

A COMMUTATOR THEOREM AND WEIGHTED BMO

BY

STEVEN BLOOM

ABSTRACT. The main result of this paper is a commutator theorem: If μ and λ are A_p weights, then the commutator H, M_b is a bounded operator from $L^p(\mu)$ into $L^p(\lambda)$ if and only if $b \in \text{BMO}_{(\mu\lambda^{-1})^{1/p}}$. The proof relies heavily on a weighted sharp function theorem. Along the way, several other applications of this theorem are derived, including a doubly-weighted L^p estimate for BMO. Finally, the commutator theorem is used to obtain vector-valued weighted norm inequalities for the Hilbert transform.

I. Introduction. In the last decade, there have been several major results involving weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate operator $f \rightarrow Hf$, given for trigonometric polynomials $f = \sum c_n r^{|n|} e^{in\theta}$ by

$$Hf(\theta) = i \sum_{n \leq -1} c_n r^{|n|} e^{in\theta} - i \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n r^n e^{in\theta}.$$

H may also be viewed as a convolution with conjugate Poisson kernel Q ,

$$Q(x) = (\sin x)/1 - \cos x.$$

The major results involved the class of A_p weights and their logarithms.

DEFINITION 1.1. A nonnegative function w is in the class (A_p) , for $1 < p < \infty$, if there exists a constant C so that, for $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and all intervals I contained in the boundary of the unit circle, we have

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w(x) dx \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \sum_I w^{-q/p}(x) dx \right)^{p-1} \leq C,$$

(where $|I|$ denotes the measure of the interval I).

Two useful properties of weights are the A_∞ condition: w is in the class (A_∞) if there exist constants C and $\delta > 0$ so that, for each interval I and measurable set $E \subseteq I$, we have

$$\left(\int_E w \right) / \left(\int_I w \right) \leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{|I|} \right)^\delta,$$

and the *Reverse Hölder* condition: There exist constants C and $\delta > 0$ such that, for all intervals I ,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w^{1+\delta} dx \right)^{1/(1+\delta)} \leq \frac{C}{|I|} \int_I w dx.$$

Muckenhoupt [7, 8] has shown that A_p for some $p > 1$, A_∞ , and Reverse Hölder are all equivalent.

Received by the editors June 27, 1984.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42A50; Secondary 42B20, 46E40.

©1985 American Mathematical Society
0002-9947/85 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

The major weighted norm inequality for the conjugate operator was proven by Hunt, Muckenhoupt, and Wheeden [5].

THEOREM 1.2. *H is a bounded operator on the weighted L^p -space $L^p(w)$ if and only if $w \in (A_p)$.*

There is one more approach to the conjugate operator that has proved fruitful, via commutators and the class BMO. Let M_b denote multiplication by the function b . The commutator $[H, M_b]$ is the operator taking $f \rightarrow H(bf) - b(Hf)$. The bounded operators of this form comprise the dual space of H^1 , so that, by Fefferman's Theorem, $[H, M_b]$ is a bounded operator of L^2 if and only if $b \in \text{BMO}$, the class of functions of bounded mean oscillation:

$$\sup_I \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \left| b(x) - \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I b(t) dt \right| dx < \infty.$$

(See Coifman, Rochberg, and Weiss [3].)

This theory is linked to the weighted norm inequalities in the following way: If $b \in \text{BMO}$, by the John–Nirenberg Theorem, $\exp(tb) \in (A_p)$ for t sufficiently small and $p > 1$ fixed. Thus H is a bounded operator on $L^p(e^{tb})$.

Conversely, if H is a bounded operator on $L^2(e^b)$, then the operators $T_z = e^{zb} H e^{-zb}$ are bounded operators on L^2 for $|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, as is $(d/dt)T_t|_{t=0} = [H, M_b]$, so that $b \in \text{BMO}$.

In this paper, we extend this work to settings involving multiple weights. In §II, we present a weighted sharp function theorem, which plays a key role in the later analysis. In §III, we present some simple applications of this theorem. In §IV, we present the Commutator Theorem: If μ and λ are A_p weights, then the commutator $[H, M_b]$ is a bounded map from $L^p(\mu)$ into $L^p(\lambda)$ if and only if b is in an appropriate weighted BMO space. And in §V, we present a vector-valued version of Theorem 1.2.

II. The Sharp Function Theorem. A measure ν is a doubling measure if there exists a constant C such that, for any intervals I and J with $|J| = 2|I|$, we have $\nu(J) \leq C\nu(I)$.

For example, if $w \in (A_p)$, then the measure $w dx$ is a doubling measure.

The unit circle will be denoted by T .

Let ν be a doubling measure and u a nonnegative weight. Then u induces a measure, which we also call u , given by

$$u(E) = \int_E u d\nu.$$

u is in the class $A_\infty(d\nu)$ if there exist constants C and $\delta > 0$ for which

$$u(E)/u(I) \leq C(\nu(E)/\nu(I))^\delta$$

for all intervals I and measurable sets $E \subseteq I$. This measure u is also a doubling measure. The average of a function f over an interval I will be denoted by f_I ,

$$f_I = \frac{1}{\nu(I)} \int_I f d\nu,$$

or sometimes $f_{I,d\nu}$ if the doubling measure is in doubt. The maximal function and the sharp function (relative to ν) are given by

$$f^*(x) = \sup\{|f|_I: x \in I\}, \quad \text{and} \quad f^\#(x) = \sup\{|f - f_I|_I: x \in I\}.$$

Finally, $L^p(u)$ will denote the L^p -space on the circle with norm

$$\|f\|_{L^p(u)} = \left(\frac{1}{u(T)} \int_T |f|^p u \, d\nu \right)^{1/p}.$$

THEOREM 2.1 (THE SHARP FUNCTION THEOREM). *Let $f \in L^1(d\nu)$, $1 < p < \infty$, and $f^\# \in L^p(u)$, for some $u \in A_\infty(d\nu)$. Then $f \in L^p(u)$, with*

$$\|f - f_T\|_{L^p(u)} \leq C_p \|f^\#\|_{L^p(u)}.$$

An unweighted version of this theorem, with $u = 1$ and ν Lebesgue measure, was given by Fefferman and Stein. Extending their proof to the present setting is straightforward and we omit the details (which can be found in [1]; see also [11]).

This theorem could have been proven for functions restricted to any interval $I \subseteq T$. Let $f^{\#,I}$ denote the sharp function restricted to I ,

$$f^{\#,I}(x) = \sup\left\{ \frac{1}{\nu(J)} \int_J |f - f_J| \, d\nu: x \in J \subseteq I \right\}.$$

In this case, we would find

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let $f \in L^1(d\nu)$, $u \in A_\infty(d\nu)$, and $1 < p < \infty$. If $f^\# \in L^p(u \, d\nu)$, then so is f , and for any interval $I \subseteq T$, we have*

$$\int_I |f - f_I|^p u \, d\nu \leq C_p \int_I (f^{\#,I})^p u \, d\nu \leq C_p \int_I (f^\#)^p u \, d\nu,$$

where C_p does not depend on I or f .

III. Applications of the Sharp Function Theorem. Our applications involve L^p estimates for some nonstandard weighted BMO classes. The first class we will consider is the doubly-weighted BMO class. Let u and v be weights, and suppose that

$$\inf_{c_I} \int_I |f - c_I| u \leq C \int_I v \quad \text{for } c_I \text{ constants.}$$

Is there an L^p version of this for any $p > 1$? When u and v are 1, this is standard BMO, and the John–Nirenberg Theorem gives the L^p estimate

$$\inf_{c_I} \int_I |f - c_I|^p \leq C |I|,$$

for any $1 \leq p < \infty$. The doubly-weighted version of this follows.

THEOREM 3.1 (THE DOUBLY-WEIGHTED BMO THEOREM). *Suppose that u^{-1} and v^{-1} are in (A_p) for some $p < 2$, and that $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If*

$$(1) \quad \inf_{c_I} \int_I |f - c_I| u \leq C \int_I v \quad \text{for all intervals } I,$$

then

$$(2) \quad \inf_{c_I} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f - c_I|^{q/p} u^{q/p} \leq K \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I v \right)^{q/p}.$$

PROOF. We will apply the Sharp Function Theorem with the measure $\nu = u \, dx$. Define $f^\#$, f^* , and f_I with respect to $u \, dx$. Suppose that (1) holds for some constant c_I . Then we can take $c_I = f_I$ without losing more than a factor of 2. Now fix x and let I contain x . Then

$$\frac{1}{u(I)} \int_I |f - f_I| u \leq \frac{c}{u(I)} \int_I v = \frac{c}{u(I)} \int_I v u^{-1} u \leq C (v u^{-1})^*(x),$$

and hence, $f^\#(x) \leq C (v u^{-1})^*(x)$. Now fix an interval I . By Corollary 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I |f - f_I|^{q/p} u^{q/p} \, dx &= \int_I |f - f_I|^{q/p} u^{q/p-1} u \, dx \\ &\leq C_p \int_I (f^\#)^{q/p} u^{q/p-1} u \, dx \\ &\leq C \cdot C_p \int_I (v u^{-1})^{*q/p} u^{q/p-1} u \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$(3) \quad u^{q/p-1} \in A_{q/p}(u \, dx).$$

Given (3), Muckenhoupt's Theorem implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f - f_I|^{q/p} u^{q/p} \, dx &\leq C' \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I (v u^{-1})^{q/p} u^{q/p} \, dx \\ &= C' \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I v^{q/p} \, dx \\ &\leq K \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I v^{-1} \right)^{-q/p} \quad \text{as } v^{-1} \in (A_p), \\ &\leq K \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I v \right)^{q/p}, \quad \text{by Cauchy-Schwarz.} \end{aligned}$$

So we must show (3). For this we must bound

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{u(I)} \int_I u^{q/p} \left[\frac{1}{u(I)} \int_I (u^{q/p-1})^{-1/(q/p-1)} u \right]^{q/(p-1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{u(I)} \int_I u^{q/p} \left(\frac{1}{u(I)} \int_I u^{-1} u \right)^{q/p-1} = \left(\frac{1}{u(I)} \right)^{q/p} |I|^{q/p} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I u^{q/p} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I u \right)^{-q/p} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I u^{q/p} \leq \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I u^{-1} \right)^{q/p} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I u^{q/p} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and this is bounded, since $u^{-1} \in (A_p)$.

For our other application, we will strengthen a Lipschitz type theorem of Lotkowski and Wheeden [6].

THEOREM 3.2. *Let F be a nonnegative function of sets, for which, if $I \subseteq J$, then $F(I) \leq CF(J)$. Let μ be a doubling measure, and let $g^{-1} \in A_p(g \, d\mu)$, $1 < p < \infty$. If for each interval I ,*

$$\int_I |f - f_I| g \, d\mu \leq CF(I) \mu(I),$$

where $f_I = f_{I, g \, d\mu}$ is the average with respect to $g \, d\mu$, then

$$\int_I (|f - f_I| g)^q \, d\mu \leq CF(I)^q \mu(I), \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

(Note: Here, and throughout, C will denote a universal constant, not necessarily the same at successive appearances.)

Lotkowski and Wheeden also assumed the existence of constants $1 < \alpha < \beta$ for which $\alpha F(I) \leq F(2I) \leq \beta F(I)$. In particular, the restriction $\alpha > 1$ ruled out the function $F \equiv 1$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.2. Let ν be the measure $g \, d\mu$, and define f_I, f^* , and $f^\#$ with respect to ν . Fix I and let $x \in J \subseteq I$. Then

$$\int_J |f - f_J| \, d\nu \leq CF(J) \mu(J) \leq CF(I) \mu(J).$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{\nu(J)} \int_J |f - f_J| \, d\nu \leq CF(I) \frac{1}{\nu(J)} \int_J g^{-1} g \, d\mu \leq CF(I) (g^{-1})^*(x),$$

and so, taking supremums over $J \subseteq I$, we have $f^{\#,I}(x) \leq CF(I) (g^{-1})^*(x)$. By Corollary 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I |f - f_I|^q g^{q-1} \, d\nu &\leq C \int_I (f^{\#,I})^q g^{q-1} \, d\nu \\ &\leq CF(I)^q \int_I (g^{-1})^{*q} g^{q-1} \, d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

But $g^{q-1} \in A_q(d\nu)$, as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\nu(I)} \int_I g^{q-1} \, d\nu \left(\frac{1}{\nu(I)} \int_I g^{-1} \, d\nu \right)^{q-1} \\ = \frac{1}{\nu(I)} \int_I (g^{-1})^{1/(p-1)} \, d\nu \left(\frac{1}{\nu(I)} \int_I g^{-1} \, d\nu \right)^{q-1} \end{aligned}$$

which is bounded by the hypothesis $g^{-1} \in A_p(d\nu)$. Applying Muckenhoupt's Theorem, we conclude

$$\int_I |f - f_I|^q g^q \, d\mu \leq CF(I)^q \int_I (g^{-1})^q g^q \, d\mu = CF(I)^q \mu(I).$$

IV. The Commutator Theorem.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let w be an A_∞ weight and b an L^1 function. Then b is in the weighted BMO class BMO_w provided

$$\sup_I \frac{1}{w(I)} \int_I |b - b_I| < \infty \quad (\text{here } b_I = b_{I, dx}).$$

The main result of this paper is the following:

THEOREM 4.2 (THE COMMUTATOR THEOREM). Let $\mu, \lambda \in (A_p)$ and put $\nu = (\mu\lambda^{-1})^{1/p}$, for some $1 < p < \infty$, and suppose that $b \in L^1$. Then

(i) If $b \in \text{BMO}_\nu$, the commutator $[H, M_b]$ is a bounded map from $L^p(\mu)$ into $L^p(\lambda)$, with

$$\int |[H, M_b]f|^p \lambda \leq C \int |f|^p \mu.$$

(ii) Conversely, if $[H, M_b]: L^p(\mu) \rightarrow L^p(\lambda)$ is bounded, then $b \in \text{BMO}_\nu$.

To prove part (i), we will need a series of lemmas. Throughout, μ and λ will be in (A_p) , $\nu = (\mu\lambda^{-1})^{1/p}$, and $b \in \text{BMO}_\nu$. An exponent with a prime will denote the conjugate exponent, so $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

LEMMA 4.3. There exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ so that, for all $1 \leq r \leq p' + \varepsilon$,

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |b - b_I|^r \mu^{-r/p} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{-1/p} \right)^r \quad \text{for each interval } I.$$

PROOF. It will suffice to show this for some $r > p'$. Smaller values of r follow from Hölder's Inequality.

Choose r so that Reverse Hölder holds for the weights $\mu^{-p'/p}$ and $\lambda^{-p'/p}$ with exponent $1 + \delta = r/p'$. Fix I and let $x \in I$. If J contains x , then

$$\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J |b - b_J| \leq C \frac{1}{|J|} \int_J \nu \leq C \nu^*(x),$$

and hence $b^\#(x) \leq C \nu^*(x)$. By Corollary 2.2,

$$\int |b - b_I|^r \mu^{-r/p} \leq C \int_I (b^\#)^r \mu^{-r/p} \leq C \int_I (\nu^*)^r \mu^{-r/p}.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|J|} \int_J \mu^{-r/p} \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J \mu^{r/p} \right)^{r/p'} &\leq \frac{1}{|J|} \int_J \mu^{-r/p} \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J \mu \right)^{r/p}, \\ &\quad \text{by Hölder's Inequality,} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J \mu^{-p'/p} \right)^{r/p'} \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J \mu \right)^{r/p}, \\ &\quad \text{by Reverse Hölder,} \\ &\leq C \quad \text{by } (A_p). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mu^{-r/p} \in (A_r)$ and Muckenhoupt's Theorem applies. So

$$\int_I |b - b_I|^r \mu^{-r/p} \leq C \int_I \nu^r \mu^{-r/p} = C \int_I \lambda^{-r/p}.$$

Similarly, $\lambda^{-r/p} \in (A_r)$, and

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{-r/p} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda \right)^{r/p} \leq C,$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{-r/p} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda \right)^{-r/p}.$$

But by Cauchy-Schwartz,

$$1 \leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{1/p} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{-1/p}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I|} \int |b - b_I|^r \mu^{-r/p} &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda \right)^{-r/p} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{1/p} \right)^{-r}, \text{ by Hölder's,} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{-1/p} \right)^r. \end{aligned}$$

We will need some further notation. q will be a number near p but less than p . Let $r \geq 1$ and w a weight. Define

$$S_r(b; w, I) = \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |b - b_I|^r w^r dx \right)^{1/r},$$

$$\Lambda_r(f; w, I) = \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |fw|^r \right)^{1/r}, \text{ and}$$

$$K_r^*(b, f, w)(x) = \sup_{1 \ni x} S_{rq'}(b, w, I) \Lambda_{rq'}(f; w^{-1}, I).$$

Also put $K^* = K_1^*$, and let M_λ^* denote the weighted maximal function

$$M_\lambda^* g(x) = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda(I)} \int_I |g| \lambda dy : x \in I \right\}.$$

LEMMA 4.4. *For an appropriate choice of $q < p$, and for any r with $1 \leq r < p/q$, there exists a weight w depending on r such that*

(i) $w^{rq'} \in (A_{q'})$, and

(ii) $\int [K_r^*(b, f, w)(x)]^p \lambda(x) dx \leq C \int |f|^p \mu(x) dx.$

PROOF. We will choose w as $w = \mu^{1/p} \lambda^{1/p-1/rq}$. To show (i), it will suffice to show that $w^{p'} \in (A_{p'})$. For then (i) will hold by Reverse Hölder if q is chosen sufficiently near p . For the $A_{p'}$ condition, let $t > 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w^{p'} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w^{-p} \right)^{p'/p} &= \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \mu^{-p'/p} \lambda^{p'/p-p'/rq} \right) \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \mu \lambda^{p/rq-1} \right)^{p'/p} \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \mu^{-t p'/p} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \mu^t \right)^{p'/p} \right]^{1/t} \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{t'(p'/p-p'/rq)} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{t'(p/rq-1)} \right)^{p'/p'} \right]^{1/t'}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term

$$\left[\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \mu^{-t p'/p} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \mu^t \right)^{p'/p} \right]^{1/t}$$

is bounded by Reverse Hölder and $\mu \in (A_p)$ for t near one, say $t \leq t_0$. For the second term, consider the exponent $t'(p/rq - 1)$. As $q \rightarrow p$, $r \rightarrow 1$, and $p/rq \rightarrow 1$. So we can choose q sufficiently near p so that choosing t' with $t'(p/rq - 1) = 1$ still keeps $t \leq t_0$ for $r = 1$, and hence for $r > 1$ as well. Then the second term is

$$\left[\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \bar{\lambda}^{p'/p} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda \right)^{p'/p} \right]^{1/t'},$$

which is bounded, since $\lambda \in (A_p)$. Hence $w^{p'} \in (A_{p'})$ and (i) follows.

We will show that

$$(4) \quad \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda \right)^{1/rq} S_{rq'}(b; w, I) \leq C \quad \text{for all } I.$$

Assume (4) for the moment. For each x , there exist intervals I_x containing x which approximate K_r^* , that is

$$\int [K_r^*(b, f, w)(x)]^p \lambda(x) dx \leq 2 \int [S_{rq'}(b; w, I_x) \Lambda_{rq}(f; w^{-1}, I_x)]^p \lambda.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{rq}(f; w^{-1}, I_x) &= \left(\frac{1}{|I_x|} \int_{I_x} |f|^{rq} w^{-rq} \lambda^{-1} \lambda \right)^{1/rq} \\ &= (\lambda_{I_x})^{1/rq} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(I_x)} |f w^{-1}|^{rq} \lambda^{-1} \lambda \right)^{1/rq} \\ &\leq (\lambda_{I_x})^{1/rq} \left[M_\lambda^*(|f w^{-1}|^{rq} \lambda^{-1})(x) \right]^{1/rq}, \end{aligned}$$

so that, by (4),

$$\begin{aligned} \int K_r^*(b, f, w)^p \lambda &\leq C \int \left[M_\lambda^* (|fw^{-1}|^{rq} \lambda^{-1}) \right]^{p/rq} \lambda \\ &\leq C \int |fw^{-1}|^p \lambda^{-p/rq} \lambda, \end{aligned}$$

by the boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function,

$$= C \int |f|^p \mu.$$

So to show (ii), we must only verify (4). First,

$$S_{rq'}^{rq'}(b; w, I) = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |b - b_I|^{rq'} \mu^{-rq'/p} \lambda^{-q'(1/q - r/p)}.$$

Choose s so that $sq'(1/q - r/p) = p'/p$. q near p means that s is large, so that $rq's' \leq p' + \varepsilon$, the exponent in Lemma 4.3. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{rq'}^{rq'}(b; w, I) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |b - b_I|^{rq's'} \mu^{rq's'/p} \right)^{1/s'} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{-p'/p} \right)^{1/s} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{-1/p} \right)^{rq'} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{-p'/p} \right)^{1/s}, \quad \text{by Lemma 4.3,} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{-p'/p} \right)^{rq'/p' + 1/s} = C \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{-p'/p} \right)^{pq'/p'q}, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$S_{rq'}(b; w, I) \leq C \left[\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{-p'/p} \right)^{p/p'} \right]^{1/rq}$$

and (4) holds by the A_p condition.

The main ingredient of the proof is an estimate of the sharp function of $[H, M_b]f$, set out in the lemma below.

LEMMA 4.5. *Let w and \tilde{w} be weights with $w^{q'}, \tilde{w}^{rq'} \in (A_{q'})$ for some $r > 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} ([H, M_b]f)^{\#}(x) &\leq C \left[K^*(b, f, w)(x) + K^*(b, Hf, w)(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + K_r^*(b, f, \tilde{w})(x) + \left(M_\lambda^* (|f\nu|^q)(x) \right)^{1/q} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let $g = [H, M_b]f$. We must estimate $g^{\#}$. So fix x and I containing x . Let x_0 be the center of I . Define $f_1 = f\chi_{2I}$, and $f_2 = f - f_1$. For any constant c ,

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |g - g_I| \leq \frac{2}{|I|} \int_I |g - c|.$$

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |g - g_I| \leq \frac{2}{|I|} \int_I |g - H(b - b_I)f_2(x_0)|.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} g &= [H, M_b]f = [H, M_{b-b_I}]f \\ &= H(b-b_I)f_1 + H(b-b_I)f_2 - (b-b_I)Hf \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |g - g_I| &\leq \frac{2}{|I|} \int |b - b_I| |Hf| + \frac{2}{|I|} \int_I |H(b - b_I)f_1| \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{|I|} \int_I |H(b - b_I)f_2(t) - H(b - b_I)f_2(x_0)| \, dt \\ &= 2(K_1 + K_2 + K_3). \end{aligned}$$

For these terms, first

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |b - b_I| w |Hf| w^{-1} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |b - b_I|^{q'} w^{q'} \right)^{1/q'} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |Hf|^q w^{-q} \right)^{1/q} \\ &= S_{q'}(b; w, I) \Lambda_q(Hf; w^{-1}, I) \leq K^*(b, Hf, w)(x). \end{aligned}$$

For the second piece,

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |H(b - b_I)f_1|^r \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq |I|^{-1/r} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |H(b - b_I)f_1| \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq C|I|^{-1/r} \left(\int |b - b_I|^r |f_1|^r \right)^{1/r}, \quad \text{by the Theorem of M. Riesz,} \\ &= 2^{1/r} C \left(\frac{1}{|2I|} \int_{2I} |b - b_I|^r |f|^r \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq 2^{1/r} C \left[\left(\frac{1}{|2I|} \int_{2I} |b - b_{2I}|^r |f|^r \right)^{1/r} + |b_I - b_{2I}| \left(\frac{1}{|2I|} \int_{2I} |f|^r \right)^{1/r} \right] \\ &= 2^{1/r} C [A + B]. \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \left(\frac{1}{|2I|} \int_{2I} |b - b_I|^r \tilde{w}^r |f|^r \tilde{w}^{-r} \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq S_{rq'}(b; \tilde{w}, 2I) \Lambda_{rq}(f; \tilde{w}^{-1}, 2I) \leq K_r^*(b, f, \tilde{w})(x). \end{aligned}$$

To estimate B ,

$$\begin{aligned} |b_I - b_{2I}| &\leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |b - b_{2I}| \leq \frac{2}{|2I|} \int_{2I} |b - b_{2I}| \\ &\leq 2S_{q'}(b; \tilde{w}, 2I) \left(\frac{1}{|2I|} \int_{2I} \tilde{w}^{-q} \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq 2S_{rq'}(b; \tilde{w}, 2I) \left(\frac{1}{|2I|} \int_{2I} \tilde{w}^{-rq} \right)^{1/rq} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} B &\leq 2S_{rq'}(b; \tilde{w}, 2I) \left(\frac{1}{|2I|} \int_{2I} \tilde{w}^{-rq} \right)^{1/rq} \left(\frac{1}{|2I|} \int_{2I} |f|^r \tilde{w}^{-r} \tilde{w}^r \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq 2S_{rq'}(b; \tilde{w}, 2I) \Lambda_{rq}(f; \tilde{w}^{-1}, 2I) \left(\frac{1}{|2I|} \int_{2I} \tilde{w}^{-rq} \right)^{1/rq} \left(\frac{1}{|2I|} \int_{2I} \tilde{w}^{rq'} \right)^{1/rq'} \\ &\leq CK_r^*(b, f, \tilde{w})(x) \end{aligned}$$

since $w^{rq'} \in (A_{q'})$. Hence $K_2 \leq CK_r^*(b, f, \tilde{w})(x)$. For K_3 , let $t \in I$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &|H(b - b_I)f_2(t) - H(b - b_I)f_2(x_0)| \\ &\leq \int |\mathcal{Q}(t - y) - \mathcal{Q}(x_0 - y)| |b - b_I| |f_2| dy \\ &\leq C \int \frac{|t - x_0|}{|t - y||x_0 - y|} |f_2(y)| |b - b_I| dy \\ &= C \int_{|x_0 - y| > \delta} \frac{t - x_0}{|t - y||x_0 - y|} |f| |b - b_I| \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = |I|$.

Since $t \in I$,

$$|t - x_0| \leq \delta/2 \leq \frac{1}{2}|x_0 - y|,$$

and

$$|t - y| \geq |x_0 - y| - |t - x_0| \geq \frac{1}{2}|x_0 - y|.$$

Hence,

$$|H(b - b_I)f_2(t) - H(b - b_I)f_2(x_0)| \leq C\delta \int_{|x_0 - y| > \delta} \frac{1}{|x_0 - y|^2} |f| |b - b_I| dy,$$

and since this holds for all $t \in I$, the same bound must hold for K_3 . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} K_3 &\leq C\delta \sum_k \int_{2^{k-1}\delta < |x_0 - y| \leq 2^k\delta} \frac{1}{|x_0 - y|^2} |f| |b - b_I| dy \\ &\leq C \sum_k 2^{2-2k}\delta^{-1} \int_{|x_0 - y| \leq 2^k\delta} |f| |b - b_I| dy. \end{aligned}$$

Let $I_k = 2^k I$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_3 &\leq 8C \sum_k 2^{-k} \frac{1}{|I_{k+1}|} \int_{I_{k+1}} |b - b_I| |f| \\
 &\leq 16C \sum_k 2^{-k} \left(\frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} |b - b_{I_k}| |f| + \frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} |b_I - b_{I_k}| |f| \right) \\
 &= 16C \sum_k 2^{-k} (L_k + M_k).
 \end{aligned}$$

But

$$L_k \leq S_{q'}(b; w, I_k) \Lambda_q(f; w^{-1}, I_k) \leq K^*(b, f, w)(x),$$

so that

$$K_3 \leq 16C \left[K^*(b, f, w)(x) + \sum_k 2^{-k} M_k \right].$$

We must show

$$(5) \quad \sum_k 2^{-k} M_k \leq C \left[M_\lambda^*(|f\nu|^q)(x) \right]^{1/q}.$$

To prove (5), we will use two facts. First, since $b \in \text{BMO}_\nu$,

$$(6) \quad \int_J |b - b_J| \leq C\nu(J) \quad \text{for each interval } J,$$

and second, since $\nu \in (A_\infty)$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(7) \quad \frac{\nu(E)}{\nu(J)} \leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{|J|} \right)^\delta \quad \text{for all measurable sets } E \subseteq J.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |b_I - b_{I_k}| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} |b_{I_n} - b_{I_{n+1}}| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{|I_n|} \int_{I_n} |b - b_{I_{n+1}}| \\
 &\leq 2 \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{|I_{n+1}|} \int_{I_{n+1}} |b - b_{I_{n+1}}| \\
 &\leq C \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{\nu(I_{n+1})}{|I_{n+1}|} \quad \text{by (2)} \\
 &\leq C\nu_{I_k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{\nu(I_{n+1})}{\nu(I_k)} \cdot \frac{|I_k|}{|I_{n+1}|} \\
 &\leq C\nu_{I_k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{|I_k|}{|I_{n+1}|} \right)^{1-\delta} \quad \text{by (3),} \\
 &= C\nu_{I_k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} 2^{(k-n-1)(1-\delta)} \leq C\nu_{I_k} 2^{k(1-\delta)},
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_k 2^{-k} M_k &\leq C \sum_k 2^{-k\delta} \nu_{I_k} \frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} |f| \\
 &\leq C \sum_k 2^{-k\delta} \nu_{I_k} \left(\frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} |f \nu|^q \lambda \right)^{1/q} \left(\frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} \nu^{-q'} \lambda^{-q'/q} \right)^{1/q'} \\
 &= C \sum_k 2^{-k\delta} \nu_{I_k} (\lambda_{I_k})^{1/q} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(I_k)} \int_{I_k} |f \nu|^q \lambda \right)^{1/q} \left(\frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} \nu^{-q'} \lambda^{-q'/q} \right)^{1/q'} \\
 &\leq C \left[M_\lambda^*(|f \nu|^q)(x) \right]^{1/q} \sum_k 2^{-k\delta} \nu_{I_k} (\lambda_{I_k})^{1/q} \left(\frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} \nu^{-q'} \lambda^{-q'/q} \right)^{1/q'}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So (5) will hold provided

$$(8) \quad \nu_I (\lambda_I)^{1/q} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \nu^{-q'} \lambda^{-q'/q} \right)^{1/q'} \leq C \quad \text{for all } I.$$

To show (8),

$$\nu^{-q'} \lambda^{-q'/q} = \mu^{-q'/p} \lambda^{-q'(1/q-1/p)}.$$

Choose s so that $sq'(1/q-1/p) = p'/p$. So s is large, and Reverse Hölder will apply to $\mu^{-p'/p}$ with exponent $q's'/p'$ for q near p . So

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad &\leq (\lambda_I)^{1/q} (\mu_I)^{1/p} (\lambda_I^{-p'/p})^{1/p'} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \mu^{-\frac{q's'}{p}} \right)^{1/s'q'} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{-p'/p} \right)^{1/sq'} \\
 &\leq C (\lambda_I)^{1/q} (\mu_I)^{1/p} (\lambda_I^{-p'/p})^{1/p' + 1/sq'} (\mu_I^{-p'/p})^{1/p'}, \quad \text{by Reverse Hölder,} \\
 &= C (\lambda_I)^{1/q} (\lambda_I^{-p'/p})^{p/p'q} (\mu_I)^{1/p} (\mu_I^{-p'/p})^{1/p'}
 \end{aligned}$$

which is bounded, since μ and λ are in (A_p) .

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.2, PART (i). By Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int ([H, M_b]f)^{\#p} \lambda &\leq C \left[\int K^*(b, f, w)^p \lambda + \int K^*(b, Hf, w)^p \lambda \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int K_r^*(b, f, \tilde{w})^p \lambda + \int (M_\lambda^*(|f \nu|^q))^{\#p/q} \lambda \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

for w and \tilde{w} satisfying $w^{q'}$ and $\tilde{w}^{r'q'} \in (A_{q'})$. By Lemma 4.4, we can choose an $r > 1$ and such weights w and \tilde{w} so that

$$\int K^*(b, f, w)^p \lambda \leq C \int |f|^p \mu,$$

and

$$\int K_r^*(b, f, \tilde{w})^p \lambda \leq \int |f|^p \mu.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \left[([H, M_b]f)^\#(x) \right]^p \lambda(x) \\ & \leq C \left[\int |f|^p \mu + \int |Hf|^p \mu + \int M_\lambda(|f\mu|^q)^{p/q} \lambda \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the Theorem of Hunt, Muckenhoupt, and Wheeden,

$$\int |Hf|^p \mu \leq C \int |f|^p \mu,$$

and by Hardy and Littlewood's Theorem,

$$\int M_\lambda^*(|f\mu|^q)^{p/q} \lambda \leq C \int |f\mu|^p \lambda = C \int |f|^p \mu.$$

So we conclude

$$\int ([H, M_b]f)^\# \lambda \leq C \int |f|^p \mu.$$

Now let

$$k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [H, M_b]f.$$

By the Sharp Function Theorem,

$$\int |[H, M_b]f - k| \lambda \leq C \int |f|^p \mu.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int |[H, M_b]f|^p \lambda \right)^{1/p} & \leq \left(\int |[H, M_b]f - k|^p \lambda \right)^{1/p} + k \left(\int \lambda \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq C \left(\int |f|^p \mu \right)^{1/p} + k \left(\int \lambda \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, $|k| = (1/2\pi) \int_T [H, M_{b-b_T}]f$, and estimating this just as in Lemma 4.5 for K_1 and K_2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} |k| & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T |b - b_T| |Hf| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T |H(b - b_T)f| \\ & \leq [K^*(b, Hf, \omega)(x) + K^*(b, f, \tilde{\omega})(x)] \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in T$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_T |k|^\rho \lambda \right)^{1/\rho} & \leq \left[\left(\int_T K^*(b, Hf, \omega)^\rho \lambda \right)^{1/\rho} + \left(\int_T K^*(b, f, \tilde{\omega})^\rho \lambda \right)^{1/\rho} \right] \\ & \leq (\|Hf\|_{L^\rho(\mu)} + \|f\|_{L^\rho(\mu)}) \leq \|f\|_{L^\rho(\mu)} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.4 with appropriate choices of $\omega, \tilde{\omega}$ and $r > 1$, and by the Hunt, Muckenhoupt, and Wheeden Theorem, and part (i) follows.

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.2, PART (ii). We may assume that

$$\int |[H, M_b]f|^p \lambda \leq \int |f|^p \mu,$$

and that b is real. Fix I , centered at x_0 . Since $[H, M_b] = [H, M_{b-b_I}]$, we may further assume that $b_I = 0$. Put $M = (1/\nu(I)) \int_I |b|$. We must bound M , independent of I . So we can assume that M is quite large. Let $E = \{x \in I: b(x) \geq 0\}$. We may assume without loss of generality that $|E| \geq \frac{1}{2}|I|$. Let $E' \subseteq E$ have measure $|E'| = |I \sim E|$. Define ψ by $\psi = \chi_{E'} - \chi_{I \sim E}$. Then $\psi b \geq 0$, and $\int \psi = 0$. Also, since $\int_I b = 0$, $\int_{I \sim E} (-b) = \int_E b$. Hence,

$$\int \psi b \geq \int_{I \sim E} (-b) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{I \sim E} (-b) + \int_E b \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int_I |b| = \frac{1}{2} M \nu(I).$$

Now let $x \in 2I$. Then

$$|[H, M_b]\psi(x)| \geq |H(b\psi)(x)| - |b(x)||H\psi(x)|.$$

To analyze this,

$$\begin{aligned} |H(b\psi)(x)| &= \int_I |Q(x-y)|(b\psi)(y) dy \\ &\geq \frac{2C_1}{|x-x_0|} \int_I (b\psi) \geq \frac{C_1}{|x-x_0|} M \nu(I), \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} |H\psi(x)| &= \left| \int Q(x-y)\psi(y) dy \right| \\ &= \left| \int [Q(x-y) - Q(x-x_0)]\psi(y) dy \right|, \quad \text{since } \int \psi = 0, \\ &\leq C_2 \int_I \frac{|y-x_0|}{|x-y||x-x_0|} dy \leq C_2 |I|^2 |x-x_0|^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude,

$$(9) \quad |[H, M_b]\psi(x)| \geq \frac{C_1 M \nu(I)}{|x-x_0|} - \frac{C_2 |I|^2 |b(x)|}{|x-x_0|^2}, \quad x \in 2I.$$

Choose $\alpha < C_1$ and β a small universal constant. We can think of the unit circle as $(x_0 - \pi, x_0 + \pi)$. β will be chosen so small that $2\beta M^{1/p}|I| < \pi$. For otherwise,

$$\frac{\pi}{2\beta M^{1/p}} \leq |I| = \int_I \nu^{1/p} \nu^{-1/p} \leq \nu(I)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \nu^{-q/p} \right)^{1/q},$$

or

$$\nu(I) \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{2\beta M^{1/p}} \right)^p \left(\int \nu^{-q/p} \right)^{-p/q} = \frac{1}{C\beta^p M},$$

and so

$$M = \frac{1}{\nu(I)} \int_I |b| \leq C\beta^p M \|b\|_1.$$

We can choose β sufficiently small so that $C\beta^p\|b\|_1 < 1$, leading to a contradiction. Now put

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \{x: |I| < (x - x_0) < \beta M^{1/p}|I|\}, \\ F &= \{x \in J: C_2|b(x)||I|^2 < (c_1 - \alpha)M\nu(I)(x - x_0)\}, \quad \text{and} \\ G &= J \sim F. \end{aligned}$$

By the argument above, $J \subseteq (x_0, x_0 + \pi/2)$, and so $2J \subseteq T$, the unit circle. We can assume that M is large enough so that $I \subseteq 2J$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(I) &\geq \int |\psi|^p \mu \geq \int |[H, M_b]\psi|^p \lambda \geq \int_F |[H, M_b]\psi|^p \lambda \\ &\geq \int_F \left| \frac{c_1 M\nu(I)}{x - x_0} - \frac{c_2 |I|^2 b(x)}{(x - x_0)^2} \right|^p \lambda, \quad \text{by (1),} \\ &\geq \int_F \left[\frac{\alpha M\nu(I)}{x - x_0} \right]^p \lambda \geq \int_F \left[\frac{\alpha M\nu(I)}{\beta M^{1/p}|I|} \right]^p \lambda \\ &= \lambda(F) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \nu_I \right)^p M^{p-1} \geq \lambda(F) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \nu_I \right)^p, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(F) &\leq \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^p (\nu_I)^{-p} \mu(I) \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^p \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \nu^{-1} \right)^p \mu(I) \\ &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^p \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{1/p} \mu^{-1/p} \right)^p \mu(I) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^p \lambda_I \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \mu^{-q/p} \mu \right), \quad \text{where } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \\ &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^p \lambda(I) \mu_I (\mu^{-q/p})_I^{p/q} \leq C \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^p \lambda(I), \quad \text{by the } A_p \text{ condition,} \\ &\leq C (\beta/\alpha)^p \lambda(2J). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|F|}{|2J|} &= \frac{1}{|2J|} \int_F \lambda^{1/p} \lambda^{-1/p} \leq \left(\frac{1}{|2J|} \int_F \lambda \right)^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{|2J|} \int_{2J} \lambda^{-q/p} \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \left[\frac{\lambda(F)}{\lambda(2J)} \right]^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{|2J|} \int_{2J} \lambda \right)^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{|2J|} \int_{2J} \lambda^{-q/p} \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C \left[\frac{\lambda(F)}{\lambda(2J)} \right]^{1/p}, \quad \text{by } (A_p), \\ &\leq C \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus $|F| \leq 2C\beta/\alpha \cdot \beta M^{1/p}|I|$. We will also require β to be so small that $2C\beta/\alpha \leq \frac{1}{3}$. Then for M large,

$$(10) \quad |G| \geq \frac{1}{2}\beta M^{1/p}|I|.$$

Notice that β does not depend on M or $|I|$. Next let

$$H^*f(x) = \int Q(x-y)f(y) dy.$$

Then the adjoint of the commutator is $[H, M_b]^* = -[H^*, M_b]$. Also, if T is any operator satisfying $\int |Tf|^p \lambda \leq \int |f|^p \mu$, then any easy argument shows that its adjoint satisfies

$$(|T^*f|^q \mu^{-q/p}) \leq \left(\int |f|^q \lambda^{-q/p} \right).$$

In particular, for $T = [H, M_b]$, we have

$$(11) \quad \int |[H^*, M_b]g|^q \mu^{-q/p} \leq \int |g|^q \lambda^{-q/p}.$$

Now let $g = (\text{sgn } b)\chi_G$. Then for $x \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} |[H^*, M_b]g(x)| &\geq \int_G Q(y-x)(bg)(y) dy - |b(x)||H^*g(x)| \\ &\geq 2c_1 \int \frac{|b(y)|}{|y-x_0|} - |b(x)| \int_G Q(y-x) dy \\ &\geq \frac{2c_1}{c_2}(c_1 - \alpha) \frac{M\nu(I)}{|I|^2} |G| - c_3|b(x)| \int_1 \beta M^{1/p}|I| \frac{dy}{y} \\ &\geq CM^{1+1/p}\nu_I - C'|b(x)| \log M, \quad \text{by (2).} \end{aligned}$$

Let $D = \{x \in I: |b(x)| \leq 2M\nu_I\}$. Then for $x \in D$,

$$\begin{aligned} |[H^*, M_b]g(x)| &\geq \nu_I [CM^{1+1/p} - 2C'M \log M] \\ &\geq C\nu_I M^{1+1/p} \quad \text{for large } M. \end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$2M|I \sim D| = \int_{I \sim D} 2M \leq |I| \int_I \frac{|b|}{\nu(I)} \leq M|I|,$$

so that $|I \sim D| \leq \frac{1}{2}|I|$, or $|D| \geq \frac{1}{2}|I|$. By (11),

$$\int |[H^*, M_b]g|^q \mu^{-q/p} \leq \int |g|^q \lambda^{-q/p} \leq \int_G \lambda^{-q/p} \leq \int_{2J} \lambda^{-q/p}.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{2J} \lambda^{-q/p} \geq \int_D |[H^*, M_b]g|^q \mu^{-q/p} \geq C(\nu_I)^q M^{q+q/p} \int_D \mu^{-q/p}.$$

But

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{|D|}{|I|} = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_D \mu^{1/p} \mu^{-1/p} \leq (\mu_I)^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_D \mu^{-q/p} \right)^{1/q},$$

so that $(1/|I|)\int_D \mu^{-q/p} \geq 2^{-q}(\mu_I)^{-q/p}$, and thus

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{2J} \lambda^{-q/p} \geq C(\nu_1)^q M^{q+q/p} 2^{-q}(\mu_I)^{-q/p},$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} M^{q+q/p} &\leq C \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{2J} \lambda^{-q/p} (\mu_I)^{q/p} (\nu_I)^{-q} \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{2J} \lambda^{-q/p} (\mu_I)^{q/p} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \nu^{-1} \right)^q \\ &= C \frac{|2J|}{|I|} (\lambda^{-q/p})_{2J} (\mu_I)^{q/p} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda^{1/p} \mu^{-1/p} \right)^q \\ &\leq C \frac{|2J|}{|I|} (\lambda^{-q/p})_{2J} (\mu_I)^{q/p} (\mu^{-q/p})_I \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \lambda \right)^{q/p} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{|2J|}{|I|} \right)^{1+q/p} (\mu_I)^{q/p} (\mu^{-q/p})_I (\lambda_{2J})^{q/p} (\lambda^{-q/p})_{2J} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{|2J|}{|I|} \right)^{1+q/p}, \quad \text{as } \lambda, \mu \in (A_p), \\ &\leq C(2\beta M^{1/p})^{1+q/p}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$C \geq M^{q+(q/p)(1-1/p)-1/p} = M^q,$$

and we have an upper bound on M .

V. A weighted norm inequality for vectors. Let W be a symmetric, positive definite, $n \times n$ matrix-valued function on the unit circle T . $W(x)$ induces a pointwise inner product on the vector space C^n given by $(f, g)_{W(x)} = (W(x)f, g)$ where the latter is the standard dot product on C^n . This extends to vector-valued functions as

$$(f, g)_W = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T (W(x)f(x), g(x)) dx.$$

This inner product in turn induces a Hilbert space $L^2(W)$ of vector-valued functions whose W -norm is finite.

We wish to extend Theorem 1.2 to this setting. For what weights W is the conjugate operator H a bounded operator on $L^2(W)$? Nonconstructive necessary and sufficient conditions have been found by Pousson [9] and Rabindranathan [10] using the Hilbert space arguments of Helson and Szegő [4]. We will present a sufficient condition which is constructive, and which can be generalized to appropriately defined $L^p(W)$ spaces [1].

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $W = U^* \Lambda U$, where U is unitary, Λ diagonal, and the diagonal entries λ_k of Λ are A_2 weights. If for each r and j ,*

$$u_{rj} \in \text{BMO}_{(\lambda_r \lambda_k^{-1})^{1/2}} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then H is a bounded operator on $L^2(W)$.

PROOF. The W -norm of Hf is given by

$$\|Hf\| = \sum_k \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T |(UHf)_k(x)|^2 \lambda_k(x) dx.$$

We will bound each $|(UHf)_k|$.

$$\begin{aligned} UHf &= H(Uf) + UHf - H(Uf) \\ &= H(Uf) + UHU^*(Uf) - UU^*HUf, \end{aligned}$$

so that, with $U = (u_{rj})$,

$$(UHf)_k = H(Uf)_k + \sum_j u_{kj} (HU^*(Uf)_j - U^*H(Uf)_j).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} HU^*(Uf)_j - U^*H(Uf)_j &= \sum_r \bar{u}_{rj} (Uf)_r - \bar{u}_{rj} H(Uf)_r \\ &= \sum_r [H, M_{\bar{u}_{rj}}](Uf)_r. \end{aligned}$$

Since U is unitary, each $|u_{kj}| \leq 1$. Thus

$$|(UHf)_k| \leq |H(Uf)_k| + \sum_{r,j} |[H, M_{\bar{u}_{rj}}](Uf)_r|,$$

and so

$$\|Hf\|_w^2 \leq c \left(\sum_k \int |H(Uf)_k|^2 \lambda_k + \sum_{r,j,k} \int |[H, M_{\bar{u}_{rj}}](Uf)_r|^2 \lambda_k \right)$$

where c depends only on the dimension n .

By the Hunt, Muckenhoupt and Wheeden Theorem 1.2,

$$\int |H(Uf)_k|^2 \lambda_k \leq C \int |(Uf)_k|^2 \lambda_k,$$

and by the Commutator Theorem 4.2,

$$\int |[H, M_{\bar{u}_{rj}}](Uf)_r|^2 \lambda_k \leq C \int |(Uf)_r|^2 \lambda_r,$$

since each $\bar{u}_{rj} \in \text{BMO}_{(\lambda_r \lambda_k^{-1})^{1/2}}$ by assumption. So

$$\|Hf\|_w^2 \leq C \sum_r \int |(Uf)_r|^2 \lambda_r = C \|f\|_w^2.$$

We close with some remarks on the converse of Theorem 5.1. The requirement that the λ_k be A_2 weights causes no great pain. There are examples of good weights with a diagonalization for which the diagonal entries are not in A_2 , but these examples reflect a choice in diagonalization rather than the structure of the weight. In particular, if $U^* \Lambda U$ is a good weight with U continuous, the λ_k 's must be in A_2 [1].

In any converse to Theorem 5.1, very little can be said about the arguments of the unitary entries. For if $U^* \Lambda U$ is a good weight, and if J is any diagonal, unitary matrix, then $U^* J^* \Lambda J U = U^* \Lambda U$, so that necessary conditions must apply to JU as well as U . Multiplication by J smears the arguments of each row.

The condition that we suspect is necessary is the following

CONJECTURE 5.2 Let H be a bounded operator on $L^2(U^*\Lambda U)$, where

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

is diagonal, and $U = (u_{ij})$ is unitary. Then each $|u_{rj}| \in \text{BMO}_{(\lambda_r, \lambda_k^{-1})^{1/2}}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

This author has also studied the simpler moving average operator

$$A_h f(x) = \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} f(t) dt.$$

A weight W is said to be a good weight for the moving average if A_h is bounded on $L^2(W)$, with bound independent of h .

Conjecture 5.2 holds for the moving average in two dimensions, but our proof breaks down in higher dimensions [1].

Similarly, one can ask these questions about the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function, defined in the vector setting to maximize the W -norm.

One example motivated much of these ideas. Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $\alpha < 1$. Put

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} |x|^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & |x|^{-\alpha} \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} \cos|x|^\beta & \sin|x|^\beta \\ -\sin|x|^\beta & \cos|x|^\beta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $U^*\Lambda U$ is a good weight for any of the operators discussed if and only if $\beta \geq \alpha$, which is exactly the condition called for by Theorem 5.1 of [1].

REFERENCES

1. S. Bloom, *Weighted norm inequalities for vector-valued functions*, Ph. D. dissertation, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, 1981.
2. R. R. Coifman and C. Fefferman, *Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals*, Studia Math. **51** (1974), 241–250.
3. R. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg and G. Weiss, *Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables*, Ann. of Math. **103** (1976), 611–635.
4. H. Helson and G. Szegő, *A problem in prediction theory*, Ann. Math. Pura. Appl. **51** (1960), 107–138.
5. R. A. Hunt, B. Muckenhoupt and R. L. Wheeden, *Weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate function and Hilbert transform*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **176** (1973), 227–251.
6. E. Lotkowski and R. L. Wheeden, *The equivalence of various Lipschitz conditions on the weighted oscillation of a function*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **61** (1976), 323–328.
7. B. Muckenhoupt, *The equivalence of two conditions for weights*, Studia Math. **49** (1974), 101–106.
8. ———, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **165** (1972), 207–226.
9. H. Pousson, *Systems of Toeplitz operators on H^2* . I, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 603–608; II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (1968), 527–536.
10. R. Rabindranathan, *On the inversion of Toeplitz operators*, J. Math. Mech. **19** (1969), 195–206.
11. A. Cordoba and C. Fefferman, *A weighted norm inequality for singular integrals*, Studia Math. **57** (1976), 97–101.