) \rangle, \ldots \}$  is a branch of T. Conversely, each branch B of T has the form  $B = B_x$  for a unique  $x \in 2^{\omega}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{B | B \text{ is a branch of } T\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}(T) = \{A|A \subset T\}$  and topologize  $\mathcal{P}(T)$  using open sets of the form  $[Y,N] = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(T)|Y \subset A \subset T-N\}$ , where Y and N are arbitrary finite subsets of T. The resulting space is compact and metrizable, and is homeomorphic to the product space  $2^T$  under the mapping which identifies each subset  $A \in \mathcal{P}(T)$  with its characteristic function  $\chi_A$ . The mapping  $x \to B_x$  is easily seen to be a homeomorphism of  $2^\omega$  into  $\mathcal{P}(T)$  whose image is exactly the set  $\mathcal{B}$  defined above.

For each subset  $S \subset 2^{\omega}$ , the collection  $\{T - (B_{x_1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F) | n \geq 1, x_i \in S \text{ and } F \subset T \text{ is a finite set} \}$  is a filter base. Let  $p_S$  be the filter generated by that filter base. Let  $\infty$  be any point not in  $T \cup 2^{\omega}$  and let  $\Sigma_S = T \cup \{\infty\}$ . Topologize  $\Sigma_S$  by isolating each point of T and by using the family  $\{P \cup \{\infty\} | P \in p_S\}$  as a neighborhood base at  $\infty$ . The space  $\Sigma_S$  is countable, regular and (since  $p_S$  is a free filter) is  $T_1$ . The spaces mentioned in the above Theorem are all of the form  $\Sigma_S$  for various subsets S of  $2^{\omega}$ .

However, even though the function spaces  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma_S)$  for  $S \subset 2^{\omega}$  provide enough pathology to prove our Theorem, they are all well behaved in some senses. In §5 we prove that each  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma_S)$  is a Baire Property subset of  $\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma_S}$  and is meagre in  $\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma_S}$  (equivalently,  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma_S)$  is not a Baire space) and we exhibit a countable regular space X with a unique nonisolated point such that  $C_{\pi}(X)$  is a second category subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$  (equivalently,  $C_{\pi}(X)$  is a Baire space), is not a Baire Property subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$ , and is not a Borel, analytic or co-analytic subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$  (see Example 5.5).

The standard references for descriptive theory in complete separable metric spaces are [K and KM]. Our topological terminology is consistent with [E] and  $[Ox_2]$  is a good source for properties of Baire spaces. The authors wish to thank Jean Calbrix and Fons van Engelen for their comments on an earlier version of this paper.

## 2. A lower bound for the complexity of $C_{\pi}(\Sigma_S)$ .

2.1 THEOREM. Let  $S \subset 2^{\omega}$  and let  $\Sigma = \Sigma_S$ . Then  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma)$  contains a relatively closed subset which is homeomorphic to S.

PROOF. Recall that in  $\Sigma_S$ , the point  $\infty$  has a neighborhood base consisting of all sets of the form  $\{\infty\} \cup (T - (B_{x_1} \cup B_{x_2} \cup \cdots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F))$ , where  $x_i \in S$  and  $F \subset T$  is finite. For each  $x \in 2^\omega$  define a function  $f_x \colon \Sigma \to \mathbf{R}$  by  $f_x(\infty) = 0$ ,  $f_x(t) = 0$  if  $t \in T - B_x$  and  $f_x(t) = 1$  if  $t \in B_x$ . Define  $\lambda \colon 2^\omega \to \mathbf{R}^\Sigma$  by  $\lambda(x) = f_x$ . Clearly  $\lambda$  is 1-1 and continuous, so that  $\lambda$  embeds  $2^\omega$  as a closed subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^\Sigma$ . Furthermore  $\lambda(x) \in C_\pi(\Sigma)$  whenever  $x \in S$  because for such an x, the function  $f_x$  is constant on the neighborhood  $\{\infty\} \cup (T - B_x)$  of  $\infty$ . Conversely, if  $f_x \in C_\pi(\Sigma)$  for some  $x \in 2^\omega$ , then  $f_x^{-1}[(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})]$  must be a neighborhood of  $\infty$  so that for some  $x_1,\ldots,x_n \in S$  and some finite F, the set  $f_x^{-1}[(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})] = \{\infty\} \cup (T - B_x)$  must contain the basic neighborhood  $\{\infty\} \cup (T - (B_{x_1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F))$ . But then  $B_x \subset B_{x_1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F$  so that  $B_{x_i} \cap B_x$  is infinite for some i and hence  $B_x = B_{x_i}$ , i.e.,  $x = x_i \in S$ . Therefore  $\lambda[S] = C_\pi(\Sigma) \cap \lambda[2^\omega]$  showing that  $\lambda[S]$  is a relatively closed subset of  $C_\pi(\Sigma)$ .  $\square$ 

2.2 COROLLARY. If S is not a Borel subset of  $2^{\omega}$  (resp., if S is not a projective subset of  $2^{\omega}$ ), then  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma_S)$  is not a Borel subset (resp. a projective subset) of  $\mathbb{R}^{\Sigma_S}$ .

PROOF. Write  $\Sigma = \Sigma_S$ . In the complete separable metric space  $\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma}$ , a relatively closed subset of a Borel (resp., projective) set is again a Borel (resp., projective) set in  $\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma}$  and it is known that homeomorphisms preserve Borel (resp., projective) sets [K, Chapter 3, §35, IV, Corollary 1 and Chapter 3, §38, VII, Theorem 1] contrary to our assumption that S is not Borel (resp., projective) in  $2^{\omega}$ .  $\square$ 

2.3 COROLLARY. There is a countable regular space X such that  $C_{\pi}(X)$  is not a Borel subset of  $\mathbf{R}^{X}$ .

PROOF. Let S be a non-Borel subset of  $2^{\omega}$  and let  $X = \Sigma_S$ . Now apply 2.2.  $\square$ 

- 3. An upper bound for the Borel complexity of  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma_S)$ . In §2 we proved that  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma_S)$  always contains a closed subspace homeomorphic to S so that if S is *not* a Borel set, then neither is  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma_S)$ . In this section we study the situation where S is a Borel subset of  $2^{\omega}$  and we prove
- 3.1 THEOREM. Let S be a Borel subset of  $2^{\omega}$  having additive class  $\alpha \geq 1$  and let  $\Sigma = \Sigma_S$ . Then  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma)$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma}$  of class  $\beta$ , where  $\alpha \leq \beta \leq 3 + \alpha + 2$ .

PROOF. Following the notation of §1, we let  $p = p_S$  be the filter on T generated by all sets of the form  $T - (B_{x_1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F)$ , where  $x_j \in S$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and F is any finite subset of T.

For each  $m \geq 1$ , define  $\psi_m \colon \mathbf{R}^{\Sigma} \to \mathcal{P}(T)$  by  $\psi_m(f) = \{t \in T | |f(\infty) - f(t)| \geq 1/m\}$ . In Lemma 3.2 we show that  $\psi_m$  is a Borel mapping of class 1. Next, define a set  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{P}(T)$  by  $\mathcal{D} = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(T) | A \cap P = \emptyset \text{ for some } P \in p\}$ . In Lemma 3.6 we prove that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathcal{P}(T)$  of additive class  $\leq 2 + \alpha$  so that  $\psi_m^{-1}[\mathcal{D}]$  is a Borel set of additive class  $\leq 3 + \alpha$ . Because a function  $f \in \mathbf{R}^{\Sigma}$  is continuous if and only if  $\{t \in T | |f(\infty) - f(t)| < 1/m\}$  belongs to p for each m, we have  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma) = \bigcap \{\psi_m^{-1}[\mathcal{D}] | m \geq 1\}$  showing that  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma)$  is a Borel set of additive class  $\beta \leq (3 + \alpha + 2)$ .

From §2, a closed subspace of  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma)$  is homeomorphic to S, so the additive class of  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma)$  cannot be smaller than the additive class of S and we obtain  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .  $\square$  All that remains is to prove some lemmas.

3.2 LEMMA. Each  $\psi_m$  is a Borel map of class 1.

PROOF. It is enough to show that  $\psi_m^{-1}[[Y, N]]$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset of  $\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma}$  for each basic open set [Y, N] in  $\mathcal{P}(T)$ . Now

$$\psi_m^{-1}[[Y, N]] = \{ f \in \mathbf{R}^{\Sigma} | Y \subset \{ t \in T | |f(t) - f(\infty)| \ge 1/m \} \}$$
$$\cap \{ f \in \mathbf{R}^{\Sigma} | \{ t \in T | |f(t) - f(\infty)| \ge 1/m \} \subset T - N \}.$$

The first of those two sets is closed and, since N is finite, the second is open. Hence their intersection is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set, as claimed.  $\square$ 

3.3 LEMMA. The set  $A = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(T) | \text{ for some } B_1, \ldots, B_n \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and some finite } F \subset T, \ A \subset B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_n \cup F\} \text{ is a $\sigma$-compact subset of } \mathcal{P}(T).$ 

PROOF. For a fixed finite  $F \subset T$  and a fixed n, let  $\mathcal{A}(F,n) = \{(A,B_1,\ldots,B_n)|B_i \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } A \subset B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_n \cup F\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(F,n)$  is a closed subset of the compact space  $\mathcal{P}(T) \times \mathcal{B}^n$ . Let  $\pi_n \colon \mathcal{P}(T) \times \mathcal{B}^n \to \mathcal{P}(T)$  denote first coordinate projection. Then  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup \{\pi_n[\mathcal{A}(F,n)] \colon n \geq 1 \text{ and } F \subset T \text{ is finite} \}$  so that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact subspace of  $\mathcal{P}(T)$  as claimed.  $\square$ 

- 3.4 NOTATION. Recall that  $\mathcal{B}$  is the set of all branches of T, topologized as a subspace of the compact metric space  $\mathcal{P}(T)$ . Being the continuous image of  $2^{\omega}$  under the map  $\mu(x) = B_x$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  is compact. For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\Phi_n = \{\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{B} | \operatorname{card}(\mathcal{K}) = n\}$  and let  $\Phi = \bigcup \{\Phi_n | n \geq 0\}$ . Topologize  $\Phi$  with the *Vietoris topology*, i.e., by using all subsets of  $\Phi$  of the forms  $\{\mathcal{K} \in \Phi | \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{U}\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{K} \in \Phi | \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset\}$  as a subbase where  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are arbitrary open subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\Phi$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact metrizable space  $[\mathbf{KM}, p. 392]$ . Recall that each branch of T is of the form  $B_x$  for some  $x \in 2^{\omega}$  and let  $\Phi_S = \{\mathcal{K} \in \Phi | \mathcal{K} \subset \{B_x | x \in S\}\} = \{\mathcal{K} | \mathcal{K} \text{ is a finite subset of } \{B_x | x \in S\}\}$ .
- 3.5 LEMMA. With A as in 3.3, for each  $A \in A$  let  $i(A) = \{B \in B | B \cap A \text{ is infinite}\}$ . Then  $i: A \to \Phi$  is a Borel mapping of class 2.

PROOF. Fix  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and choose branches  $B_1, \ldots, B_n$  and a finite set F with  $A \subset B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_n \cup F$ . If B is any branch of T such that  $A \cap B$  is infinite, then  $B \cap B_k$  is infinite for some  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$  so that B is one of the branches  $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ . Hence i(A) is finite so  $i(A) \in \Phi$ . (If A is finite, then  $i(A) = \emptyset \in \Phi$ .)

- (a) Fix an open subset  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  and consider  $i^{-1}[\{\mathcal{K} \in \Phi | \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{U}\}] = \{A \in \mathcal{A} | i(A) \subset \mathcal{U}\}$ . Because  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open subset of the compact metric space  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. According to 3.3, so is  $\mathcal{A}$ , and we conclude that the product space  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{U}^n$  is  $\sigma$ -compact for each  $n \geq 1$ , where  $\mathcal{U}^n$  is the product of n copies of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Fix  $n \geq 1$  and fix a finite set  $F \subset T$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{C}(n,F) = \{(A,B_1,\ldots,B_n) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{U}^n | A \subset B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_n \cup F\}$  is closed in  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{U}^n$ , so  $\mathcal{C}(n,F)$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. Let  $\pi_n : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{U}^n \to \mathcal{A}$  be first coordinate projection. Then  $i^{-1}[\{\mathcal{K} \in \Phi | \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{U}\}] = \bigcup \{\pi_n[\mathcal{C}(n,F)] | n \geq 1$  and  $F \subset T$  is finite} so  $i^{-1}[\{\mathcal{K} \in \Phi | \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{U}\}]$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact subset of  $\mathcal{A}$  (and therefore a  $G_{\delta\sigma}$ -subset of  $\mathcal{A}$ ).
- (b) Next consider  $i^{-1}[\{K \in \Phi | K \cap \mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset\}]$ , where  $\mathcal{V}$  is a compact, open subset of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}$  is open and  $\{K \in \Phi | K \cap \mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset\} = \Phi \{K \in \Phi | K \subset \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}\}$ . Hence  $i^{-1}[\{K \in \Phi | K \cap \mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset\}] = \mathcal{A} i^{-1}[\{K \in \Phi | K \subset \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}\}]$  which is a  $G_{\delta}$ -subset in light of (a).
- (c) Finally, consider  $i^{-1}[\{K \in \Phi | K \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset\}]$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is an arbitrary open subset of  $\mathcal{B}$ . There is a sequence  $\langle \mathcal{V}_n \rangle$  of compact, open subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$  having  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{V}_n | n \geq 1\}$  so that  $i^{-1}[\{K \in \Phi | K \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset\}] = \bigcup \{i^{-1}[\{K \in \Phi | K \cap \mathcal{V}_n \neq \emptyset\}] | n \geq 1\}$  which is a  $G_{\delta\sigma}$ -set in  $\mathcal{A}$  because of (b).
- (d) Since sets of the form  $\{K \in \Phi | K \subset \mathcal{U}\}\$  and  $\{K \in \Phi | K \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset\}$  form a subbase for the separable metric space  $\Phi$ , it follows that i is a Borel mapping of class 2.  $\square$
- 3.6 LEMMA. With  $\Phi_S$  as defined in 3.4,  $\Phi_S$  is a Borel subset of  $\Phi$  whose additive class is  $\alpha$  (= the additive class of S).

PROOF. For  $n \geq 1$ , define  $\theta_n \colon (2^\omega)^n \to \Phi$  by  $\theta_n(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = \{B_{x_1}, B_{x_2}, \ldots, B_{x_n}\}$ . Then  $\theta_n$  is continuous. Let  $G_n = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in (2^\omega)^n | x_j \neq x_k \text{ whenever } 1 \leq j < k \leq n\}$ . Then  $G_n$  is open in  $(2^\omega)^n$  and given  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in G_n$  there is an open neighborhood N of  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  in  $G_n$  and an open neighborhood  $\Phi'$  of  $\theta_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  in  $\Phi$  such that  $\theta_n$  maps N homeomorphically onto  $\Phi' \cap \Phi_n$ . (We say that  $\theta_n$  is a local homeomorphism from  $G_n$  onto  $\Phi_n$ .)

Now consider the subspace S of  $2^{\omega}$ . Clearly  $\theta_n[G_n \cap S^n] = \Phi_n \cap \Phi_S$  so  $\theta_n$  is a local homeomorphism from  $G_n \cap S^n$  onto  $\Phi_n \cap \Phi_S$ . Because S is of additive class  $\alpha$ , so is  $S^n$  [K, p. 346]. Hence so is  $G_n \cap S^n$  as is each relatively open subset of  $G_n \cap S^n$ .

(Recall that since  $\alpha \geq 1$ , each open subset of  $G_n$  is of additive class  $\alpha$ .) Therefore, the metric space  $\Phi_S \cap \Phi_n$  admits an open cover by sets of additive class  $\alpha$  so that  $\Phi_S \cap \Phi_n$  has additive class  $\alpha$  [K, p. 358]. Because  $\Phi_S = \{\emptyset\} \cup (\bigcup \{\Phi_S \cap \Phi_n | n \geq 1\})$ ,  $\Phi_S$  also has additive class  $\alpha$ , as claimed.  $\square$ 

3.7 LEMMA. Let  $\mathcal{D} = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(T) | \text{ some } P \in p \text{ has } P \cap A = \emptyset\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is of additive class  $2 + \alpha$ .

PROOF. With i as in 3.5, we claim that  $\mathcal{D}=i^{-1}[\Phi_S]$ . For let  $A\in\mathcal{D}$ . Choose  $P\in p$  with  $P\cap A=\varnothing$ . Then P contains some set  $T-(B_{x_1}\cup\cdots\cup B_{x_n}\cup F)$ , where  $x_j\in S$ , so  $A\subset B_{x_1}\cup\cdots\cup B_{x_n}\cup F$ . Hence  $A\in\mathcal{A}$  so that i(A) is defined. As noted in the proof of 3.5, since  $A\subset B_{x_1}\cup\cdots\cup B_{x_n}\cup F$ ,  $i(A)\subset\{B_{x_1},\ldots,B_{x_n}\}$  showing that  $i(A)\in\Phi_S$ . Conversely, suppose  $A\in i^{-1}[\Phi_S]$ . Then either there are points  $x_1,\ldots,x_n\in S$  with  $i(A)=\{B_{x_1},\ldots,B_{x_n}\}$  or else  $i(A)=\varnothing$  in which case A is finite. Consider the first possibility. If the set  $A-(B_{x_1}\cup\cdots\cup B_{x_n})$  were infinite, some other branch of T would have an infinite intersection with A which is impossible, so the set  $F=A-(B_{x_1}\cup\cdots\cup B_{x_n})$  is finite and we have  $A\subset B_{x_1}\cup\cdots\cup B_{x_n}\cup F$ , so that A is disjoint from  $T-(B_{x_1}\cup\cdots\cup B_{x_n}\cup F)$  which belongs to the filter p so that  $A\in\mathcal{D}$ . The case where A is finite is easy because then the set  $P_0=T-A$  belongs to p so that  $A\in\mathcal{D}$ .

Because i is a Borel map of class 2 and because by 3.6 the set  $\Phi_S$  has additive class  $\alpha$  (where  $\alpha$  is the additive class of S),  $i^{-1}[\Phi_S]$  has additive class  $2 + \alpha$ , as claimed.  $\square$ 

4. The projective hierarchy. Recall the definition of the projective classes in a complete separable metric space Z [K, Chapter 3, §38]:

$$\mathcal{L}_0(Z) = \{A|A \text{ is a Borel subset of } Z\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{n+1}(Z) = \begin{cases} \{f[A] | A \in \mathcal{L}_n(Z) \text{ and } f \colon A \to Z \text{ is continuous} \} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \{Z - A | A \in \mathcal{L}_n(Z) \} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{L}_1(Z)$  is the family of analytic sets in Z,  $\mathcal{L}_2(Z)$  is the family of co-analytic sets in Z, etc. The techniques of §§2 and 3 can be used to prove an analogue of 3.1 for projective sets. In our proof we will invoke theorems which are ordinarily stated for mappings into complete metric spaces [**K**, §38, III, Propositions 2 and 5, and VII, Theorem 1], applying those results to mappings into the  $\sigma$ -compact metric space  $\Phi$  defined in 3.4. Extending the proofs given in [**K**] to cover this situation is easily done.

4.1 THEOREM. Suppose  $S \in \mathcal{L}_r(2^{\omega})$  for some  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $\Sigma = \Sigma_S$ . Then  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma) \in \mathcal{L}_r(\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma})$ . Furthermore, if  $S \notin \mathcal{L}_{r-1}(2^{\omega})$ , then  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma) \notin \mathcal{L}_{r-1}(\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma})$ .

PROOF. Define  $\psi_m \colon \mathbf{R}^{\Sigma} \to \mathcal{P}(T)$  and  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{P}(T)$  as in 3.1. Suppose we know that  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{L}_r(\mathcal{P}(T))$ . Then by  $[\mathbf{K}, \S 38, \text{III}, \text{Proposition 5}], \psi_m^{-1}[\mathcal{D}] \in \mathcal{L}_r(\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma})$  for each m so that by  $[\mathbf{K}, \S 38, \text{III}, \text{Proposition 3}]$  we would have  $C_\pi(\Sigma) = \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \psi_m^{-1}[\mathcal{D}] \in \mathcal{L}_r(\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma})$  as claimed. Thus it will be enough to show that  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{L}_r(\mathcal{P}(T))$ .

To prove that  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{L}_r(\mathcal{P}(T))$ , we define the  $\sigma$ -compact set  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(T)$  as in 3.3, the  $\sigma$ -compact metric space  $\Phi$  as in 3.4, the Borel measurable mapping  $i \colon \mathcal{A} \to \Phi$  as in (3.5), and the set  $\Phi_S$  as in 3.4. As in the proof of 3.7,  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{A} \cap i^{-1}[\Phi_S]$ . If we knew that  $\Phi_S \in \mathcal{L}_r(\Phi)$ , it would follow from  $[\mathbf{K}, \S 38, \text{III}, \text{Proposition 5}]$  that  $i^{-1}[\Phi_S] \in \mathcal{L}_r(\mathcal{A})$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and hence in  $\mathcal{L}_r(\mathcal{P}(T))$ , it would follow

that  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{L}_r(\mathcal{P}(T))$  [K, §38, III, Proposition 2]. Therefore it will be enough to show that  $\Phi_S \in \mathcal{L}_r(\Phi)$ . Define function  $\theta_n \colon (2^\omega)^n \to \Phi$  as in 3.6. According to [K, §38, III, Proposition 1],  $S^n \in \mathcal{L}_r((2^\omega)^n)$ . Because each open subset H of  $(2^\omega)^n$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{L}_r((2^\omega)^n)$  we see that  $H \cap G_n \cap S^n \in \mathcal{L}_r((2^\omega)^n)$  whenever H is open in  $(2^\omega)^n$ . But  $\theta_n$  is known to be a local homeomorphism of  $G_n \cap S^n$  onto the separable metric space  $\Phi_S \cap \Phi_n$  so there is a sequence  $H_1, H_2, \ldots$  of subsets of  $G_n$  such that for each k,  $\theta_n$  maps  $H_k \cap G_n \cap S^n$  homeomorphically onto a relatively open subset of  $\Phi_n \cap \Phi_S$  and such that  $\Phi_n \cap \Phi_S = \bigcup \{\theta_n[H_k \cap G_n \cap S^n] | k \geq 1\}$ . Because  $H_k \cap G_n \cap S^n \in \mathcal{L}_r((2^\omega)^n)$  for each k, it follows from [K, §38, VII, Theorem 1] that  $\theta_n[H_k \cap G_n \cap S^n] \in \mathcal{L}_r(\Phi)$ . But then  $\Phi_n \cap \Phi_S$ , being a countable union of members of  $\mathcal{L}_r(\Phi)$ , also belongs to  $\mathcal{L}_r(\Phi)$ . For the same reason, the set  $\Phi_S = \bigcup \{\Phi_S \cap \Phi_n | n \geq 1\}$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{L}_r(\Phi)$  as claimed.

Finally suppose  $S \notin \mathcal{L}_{r-1}(2^{\omega})$ . According to 2.1, there is a (relatively) closed subspace  $S^*$  of  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma)$  which is homeomorphic to S. Then  $S^* = C_{\pi}(\Sigma) \cap D$ , where D is some closed subset in  $\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma}$ . If  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma) \in \mathcal{L}_{r-1}(\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma})$ , then  $S^* = C_{\pi}(\Sigma) \cap D$  would also belong to  $\mathcal{L}_{r-1}(\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma})$ . According to  $[\mathbf{K}, \S 38, \text{VII}, \text{ Theorem 1}]$ , we would then have  $S \in \mathcal{L}_{r-1}(2^{\omega})$  because S is homeomorphic to  $S^*$ , which is impossible.  $\square$ 

4.2 COROLLARY. For each  $n \geq 1$  there is a countable regular space  $X_n$  such that  $C_{\pi}(X_n) \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{R}^{X_n}) - \mathcal{L}_{n-1}(\mathbf{R}^{X_n})$  and there is a countable regular space Y such that  $C_{\pi}(Y) \notin \bigcup \{\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{R}^Y) | n \geq 1\}$ .

PROOF. Fix n. By  $[\mathbf{K}, \S38, \text{VI}, \text{Theorem 1}]$  there is a set  $S_n \subset 2^\omega$  having  $S_n \in \mathcal{L}_n(2^\omega) - \mathcal{L}_{n-1}(2^\omega)$ . Let  $X_n = \Sigma_{S_n}$ . To obtain the space Y, choose any  $S \subset 2^\omega$  with  $S \notin \bigcup \{\mathcal{L}_n(2^\omega) | n \geq 1\}$   $[\mathbf{K}, \S38, \text{VI}, \text{Remark 1}]$  and let  $Y = \Sigma_S$ . Because  $C_\pi(Y)$  contains a closed subset homeomorphic to S,  $C_\pi(Y) \notin \bigcup \{\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{R}^Y) | n \geq 1\}$ .  $\square$ 

- 5. Baire category and Baire Property subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^X$ . For any space Z,  $\mathcal{BP}(Z)$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the open sets and the first category subsets of Z. Members of  $\mathcal{BP}(Z)$  are called Baire Property subsets of Z [ $\mathbf{Ox_2}$ , p. 19]. For a space X with a unique limit point (such as the spaces  $\Sigma_S$  for  $S \subset 2^\omega$  constructed in §1) it is easy to characterize which function spaces  $C_\pi(X)$  belong to  $\mathcal{BP}(\mathbf{R}^X)$ .
- 5.1 THEOREM. Suppose X is a countable space with a unique limit point  $\infty$  and let p be the trace on  $X \{\infty\}$  of the neighborhod filter of  $\infty$ . Then the following are equivalent:
  - (a)  $C_{\pi}(X)$  is a first category subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{X}$ ;
  - (b)  $C_{\pi}(X) \in \mathcal{BP}(\mathbf{R}^X);$
  - (c) there is an array

$$A(1,1)$$
  $A(1,2)$   $A(1,3)$   $\cdots$   
 $A(2,1)$   $A(2,2)$   $A(2,3)$   $\cdots$   
 $A(3,1)$   $A(3,2)$   $A(3,3)$   $\cdots$   
 $\vdots$   $\vdots$   $\vdots$ 

## satisfying

- (i) each A(m, n) is a finite subset of  $X \{\infty\}$ ;
- (ii) each row  $A(m, 1), A(m, 2), A(m, 3), \ldots$  is a pairwise disjoint sequence;
- (iii) for every sequence  $k(1), k(2), \ldots$  and every  $U \in p$ ,  $U \cap (\bigcup \{A(m, k(m)) | m \ge 1\}) \neq \emptyset$ .

PROOF. The equivalence of (a) and (c) follows from [LM, Theorems 6.3 and 5.1] and obviously (a) implies (b). We prove that (b) implies (a). Suppose  $C_{\pi}(X) \in \mathcal{BP}(\mathbf{R}^X)$ . To simplify notation, we will identify the countably many isolated points of X with elements of  $\omega$  and we will write  $X = \omega \cup \{\infty\}$ . Define a function  $\nu \colon \mathbf{R}^X \to \mathbf{R}^\omega \times \mathbf{R}$  by the rule that  $\nu(f) = (f^*, f(\infty))$ , where  $f^* \in \mathbf{R}^\omega$  is given by  $f^*(n) = f(n) - f(\infty)$ . Then  $\nu$  is a homeomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}^X$  onto  $\mathbf{R}^\omega \times \mathbf{R}$  and  $\nu[C_{\pi}(X)] = C_0 \times \mathbf{R}$ , where  $C_0 = \{g \in \mathbf{R}^\omega | \text{ for each } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there is a neighborhood } U \text{ of } \infty \text{ having } g[U \cap \omega] \subset ] - \varepsilon, \varepsilon[\}$ . Since  $C_{\pi}(X) \in \mathcal{BP}(\mathbf{R}^X)$ ,  $C_0 \times \mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{BP}(\mathbf{R}^\omega \times \mathbf{R})$ .

It is easily seen that  $C_0$  is a tailset in  $\mathbf{R}^{\omega}$ , i.e. that if  $g \in C_0$  and if the equality h(n) = g(n) holds except for finitely many values of n, then  $h \in C_0$ . We now need a slight variation of a result due to Oxtoby  $[\mathbf{Ox_1}]$ ; the proof is only trivially different from Oxtoby's argument.

5.2 LEMMA. Let C be a tailset in  $\mathbf{R}^{\omega}$  and suppose that  $C \times \mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{BP}(\mathbf{R}^{\omega} \times \mathbf{R})$ . Then either  $C \times \mathbf{R}$  is a first category subset of  $\mathbf{R}^{\omega} \times \mathbf{R}$  or else  $C \times \mathbf{R}$  contains a dense  $G_{\delta}$ -subset of  $\mathbf{R}^{\omega} \times \mathbf{R}$ .

Given 5.2, either  $C_0 \times \mathbf{R}$  is a first category subset of  $\mathbf{R}^{\omega} \times \mathbf{R}$ , in which case  $C_{\pi}[X]$  is also a first category subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$ , or else  $C_0 \times \mathbf{R}$  contains a dense  $G_{\delta}$ -subset of  $\mathbf{R}^{\omega} \times \mathbf{R}$ , in which case  $C_{\pi}(X)$  contains a dense  $G_{\delta}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^X$ . But the latter situation occurs if and only if X is a discrete space [**DGLvM**, Theorem 1] so that  $C_{\pi}(X)$  must be a first category subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$ , as claimed.  $\square$ 

- 5.3 REMARK. The reason for creating a variant of Oxtoby's theorem as in 5.2 is that one cannot deduce  $C_0 \in \mathcal{BP}(\mathbf{R}^{\omega})$  from  $C_0 \times \mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{BP}(\mathbf{R}^{\omega} \times \mathbf{R})$ .
- 5.4 COROLLARY. For each  $S \subset 2^{\omega}$ , the function space  $C_{\pi}(\Sigma_S)$  is a first category subset of  $\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma_S}$ .

PROOF. We define an array A(m,n) as follows using the tree  $T=\bigcup_{1}^{\infty}T_{n}$ ;

- (i)  $A(1,n) = T_n \text{ for } n \ge 1;$
- (ii)  $A(2,1) = T_1 \cup T_2$ ,  $A(2,2) = T_3 \cup T_4$ ,  $A(2,3) = T_5 \cup T_6$ ,...;
- (iii) in general,  $A(m,n) = T_{(n-1)m+1} \cup \cdots \cup T_{nm}$ .

Obviously each A(m,n) is finite and because the sets  $T_1,T_2,\ldots$  are pairwise disjoint, each row  $A(m,1),A(m,2),\ldots$  of the array is pairwise disjoint. Suppose  $k(1),k(2),\ldots$  is a sequence of positive integers and suppose  $U=T-(B_{x_1}\cup\cdots\cup B_{x_n}\cup F)$ , where  $x_i\in S$  and F is a finite subset of T. If  $\varnothing=U\cap(\bigcup\{A(m,k(m))|m\geq 1\})$ , then  $\bigcup\{A(m,k(m))|m\geq 1\}\subset B_{x_1}\cup B_{x_2}\cup\cdots\cup B_{x_n}\cup F$ . Observe that for a fixed level  $T_j$  of the tree T,  $\operatorname{card}(B_{x_i}\cap T_n)=1$  so that  $\operatorname{card}(T_j\cap(B_{x_1}\cup\cdots\cup B_{x_n}\cup F))\leq n+\operatorname{card}(F)$ . Choose  $m>n+\operatorname{card}(F)$ . Then the set A(m,k(m)) contains a level  $T_j$  of T where  $\operatorname{card}(T_j)\geq 2^m$  so that  $T_j\cap(B_{x_1}\cup\cdots\cup B_{x_n}\cup F)$  must have cardinality greater than  $n+\operatorname{card}(F)$ , contrary to our observation above.  $\square$ 

In closing let us give one more example of a countable regular space X with a unique isolated point  $\infty$  which has a "bad" function space. Unlike the examples so far,  $C_{\pi}(X)$  is a second category subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{X}$ .

5.5 EXAMPLE. Let p be a free ultrafilter on  $\omega$  and topologize the set  $X = \omega \cup \{\infty\}$  by isolating all points of  $\omega$  and by using all sets of the form  $\{\infty\} \cup U$ , where  $U \in p$ , as neighborhoods of  $\infty$ . Then  $C_{\pi}(X)$  is a second category subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$  and  $C_{\pi}(X) \notin \mathcal{L}_1(\mathbf{R}^X) \cup \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbf{R}^X)$ .

PROOF. That  $C_{\pi}(X)$  is a second category subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{X}$  follows from the equivalence of (a) and (c) in 5.1 (cf. [LM, 5.1 and 6.3] for details). Suppose

 $C_{\pi}(X) \in \mathcal{L}_{n}(\mathbf{R}^{X})$ , where  $n \in \{1,2\}$ . Define  $j : 2^{\omega} \to \mathbf{R}^{X}$  by the rule that if  $f \in 2^{\omega}$  then  $j(f) = \hat{f} \in \mathbf{R}^{X}$  where  $\hat{f}$  is given by

$$\hat{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in \omega, \\ 1 & \text{if } x = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then j is continuous so that by  $[\mathbf{K}, \S 38, \mathrm{III}, \mathrm{Proposition}\ 2], \ j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)] \in \mathcal{L}_n(2^\omega)$ . Hence  $j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)]$  is a measurable subset of  $2^\omega$  (with respect to product measure  $\mu$ ) because all analytic and co-analytic subsets of  $2^\omega$  are measurable  $[\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{p}.\ 243, \mathrm{Proposition}\ 3.24]$ . But  $j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)] = \{x \in 2^\omega | \text{ for some } U \in p, x(n) = 1 \text{ for each } n \in U\}$  so that  $j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)]$  is seen to be a tailset in  $2^\omega$ . Hence Kolmogorov's "0-1 law" guarantees that  $\mu[j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)]] = 0$  or  $\mu[j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)]] = 1$   $[\mathbf{Ox_2}, \mathbf{p}.\ 84]$ . However, consider the function  $J \colon 2^\omega \to 2^\omega$  given by  $J(f) = f \oplus \overline{1}$ , where  $\overline{1} \in 2^\omega$  is constantly equal to 1 and  $\oplus$  denotes coordinatewise addition modulo 2, i.e., the usual group operation of  $2^\omega$ . Since  $\mu$  is translation invariant, J is a measure preserving transformation on  $2^\omega$ . Because p is an ultrafilter,  $J[j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)]] = 2^\omega - j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)]$  so that both  $\mu[j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)]] = 0$  and  $\mu[j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)]] = 1$  are impossible. Therefore  $C_\pi(X) \notin \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbf{R}^X) \cup \mathcal{L}_1(\mathbf{R}^X) \cup \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbf{R}^X)$ , as claimed.  $\square$ 

## REFERENCES

[DGLvM] J. Dijkstra, T. Grilliot, D. Lutzer and J. van Mill, Function spaces of low Borel complexity, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

[E] R. Engelking, General topology, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1977.

[K] K. Kuratowski, Topology, vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, 1966.

[KM] K. Kuratowski and A. Mostowski, Set theory with an introduction to descriptive theory, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976.

[L] A. Levi, Basic set theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.

[LM] D. Lutzer and R. McCoy, Category in function spaces. I, Pacific J. Math. 90 (1980), 145–168. [Ox<sub>1</sub>] J. Oxtoby, Cartesian products of Baire spaces, Fund. Math. 49 (1961), 157–166.

[Ox2] \_\_\_\_\_, Measure and category, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIAMI UNIVERSITY, OXFORD, OHIO 45056

VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT, AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, WARSAW, POLAND