

# CONJUGACY PROBLEM IN $GL_2(\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{-1}])$ AND UNITS OF QUADRATIC EXTENSIONS OF $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$

BY  
HIRONORI ONISHI

**ABSTRACT.** A highly efficient procedure for deciding if two given elements of  $GL_2(\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{-1}])$  are conjugate or not will be presented. It makes use of a continued fraction algorithm in  $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$  and gives a fundamental unit of any given quadratic extension of  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ .

(1) *Introduction.* A solution to the conjugacy problem in the group  $G = GL_2(\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{-1}])$  is included in the result of Grunewald [3]. But for a nice group like this there ought to be a simpler solution which makes use of the special nature of  $G$ . On the other hand, since  $G$  is not an amalgam of simpler groups, we should not expect too easy a solution. In this paper we present a straightforward procedure for deciding if two given elements of  $G$  are conjugate or not. It is based on a continued fraction algorithm in the ring  $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$  and a module theoretic consideration. It combines the ideas used in [1 and 2]. As the examples show it is highly efficient. A similar solution can be given for the group  $GL_2(\mathcal{O})$ , where  $\mathcal{O}$  is the ring of integers of any imaginary quadratic field, but in order to fix our attention we shall deal with the case when  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbf{Z}[i]$ ,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ .

(2) Actually what we solve is the similarity problem for the  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbf{Z}[i]$ ; given two such matrices  $A$  and  $B$  the problem is to decide if there is an  $R \in GL_2(\mathcal{O})$  such that  $RAR^{-1} = B$ . Our solution gives an explicit  $R$  if there is one. It also gives an effective characterization of the centralizer

$$Z(A) = \{R \in GL_2(\mathcal{O}) | RA = AR\}$$

for a given  $A$ , so that we can find all  $R \in GL_2(\mathcal{O})$  such that  $RAR^{-1} = B$ . The characterization of  $Z(A)$  is obtained by finding a fundamental unit of an order in a quadratic extension of  $F = \mathbf{Q}(i)$ ; our method generates a fundamental unit.

(3) Given  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$  over  $\mathcal{O}$ , call  $A \sim B$  *similar* if  $RAR^{-1} = B$  for some  $R \in GL_2(\mathcal{O})$ . If  $A \sim B$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  have the same characteristic polynomial  $f$  over  $\mathcal{O}$ . Given a monic quadratic polynomial  $f$  over  $\mathcal{O}$ , let  $M(f)$  denote the set of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $\mathcal{O}$  whose characteristic polynomials are equal to  $f$ . In deciding if  $A \sim B$ , we may assume that  $A$  and  $B \in M(f)$  for some  $f$ . When  $f$  is reducible over  $F$ , deciding if  $A \sim B$  is easy and we discuss it in the Appendix.

(4) Assume that  $f$  is irreducible over  $F$ . Put

$$f(t) = t^2 - qt + r, \quad \Delta = q^2 - 4r.$$

---

Received by the editors March 2, 1984.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20G30; Secondary 10A32.

©1986 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9947/86 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Given

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in M(f),$$

put  $\lambda = (q + \sqrt{\Delta})/2$ , where  $\text{Im}(\sqrt{\Delta}) > 0$  or  $\sqrt{\Delta} > 0$ . The number  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ . Put

$$\phi(A) = \alpha = (\lambda - d)/b = (a - d + \sqrt{\Delta})/2b.$$

Since  $f$  is irreducible,  $bc \neq 0$ . The column vector  $(\alpha, 1)^T$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  belonging to  $\lambda$  and  $A\alpha = \alpha$  (under the projective action of  $A$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ ).

(5) Put  $K = F(\sqrt{\Delta})$ . Given  $\xi \in K$ , let  $\xi'$  denote its conjugate over  $F$ . Given  $A \in M(f)$ , if  $\alpha = \phi(A)$  then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \alpha' \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \alpha' \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Thus the map  $\phi: M(f) \rightarrow K$  is injective (for a given  $f$ ). For any  $R \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ ,

$$RAR^{-1} = \left( R \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \alpha' \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda' \end{pmatrix} \left( R \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \alpha' \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1}.$$

Thus by injectivity of  $\phi$  on  $M(f)$ , we have  $\phi(RAR^{-1}) = R\phi(A)$ . Given  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \in K - F$ , call  $\alpha \sim \beta$  if  $R\alpha = \beta$  for some  $R \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ . From the discussion above, we see that, given  $A$  and  $B \in M(f)$ ,

$$A \sim B \quad \text{iff} \quad \phi(A) \sim \phi(B).$$

Thus the problem is transformed to this: Given  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \in K - F$ , decide if  $\alpha \sim \beta$ .

(6) Given  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \in K$ , let  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  denote the module over  $\mathcal{O}$  generated by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . In this paper, by a *module* we shall mean a finitely generated full module over  $\mathcal{O}$  contained in  $K$ . Every module is of the form  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  and  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is a basis of this module over  $\mathcal{O}$ . For example, if  $\alpha \in K - F$ , then  $\langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$  is a module. Given modules  $U$  and  $V$ , call  $U \sim V$  *similar* if  $U = \lambda V$  for some  $\lambda \in K^\times$ .

(7) Given  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \in K - F$ , put  $U = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$  and  $V = \langle \beta, 1 \rangle$ . Then

$$\alpha \sim \beta \quad \text{iff} \quad U \sim V.$$

In fact, if  $\alpha \sim \beta$ , say  $R\alpha = \beta$ ,  $R \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ , then  $R \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $\lambda \in K^\times$  and hence  $U = \lambda V$ , i.e.,  $U \sim V$ . Going backward we get the converse. Thus the problem is now transformed to the following: Given modules  $U$  and  $V$ , decide if  $U \sim V$ .

(8) Let  $U = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  be a module. An element  $\xi = x\alpha + y\beta$  of  $U$ , where it is understood that  $x$  and  $y \in \mathcal{O}$ , is called *primitive* if  $(x, y) = 1$ , i.e.,  $x$  and  $y$  are coprime. The primitiveness of an element of  $U$  does not depend on the choice of a basis  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of  $U$ . A member of a basis is primitive. It is easy to see that if  $\rho$  is a primitive element of  $U$ , then  $U = \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle$  for some  $\sigma \in U$ . A module  $U$  is called *normalized* if 1 is a primitive element of  $U$  so that  $U = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$  for some  $\alpha \in K - F$ . Given modules  $U$  and  $V$ , call  $U \equiv V$  if  $U = cV$  for some  $c \in F^\times$ .

(9) For any module  $U$ , there is a unique normalized module  $V$  such that  $U \equiv V$ .

PROOF.  $U \cap \mathcal{O}$  is a nonzero fractional ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$  and hence  $U \cap \mathcal{O} = (b)$  for some  $b \in F^\times$  and  $b$  has to be a primitive element of  $U$ . Thus  $U = \langle \alpha, b \rangle$  for

some  $\alpha$ .  $V = b^{-1}U = \langle \alpha b^{-1}, 1 \rangle$  is normalized and  $U \equiv V$ . To see the uniqueness, suppose that  $U$  and  $V$  are normalized modules such that  $U \equiv V$ , say  $U = cV$ ,  $c \in F^\times$ ,  $U = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$ , and  $V = \langle \beta, 1 \rangle$ . Then  $\langle \alpha, 1 \rangle = \langle c\alpha, c \rangle$  and hence there is an  $R \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$  such that  $R \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = c \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $c \in F$  and  $\alpha \notin F$ ,  $R$  has to be of the form

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $xc = \det R \in \mathcal{O}^\times = \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ . Thus  $c \in \mathcal{O}^\times$  and  $U = V$ .

(10) Given  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \in K - F$ , call  $\alpha \equiv \beta$  if

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \alpha = \varepsilon \alpha + c = \beta$$

for some  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^\times$  and  $c \in \mathcal{O}$ . From the proof of (9), it is clear that, given normalized modules  $U = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$  and  $V = \langle \beta, 1 \rangle$ ,

$$U = V \quad \text{iff} \quad \alpha \equiv \beta.$$

We assume that, given  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \in K - F$ , recognizing if  $\alpha \equiv \beta$  is instantaneous. For example, if

$$\alpha = (e_1 + \sqrt{\Delta})/2b_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = (e_2 + \sqrt{\Delta})/2b_2,$$

where  $e_1, b_1, e_2, b_2 \in \mathcal{O}$ , then  $\alpha \equiv \beta$  iff  $\varepsilon b_1 = b_2$  for some  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^\times$  and  $e_1 \equiv e_2 \pmod{2b_1}$ .

(11) Let  $U$  be a module. A nonzero element  $\rho$  of  $U$  is called a *convergent* of  $U$  if 0 is the only element  $\xi$  of  $U$  such that

$$|\xi| < |\rho| \quad \text{and} \quad |\xi'| < |\rho'|.$$

Note that given  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \in K$ ,  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$  iff  $|\alpha'| = |\beta'|$ . (This can be easily proved by looking at  $\gamma = \alpha/\beta$  and its complex conjugate  $\bar{\gamma}$  and their norms.) For any  $\lambda \in K^\times$ , as  $\rho$  ranges over the convergents of  $U$ ,  $\lambda\rho$  ranges over the convergents of  $\lambda U$ .

(12) Let  $U = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ . If  $\xi = x\alpha + y\beta \in U$ , then  $\xi' = x\alpha' + y\beta'$  and

$$x = (\xi\beta' - \xi'\beta)/(\alpha\beta' - \alpha'\beta) \quad \text{and} \quad y = (\alpha\xi' - \alpha'\xi)/(\alpha\beta' - \alpha'\beta).$$

Thus if  $|\xi|$  and  $|\xi'|$  are bounded, then  $|x|$  and  $|y|$  are bounded. Thus for any  $c_1$  and  $c_2 > 0$ ,  $U$  contains only a finite number of element  $\xi$  such that  $|\xi| < c_1$  and  $|\xi'| < c_2$ . This shows that there are convergents of  $U$ .

(13) Let  $\rho$  be a convergent of  $U$ . Then  $\rho$  is a primitive element of  $U$  and  $U = \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle$  for some  $\sigma$  and  $\rho^{-1}U = \langle \sigma\rho^{-1}, 1 \rangle$  is normalized. The normalized module  $\rho^{-1}U$  is called a *derived module* of  $U$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}(U)$  denote the set of all derived modules of  $U$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{D}(U) = \{\rho^{-1}U \mid \rho \text{ is a convergent of } U\}$ .

(14) If  $V \in \mathcal{D}(U)$ , then  $U \sim V$ . Thus if  $\mathcal{D}(U) \cap \mathcal{D}(V) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $U \sim V$ . Conversely, suppose  $U \sim V$ , say  $\lambda U = V$ ,  $\lambda \in K^\times$ . The relation  $\lambda\rho = \sigma$  establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the convergents  $\rho$  of  $U$  and the convergents  $\sigma$  of  $V$  and  $\rho^{-1}U = \sigma^{-1}V$ . Thus  $\mathcal{D}(U) = \mathcal{D}(V)$ . In particular, given modules  $U$  and  $V$ , either  $\mathcal{D}(U) = \mathcal{D}(V)$  or  $\mathcal{D}(U) \cap \mathcal{D}(V) = \emptyset$  according as  $U \sim V$  or not.

(15) By an argument similar to the one given in [2], we can show that  $\mathcal{D}(U)$  is a finite set for any module  $U$  and such an argument indicates how to find all members

of  $\mathcal{D}(U)$ . In this paper we shall accomplish this by means of a continued fraction algorithm, which is more efficient.

(16) Given a module  $U$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_U$  denote its coefficient ring;  $\mathcal{O}_U$  consists of  $\omega \in K$  such that  $\omega\xi \in U$  for all  $\xi \in U$ .  $\mathcal{O}_U$  is a module and  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{O}_U \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is the ring of integers of  $K$ . If  $U \sim V$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_U = \mathcal{O}_V$ . Given  $\lambda \in K^\times$ ,  $\lambda U = U$  iff  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_U^\times$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_U^\times$ , then as  $\rho$  ranges over the convergents of  $U$ , so does  $\lambda\rho$ .

(17) Given convergents  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  of  $U$ , call  $\rho \simeq \sigma$  if  $\lambda\rho = \sigma$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_U^\times$ . We have  $\rho \simeq \sigma$  iff  $\rho^{-1}U = \sigma^{-1}U$ . Thus the set  $\mathcal{D}(U)$  of derived modules of  $U$  is equivalent to the set  $\mathcal{C}(U)$  of equivalence classes (under  $\simeq$ ) of the convergents of  $U$ . Given convergents  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  of  $U$ , call  $\rho \cong \sigma$  if  $\zeta\rho = \sigma$  for some root of unity  $\zeta$  in  $\mathcal{O}_U^\times$ . A root of unity in  $\mathcal{O}_U^\times$  is usually a 4th root of unity, i.e., in  $\mathcal{O}^\times$ , but it could be an 8th root or a 12th root of unity. We assume that given convergents  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  of  $U$ , recognizing if  $\rho \cong \sigma$  is instantaneous. If  $\rho \cong \sigma$ , then  $|\rho| = |\sigma|$  (and hence  $|\rho'| = |\sigma'|$ ). But since  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are not necessarily integers, it is possible that  $\rho \not\equiv \sigma$  and  $|\rho| = |\sigma|$  (cf. Example 3 and (35)).

(18) Our main objective in the rest of the paper is to show that  $\mathcal{C}(U)$  is finite and to see how we can systematically obtain a complete set of representatives of the equivalence classes in  $\mathcal{C}(U)$ . We are going to develop a continued fraction algorithm for these purposes. We start with a simplest version. Such an algorithm has an independent interest of its own (cf. [4, pp. 181–188]).

(19) Given  $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ , let  $[\alpha]$  denote the element  $p \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $\alpha - p$  is in the square

$$-\frac{1}{2} < x \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{1}{2} < y \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

of the complex plane. Given  $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ , put  $\alpha_0 = \alpha$  and having defined  $\alpha_n$  for some  $n \geq 0$ , put  $p_n = [\alpha_n]$  and  $\alpha_{n+1} = 1/(\alpha_n - p_n)$  provided  $\alpha_n \neq p_n$ , i.e.,  $\alpha_n \notin \mathcal{O}$ . Note that  $|\alpha_n| \geq \sqrt{2}$  for  $n > 0$ . It is easily verified that  $\alpha_n \in \mathcal{O}$  for some  $n \geq 0$  iff  $\alpha \in F$ .

(20) Given  $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ , let  $p_n$  be as in (19) and put

$$P_n = \begin{pmatrix} p_n & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_0 = I \quad \text{and} \quad A_n = P_0 P_1 \cdots P_{n-1}.$$

Then we verify that

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & a_{n-1} \\ b_n & b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  are given by the recursions  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $a_1 = p_0$ ,  $a_{n+1} = a_n p_n + a_{n-1}$ ,  $b_0 = 0$ ,  $b_1 = 1$ ,  $b_{n+1} = b_n p_n + b_{n-1}$ . Since  $\det P_n = -1$ ,  $\det A_n = (-1)^n$ . In particular,  $(a_n, b_n) = 1$ .

(21) From the definition of  $\alpha_n$  and  $p_n$  in (19), we have

$$P_n^{-1} \alpha_n = \alpha_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad P_n^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \alpha_{n+1}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n+1} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$A_n^{-1} \alpha = \alpha_n \quad \text{and} \quad A_n^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = (\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By looking at the second component of the second equality above, we get that  $a_n - b_n \alpha = (-1)^n / (\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)$ . Since  $|\alpha_n| \geq \sqrt{2}$  for  $n > 0$ , it follows that  $|a_n - b_n \alpha| \leq$

$1/\sqrt{2^n}$ . In particular, for  $\alpha \notin F$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \infty.$$

(22) LEMMA. *With  $\alpha_n$  and  $b_n$  as above for  $\alpha \notin F$ ,  $|b_n/(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)| < \sqrt{2} + 1$  for all  $n > 0$ .*

PROOF. By looking at the second component of the equality

$$(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = A_n \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we get that  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n = b_n \alpha_n + b_{n-1}$ , and hence

$$|b_n/(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)| = |\alpha_n + b_{n-1}/b_n|^{-1}.$$

Call  $n > 0$  good if  $|b_n| > |b_{n-1}|$ . Since  $b_0 = 0$  and  $b_1 = 1$ ,  $n = 1$  is good. (It can be shown that all  $n > 0$  are good but we do not need this. In any case since  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ , there are infinitely many good  $n$ 's.) If  $n$  is good, then

$$|\alpha_n + b_{n-1}/b_n| \geq |\alpha_n| - |b_{n-1}/b_n| > \sqrt{2} - 1,$$

and hence

$$|b_n/(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)| < 1/(\sqrt{2} - 1) = \sqrt{2} + 1.$$

Suppose  $n$  is bad. Take the largest good  $k < n$ . Then since  $n, n-1, \dots, k+1$  are bad,  $|b_n| \leq |b_{n-1}| \leq \dots \leq |b_k|$ , and hence

$$\left| \frac{b_n}{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n} \right| = \left| \frac{b_k}{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k} \right| \left| \frac{b_n}{b_k \alpha_{k+1} \cdots \alpha_n} \right| < (\sqrt{2} + 1) \left| \frac{b_n}{b_k} \right| \leq \sqrt{2} + 1.$$

(23) THEOREM (PERIODICITY). *Given  $\alpha \in \mathbf{C} - F$ ,  $\alpha_{k+l} = \alpha_k$  for some  $k$  and  $l$  with  $l > 0$  iff  $\alpha$  is quadratic over  $F$ .*

PROOF. Suppose  $\alpha_{k+l} = \alpha_k$ ,  $l > 0$ . Then with  $A = P_k \cdots P_{k+l-1} = A_k^{-1} A_{k+l}$ ,  $\alpha_k = A \alpha_{k+l} = A \alpha_k$ . Thus  $\alpha_k$  is quadratic over  $F$ . Since  $\alpha = A_k \alpha_k$ ,  $\alpha$  is quadratic over  $F$  also.

Conversely, suppose  $\alpha$  is quadratic over  $F$ , say  $d\alpha^2 - e\alpha + c = 0$ , where  $d, e, c \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $dc \neq 0$ . Put  $C = \begin{pmatrix} e & -2c \\ 2d & e \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $C\alpha = \alpha$ . Since  $\alpha = A_n \alpha_n$ ,  $A_n^{-1} C A_n \alpha_n = \alpha_n$ . Computing

$$C_n = A_n^{-1} C A_n = \begin{pmatrix} e_n & -2c_n \\ 2d_n & -e_n \end{pmatrix}$$

modulo  $\pm I$ , we get that

$$d_n = da_n^2 - ea_n b_n + cb_n^2 \quad \text{and} \quad c_n = -d_{n-1}.$$

Put  $a_n = b_n \alpha + \delta_n$  and substitute this into the expression for  $d_n$  above. We get that  $d_n = (2d\alpha - e)b_n \delta_n + d\delta_n^2$ . By (21) and (22),  $|b_n \delta_n| < \sqrt{2} + 1$  and  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $d_n$  are bounded by a constant (depending only on  $\alpha$ ). Then so are  $c_n$ . Since  $e_n^2 - 4d_n c_n = e^2 - 4dc$ ,  $e_n$  are bounded also. Since  $d_n \alpha_n^2 - e_n \alpha_n + c_n = 0$  and  $d_n, e_n, c_n$  are bounded, we conclude that there are only a finite number of distinct  $\alpha_n$ . Thus  $\alpha_{k+l} = \alpha_k$  for some  $k$  and  $l$  with  $l > 0$  (cf. [4, p. 185] for another proof).

(24) Let  $\alpha$  be quadratic over  $F$  and suppose  $\alpha_{k+l} = \alpha_k$ ,  $l > 0$ . Then  $|\alpha_n - \alpha'_n| \geq \sqrt{2} - 1$  for all  $n \geq k$ .

PROOF. Let the notations be as in (23) and put  $\Delta = e^2 - 4dc$ . Then  $\alpha_n = (e_n + \sqrt{\Delta})/2d_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$  (with  $d_0 = d$ ,  $e_0 = e$  and  $c_0 = c$ ) and hence  $\alpha_n - \alpha'_n = \sqrt{\Delta}/d_n$ . Since  $2d\alpha - e = \sqrt{\Delta}$ ,  $d_n = \sqrt{\Delta}b_n\delta_n + d\delta_n^2$ . Since  $|b_n\delta_n| < \sqrt{2} + 1$  and  $\alpha_n = \alpha_{n+ml}$  for all  $n \geq k$  and  $m \geq 0$  and  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get that  $|d_n| \leq (\sqrt{2} + 1)|\sqrt{\Delta}|$  for all  $n \geq k$  and hence

$$|\alpha_n - \alpha'_n| = |\sqrt{\Delta}/d_n| \geq 1/(\sqrt{2} + 1) = \sqrt{2} - 1.$$

(25) Given  $\alpha \in K - F$ , put  $U = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$ . By means of the simple continued fraction algorithm developed above, we can find a unit  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_U^\times$  such that  $|\lambda| > 1$ . In fact, compute  $\alpha_n$  until we get  $\alpha_{k+l} \equiv \alpha_k$ ,  $l > 0$ , and consider  $U_n = \langle \alpha_n, 1 \rangle$ . Since  $A_n \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$  and  $A_n \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = (\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $U_n = (\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)U$ . In particular,  $U_n \sim U$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{U_n} = \mathcal{O}_U$ . Put  $\lambda = \alpha_{k+1} \cdots \alpha_{k+l}$ . Then  $|\lambda| > 1$  and  $\lambda U_k = U_{k+l} = U_k$  and hence  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_U^\times$ . (But  $\lambda$  may not be a fundamental unit of  $\mathcal{O}_U$ .)

(26) Given a module  $U$ , the norms (over  $F$ ) of the convergents of  $U$  are bounded.

PROOF. We may assume that  $U = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$ . Let  $\rho$  be a convergent of  $U$ . If  $|\rho| > 1$ , then take  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_U^\times$  such that  $|\lambda\rho| \leq 1$  (cf. (25)). Then  $\sigma = \lambda\rho$  is a convergent of  $U$  such that  $|\sigma| \leq 1$ . Since  $|N\lambda| = 1$ ,  $|N\sigma| = |N\rho|$ . Thus we may assume that  $|\rho| \leq 1$ . Let  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  be as in (20) for  $\alpha$  and consider the elements  $\xi_n = a_n - b_n\alpha$  of  $U$ . Since  $\xi_n = (-1)^n(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)^{-1}$  (cf. (21)) and  $|\alpha_n| \geq \sqrt{2}$ ,  $\xi_n$  decreases to 0. Take  $n > 0$  such that

$$|\xi_n| < |\rho| \leq |\xi_{n-1}| = |\xi_n| |\alpha_n|.$$

Since  $\xi_n \in U$  and  $\rho$  is a convergent of  $U$ ,  $|\rho'| < |\xi'_n|$ . Thus  $|N\rho| < |N\xi_n| |\alpha_n|$ . Since only a finite number of  $\alpha_n$  are distinct,  $|\alpha_n|$  are bounded. On the other hand,  $N\xi_n = (N\alpha_1 \cdots N\alpha_n)^{-1}$ . With the notations as in (23),  $d_n\alpha_n^2 - e_n\alpha_n + c_n = 0$ , and hence  $N\alpha_n = c_n/d_n$ . Since  $c_n = -d_{n-1}$ ,  $N\alpha_1 \cdots N\alpha_n = (-1)^n dd_n^{-1}$ . Thus  $N\xi_n = (-1)^n d d_n^{-1}$  and these are bounded. (A modification of the argument used in (11) of [2] gives another proof of this result via Minkowski Theorem.)

(27) Given  $c_2 > c_1 > 0$ , the number of convergents of  $U$  such that  $c_2 > |\rho| > c_1$  is finite.

PROOF. Choose  $c_0 > 0$  such that  $|N\rho| < c_0$  for all convergents  $\rho$  of  $U$  (cf. (26)). Let  $\rho$  be a convergent of  $U$  such that  $c_2 > |\rho| > c_1$ . Since  $|\rho||\rho'| < c_0$ ,  $|\rho'| < c_0|\rho|^{-1} < c_0c_1^{-1}$ . Since there are only a finite number of elements  $\xi$  of  $U$  such that  $|\xi| < c_2$  and  $|\xi'| < c_0c_1^{-1}$  (cf. (12)), we get the result.

(28) THEOREM. For every module  $U$ , the set  $\mathcal{C}(U)$  is finite (cf. (17)).

PROOF. Take  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_U^\times$  such that  $|\lambda| > 1$ . Given a convergent  $\rho$  of  $U$ , take  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|\lambda|^{n-1} < |\rho| \leq |\lambda|^n$ . Then  $|\lambda|^{-1} < |\rho\lambda^{-n}| \leq 1$ . Since  $\rho\lambda^{-n} \simeq \rho$ , we get the result by (27).

(29) We now turn to the problem of finding a complete set of representatives of the equivalence classes in  $\mathcal{C}(U)$ , where  $U = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$ . First of all, we have to find a convergent of  $U$  to get started. Let  $\alpha = (e + \sqrt{\Delta})/2b \in K$ , where  $b, e \in \mathcal{O}$ . If  $\xi = y\alpha - x \in U$ , then  $y = (b/\sqrt{\Delta})(\xi - \xi')$ . Thus if  $|\xi| < 1$  and  $|\xi'| < 1$ , then  $|y| < 2|b|/\sqrt{\Delta}$ . In particular, if  $2|b| \leq |\sqrt{\Delta}|$ , then  $y = 0$  and 1 must be a convergent of  $U$ .

(30) Suppose  $2|b|/|\sqrt{\Delta}| > 1$  but not too large (cf. (32)). Let  $y$  range, in some convenient order, over the nonzero elements of  $\mathcal{O}$  in the first quadrant (including the real axis but not the imaginary axis) such that  $|y| \leq 2|b|/|\sqrt{\Delta}|$ . For each  $y$ , choose  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $|y\alpha - x| \leq 1$  and compute  $|y\alpha' - x|$ . If  $|y\alpha' - x| \geq 1$  for all  $y$  and  $x$ , then 1 is a convergent of  $U$ .

(31) Assume that for some  $y$  as in (30), there is an  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  such that

$$|y\alpha - x| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |y\alpha' - x| < 1.$$

For each  $y$  for which such an  $x$  exists, choose  $x$  such that  $|y\alpha' - x|$  is least. There are at most two choices for such  $x$ , and if so, they differ by 1 or  $i$ , and choose the one of smaller modulus for the sake of definiteness. List the elements  $\xi = y\alpha - x$  thus chosen as  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r$  so that  $|\xi_1| \geq |\xi_2| \geq \dots \geq |\xi_r|$ . If there is an ambiguity in this order, i.e., if two or more  $\xi_i$  have the same modulus, then order them according to the given ordering of  $y$ 's. If  $|\xi'_1| \leq |\xi'_j|$  for all  $j > 1$ , then  $\xi_1$  is a convergent of  $U$ . Suppose  $|\xi'_1| > |\xi'_j|$  for some  $j > 1$ . Then take the first such  $j$  and consider the list  $\xi_j, \dots, \xi_r$  and repeat, i.e., if  $|\xi'_j| \leq |\xi'_k|$  for all  $k > j$ , then  $\xi_j$  is a convergent of  $U$ , etc.

(32) In case  $2|b|/|\sqrt{\Delta}|$  is large, the method of finding a convergent of  $U$  described in (30) and (31) is tedious and unsatisfactory. This is where the result of (24) comes to the rescue. Compute  $\alpha_n$  as in (19) until we get  $\alpha_{k+l} = \alpha_k$ ,  $l > 0$ , and consider  $\beta = \alpha_k$  and  $V = \langle \beta, 1 \rangle$ . With the notations as in (23) and (24),

$$2|d_k|/|\sqrt{\Delta}| = 2/|\beta - \beta'| \leq 2(\sqrt{2} + 1).$$

Thus we can find a convergent  $\sigma$  of  $V$  as in (29), (30) and (31) without much trouble. (It is likely that 1 is a convergent of  $V$ .) Let

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} a_k & a_{k-1} \\ b_k & b_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

be as in (20) for  $\alpha$  and put  $\gamma = a_k - b_k\alpha$ . Then  $U = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle = \langle b_{k-1}\alpha - a_{k-1}, \gamma \rangle = \gamma\langle \beta, 1 \rangle = \gamma V$ . Thus  $\gamma\sigma$  is a convergent of  $U$ .

(33) We now have a way to find a convergent  $\rho_1 = p - q\alpha$  of  $U = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$ . If  $\rho_1 = 1$ , then put  $Q_1 = I$ . In any case, find  $r$  and  $s \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $ps - qr = 1$  and put  $Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} p & r \\ q & s \end{pmatrix}$ . Although it does not matter how we find such  $r$  and  $s$ , one definite way to find them is to apply the simple continued fraction algorithm to the "rational" element  $\beta = p/q \in F^\times$ . Compute  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  as in (20) for  $\beta$ . Then we arrive at  $k \geq 0$  such that  $qa_k - pb_k = \varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ . Put  $r = \varepsilon^{-1}a_k$  and  $s = \varepsilon^{-1}b_k$ . Put  $\alpha_1 = Q_1^{-1}\alpha$  and  $U_1 = \langle \alpha_1, 1 \rangle$ . Since  $Q_1^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \rho_1\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

$$Q_1\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \rho_1^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad U_1 = \rho_1^{-1}U.$$

Since  $\rho_1$  is a convergent of  $U$ , 1 is a convergent of  $U_1$ .

(34) LEMMA. *If 1 is a convergent of  $U = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$  and  $\xi = y\alpha - x$  is a primitive element of  $U$  such that  $|\xi| \leq 1$  and  $|y| \geq 2$ , then  $U$  contains a nonzero element  $\beta$  such that  $|\beta| < 1$  and  $|\beta'| < |\xi'|$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\xi = y\alpha - x$  be a primitive element of  $U$  such that  $|\xi| \leq 1$  and  $|y| \geq 2$ . Choose  $p \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $|\alpha - p| < 1$  and  $|\alpha' - p|$  is least. Since 1 is a

convergent of  $U$ ,  $|\alpha' - p| > 1$ . Choose  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^\times$  such that  $\beta = \varepsilon(\alpha - p)$  is in the first quadrant. Consider the half-planes

$$H_1: \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad H_2: \operatorname{Im}(z) \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad H_3: \operatorname{Re}(z) + \operatorname{Im}(z) \leq 1.$$

By the choice of  $p$  we have the following implications:

If  $|\beta - 1| < 1$ , then  $\beta' \in H_1$ .

If  $|\beta - i| < 1$ , then  $\beta' \in H_2$ .

If  $|\beta - 1 - i| < 1$ , then  $\beta' \in H_3$ .

Let  $A: |z| \geq 1$ . Since  $\beta$  is in the first quadrant and  $|\beta| < 1$ , there are five cases:

(i)  $|\beta - 1| < 1$  and  $|\beta - i| < 1$ : put  $B = A \cap H_1 \cap H_2$ .

(ii)  $|\beta - 1| \geq 1$  and  $|\beta - 1 - i| < 1$ : put  $B = A \cap H_2 \cap H_3$ .

(iii)  $|\beta - 1| \geq 1$  and  $|\beta - 1 - i| \geq 1$ : put  $B = A \cap H_2$ .

(iv)  $|\beta - i| \geq 1$  and  $|\beta - 1 - i| < 1$ : put  $B = A \cap H_1 \cap H_3$ .

(v)  $|\beta - i| \geq 1$  and  $|\beta - 1 - i| \geq 1$ : put  $B = A \cap H_1$ .

Since  $\xi$  is primitive,  $(x, y) = 1$ . Since  $|\xi| \leq 1$ ,  $|\alpha - xy^{-1}| \leq |y|^{-1}$ . Put  $r = |p - xy^{-1}|$ .

The inequality

$$|z - \varepsilon p| \geq |y| |z - \varepsilon xy^{-1}| = |yz - \varepsilon x|$$

on  $z$  defines a disk  $D$  of radius  $r\sqrt{|y|}/(|y| - 1)$  with the center  $c$  on the line through  $\varepsilon p$  and  $\varepsilon xy^{-1}$  so that  $\varepsilon xy^{-1}$  is between  $\varepsilon p$  and  $c$  and  $|\varepsilon xy^{-1} - c| = r/(|y| - 1)$ . Since  $|y| \geq 2$ , in any of the five cases above, if  $B$  is defined as indicated, then we see that  $(B + \varepsilon p) \cap D = \emptyset$ . Since  $\varepsilon \alpha' \in B + \varepsilon p$ ,

$$|\beta'| = |\varepsilon \alpha' - \varepsilon p| < |y \alpha' - x| = |\xi'|.$$

(35) Although it is possible for  $U$  to have two convergents  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  such that  $|\rho| = |\sigma|$  and  $\rho \not\cong \sigma$ , if  $\rho, \sigma$  and  $\tau$  are convergents of  $U$  such that  $|\rho| = |\sigma| = |\tau|$ ,  $\rho \not\cong \sigma$  and  $\rho \not\cong \tau$ , then  $\sigma \cong \tau$ . In fact, by considering  $\rho^{-1}U$ , we may assume that  $\rho = 1$ . Let  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be convergents of  $U$  such that  $|\sigma| = |\tau| = 1$ ,  $\sigma \not\cong 1$  and  $\tau \not\cong 1$ . Put  $\sigma = y\alpha - x$ . If  $|y| \geq 2$ , then there is  $\beta \in U$  such that  $\beta \neq 0$ ,  $|\beta| < 1$  and  $|\beta'| < |\sigma'| = 1$  by (34), which contradicts that 1 is a convergent of  $U$ . Thus we may assume that  $\sigma = \alpha - x$  or  $\sigma = (1 + i)\alpha - x$ . Similarly, we may assume that  $\tau = \alpha - y$  or  $\tau = (1 + i)\alpha - y$  for some  $y \in \mathcal{O}$ . Since  $|\sigma| = |\tau| = 1$ , if  $\sigma \neq \tau$ , then we get that  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$  or  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O} + \zeta$  for some 12th root of unity  $\zeta$ . Since  $\alpha \notin \mathcal{O}$ , we get that  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are 12th roots of unity, and hence  $\sigma \cong \tau$ .

(36) Having chosen a convergent  $\rho_1$  of  $U = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$ , we are going to choose convergents  $\rho_2, \rho_3, \dots$  of  $U$  so that  $|\rho_1| \geq |\rho_2| \geq |\rho_3| \geq \dots$ ,  $\rho_n \not\cong \rho_{n+1}$  for any  $n > 0$ , at most two  $\rho_n$ 's have the same modulus, and if  $\rho$  is a convergent of  $U$  such that  $|\rho_i| \geq |\rho| > |\rho_j|$  for some  $j > i > 0$ , then  $\rho \cong \rho_n$  for some  $n$ ,  $\max\{1, i - 1\} \leq n < j$ . (The possibility that  $\rho \cong \rho_{i-1}$  occurs only if  $|\rho_{i-1}| = |\rho_i| = |\rho|$  and  $i > 1$ .)

(37) Suppose we have found convergents  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$  of  $U = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$  satisfying the conditions stated in (36). We have done so for  $n = 1$  (in which case the various conditions are vacuous). Moreover, assume that we have matrices  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$  of determinant 1 such that with  $A_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & c_n \\ b_n & d_n \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\rho_n = a_n - b_n \alpha$ . For  $n = 1$ ,  $A_1 = Q_1$ . (The meanings of  $a_n, b_n$  and  $A_n$  are now different from those in (20).) Put  $\alpha_n = A_n^{-1} \alpha$  and  $U_n = \langle \alpha_n, 1 \rangle$ . Since  $A_n^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \rho_n \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

$$A_n \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \rho_n^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad U_n = \rho_n^{-1} U.$$



Since  $\rho_n$  is a convergent of  $U$ , 1 is a convergent of  $U_n$ .

(38) To find  $\rho_{n+1}$ , choose  $p \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $|\alpha_n - p| \leq 1$  and  $|\alpha'_n - p|$  is least. There are at most two choices for such  $p$ , and if so, choose the one of smaller modulus. If  $n > 1$  and  $|\rho_n^{-1}\rho_{n-1}| = 1$ , then make sure that  $|\alpha_n - p| < 1$ . Choose  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^\times$  such that

$$\left| \alpha_n - p - \frac{\varepsilon}{1+i} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad c = \left| \alpha'_n - p - \frac{\varepsilon}{1+i} \right| \text{ is least}$$

and put

$$\sigma_{n+1} = \begin{cases} p - \alpha_n & \text{if } \sqrt{2}c \geq |\alpha'_n - p|, \\ (1+i)p + \varepsilon - (1+i)\alpha_n & \text{if } \sqrt{2}c < |\alpha'_n - p|, \end{cases}$$

and then put  $\rho_{n+1} = \rho_n \sigma_{n+1}$ .

(39) To see that  $\rho_{n+1}$  produced in (38) is a next desired convergent of  $U$ , we claim that, if  $\xi$  is a primitive element of  $U_n$  such that  $|\xi| \leq 1$  and  $|\xi'| < |\sigma'_{n+1}|$ , then  $\xi \cong 1$  or  $\xi \cong \rho_n^{-1}\rho_{n-1}$  (only if  $n > 1$  and  $|\rho_{n-1}| = |\rho_n|$ ). In fact, let  $\xi$  be such an element, say  $\xi = y\alpha_n - x$ . If  $|y| \geq 2$ , then there is  $\beta = \alpha_n - q \in U_n$  such that  $|\beta| < 1$  and  $|\beta'| < |\xi'|$  by (34). Since  $|\xi'| < |\sigma'_{n+1}| \leq |\alpha'_n - p|$ , this contradicts the choice of  $p$  in (38). Thus  $|y| < 2$ , and we may assume that  $\xi = \alpha_n - x$  or  $\xi = (1+i)\alpha_n - x$ . First suppose that  $\xi = \alpha_n - x$ . If  $|\xi| < 1$ , then  $|\xi'| \geq |\alpha'_n - p|$  by the choice of  $p$ . But since  $|\alpha'_n - p| \geq |\sigma'_{n+1}|$ , this is impossible. Thus  $|\xi| = 1$ . Suppose  $\xi \not\cong 1$ . If  $n = 1$  or  $n > 1$  and  $|\rho_{n-1}| > |\rho_n|$ , then since  $|\xi'| = 1$ ,  $\xi \cong \alpha_n - p$  by the choice of  $p$  and  $\sigma_{n+1} = \alpha_n - p$ . But since  $|\xi'| < |\sigma'_{n+1}|$ , this is impossible. Thus  $n > 1$  and  $|\rho_{n-1}| = |\rho_n|$ . Then since  $|\rho_n^{-1}\rho_{n-1}| = |\xi| = 1$  and  $\rho_n^{-1}\rho_{n-1} \not\cong 1$ ,  $\xi \cong \rho_n^{-1}\rho_{n-1}$  by (35). On the other hand, if  $\xi = (1+i)\alpha_n - x$ , then it contradicts the choice of  $\varepsilon$  in (38). This proves the claim.

(40) Let  $\xi$  be any element of  $U_n$  such that  $|\xi| < |\sigma_{n+1}|$  and  $|\xi'| < |\sigma'_{n+1}|$ . If  $\xi \neq 0$ , then we may assume that  $\xi$  is primitive. Since  $|\sigma_{n+1}| \leq 1$ ,  $|\xi| = 1$  by (39), which is absurd. Thus  $\xi = 0$  and  $\sigma_{n+1}$  is a convergent of  $U_n$  and hence  $\rho_{n+1}$  is a convergent of  $U$ . Clearly,  $|\rho_n| \geq |\rho_{n+1}|$ . Since  $1 \not\cong \sigma_{n+1}$ ,  $\rho_n \not\cong \rho_{n+1}$ . If  $n > 1$  and  $|\rho_{n-1}| = |\rho_n|$ , then  $1 > |\sigma_{n+1}|$  and  $|\rho_n| > |\rho_{n+1}|$ . Let  $\rho$  be a convergent of  $U$  such that  $|\rho_i| \geq |\rho| > |\rho_j|$ ,  $0 < i < j \leq n+1$ . To see  $\rho \cong \rho_k$  for some  $k$ ,  $\max\{1, i-1\} \leq k < j$ , we may assume that  $|\rho_n| \geq |\rho| > |\rho_{n+1}|$ . Then  $\xi = \rho_n^{-1}\rho$  is a convergent of  $U_n$  such that  $1 \geq |\xi| > |\sigma_{n+1}|$ . Since  $\xi$  is a convergent,  $|\xi'| < |\sigma'_{n+1}|$ . Thus by (39),  $\xi \cong 1$  or  $\xi \cong \rho_n^{-1}\rho_{n-1}$ , and hence  $\rho \cong \rho_n$  or  $\rho \cong \rho_{n-1}$ . This completes the proof that  $\rho_{n+1}$  is a next desired convergent of  $U$ .

(41) Put

$$Q_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} p & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} p & r \\ 1+i & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

according as  $\sigma_{n+1} = p - \alpha_n$  or  $p - (1+i)\alpha_n$ , where  $r = (p-1)/(1+i)$ . Note that in the second case, since  $(p, 1+i) = 1$ ,  $1+i$  divides  $p-1$  and  $r \in \mathcal{O}$ . In either case,  $\det Q_{n+1} = 1$ . ( $Q_{n+1}$  is rarely of the second type.) Put

$$A_{n+1} = A_n Q_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{n+1} = Q_{n+1}^{-1} \alpha_n = A_{n+1}^{-1} \alpha.$$

Since  $Q_{n+1}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n+1} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

$$A_{n+1}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = Q_{n+1}^{-1} A_n^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \rho_n Q_{n+1}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \rho_{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n+1} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence  $\rho_{n+1} = a_{n+1} - b_{n+1}\alpha$ .

(42) We now have a way to generate a sequence of convergents  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \dots$  of  $U = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$  satisfying the conditions stated in (36). Moreover, corresponding to these convergents, we have matrices  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, \dots \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$  of determinant 1 such that, for each  $n > 0$ , if

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & c_n \\ b_n & d_n \end{pmatrix} = Q_1 \cdots Q_n,$$

then  $\rho_n = a_n - b_n \alpha$  so that, with  $\alpha_n = A_n^{-1} \alpha$ ,  $A_n \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \rho_n^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $U_n = \langle \alpha_n, 1 \rangle = \rho_n^{-1} U \in \mathcal{D}(U)$ .

(43) Since  $\mathcal{D}(U)$  is finite by (28),  $U_{l+1} = U_k$  for some  $l \geq k > 0$ . Let  $l$  be the least such integer. Then we claim that  $k = 1$ . In fact, put  $\lambda = \rho_k \rho_{l+1}^{-1}$ . Since  $\rho_k^{-1} U = U_k = U_{l+1} = \rho_{l+1}^{-1} U$ ,  $U = \lambda U$  and  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_U^\times$ . Since  $|\rho_k| \geq |\rho_{l+1}|$ ,  $|\lambda| \geq 1$ . Since  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , if  $|\lambda| = 1$ , then  $\lambda$  is a root of unity and  $\rho_k \cong \rho_{l+1}$ . Thus  $|\lambda| > 1$ . Given a convergent  $\rho$  of  $U$ , choose  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $|\rho_k| |\lambda|^n \geq |\rho| > |\rho_k| |\lambda|^{n-1}$ . Then

$$|\rho_k| \geq |\rho \lambda^{-n}| > |\rho_k \lambda^{-1}| = |\rho_{l+1}|.$$

Thus  $\sigma = \rho \lambda^{-n}$  is a convergent of  $U$  such that  $|\rho_k| \geq |\sigma| > |\rho_{l+1}|$ , and hence  $\rho = \sigma \cong \rho_n$  for some  $n$ ,  $\max\{1, k-1\} \leq n \leq l$ . In particular, taking  $\rho = \rho_1$ , we get that  $\rho_1 = \rho_n$ , and hence  $U_1 = U_n$  for some  $n \leq l$ . Thus  $n = k = 1$  by the choice of  $l$ .

(44) Let  $l$  be the least integer  $> 0$  such that  $U_{l+1} = U_1$ , or equivalently  $\rho_{l+1} \simeq \rho_1$  or  $\alpha_{l+1} \equiv \alpha_1$ . In choosing a convergent  $\sigma_{l+2}$  of  $U_{l+1}$  to get  $\rho_{l+2}$ , since  $U_{l+1} = U_1$ , it would be nice if we can choose  $\sigma_{l+2} = \sigma_2$  so that the sequence  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \dots$  looks like

$$\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l, \lambda \rho_1, \dots, \lambda \rho_l, \lambda^2 \rho_1, \dots,$$

where  $\lambda = \rho_{l+1} \rho_1^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_U^\times$ . If  $|\rho_l| > |\rho_{l+1}|$  or  $1 > |\sigma_2|$ , then we can choose  $\sigma_{l+2} = \sigma_2$ . But suppose  $|\rho_l| = |\rho_{l+1}|$  and  $1 = |\sigma_2|$ . Then  $\sigma_2 \cong \rho_{l+1}^{-1} \rho_l$  by (35) and we cannot choose  $\sigma_2$  as  $\sigma_{l+2}$ . This anomaly can be easily remedied by skipping  $\rho_l$ . This amounts to taking  $\sigma_l \sigma_{l+1}$  as  $\sigma_l$ ,  $Q_l Q_{l+1}$  as  $Q_l$  and  $l-1$  as  $l$ . If this is done, then we can take  $\sigma_2$  as  $\sigma_{l+2}$ . This situation is well illustrated by Example 3 in the Appendix. The least integer  $l > 0$  such that  $U_{l+1} = U_1$  (after the adjustment above if applicable) is called the *period* of  $U$  or of  $\alpha$ . From the discussion in (43), we get the following theorem.

(45) THEOREM. *If  $l$  is the period of  $U$ , then  $\{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l\}$  is a complete set of representatives of the equivalence classes in  $\mathcal{C}(U)$  or equivalently*

$$\mathcal{D}(U) = \{U_1, \dots, U_l\}, \quad U_n = \langle \alpha_n, 1 \rangle.$$

(46) THEOREM. *If  $l$  is the period of  $U$ , then  $\lambda_0 = \rho_{l+1} \rho_1^{-1}$  is a fundamental unit of  $\mathcal{O}_U^\times$ , i.e., every  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_U^\times$  is uniquely of the form  $\lambda = \lambda_0^n \zeta$ , where  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\zeta$  is a root of unity.*

PROOF. Given  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_U^\times$ , choose  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that

$$|\rho_1| \geq |\rho_1 \lambda \lambda_0^{-n}| > |\rho_1| |\lambda|^{-1} = |\rho_{l+1}|.$$

Since  $\rho_1 \lambda \lambda_0^{-1} \simeq \rho_1$ ,  $\rho_1 \lambda \lambda_0^{-n} \cong \rho_1$  and  $\lambda \lambda_0^{-n} = \zeta$  is a root of unity. The uniqueness is clear.

(47) Here is a summary of the procedure for deciding if  $A \sim B$  for given  $A$  and  $B \in M(f)$ . First compute  $\alpha = \phi(A)$  and  $\alpha_n$  as in (33) and (42) until we get  $\alpha_{l+1} \equiv \alpha_1$  for the first time. Next compute  $\beta = \phi(B)$  and  $\beta_1$  for  $\beta$  as in (33). Then  $A \sim B$  iff  $\beta_1 \equiv \alpha_n$  for some  $n$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq l$ .

(48) Given  $A$  and  $B \in M(f)$ , suppose that  $A \sim B$  so that  $\beta_1 \equiv \alpha_n$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq l$ , as in (47), say  $\beta_1 = \varepsilon \alpha_n + c$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ ,  $c \in \mathcal{O}$ . Compute  $A_n$  for  $\alpha = \phi(A)$  and  $B_1 (= Q_1)$  for  $\beta = \phi(B)$  and put

$$R_1 = B_1 \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A_n^{-1}.$$

Then  $R_1 \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$  and  $R_1 \alpha = \beta$ , and hence  $R_1 A R_1^{-1} = B$ .

(49) Given  $A$ , put  $Z(A) = \{R \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}) \mid RA = AR\}$ , the centralizer of  $A$  in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ .  $Z(A)$  is a subgroup of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ . If  $R_1 A R_1^{-1} = B$ , then the coset  $R_1 Z(A)$  consists of those  $R \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$  such that  $R A R^{-1} = B$ .

(50) If  $\alpha = \phi(A)$  and  $U = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$ , then  $Z(A)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_U^\times$ .

PROOF. Let  $R \in Z(A)$ . Since  $R\alpha = \alpha$ ,  $R \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $\lambda \in K^\times$ . Then  $U = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle = \langle \lambda \alpha, \lambda \rangle = \lambda U$  and hence  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_U^\times$ . This defines a map  $R \mapsto \lambda: Z(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U^\times$ , and it is clear that it is a homomorphism. Suppose  $\lambda = 1$  for the image  $\lambda$  of  $R \in Z(A)$ . Then  $R \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \alpha' \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \alpha' \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and hence  $R = I$ . Thus the map is injective. Let  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_U^\times$ . Then  $U = \lambda U = \langle \lambda \alpha, \lambda \rangle$ , and hence there is an  $R \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$  such that  $R \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $R\alpha = \alpha$  and  $R \in Z(A)$ . Thus the map  $R \mapsto \lambda$  is onto  $\mathcal{O}_U^\times$ .

(51) Let  $l$  be the period of  $\alpha = \phi(A)$ , say  $\alpha_{l+1} = \varepsilon \alpha_1 + c$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ ,  $c \in \mathcal{O}$ . Put  $R_0 = A_{l+1} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A_1^{-1}$ . Then  $R_0 \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$  and

$$R_0 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \rho_1^{-1} A_{l+1} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \rho_1^{-1} A_{l+1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{l+1} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \rho_1^{-1} \rho_{l+1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_0 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\lambda_0$ , together with a root of unity  $\zeta$ , generates  $\mathcal{O}_U^\times$  by (46), in view of (50),  $R_0$  together with an element of order 4, 8 or 12 corresponding to  $\zeta$ , generates  $Z(A)$ .

(52) As a final remark, let us apply our method to find a fundamental unit of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $K = F(\sqrt{\Delta})$ , where  $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $\Delta \neq 0, \pm 1$ ,  $\Delta$  is square-free in  $\mathcal{O}$ . Put  $\pi = 1 - i$  and

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\Delta} & \text{if } \Delta \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi} \text{ or } \Delta \equiv \pm i \pmod{2}, \\ (1 + \sqrt{\Delta})/\pi & \text{if } \Delta \equiv \pm 1 + 2i \pmod{4}, \\ (1 + \sqrt{\Delta})/2 & \text{if } \Delta \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ (1 + \sqrt{-\Delta})/2 & \text{if } \Delta \equiv -1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\mathcal{O}_K = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$ . The proof of this is a straightforward exercise and is left to the reader. Clearly  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is the coefficient ring of the module  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Thus by finding the convergents of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  we get a fundamental unit of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  via (46).

#### Appendix. Reducible case.

(1) We shall summarize the results for the case when the characteristic polynomial  $f$  is reducible over  $F$ . Since the proofs are straightforward, we shall omit them. Put  $f(t) = (t - e_1)(t - e_2)$ , where  $e_1$  and  $e_2 \in \mathcal{O}$ .

(2) Given  $A \in M(f)$ , we can find  $R \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$  such that

$$RAR^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & a \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a$  is in the first quadrant (including the real axis but not the imaginary axis).

(3) Suppose  $e_1 = e_2 = e$ . If  $a$  and  $b$  are in the first quadrant and  $\begin{pmatrix} e & a \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} e & b \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $a = b$ .

(4) Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} e & a \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix}$ . If  $a = 0$ , then  $Z(A) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ . If  $a \neq 0$ , then  $Z(A)$  is generated by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$ .

(5) Assume  $e_1 \neq e_2$ . Put  $e = e_1 - e_2$ . Given  $a \in \mathcal{O}$ , we can find  $R \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$  such that

$$R \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & a \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix} R^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & r \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where (i)  $r = 0$ , (ii)  $r/e = (1+i)/2$  or (iii)  $0 < \mathrm{Re}(r/e) \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $0 \leq \mathrm{Im}(r/e) < \frac{1}{2}$ .

(6) If  $a/e$  and  $b/e$  are in the quarter square in the sense of (5) for  $r/e$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1 & a \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & b \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $a = b$ .

(7) Let  $a/e$  be as in (6) and  $A = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & a \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $Z(A)$  is generated by

- (i)  $\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  if  $a/e = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  if  $a/e = 1/2$ ,
- (iii)  $\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$  if  $a/e = (1+i)/2$ ,
- (iv)  $\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$  otherwise.

EXAMPLE 1.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 16 + 33i & 17 + 67i \\ 11 + 14i & 15 + 30i \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 26 + 61i & 1 + 51i \\ 7 & 5 + 2i \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  and  $B$  is  $f(t) = t^2 - (31 + 63i)t + 1$  and its discriminant is  $\Delta = -3012 + 3906i$ .

$$\alpha = \phi(A) = \frac{1 + 3i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(11 + 14i)}, \quad \beta = \phi(B) = \frac{21 + 59i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(7)}.$$

Computing  $Q_n$  and  $\alpha_n = Q_n^{-1} \alpha_{n-1}$  for  $\alpha$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= I, & \alpha_1 &= \alpha, \\ Q_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \alpha_2 &= \frac{43 + 53i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(25 - 17i)}, \\ Q_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 2i & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \alpha_3 &= \frac{25 + 47i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(17 - 4i)}, \\ Q_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 3i & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \alpha_4 &= \frac{-1 + 55i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(13 - 56i)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \alpha_5 &= \frac{-25 + 57i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(29 - 5i)}, \\
Q_6 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 + 2i & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \alpha_6 &= \frac{-13 + 69i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(-5 - 20i)}, \\
Q_7 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \alpha_7 &= \frac{23 + 31i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(11 + 14i)} \equiv \alpha_1.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $\beta_1 = \beta$  and this is not  $\equiv$  to any  $\alpha_n$ . Thus  $A \not\sim B$ . Note that computation gives

$$Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 + 8i & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{35 + 53i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(51 - 7i)}$$

for  $\beta$  and the next convergent of  $\langle \beta_2, 1 \rangle$  after 1 is  $(1 + i)\beta_2 - i$  (cf. (38) and (41)).

EXAMPLE 2.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 16 + 33i & 17 + 67i \\ 11 + 14i & 15 + 30i \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 72 + 85i & -5 - 29i \\ 176 - 7i & -41 - 22i \end{pmatrix}.$$

This  $A$  is the same as in Example 1 and the characteristic polynomial of  $B$  is the same as that of  $A$ .

$$\beta = \phi(B) = \frac{113 + 107i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(176 - 7i)}, \quad B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta_1 = \frac{-113 - 107i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(5 + 29i)}$$

for  $\beta$ , and we recognize that  $\beta_1 \equiv \alpha_5$ , in fact,  $\beta = -i\alpha_5 + (-3 + i)$ . Thus  $A \sim B$ . Now compute (cf. (42))

$$\begin{aligned}
A_5 &= Q_1 Q_2 Q_3 Q_4 Q_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 15 - i & 14 + 3i \\ 7 - 2i & 7 \end{pmatrix}, \\
R_1 &= B_1 \begin{pmatrix} -i & -3 + i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A_5^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 - 2i & -15 + i \\ 19 - 20i & -47 + 32i \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have  $R_1 A R_1^{-1} = B$ . Noting  $\alpha_7 = \alpha_1 + 1$ , we compute (cf. (51))

$$\begin{aligned}
A_7 &= \begin{pmatrix} -16 - 33i & -1 - 34i \\ -11 - 14i & -4 - 16i \end{pmatrix}, \\
R_0 &= A_7 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -16 - 33i & -17 - 67i \\ -11 - 14i & -15 - 30i \end{pmatrix} = -A.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $Z(A)$  is generated by  $A$  and  $iI$  and we get all  $R \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$  such that  $RAR^{-1} = B$ . In view of (50), the eigenvalue of  $A$ ,  $\lambda = (31 + 63i + \sqrt{\Delta})/2$ , is a fundamental unit of  $\mathcal{O}_U$ , where  $U = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$ .

EXAMPLE 3.

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 4i & -5i \\ 2 + 4i & -3 - i \end{pmatrix}, \\
f(t) &= t^2 - (-2 + 3i)t + (-19 - 3i), \quad \Delta = 71,
\end{aligned}$$

$$Q_1 = I, \quad \alpha_1 = \alpha = \frac{4 + 5i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(2 + 4i)}, \quad A_1 = I.$$

$\sigma = \alpha_1 - 1$  is a convergent of  $U_1$  such that  $|\sigma| = 1$  and  $\sigma \not\equiv 1$ . Take  $\sigma_2 = \sigma$  (cf. (38)).

$$Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{3i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(-2 + 4i)}, \quad A_2 = Q_2.$$

$\sigma = \alpha_2$  is a convergent of  $U_2$  such that  $|\sigma| = 1$  and  $\sigma \not\equiv 1$ . But since  $\sigma_2^{-1} = -\sigma$ ,  $\sigma_3 \neq \sigma$ .

$$Q_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -i & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{8 + i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2}, \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 - i & -1 \\ -i & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_4 = \frac{8 - i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(-2 - 4i)}, \quad A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} -9 - 8i & 1 + i \\ -1 - 8i & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\sigma = \alpha_4 - i$  is a convergent of  $U_4$  such that  $|\sigma| = 1$  and  $\sigma \not\equiv 1$ . Take  $\sigma_5 = \sigma$ .

$$Q_5 = \begin{pmatrix} i & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_5 = \frac{-3i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(2 - 4i)}, \quad A_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 9 - 8i & 9 + 8i \\ 8 & 1 + 8i \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\sigma = \alpha_5$  is a convergent of  $U_5$  such that  $|\sigma| = 1$  and  $\sigma \not\equiv 1$ . But since  $\sigma_5^{-1} = -\sigma$ ,  $\sigma_6 \neq \sigma$ ,

$$Q_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_6 = \frac{4 - 5i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(-5i)}, \quad A_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 18 & -9 + 8i \\ 9 + 8i & -8 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q_7 = \begin{pmatrix} i & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_7 = \frac{6 + 5i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(-3 - 3i)}, \quad A_7 = \begin{pmatrix} -9 + 26i & -18 \\ -16 + 9i & -9 - 8i \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\sigma = \alpha_7 + 1$  is a convergent of  $U_7$  such that  $|\sigma| = 1$  and  $\sigma \not\equiv 1$ . Take  $\sigma_8 = \sigma$ .

$$Q_8 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_8 = \frac{i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(3 - 3i)}, \quad A_8 = \begin{pmatrix} -9 - 26i & 9 - 26i \\ 7 - 17i & 16 - 9i \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\sigma = \alpha_8$  is a convergent of  $U_8$  such that  $|\sigma| = 1$  and  $\sigma \not\equiv 1$ . But since  $\sigma_8^{-1} = -\sigma$ ,  $\sigma_9 \neq \sigma$ .

$$Q_9 = \begin{pmatrix} i & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_9 = \frac{6 + 5i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(-5)}, \quad A_9 = \begin{pmatrix} 35 - 35i & 9 + 26i \\ 33 - 2i & -7 + 17i \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q_{10} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_{10} = \frac{4 - 5i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(4 + 2i)}, \quad A_{10} = \begin{pmatrix} -26 + 61i & -35 + 35i \\ -40 + 19i & -33 + 2i \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\sigma = \alpha_{10} + i$  is a convergent of  $U_{10}$  such that  $|\sigma| = 1$  and  $\sigma \not\equiv 1$ . Take  $\sigma_{11} = \sigma$ .

$$Q_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} -i & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_{11} = \frac{-3i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(-4 + 2i)}, \quad A_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 26 + 61i & 26 - 61i \\ -14 + 42i & 40 - 19i \end{pmatrix}.$$

We note that  $\alpha_{11} \equiv \alpha_1$ ;  $\alpha_{11} = -i\alpha_1 + i$ . Since  $|\sigma_{11}| = 1$  and  $|\sigma_2| = 1$ ,  $\sigma_2 \cong \sigma_{11}^{-1}$  (cf. (44)). Thus we take  $\sigma_{10}\sigma_{11}$  as  $\sigma_{10}$  and take  $Q_{10}Q_{11}$  as  $Q_{10}$ :

$$Q_{10} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -i & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1+i & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_{10} = \frac{-3i + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(-4+2i)},$$

$$A_{10} = \begin{pmatrix} 26+61i & 26-61i \\ -14+42i & 40-19i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\alpha_{10} = -i\alpha_1 + i$ ,

$$R_0 = A_{10} \begin{pmatrix} -i & i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 61-26i & -35-35i \\ 42+14i & -2-33i \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $Z(A)$  is generated by  $R_0$  and  $iI$ .

EXAMPLE 4.  $\Delta = 71$ ,  $K = F(\sqrt{71})$ . Since  $71 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ , with  $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{-71})/2$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_K = \langle \alpha, 1 \rangle$  (cf. (52)). Compute  $Q_n$ ,  $\alpha_n$  and  $A_n$ . (In this computation, we encounter convergents  $\sigma$  of some  $U_n$  such that  $|\sigma| = 1$  and  $\sigma \neq 1$ .)

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= I, & \alpha_1 &= \alpha, & A_1 &= I, \\ Q_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 4i & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \alpha_2 &= \frac{-1+8i+\sqrt{-71}}{2(2-4i)}, & A_2 &= Q_2, \\ Q_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \alpha_3 &= \frac{-3+\sqrt{-71}}{2(2+4i)}, & A_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1-4i & -4i \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} i & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \alpha_4 &= \frac{-5+4i+\sqrt{-71}}{2(-5i)}, & A_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 4-5i & 1+4i \\ -1-i & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \alpha_5 &= \frac{5+6i+\sqrt{-71}}{2(-3+3i)}, & A_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} -3+9i & -4+5i \\ 2+i & 1+i \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q_6 &= \begin{pmatrix} -i & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \alpha_6 &= \frac{1+\sqrt{-71}}{2(-3-3i)}, & A_6 &= \begin{pmatrix} 5+8i & 3-9i \\ 2-i & -2-i \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q_7 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \alpha_7 &= \frac{5+6i+\sqrt{-71}}{2(-5)}, & A_7 &= \begin{pmatrix} -2-17i & -5-8i \\ -4 & -2+i \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q_8 &= \begin{pmatrix} -i & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \alpha_8 &= \frac{-5+4i+\sqrt{-71}}{2(-4+2i)}, & A_8 &= \begin{pmatrix} -22-6i & 2+17i \\ -2+5i & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q_9 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \alpha_9 &= \frac{-3+\sqrt{-71}}{2(-4-2i)}, & A_9 &= \begin{pmatrix} -20+11i & 22+6i \\ 2+5i & 2-5i \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q_{10} &= \begin{pmatrix} -i & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \alpha_{10} &= \frac{-1+8i+\sqrt{-71}}{2(i)}, & A_{10} &= \begin{pmatrix} 33+26i & 20-11i \\ 7-7i & -2-5i \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$\alpha_{10} \equiv \alpha_1$ ;  $\alpha_{10} = -i\alpha_1 + (4+i)$ . Since  $\rho_1 = 1$ ,  $\rho_{10} = (33+26i) - (7-7i)\alpha$  is a fundamental unit of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  (cf. (46)).

## REFERENCES

1. H. Appelgate and H. Onishi, *Continued fractions and the conjugacy problem in  $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$* , Comm. Algebra **9** (1981), 1121–1130.
2. ———, *Periodic expansion of modules and its relation to units*, J. Number Theory **15** (1982), 283–294.
3. F. Grunewald, *Solution of the conjugacy problem in certain arithmetic groups*, Word Problems. II (S. Adjan, W. Boone and G. Higman, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.
4. O. Perron, *Die Lehre den Kettenbrüchen*. Band I, Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Stuttgart, 1954.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CITY COLLEGE OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10031