

CORRECTION TO "VANISHING THEOREMS AND KÄHLERITY FOR STRONGLY PSEUDOCONVEX MANIFOLDS"

BY
VO VAN TAN

It has been brought to my attention by N. Coltoiu that there was a gap in the proof of Theorem II in [3]. By using the same notations as in [3], the proof of our Theorem II can be corrected as follows.

THEOREM II. *Let (X, S) be a strongly pseudoconvex manifold. If $\dim S = 1$, then X is Kählerian. In particular, any strongly pseudoconvex surface is Kählerian.*

First of all the following result is needed.

LEMMA [1]. *Let S be a compact $\mathbb{C}$ analytic space and let L be a holomorphic line bundle on S . Let us assume that, for every positive dimensional subspace T of S , there exist an integer n and a nonzero holomorphic section of $L^n \otimes \mathcal{O}_T$ which vanishes at some point of T . Then L is positive.*

Notations. From now on, a *positive* line bundle (resp. *semipositive* line bundle) will be denoted by $L > 0$ (resp. $L \geq 0$).

PROOF OF THEOREM II. Without loss of generality, one can assume that S is irreducible. So let x be a point on S and let $\pi: \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blowing up of X at x inducing a biholomorphism $\hat{X} \setminus D \simeq X \setminus \{x\}$.

Let T be the strict transform of S under π ; it is clear the $\hat{X}$ is a strongly pseudoconvex manifold with its exceptional set $\hat{S} = D \cup T$.

CLAIM. There exists a positive line bundle L on $\hat{X} \setminus D$.

In fact, let $L_1 := [D]$ be the line bundle determined by D . Since $\dim T = 1$, the Lemma above tells us that $L_1|_T > 0$. In view of the compactness of T , one can find a relative compact neighborhood V of T in $\hat{X}$ such that

(*) $L_1 > 0$ on V and by construction $L_1 \geq 0$ on $\hat{X} \setminus D$.

Since $\hat{X}$ is strongly pseudoconvex, one can find a line bundle L_2 on $\hat{X}$ such that

(**) $L_2 > 0$ on $\hat{X} \setminus \hat{S}$ and $L_2 \geq 0$ on $\hat{X}$.

In view of (*) and (**), it follows that, for some $N \gg 0$, the line bundle $L := L_1 \otimes L_2^N > 0$ on $(\hat{X} \setminus \hat{S}) \cup V \supset \hat{X} \setminus D$. Hence our claim is proved.

Consequently, $\hat{X} \setminus D \simeq X \setminus \{x\}$ is Kählerian. The result in [2] tells us that X itself is Kählerian. Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

1. H. Grauert, *Über Modifikationen und exzeptionelle analytische Mengen*, Math. Ann. **146** (1962), 331–368.
2. Y. Miyaoka, *Extension theorems for Kähler metrics*, Proc. Japan Acad. **50** (1974), 407–410.
3. Vo Van Tan, *Vanishing theorems and Kählerity for strongly pseudoconvex manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **261** (1980), 297–302.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, SUFFOLK UNIVERSITY, BEACON HILL, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02114