EXPLOSION PROBLEMS FOR SYMMETRIC DIFFUSION PROCESSES

KANJI ICHIHARA

ABSTRACT. We discuss the explosion problem for a symmetric diffusion process. Hasminskii's idea cannot be applied to this case. Instead, the theory of Dirichlet forms is employed to obtain criteria for conservativeness and explosion of the process. The fundamental criteria are given in terms of the α -equilibrium potentials and α -capacities of the unit ball centered at the origin. They are applied to obtain sufficient conditions on the coefficients of the infinitesimal generator for conservativeness and explosion.

1. Introduction. Let (X_t, ς, P_x) , $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, be a diffusion process on the Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^n , where ς is the lifetime of X_t , i.e. $\lim_{t\to\varsigma(w)} X_t(w) = \infty$ if $\varsigma(w) < +\infty$.

It is very important from the viewpoint of applications to see whether the process X_t is conservative or explosive. Such conditions for one-dimensional diffusion processes have been established by Feller [1] in connection with the classification of boundary points. His conditions are given in terms of the scale and speed measures. In multidimensional cases, Hasminskii [4] has obtained sufficient conditions for conservativeness and explosion of diffusion processes which are constructed by means of Itô's stochastic differential equations (see also McKean [11]).

In this paper we shall investigate these properties for symmetric diffusion processes. Hasminskii's idea is not applicable to our cases because the infinitesimal generator of our process cannot necessarily be expressed in the following form:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}.$$

However, we can make use of the theory of Dirichlet forms in order to get conditions for conservativeness and explosion. In §2, we shall prove a few general criteria for these properties. The criteria are stated in terms of the $\alpha(\geq 0)$ -equilibrium potentials and α -capacities of the unit ball centered at the origin. In §§3 and 4, these criteria are applied to obtain conditions on coefficients for conservativeness and explosion. §3 is devoted to the study of diffusions associated with strictly elliptic differential operators of selfadjoint form with measurable coefficients. Timechanged processes and processes with singular energy measures will be treated in §4.

The main results for diffusions associated with differential operators are summarized in the previous note [7].

Received by the editors August 20, 1985. 1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 60J60. **2. Fundamental criteria.** Let m be a positive Radon measure on \mathbf{R}^n strictly positive for each nonempty open set. $(\ ,\)$ denotes the inner product in the real L^2 -space $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n,m)$. Let $\nu_{ij},\ i,j=1,\ldots,n$, be Radon measures on \mathbf{R}^n such that for any $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and any compact set $K \subset R^n$,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \nu_{ij}(K) \geq 0, \qquad \nu_{ij}(K) = \nu_{ji}(K), \ i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Define a nonnegative definite symmetric bilinear form \mathcal{E} by

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \nu_{ij}(dx), \qquad u,v \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n),$$

where $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is the set of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support. The bilinear form defined above is called a symmetric form on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n, m)$. Throughout this section, we assume that the symmetric form \mathcal{E} on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n, m)$ is closable. Thus the smallest closed extension $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ of \mathcal{E} is a regular Dirichlet form. Consequently, there exists an m-symmetric diffusion process $(X_t, \varsigma, P_x), x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, whose Dirichlet form is the given one $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$, where ς denotes the lifetime of the process X_t .

Note that the diffusion is unique up to the equivalence. See Fukushima [2] for the details on existence and uniqueness of symmetric diffusions.

Let $G_{\alpha}(x, dy)$ be the α -order Green measure defined by

$$G_{\alpha}(x, dy) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha t} P(t, x, dy) dt$$

where P(t, x, dy) is the transition probability of X_t . We now introduce the following conditions (H.1) and (H.2).

(H.1) $G_{\alpha}(x,\cdot), x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, are equivalent to each other.

(H.2) The functions $E_x[e^{-\alpha \varsigma}]$, $\alpha > 0$, and $P_x[\varsigma < +\infty]$ are continuous in \mathbb{R}^n .

Let B_n denote the closed unit ball centered at the origin, i.e., $B_n = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n | |x| \le 1\}$. Let us introduce the first hitting time τ_0 of B_n by X_t , i.e.

$$\tau_0 = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \inf\{t \geq 0 | \ |X_t| \leq 1 \} & \text{if such t exists,} \\ + \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

The α -equilibrium potential $e_{\alpha}(x)$ is defined by

$$e_{lpha}(x) = \left\{ egin{aligned} E_x[e^{-lpha au_0}], & lpha > 0, \ P_x[au_0 < arsigma], & lpha = 0, \end{aligned}
ight.$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Set

$$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(u,v) = \mathcal{E}(u,v) + \alpha(u,v), \qquad \alpha \geq 0,$$

and denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with respect to the norm \mathcal{E}_{α} . It is well known that $(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{E}_{\alpha})$ for $\alpha > 0$ is a Hilbert space and that $(\mathcal{F}_{0}, \mathcal{E}_{0})$ is a Hilbert space if and only if X_t is transient. See Fukushima [2, Chapter 1]. Let us now define the α -capacity $C_{\alpha}(B_n)$ of the unit ball B_n as follows. For $\alpha > 0$,

$$C_{lpha}(B_n) = \inf_{\substack{u \in \mathcal{F}_{lpha} \ u \geq 1, \ m ext{-a.e. on } B_n}} \mathcal{E}_0(u,u)$$

and for $\alpha = 0$ and X_t transient,

$$C_0(B_n) = \inf_{\substack{u \in \mathcal{F}_0 \ u \ge 1, \ m_{\text{-a.e. on }} B_n}} \mathcal{E}_0(u, u).$$

We have the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1 (FUKUSHIMA [2]). For each $\alpha > 0$, $e_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ and

$$C_{\alpha}(B_n) = \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(e_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}).$$

Moreover, if X_t is transient, $e_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0$ and

$$C_0(B_n) = \mathcal{E}_0(e_0, e_0).$$

REMARK 2.1. A simple variational technique shows that, under the same conditions as in Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(e_{\alpha},u) \geq 0$ for any $u \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ with $u \geq 0$, m-a.e. on B_n . In particular, $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(e_{\alpha},u) = 0$ for any $u \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ with u = 0, m-a.e. on B_n .

We are now in a position to state fundamental criteria for conservativeness and explosion of the diffusion process X_t .

THEOREM A. Suppose the hypothesis (H.1) is satisfied. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) X_t is conservative, i.e. $P[\zeta = +\infty] = 1$ on \mathbb{R}^n .
- (2) For some $\alpha > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha e_{\alpha}(x) m(dx) = C_{\alpha}(B_n).$$

(3) For all $\alpha > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha e_{\alpha}(x) m(dx) = C_{\alpha}(B_n).$$

THEOREM B. Suppose the hypothesis (H.1) is satisfied. If X_t is transient and if

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e_0(x) m(dx) < +\infty,$$

then the explosion of the process X_t is sure, i.e.

$$P_{\cdot}[\zeta < +\infty] = 1$$
 on \mathbf{R}^n

If, in addition, (H.2) is satisfied, we obtain a more precise result.

THEOREM C. Suppose the hypotheses (H.1) and (H.2) are satisfied. If X_t is transient, then the limit of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \alpha e_{\alpha}(x) m(dx)$ as $\alpha \downarrow 0$ exists and

$$\lim_{\alpha\downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha e_\alpha(x) m(dx) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} = C_0(B_n), & \text{when } P \cdot [\varsigma = +\infty] - 1 \text{ on } \mathbf{R}^n, \\ \in (0, C_0(B_n)), & \text{when } 0 < P \cdot [\varsigma = +\infty] < 1 \text{ on } \mathbf{R}^n, \\ = 0, & \text{when } P \cdot [\varsigma = +\infty] = 0 \text{ on } \mathbf{R}^n. \end{array} \right.$$

The following proposition is a simple consequence of Theorem B.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Suppose the hypothesis (H.1) is satisfied. If X_t is transient and if the total mass of the speed measure is finite, i.e. $m(\mathbf{R}^n) < +\infty$, then the explosion of the process X_t is sure.

In the proofs of the fundamental criteria for conservativeness and explosion, the following lemma plays an important role.

LEMMA 2.2 (FUKUSHIMA [2]). (1) For each $\alpha > 0$, there exists a unique finite measure μ_{α} with its support in B_n such that

$$\mathcal{E}_{lpha}(e_{lpha},u)=\int_{B_{oldsymbol{\pi}}} ilde{u}(x)\mu_{lpha}(dx), \qquad u\in\mathcal{F}_{lpha}.$$

(2) For $\alpha = 0$ and X_t transient, there exists a unique finite measure μ_0 with its support in ∂B_n , the boundary of B_n , such that

$$\mathcal{E}_0(e_0,u)=\int_{\partial B_{oldsymbol{\pi}}} ilde{u}(x)\mu_0(dx), \qquad u\in\mathcal{F}_0.$$

Here \tilde{u} denotes any quasicontinuous modification of $u \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$.

REMARK 2.2. The finite measure μ_{α} in the above lemma is called the α -equilibrium measure of B_n . The total mass of the α -equilibrium measure is equal to the α -capacity of B_n , i.e.

$$\mu_{\alpha}(B_n) = C_{\alpha}(B_n), \quad \alpha > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_0(\partial B_n) = C_0(B_n).$$

PROOF OF THEOREM A. Let f be an infinitely differentiable function with compact support. Define the α -order Green operator G_{α} by

$$G_{\alpha}f(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} G_{\alpha}(x, dy) f(y).$$

Note that $G_{\alpha}f$ is quasicontinuous. See Theorem 4.3.3, Fukushima [2]. Then

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_{\alpha}} \alpha e_{\alpha}(x) f(x) m(dx) = \alpha(e_{\alpha}, f) = \alpha \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(e_{\alpha}, G_{\alpha}f).$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 to $u = G_{\alpha}f$, we obtain

(2.1)
$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha e_{\alpha}(x) f(x) m(dx) = \alpha \int_{B_n} G_{\alpha} f(x) \mu_{\alpha}(dx).$$

Taking the limits of both sides of (2.1) as $f \uparrow 1$ gives

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha e_{\alpha}(x) m(dx) = \int_{B_n} \alpha G_{\alpha} 1(x) \mu_{\alpha}(dx).$$

Since

$$G_{\alpha}1(x)=\int_{0}^{+\infty}e^{-\alpha t}E_{x}[1;t<\varsigma]\,dt=E_{x}\left[\int_{0}^{\varsigma}e^{-\alpha t}\,dt\right]=\frac{1}{\alpha}\{1-E_{x}[e^{-\alpha\varsigma}]\},$$

where E_x denotes the expectation with respect to the probability measure P_x , we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha e_{\alpha}(x) m(dx) = \int_{B_n} \mu_{\alpha}(dx) \{1 - E_x[e^{-\alpha \varsigma}]\} = C_{\alpha}(B_n) - \int_{B_n} E_x[e^{-\alpha \varsigma}] \mu_{\alpha}(dx).$$

Now the implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ and (3) is obvious. Suppose that the condition (2) holds for some $\alpha > 0$. Then we have

$$\int_{B_n} E_x[e^{-\alpha\varsigma}]\mu_\alpha(dx) = 0.$$

Consequently, $E_x[e^{-\alpha \zeta}] = 0$ μ_{α} -a.e. on B_n . Thus $P_x[\zeta = +\infty] = 1$ μ_{α} -a.e. on B_n . Fix a point $x_0 \in B_n$ such that

$$(2.2) P_{x_0}[\zeta = +\infty] = 1.$$

Set $q_0(x) = P_x[\zeta = +\infty], x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The Markov property shows that

(2.3)
$$q_0(x) = P_x[\zeta = +\infty] = P_x[t < \zeta, \zeta = +\infty]$$
$$= E_x[P_{X_t}[\zeta = +\infty]; t < \zeta] = E_x[q_0(X_t); t < \zeta].$$

Perform the Laplace transform of both sides of (2.3) to obtain

$$(1/\alpha)q_0(x) = G_\alpha q_0(x).$$

From (2.2), we have $q_0(x) = 1$, $G_{\alpha}(x_0, \cdot)$ -a.e. on \mathbb{R}^n . Taking the hypothesis (H.1) into consideration, we obtain

$$q_0(x) = \alpha G_{\alpha} q_0(x) = \alpha G_{\alpha} 1(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore

$$P_x[\zeta = +\infty] = 1 - E_x[e^{-\alpha\zeta}], \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

This implies $P[\zeta = +\infty] = 1$ on \mathbb{R}^n . Q.E.D.

PROOF OF THEOREM B. By Theorem 1.5.3, Fukushima [2], we have for any infinitely differentiable function f(x) with compact support,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e_0(x) f(x) m(dx) = (e_0, f) = \mathcal{E}_0(G_0 f, e_0).$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 to G_0f , we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e_0(x) f(x) m(dx) = \int_{\partial B_n} G_0 f(x) \mu_0(dx).$$

Here G_0 is the 0-order Green operator. Letting $f \uparrow 1$, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e_0(x) m(dx) = \int_{\partial B_n} G_0 1(x) \mu_0(dx).$$

Since $G_01(x) = E_x[\int_0^{\varsigma} dt] = E_x[\varsigma]$, we obtain

$$\infty > \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e_0(x) m(dx) = \int_{\partial B_n} E_x[\varsigma] \mu_0(dx),$$

which implies $E_x[\zeta] < \infty \mu_0$ -a.e. on ∂B_n . Therefore,

(2.4)
$$P_x[\zeta < +\infty] = 1, \quad \mu_0\text{-a.e. on } \partial B_n.$$

As was shown in the proof of Theorem A, we have $q_0(x) = \alpha G_{\alpha} q_0(x)$, $\alpha > 0$, with $q_0(x) = P_x[\zeta = +\infty]$. From (2.4), we have at least one point x_0 on ∂B_n such that $q_0(x_0) = P_{x_0}[\zeta = +\infty] = 0$. Hence

$$0 = q_0(x_0) = \alpha G_{\alpha} q_0(x_0).$$

Thus $q_0(x) = 0$, $G_{\alpha}(x_0, \cdot)$ -a.e. on \mathbb{R}^n . The hypothesis (H.1) gives

$$q_0(x) = \alpha G_{\alpha} q_0(x) = \alpha G_{\alpha} 0(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, which completes the proof of Theorem B. Q.E.D. In order to prove Theorem C, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.3. Suppose X_t is transient. Then

(2.5)
$$\lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \mathcal{E}_0(e_\alpha - e_0, e_\alpha - e_0) = 0.$$

In particular, we have $\lim_{\alpha\downarrow 0} C_{\alpha}(B_n) = C_0(B_n)$.

PROOF. First note that $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(e_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}) = C_{\alpha}(B_n)$ is monotone nonincreasing as $\alpha \downarrow 0$ by virtue of the minimizing property of e_{α} . Thus $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(e_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha})$ is convergent as $\alpha \downarrow 0$. We have, for $\alpha > \alpha'$,

$$\mathcal{E}_0(e_{lpha}-e_{lpha'},e_{lpha}-e_{lpha'}) \leq \mathcal{E}_{lpha'}(e_{lpha}-e_{lpha'},e_{lpha}-e_{lpha'}) \ = \mathcal{E}_{lpha'}(e_{lpha'},e_{lpha'}) + \mathcal{E}_{lpha'}(e_{lpha},e_{lpha}) - 2\mathcal{E}_{lpha'}(e_{lpha},e_{lpha'}).$$

From Remark 2.1, it follows that $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha'}(e_{\alpha'}, e_{\alpha'} - e_{\alpha}) = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha'}(e_{\alpha'}, e_{\alpha'}) = \mathcal{E}_{\alpha'}(e_{\alpha'}, e_{\alpha})$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}_0(e_{\alpha}-e_{\alpha'},e_{\alpha}-e_{\alpha'}) \leq \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(e_{\alpha},e_{\alpha}) - \mathcal{E}_{\alpha'}(e_{\alpha'},e_{\alpha'}),$$

which tends to zero as α , $\alpha' \downarrow 0$. This implies that $\{e_{\alpha}, \alpha > 0\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{E}_0)$ as $\alpha \downarrow 0$. Since $(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{E}_0)$ is a Hilbert space, there exists a unique element \tilde{e}_0 in \mathcal{F}_0 to which e_{α} converges as $\alpha \downarrow 0$. On the other hand, $e_{\alpha}(x)$ approaches $e_0(x)$ pointwise on \mathbb{R}^n as $\alpha \downarrow 0$. Hence \tilde{e}_0 must coincide with e_0 . Thus we obtain

$$\lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \mathcal{E}_0(e_\alpha - e_0, e_\alpha - e_0) = 0.$$

It is obvious from Remark 2.1 that, for a function f(x) in $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with f=1 on B_n ,

(2.6)
$$C_{\alpha}(B_n) = \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(e_{\alpha}, f), \qquad \alpha \geq 0.$$

Since f has compact support, (2.5) together with (2.6) gives that

$$\lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} C_{\alpha}(B_n) = \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(e_{\alpha}, f) = \mathcal{E}_{0}(e_{0}, f) = C_{0}(B_n). \qquad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

LEMMA 2.4. Suppose X_t is transient. Then for any continuous function u(x) on \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\lim_{\alpha\downarrow 0} \int_{B_n} u(x) \mu_{\alpha}(dx) = \int_{\partial B_n} u(x) \mu_{0}(dx).$$

PROOF. By virtue of Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, it follows that

$$\lim_{\alpha\downarrow 0} \int_{B_n} u(x) \mu_{\alpha}(dx) = \int_{\partial B_n} u(x) \mu_{0}(dx)$$

for any $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Since any continuous function can be uniformly approximated on B_n by infinitely differentiable functions, the assertion in Lemma 2.4 is valid. Q.E.D.

PROOF OF THEOREM C. As was shown in the proof of Theorem A, it holds that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha e_{\alpha}(x) m(dx) = \int_{B_n} \alpha G_{\alpha} 1(x) \mu_{\alpha}(dx).$$

Note that $\alpha G_{\alpha} 1(x) = 1 - E_x[e^{-\alpha \zeta}]$ is monotone nonincreasing and is convergent as $\alpha \downarrow 0$ to $1 - P_x[\zeta < +\infty]$. Consequently, from the hypothesis (H.2), the convergence

 $\alpha G_{\alpha}1(x)\downarrow 1-P_{x}[\varsigma<+\infty]$ is uniform on each compact subset of \mathbf{R}^{n} . This together with Lemma 2.4 gives

$$\lim_{\alpha\downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha e_{\alpha}(x) m(dx) = \lim_{\alpha\downarrow 0} \int_{B_n} \alpha G_{\alpha} 1(x) \mu_{\alpha}(dx) = \int_{\partial B_n} q_0(x) \mu_0(dx).$$

Suppose that $\lim_{\alpha\downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha e_{\alpha}(x) m(dx) = C_0(B_n)$. Then we have $\int_{\partial B_n} q_0(x) \mu_0(dx) = C_0(B_n)$. Since the total measure of μ_0 is the capacity $C_0(B_n)$, the above equality gives $q_0(x) = 1$, μ_0 -a.e. on ∂B_n . By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem A, we can show that $q_0(x) = P_x[\zeta = +\infty]$ is identically equal to 1 on \mathbf{R}^n . We next consider the case

$$\lim_{\alpha\downarrow 0}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha e_{\alpha}(x) m(dx) = 0.$$

Then $\int_{\partial B_n} q_0(x)\mu_0(dx) = 0$, which gives $q_0(x) = 0$, μ_0 -a.e. on ∂B_n . Then, by the same argument as in the first case, it follows that $q_0(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The rest is obvious from the conclusions for the first two cases. Q.E.D.

3. Strictly elliptic differential operator with measurable coefficients. In this section we will discuss the explosion problems for symmetric diffusion processes corresponding to Dirichlet forms endowed with measures ν_{ij} , $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, and m absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure dx, i.e.,

$$u_{ij}(dx) = a_{ij}(x)dx, \qquad i,j = 1,\ldots,n, \\
m(dx) = b(x)dx.$$

We assume that for each nonempty compact subset K of \mathbb{R}^n there exists a positive constant $\lambda = \lambda(K) > 1$ such that

(C.1)
$$\lambda^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i^2 \le \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \le \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i^2,$$

(C.2)
$$\lambda^{-1} \le b(x) \le \lambda,$$

for all $x \in K$ and $\xi = (\xi_i)_{i=1,\dots,n} \in \mathbf{R}^n$. It is well known that for the above ν_{ij} and m, the symmetric form \mathcal{E} on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n, m)$ is closable. Consequently, there exists an m-symmetric diffusion process except for a set of zero capacity whose Dirichlet form coincides with the smallest closed extension $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$. However, in the present case, we have a stronger result. In fact, there exists a unique minimal diffusion process $(X_t, \varsigma, P_x), x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, whose resolvent R^G_λ restricted to a bounded open set G is continuous in the following sense: for any $f \in L^p(G, m)$ with p > n, $R^G_\lambda f(x)$ is continuous on \overline{G} . See, for example, Tomisaki [16]. Note that the infinitesimal generator of this diffusion coincides with the following strictly elliptic differential operator of selfadjoint form with measurable coefficients:

$$L = rac{1}{b} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} rac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left(a_{ij} rac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}
ight).$$

REMARK 3.1. For the diffusion, the hypotheses (H.1) and (H.2) are satisfied. Indeed, (H.1) is obvious from Theorem 9.2, Tomisaki [16]. In order to show

(H.2), we employ some results in Gilbarg and Trudinger [3]. Consider the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{b} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} u \right) - \alpha u = -\alpha & \text{in } |x| < \rho, \\ u(x) = 0 & \text{on } |x| = \rho, \end{cases}$$

where α and ρ are positive numbers. Then Theorems 8.30 and 8.22 in Gilbarg and Trudinger [3] ensure that there exists a unique solution u_{α}^{ρ} which is locally Hölder continuous in $|x| < \rho$. Let G_{α}^{ρ} denote the α -order Green operator of the minimal diffusion process in $|x| < \rho$ associated with the operator

$$\frac{1}{b} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

It is easily seen that $u_{\alpha}^{\rho}(x) = \alpha G_{\alpha}^{\rho} 1(x)$. Theorem 8.22 asserts that u_{α}^{ρ} , $\alpha \leq 1$, is uniformly Hölder continuous on each compact subset in $|x| < \rho$, i.e., for each compact subset $K \subset \{|x| < \rho\}$, there exist positive numbers C = C(K, L, n+1) and $\beta = \beta(K, L, n+1) < 1$ independent of ρ such that $|u_{\alpha}^{\rho}(x) - u_{\alpha}^{\rho}(y)| \leq C|x-y|^{\beta}$ for any x and y in K. Taking the limit of u_{α}^{ρ} as $\rho \uparrow \infty$, we obtain

$$|\alpha G_{\alpha} 1(x) - \alpha G_{\alpha} 1(y)| \le C|x - y|^{\beta}, \quad x, y \in K,$$

which implies $E_x[e^{-\alpha\varsigma}] = 1 - \alpha G_\alpha 1(x)$ is continuous. Furthermore, letting $\alpha \downarrow 0$ in (3.1), we obtain

$$(3.2) |P_x[\zeta = +\infty] - P_y[\zeta = +\infty]| \le C|x - y|^{\beta}, x, y \in K.$$

Therefore $P_x[\zeta < +\infty] = 1 - P_x[\zeta = +\infty]$ is also continuous. Thus the hypothesis (H.2) is satisfied.

Remark 3.1 shows that Theorems A, B, and C are valid for the above diffusion process. The main purpose of this section is to give conditions on the coefficients a_{ij} and b for conservativeness and explosion. Set

$$A(x) = (a_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$$
 for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$

and define

$$A_{+}(r) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \langle A(r\sigma)\sigma, \sigma \rangle d\sigma \quad \text{for } r > 0,$$

$$B_{+}(r) = \sup_{\sigma \in S^{n-1}} \left\{ \frac{\langle A(r\sigma)\sigma, \sigma \rangle}{b(r\sigma)} \right\} \quad \text{for } r > 0,$$

where $d\sigma$ is the uniform measure on the unit sphere S^{n-1} and \langle , \rangle is the inner product on \mathbb{R}^n . We have the following theorem for conservativeness.

THEOREM 3.1. If for some $\alpha > 0$,

$$\lim_{r\uparrow+\infty}\frac{\exp\left\{-\int_1^r2\sqrt{\alpha}/\sqrt{B_+(s)}\,ds\right\}}{\int_r^{+\infty}s^{1-n}A_+(s)^{-1}\,ds}=0,$$

then the process X_t is conservative.

It should be remarked that Ichihara [6] has shown that X_t is recurrent if the denominator in the assumption of Theorem 3.1 is infinite. Thus, in this case, the process is conservative.

A function u is said to belong to $H^{1,2}_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if $u \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and if its distribution derivatives $\partial u/\partial x_i \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. We need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. Let $\mathbf{f} = (f_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$ where $f_i \in H^{1,2}_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Define

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i} \quad and \quad F(r) = \int_{|x|=r} \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS.$$

Then the derivative of F(r) in the distribution sense is given by

$$\frac{d}{dr}F(r) = \int_{|x|=r} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \, dS \quad \text{for a.a. } r > 0.$$

Here $\mathbf{n} = x/|x|$ and dS is the surface element on |x| = r.

PROOF. Let ϕ be an infinitely differentiable function with compact support. Furthermore, suppose ϕ is rotationally symmetric about the origin. We now compute

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \, \phi \, dx = -\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \mathbf{f}, \operatorname{grad} \phi \rangle \, dx.$$

The left-hand side in the above equality is equal to

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \phi(r) \left[\int_{|x|=r} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \, dS \right] dr$$

and the right-hand side is

$$-\int_0^{+\infty} \phi'(r) \left[\int_{|x|=r} \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS \right] dr.$$

Thus $\int_0^{+\infty} \phi(r) \left[\int_{|x|=r} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \, dS \right] dr = -\int_0^{+\infty} \phi'(r) \left[\int_{|x|=r} \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS \right] dr$. This implies $dF(r)/dr = \int_{|x|=r} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \, dS$. Q.E.D.

The function e_{α} is a weak solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{b} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u \right) = \alpha u & \text{in } |x| > 1, \\ u(x) = 1 & \text{on } |x| = 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by an argument similar to that in Remark 3.1, taking the continuous modification if necessary, we may assume that e_{α} is locally Hölder continuous in |x| > 1. Furthermore, without loss of generality, we may assume that all the coefficients a_{ij} and b are infinitely differentiable in a neighborhood of S^{n-1} , because conservativeness and explosion depend only on the behaviors of the coefficients near infinity. Under this assumption, e_{α} is proved to be twice continuously differentiable on $1 \leq |x| \leq 1 + \varepsilon_0$ with ε_0 a positive number. See, for example, Mizohata [13]. We have

$$C_{\alpha}(B_n) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \{ \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \nabla e_{\alpha} \rangle + \alpha e_{\alpha}^2 b \} dx,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \nabla e_{\alpha} &= \left(\frac{\partial e_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{i=1,...,n} \\ &= \int_{B_{n}} \alpha b dx + \int_{|x|>1} \{\langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \nabla e_{\alpha} \rangle + \alpha e_{\alpha}^{2} b\} \, dx \\ &= \int_{B_{n}} \alpha b dx + \int_{|x|>1} \{\langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \nabla u \rangle + \alpha e_{\alpha} u b\} \, dx \end{split}$$

for $u \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ with u = 1 on B_n . Setting

$$u=u_arepsilon = \left\{egin{array}{ll} 1 & ext{for } |x| \leq 1, \ 1-(1/arepsilon)(|x|-1) & ext{for } 1 < |x| < 1+arepsilon, \ 0 & ext{otherwise}, \end{array}
ight.$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$C_{\alpha}(B_n) = \int_{B_n} \alpha b dx - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{1 < |x| < 1 + \varepsilon} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dx + \int_{1 < |x| < 1 + \varepsilon} \alpha e_{\alpha} u_{\varepsilon} b \, dx.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we obtain

$$C_{m{lpha}}(B_{m{n}}) = \int_{B_{m{n}}} lpha b \, dx - \int_{|x|=1} \langle A
abla e_{m{lpha}}, {m{n}}
angle \, dS.$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 to $\mathbf{f} = A \nabla e_{\alpha}$, we obtain

$$\int_{1<|x|

$$= \int_{1}^{r} du \frac{d}{du} \int_{|x|=u} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS$$

$$= \int_{|x|=r} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS - \int_{|x|=1} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS$$$$

for a.a. r > 1. Hence,

$$\int_{|\mathbf{r}|=\mathbf{r}} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS, \qquad \text{a.a } r > 1,$$

is monotone nondecreasing as $r \uparrow +\infty$. Furthermore it is nonpositive for a.a. r > 1. Indeed, as was shown in the proof of Theorem A,

$$C_{\alpha}(B_n) \ge \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha e_{\alpha} b \, dx \ge \int_{|x| < r} \alpha e_{\alpha} b \, dx \quad \text{for } r > 1.$$

Since $C_{\alpha}(B_n) = \int_{B_n} \alpha b \, dx - \int_{|x|=1} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS$ and since

$$\int_{|x| < r} \alpha e_{\alpha} b \, dx = \int_{B_{\boldsymbol{n}}} \alpha b \, dx + \int_{|x| = r} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS - \int_{|x| = 1} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS,$$

we obtain $\int_{|x|=r} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle dS \leq 0$ for a.a. r > 1. Define

$$a_{\alpha} = \lim_{\mathbf{a.a.}} \int_{|x|=r} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS.$$

Taking the limit as $r \uparrow +\infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\alpha} - \int_{|x|=1} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS + \int_{B_{n}} \alpha b \, dx &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \alpha e_{\alpha} b \, dx \\ &\leq C_{\alpha}(B_{n}) = - \int_{|x|=1} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS + \int_{B_{n}} \alpha b \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can arrive at the following interesting criterion for conservativeness.

PROPOSITION 3.1. X_t is conservative if and only if $a_{\alpha} = 0$.

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, it suffices to verify $a_{\alpha} = 0$ under the assumption.

LEMMA 3.2. Suppose that $u \in H^{1,2}_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and that $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$, $v_i \in H^{1,2}_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then $\operatorname{div}(u\mathbf{v}) = \langle \nabla u, \mathbf{v} \rangle + u \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}$. Here the derivatives are taken in the distribution sense.

PROOF. Let ψ_{ε} be a mollifier and define $\mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{v} * \psi_{\varepsilon}$, where * denotes the convolution. Then \mathbf{v}_{ε} and $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon} = (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) * \psi_{\varepsilon}$ converge in $L^{2}_{\operatorname{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^{n})$ to \mathbf{v} and $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}$ respectively. Thus we have, for any $\phi \in C^{\infty}_{0}(\mathbf{R}^{n})$,

(3.3)
$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \operatorname{div}(u\mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon}) \phi \, dx = -\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} u \langle \mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon}, \nabla \phi \rangle \, dx$$
$$\rightarrow -\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} u \langle \mathbf{v}, \nabla \phi \rangle \, dx \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0$$
$$= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \operatorname{div}(u\mathbf{v}) \phi \, dx.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(3.4) \qquad \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \operatorname{div}(u\mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon}) \phi dx = -\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} u \langle \mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon}, \nabla \phi \rangle \, dx$$

$$= -\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} u \{ \operatorname{div}(\phi \mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon}) - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon}) \phi \} \, dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \langle \nabla u, \mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon} \rangle \phi \, dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} u \phi \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon} \, dx$$

$$\to \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \langle \nabla u, \mathbf{v} \rangle \phi, dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} u \phi \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \, dx \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0.$$

Thus the assertion in Lemma 3.2 follows from (3.3) and (3.4). Q.E.D.

Back to the proof of Theorem 3.1, let ϕ be an infinitely differentiable function with compact support such that ϕ is rotationally symmetric about the origin.

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \operatorname{div}(e_{\alpha} A \nabla e_{\alpha}) \phi \, dx = -\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e_{\alpha} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \phi'(|x|) \, dx.$$

By Lemma 3.2, the left-hand side is equal to

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \nabla e_\alpha, A \nabla e_\alpha \rangle \phi \, dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e_\alpha \mathrm{div}(A \nabla e_\alpha) \phi \, dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \{ \langle A \nabla e_\alpha, \nabla e_\alpha \rangle + \alpha e_\alpha^2 b \} \phi \, dx.$$

Since ϕ is a radial function, we have the equality

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} \phi(r) dr \int_{|x|=r} \left\{ \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \nabla e_{\alpha} \rangle + \alpha e_{\alpha}^{2} b \right\} dS$$

$$= - \int_{0}^{+\infty} \phi'(r) dr \int_{|x|=r} e_{\alpha} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle dS,$$

which gives

$$\int_{|x|=r} e_{\alpha} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS - \int_{|x|=1} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS = \int_{1 < |x| < r} \{ \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \nabla e_{\alpha} \rangle + \alpha e_{\alpha}^2 b \} \, dx$$

for a.a. r > 1. Consequently, we see that

$$V_{\alpha}(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{|x| > r} \{ \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \nabla e_{\alpha} \rangle + \alpha e_{\alpha}^{2} b \} \, dx = - \int_{|x| = r} e_{\alpha} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS$$

for a.a. r > 1. We now compute the upper bound for $V_{\alpha}(r)$.

$$\begin{split} V_{\alpha}(r) & \leq \int_{|x|=r} \sqrt{\langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \nabla e_{\alpha} \rangle} \sqrt{\langle A \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle} e_{\alpha} \, dS \\ & = \int_{|x|=r} 2 \sqrt{\langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \nabla e_{\alpha} \rangle} \sqrt{\alpha e_{\alpha}^{2} b} \, dS \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\alpha}} \sqrt{B_{+}(r)} \\ & \leq \frac{\sqrt{B_{+}(r)}}{2 \sqrt{\alpha}} \int_{|x|=r} \{ \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \nabla e_{\alpha} \rangle + \alpha e_{\alpha}^{2} b \} \, dS \\ & = -\frac{dV_{\alpha}(r)}{dr} \frac{\sqrt{B_{+}(r)}}{2 \sqrt{\alpha}} \quad \text{for a.a. } r > 1. \end{split}$$

Solving this differential inequality, we obtain

$$V_{\alpha}(r) \leq V_{\alpha}(1) \exp \left\{ -\int_{1}^{r} \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha}}{\sqrt{B_{+}(s)}} ds \right\} \quad \text{for all } r > 1.$$

On the other hand, as for the lower bound, we have

$$V_{\alpha}(r) \geq \int_{|x| > r} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \nabla e_{\alpha} \rangle \, dx = \int_{r}^{+\infty} ds \int_{|x| = s} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \nabla e_{\alpha} \rangle \, dS.$$

The Schwarz inequality gives

$$\int_{|x|=s} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \nabla e_{\alpha} \rangle \, dS \int_{|x|=s} \langle A \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS \ge \left[\int_{|x|=s} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS \right]^{2}.$$

Combining all of this, we obtain

$$V_{\alpha}(1) \exp \left\{-\int_{1}^{r} \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha}}{\sqrt{B_{+}(s)}} ds\right\} \geq \int_{r}^{+\infty} \left[\int_{|x|=s} \langle A\nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle dS\right]^{2} s^{1-n} A_{+}(s)^{-1} ds.$$

By the previous argument on a_{α} , we see that

$$|a_{\alpha}| \leq \left| \int_{|x|=s} \langle A \nabla e_{\alpha}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, dS \right| \quad \text{for a.a. } s > 1.$$

Thus we finally obtain the inequality

$$|a_\alpha|^2 \leq \frac{V_\alpha(1) \exp\left\{-\int_1^r 2\sqrt{\alpha}/\sqrt{B_+(s)}\,ds\right\}}{\int_{s}^{+\infty} s^{1-n}A_+(s)^{-1}\,ds},$$

which tends to zero as $r \to +\infty$ by the assumption. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. O.E.D.

We now turn to sufficient conditions on the coefficients a_{ij} and b for the explosion of the diffusion. We have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.2. If

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} d\sigma \int_1^{+\infty} r^{1-n} \langle A(r\sigma)^{-1}\sigma, \sigma \rangle \left[\int_1^r s^{n-1} b(s\sigma) \, ds \right]^2 \, dr < +\infty,$$

then the explosion is sure. Here $A(x)^{-1}$ is the inverse matrix of A(x).

We first note that according to Ichihara [6], X_t is transient under the assumption in Theorem 3.2. In order to prove Theorem 3.2, we shall verify the condition in Theorem B.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.2. Introduce the following hitting time:

$$\sigma_{\rho} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \inf\{t \geq 0 | \ |X_t| \geq \rho\} & \text{if such t exists} \\ + \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \text{for $\rho > 1$.}$$

Define $e_0^{\rho}(x) = P_x[\tau_1 < \sigma_{\rho}]$. It is obvious that $e_0^{\rho}(x)$ is monotone nondecreasing and is convergent to $e_0(x)$ as $\rho \uparrow +\infty$. Thus we have

$$\lim_{\rho\uparrow + \infty} \int_{\rho > |x| > 1} e_0^{\rho}(x) b(x) \, dx = \int_{|x| > 1} e_0(x) b(x) \, dx$$

by virtue of the monotone convergence theorem. We now estimate, by polar coordinates,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\rho>|x|>1} e_0^\rho(x)b(x)\,dx &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \,d\sigma \int_1^\rho \,r^{n-1}b(r\sigma)e_0^\rho(r\sigma)\,dr \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \,d\sigma \int_1^\rho \,r^{n-1}b(r\sigma)\,dr \int_r^\rho -\frac{\partial e_0^\rho}{\partial s}(s\sigma)\,ds \\ &\leq \int_{S^{n-1}} \,d\sigma \int_1^\rho \left|\frac{\partial e_0^\rho(s\sigma)}{\partial s}\right| \,ds \int_1^s \,r^{n-1}b(r\sigma)\,dr \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \,d\sigma \int_1^\rho \left|\langle\sqrt{A}\nabla e_0^\rho,\sqrt{A}^{-1}\mathbf{n}\rangle(s\sigma)\right|ds \int_1^s \,r^{n-1}b(r\sigma)\,dr \end{split}$$

(where \sqrt{A} is a symmetric positive square root of A)

$$\leq \int_{S^{n-1}} d\sigma \sqrt{\int_{1}^{\rho} \langle A \nabla e_{0}^{\rho}, \nabla e_{0}^{\rho} \rangle (s\sigma) s^{n-1} ds}$$

$$\times \sqrt{\int_{1}^{\rho} \langle A^{-1} \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle (s\sigma) s^{1-n} \left[\int_{1}^{s} r^{n-1} b(r\sigma) dr \right]^{2}} ds$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\int_{1 < |x| < \rho} \langle A \nabla e_{0}^{\rho}, \nabla e_{0}^{\rho} \rangle dx}$$

$$\times \sqrt{\int_{S^{n-1}} d\sigma \int_{1}^{\rho} \langle A^{-1} \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle (s\sigma) s^{1-n} \left[\int_{1}^{s} r^{n-1} b(r\sigma) dr \right]^{2}} ds$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0}(e_{0}^{\rho}, e_{0}^{\rho})} \sqrt{\int_{S^{n-1}} d\sigma \int_{1}^{\rho} \langle A^{-1} \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle (s\sigma) s^{1-n} \left[\int_{1}^{s} r^{n-1} b(r\sigma) dr \right]^{2}} ds .$$

A simple application of the Dirichlet principle gives

$$\lim_{\rho \to +\infty} \mathcal{E}_0(e_0^{\rho}, e_0^{\rho}) = \mathcal{E}_0(e_0, e_0) = C_0(B_n)$$

(see Ichihara [6]). Thus we obtain

$$\int_{|x|>1} e_0(x)b(x) dx \le \sqrt{C_0(B_n)}$$

$$\times \sqrt{\int_{S^{n-1}} d\sigma \int_{1}^{+\infty} \langle A(s\sigma)^{-1}\sigma, \sigma \rangle s^{1-n} \left[\int_{1}^{s} r^{n-1} b(r\sigma) dr \right]^{2}} ds,$$

which is finite by the hypothesis in Theorem 3.2. This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

Hunt [5] has given an upper bound for the hitting probability of the unit sphere centered at the origin by X_t . Define

$$A_{-}(r) = \inf_{\substack{|x|=r \ |\xi|=1}} \langle A(x)\xi, \xi \rangle.$$

Then he has shown that with a positive constant C,

$$\bar{e}_0(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\int_{S^{n-1}} e_0(r\sigma) \, d\sigma}{|S^{n-1}|} \le C \sqrt{\int_r^{+\infty} s^{1-n} A_-(s)^{-1} \, ds} \quad \text{for } r > 1,$$

where $|S^{n-1}|$ is the surface area of S^{n-1} . Define

$$b_-(r) = \sup_{|x|=r} b(x).$$

Theorem B together with the above upper bound for e_0 gives the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. If

$$\int_{1}^{+\infty} r^{n-1} b_{-}(r) \sqrt{\int_{r}^{+\infty} s^{1-n} A_{-}(s)^{-1} ds} dr < +\infty,$$

then the explosion of X_t is sure.

In order to illustrate Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we shall exhibit some examples.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Consider the case b=1 on \mathbf{R}^n . If $a_{ij}(x)=O(|x|^2)$, then the process X_t is conservative. Indeed, it holds under the conditions that with positive constants C_1 and C_2 , $A_+(r) \leq C_1 r^2$ and $B_+(r) \leq C_2 r^2$. Consequently, we have

$$\frac{\exp\left\{-\int_{1}^{r} 2\sqrt{\alpha}/\sqrt{B_{+}(s)} \, ds\right\}}{\int_{r}^{+\infty} s^{1-n} A_{+}(s)^{-1} \, ds} \le \frac{\exp\left\{-\int_{1}^{r} 2\sqrt{\alpha}/\sqrt{C_{2}} \, ds/s\right\}}{\int_{r}^{+\infty} s^{1-n} ds/C_{1} s^{2}}$$

$$= \operatorname{const} \times r^{n-2\sqrt{\alpha/C_{1}}} \to 0, \text{ as } r \to +\infty$$

for any positive α with $n < 2\sqrt{\alpha/C_1}$. This shows that X_t is conservative. EXAMPLE 3.2. Let us assume that X_t is associated with the operator

$$L = \frac{1}{a(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right).$$

Let $\bar{a}(r) = \int_{S^{n-1}} a(r\sigma) d\sigma$. If $\bar{a}(r)$ satisfies

$$\lim_{r \to +\infty} \frac{\log \left[\int_r^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{s^{n-1} \bar{a}(s)} \right]}{r} > -\infty,$$

then the diffusion X_t is conservative. In particular, if $\bar{a}(r) \leq C_1 e^{C_2 r}$ holds with positive constants C_1 and C_2 , then the above condition is satisfied. Since $A_+(r) = \bar{a}(r)$ and $B_+(r) = 1$, it is easy to verify the condition in Theorem 3.1.

In the following example, it is shown that the second case in Theorem C can certainly occur.

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left(a(x_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2}.$$

Suppose

$$\int_0^{+\infty} a(x_1)^{-1} dx_1 < +\infty, \qquad \int_0^{+\infty} x_1 a(x_1)^{-1} dx_1 = +\infty,$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 x_1 a(x_1)^{-1} dx_1 > -\infty.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} 0 < P_{(x_1,x_2)}[\varsigma = +\infty] < 1, \\ \lim_{x_1 \to -\infty} P_{(x_1,x_2)}[\varsigma = +\infty] = 0, \end{split}$$

and

$$\lim_{x_1\to+\infty}P_{(x_1,x_2)}[\varsigma=+\infty]=1.$$

Indeed, the first component X_t^1 of X_t is regarded as the one-dimensional diffusion process corresponding to the operator

$$\frac{d}{dx_1}\left(a(x_1)\frac{d}{dx_1}\right).$$

 $-\infty$ is an exit boundary for the diffusion X_t^1 . Though $+\infty$ is a natural boundary for X_t^1 , the probability that X_t^1 approaches $+\infty$ is still positive from the assumption. The second component X_t^2 is obviously a standard Brownian motion on \mathbf{R}^1 . Combining these, we obtain the above assertions.

EXAMPLE 3.4. Let

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right).$$

Suppose that with some positive constants C and $\alpha > 1$,

$$a_i(x) \ge C|x|^{n+2}(\log|x|)^{\alpha}$$

for all sufficiently large $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then it is easy to verify that the condition for the explosion is satisfied.

EXAMPLE 3.5. Let $n \geq 3$ and $a_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij}, \ i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, where

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If the condition

$$\int_{|x|>1} \frac{b(x)dx}{|x|^{n-2}} < +\infty$$

is satisfied, then the explosion is sure. Indeed, the hitting probability e_0 is given by $e_0(x) = 1/|x|^{n-2}$ for $|x| \ge 1$. Consequently, from Theorem B, we obtain the above results.

Up to now, we have worked with diffusion processes associated with strictly elliptic differential operators with measurable coefficients. If the smoothness of the coefficients is assumed, then the strict ellipticity is considerably relaxed. Indeed, suppose the coefficients a_{ij} and b are infinitely differentiable. Define first-order differential operators X_i by

$$X_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

It is well known that the operator L is hypoelliptic if the Lie algebra generated by X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n has the full rank in \mathbf{R}^n , i.e. rank $\mathcal{L}(X_1, \ldots, X_n)_x = n$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. See Oleinik and Radkevich [14]. In this case we can prove the same results for the process as in §§2 and 3.

4. Singular cases. In this section we shall investigate conservativeness and explosion of diffusion processes associated with Dirichlet forms endowed with measures ν_{ij} , i, j = 1, ..., n, and m not necessarily absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure dx. We shall study the explosion properties for the following two particular cases.

[I] Time-changed processes. Suppose that $\nu_{ij}(dx)$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, are absolutely continuous with respect to dx, i.e. $\nu_{ij}(dx) = a_{ij}(x)dx$. However the speed measure m(dx) may possibly be singular. Let $m(dx) = m_s(dx) + b(x)dx$ be the Lebesgue decomposition where m_s is singular with respect to dx. Let us assume the conditions (C.1) and (C.2) for the coefficients a_{ij} and b. We have the following.

REMARK 4.1. It is easy to see under the above conditions (C.1) and (C.2) that the symmetric form on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n, m)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} dx \quad \text{for } u,v \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

is closable. Indeed, suppose that $u_{k,k\geq 1}\in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfy

$$\lim_{k,l\to+\infty} \mathcal{E}(u_k - u_l, u_k - u_l) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{k\to 0}(u_k,u_k)=0.$$

Then we see that there exists a unique element $(g_i)_{i=1,...,n}$, $g_i \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n, dx)$, to which $\nabla u_k = (\partial u_k/\partial x_i)_{i=1,...,n}$ converges in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n, dx)$, and that u_k also converges to zero in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n, dx)$. For any ϕ in $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} \phi \, dx = -\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u_k \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \, dx.$$

Letting k tend to infinity, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g_i \phi \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n),$$

which shows $g_i = 0$ a.e. on \mathbf{R}^n , i = 1, ..., n. Subtracting a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $\partial u_k/\partial x_i$, i = 1, ..., n, converges to zero a.e. on \mathbf{R}^n . Then, by Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(u_k, u_k) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \lim_{l \to +\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial (u_l - u_k)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial (u_l - u_k)}{\partial x_j} dx$$

$$\leq \lim_{l \to +\infty} \mathcal{E}(u_k - u_l, u_k - u_l),$$

which can be made arbitrarily small for a sufficiently large k.

From Remark 4.1, we see that there exists a diffusion process (X_t, ζ, P_x) whose Dirichlet form is the closed extension $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ of \mathcal{E} . Furthermore let us introduce the unique minimal diffusion process (X_t^0, ζ^0, P_x^0) , $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, corresponding to the operator

$$L^{0} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \right).$$

We assume the following condition for the diffusion X_t^0 .

(C.3) X_t^0 is transient.

See Ichihara [6] for the transience of the diffusion X_t^0 . Let C_0^0 and C_0 denote the 0-order capacities with respect to X_t^0 and X_t respectively. We need an additional condition.

(C.4) The speed measure m charges no set of C_0^0 -capacity zero.

Under the conditions (C.1)-(C.4), Theorem 5.5.2 in Fukushima [2] asserts that the diffusion X_t is equivalent to the time-changed process with the speed measure m of the process X_t^0 . The capacities C_0^0 and C_0 are the same. Let $G_0^0(x,y)$ be the 0-order Green function of X_t^0 and $G_0(x,dy)$ be the 0-order Green measure of X_t . Then we have the following relation between them.

(4.1)
$$G_0(x, dy) = G_0^0(x, y)m(dy)$$

for q.e. x in \mathbb{R}^n . We are now in a position to state our theorems for the above diffusion X_t .

THEOREM A'. The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) X_t is conservative, i.e. $P_x[\zeta = +\infty] = 1$ for q.e. x in \mathbb{R}^n .
- (2) For some $\alpha > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha e_{\alpha}(x) m(dx) = C_{\alpha}(B_n).$$

(3) For all $\alpha > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha e_{\alpha}(x) m(dx) = C_{\alpha}(B_n).$$

THEOREM B'. If $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e_0(x) m(dx) < +\infty$, then X_t starting at q.e. x in \mathbf{R}^n explodes with probability one, i.e.,

$$P_x[\zeta < +\infty] = 1$$
 for q.e. $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

PROOF OF THEOREM A'. In the proof of Theorem A, we have shown

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha e_{\alpha}(x) m(dx) = \int_{B^n} \alpha G_{\alpha} 1(x) \mu_{\alpha}(dx).$$

Suppose condition (1) holds. Then $\alpha G_{\alpha} 1(x) = 1$ for q.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Theorem 3.3.2, Fukushima [2], implies $\mu_{\alpha}(\{x \in B_n | \alpha G_{\alpha} 1(x) < 1\}) = 0$, which gives

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha e_{\alpha}(x) m(dx) = \int_{B^n} \alpha G_{\alpha} 1(x) \mu_{\alpha}(dx) = \mu_{\alpha}(B_n) = C_{\alpha}(B_n).$$

Thus conditions (2) and (3) follow from (1). Conversely, suppose (2) is satisfied. We first note that (4.1) implies

(H.1)' For each $\alpha > 0$, $G_{\alpha}(x, \cdot)$, q.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are equivalent to each other. It follows from (2) that $P_x[\zeta = +\infty] = 1$ for μ_{α} -a.a. x on B_n . Since

$$\mu_{\alpha}(\{x \in B_n | P_x[\zeta = +\infty] = 1\}) = C_{\alpha}(B_n) > 0,$$

by Theorem 3.3.2, Fukushima [2], we can choose a point $x_0 \in B_n$ such that

$$(4.2) P_{x_0}[\varsigma = +\infty] = 1$$

and

(4.3) for q.e.
$$x \in \mathbf{R}^n$$
, $G_{\alpha}(x,\cdot)$ is equivalent to $G_{\alpha}(x_0,\cdot)$.

With the help of the above conditions (4.2) and (4.3), we can prove the implication $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$. Q.E.D.

PROOF OF THEOREM B'. As was shown in §3,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m{n}}}e_0(x)m(dx)=\int_{\partial B_{m{n}}}E_x[arsigma]\mu_0(dx).$$

Thus $P_x[\zeta < +\infty] = 1$ for μ_0 -a.e. x on ∂B_n . Since $\mu_0(\{x \in \partial B_n | P_x[\zeta < +\infty] = 1\}) = C_0(B_n) > 0$, by Theorem 3.3.2, Fukushima [2], we can choose a point $x_0 \in \partial B_n$ satisfying

$$(4.4) P_{x_0}[\varsigma < +\infty] = 1$$

and (4.3). With the help of (4.3) and (4.4), we can prove the assertion by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem B. Q.E.D.

Let $A(x) = (a_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1,...,n}$ and $A_+(r)$ denote the same as in the preceding section. Define $B_+(r)$ in terms of A(x) and b(x), the Radon-Nikodým derivative of the absolutely continuous part of m(dx), as in §3. By means of Theorems A' and B', we can prove the following conditions on a_{ij} and b for conservativeness and explosion.

THEOREM 4.1. If for some $\alpha > 0$,

$$\lim_{r\to +\infty}\frac{\exp\left\{-\int_1^r2\sqrt{\alpha}/\sqrt{B_+(s)}\,ds\right\}}{\int_r^{+\infty}s^{1-n}A_+(s)^{-1}\,ds}=0,$$

then the diffusion X_t is conservative, i.e.

$$P_x[\zeta = +\infty] = 1$$
 for $q.e. \ x \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

THEOREM 4.2. Let $n \geq 3$ and the operator L^0 be uniformly elliptic, i.e. with some positive constant $\lambda > 1$,

$$\lambda^{-1}E_n \le (a_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1,\ldots,n} \le \lambda E_n,$$

where E_n is the identity matrix. If

$$\int_{|x|>1} \frac{m(dx)}{|x|^{n-2}} < +\infty,$$

then the diffusion starting at q.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ explodes with probability one.

See McKean and Tanaka [12] for relevant results.

THEOREM 4.3. Suppose that the speed measure m(dx) is rotationally symmetric about the origin, i.e. $m(dx) = m_0(dr)d\sigma$, $x = (r, \sigma) \in [0, +\infty) \times S^{n-1}$. If

$$\int_{1}^{+\infty} \sqrt{\int_{r}^{+\infty} s^{1-n} A_{-}(s)^{-1} ds} m_{0}(dr) < +\infty,$$

then the diffusion starting at q.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ explodes with probability one.

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is carried out in exactly the same way as in Theorem 3.1. For the proof of Theorem 4.2, we need the following

LEMMA 4.1. (See Littman et al. [10].) Let $n \geq 3$. Suppose L^0 is uniformly elliptic. Then the 0-order Green operator $G_0^0(x,y)$ satisfies the following inequality: for some positive constant $\lambda > 1$,

$$G_0^0(x,y) \le \frac{\lambda}{|x-y|^{n-2}}, \qquad x,y \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Some potential-theoretic considerations together with Lemma 4.1 give the following upper bound for the hitting probability of the unit sphere $e_0(x)$: with a positive constant λ_0 ,

$$e_0(x) \le \frac{\lambda_0}{|x|^{n-2}}$$
 for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

See, for example, Ichihara and Watanabe [8]. Theorem B' together with the above upper bound for $e_0(x)$ verifies Theorem 4.2. Theorem 4.3 follows from Theorem B' and Hunt's estimate for the hitting probability of the unit sphere by the diffusion.

We shall exhibit some examples of speed measures which charge no set of C_0^0 -capacity zero. The following lemma is useful in the construction of examples.

LEMMA 4.2. If a positive Radon measure m on \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, satisfies

$$\iint_{\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n} \frac{m(dx)m(dy)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} < +\infty \quad \text{for } n \geq 3,$$

$$\iint_{\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}^2} |\log|x-y| \, |m(dx)m(dy) < +\infty \quad \text{for } n = 2,$$

then m charges no set of C_0^0 -capacity zero.

This lemma follows from Kelvin's principle (see, for example, Itô and McKean [9]), because the capacity C_0^0 is equivalent to the corresponding capacity with respect to a standard Brownian motion.

EXAMPLE 4.1. Let $m(dx) = dx_1 dx_2 \cdot ... \cdot dx_{n-1} m_0(dx_n)$ where $m_0(dt)$ is a positive Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^1 . Then the measure m on \mathbb{R}^n charges no set of

 C_0^0 -capacity zero. Indeed, it is easy to see that for each compact set $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, the function defined by

$$\begin{cases} \int_{K} \frac{m(dy)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} & \text{for } n \geq 3, \\ \int_{K} \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \right\rceil m(dy) & \text{for } n = 2, \end{cases}$$

is continuous in x. This implies that the measure m restricted to K satisfies the assumption in Lemma 4.2.

EXAMPLE 4.2. Let a_k , $k \ge 1$, be a sequence of increasing positive numbers. Define m(dx) on \mathbb{R}^n by

$$m(dx) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{a_k}(dr)d\sigma, \qquad x = (r, \sigma) \in (0, +\infty) \times S^{n-1}.$$

Then m charges no set of C_0^0 -capacity zero.

[II] Singular energy measures. Here we assume m(dx) = dx, Lebesgue measure. Let $\nu(dt)$ be a positive Radon measure on \mathbf{R}^1 strictly positive for each open interval. Let $\nu(dt) = \nu_s(dt) + a_0(t)dt$ be the Lebesgue decomposition where ν_s is singular with respect to dt. Suppose

$$\inf\{a_0(t), t \in U\} > 0$$

for every nonempty bounded open set $U \subset \mathbf{R}^1$. Define positive Radon measures $\tilde{\nu}_{ij}(dx) = \tilde{\nu}_{ij}(dx_1 \dots dx_n), \ i, j = 1, \dots, n, \text{on } \mathbf{R}^n$ by

$$ilde{
u}_{ij}(dx) = \left\{ egin{aligned} dx_1 \dots dx_{n-1}
u(dx_n) & ext{if } i,j=1,\dots,n-1, \\ dx_1 \dots dx_{n-1} dx_n & ext{otherwise.} \end{aligned}
ight.$$

Let a_{ij} , i, j = 1, ..., n, be measurable functions such that $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ and such that for any compact set $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, there is a positive constant $\lambda = \lambda(K) > 1$ such that

$$\lambda^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 \tilde{\nu}_{ii}(dx) \geq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \xi_i \xi_j a_{ij}(x) \tilde{\nu}_{ij}(dx) \leq \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 \tilde{\nu}_{ii}(dx)$$

for all $(x, \xi) \in K \times \mathbf{R}^n$. Set $\nu_{ij}(dx) = a_{ij}(x)\tilde{\nu}_{ij}(dx)$ and consider the bilinear symmetric form

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j}
u_{ij}(dx)$$

on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n, dx)$. From now on, we assume

(C.5) a_{ij} is of the C^{n_0} -class on \mathbb{R}^n , where $n_0 = [(n-1)/2] + 1$.

Tomisaki [15] has shown under the above conditions that three exists a unique diffusion process (X_t, ζ, P_x) , $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, whose Dirichlet form is the closed extension $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ of \mathcal{E} , and whose resolvent R_{λ} is continuous in the sense that for each $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n, dx) \cap L^p(\mathbf{R}^n, dx)$ with p > n, $\mathbf{R}_{\lambda} f(x)$ is continuous in \mathbf{R}^n . It is obvious from Theorem 9.2, Tomisaki [16], that the hypothesis (H.1) is satisfied for this process. Thus, in this case, Theorems A and B are valid. Define

$$\tilde{a}_{ij}(x) = \begin{cases} a_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_n) a_0(x_n) & \text{if } i, j = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ a_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{A}(x) = (\tilde{a}_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1,\dots,n},$$

and

$$ilde{A}_{-}(r) = \inf_{egin{array}{c} |\xi|=1 \ |x|=r \end{array}} \langle ilde{A}(x)\xi, \xi
angle, \qquad r>0.$$

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition on the coefficients a_{ij} and a_0 for the explosion of the diffusion.

THEOREM 4.4. If

$$\int_{1}^{+\infty} r^{n-1} \sqrt{\int_{r}^{+\infty} s^{1-n} \tilde{A}_{-}(s)^{-1} ds} dr < +\infty,$$

then the diffusion X_t explodes with probability one, i.e.

$$P[\zeta < +\infty] = 1$$
 on \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 4.4 follows from Theorem B combined with the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.3. Let $e_0(x)$ be the hitting probability of the unit sphere by the diffusion X_t . Then, for r > 1,

$$\bar{e}_0(r) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \frac{\int_{S^{n-1}} e_0(r\sigma) d\sigma}{|S^{n-1}|} \leq \mathrm{const} \sqrt{\int_r^{+\infty} s^{1-n} \tilde{A}_-(s)^{-1} ds}.$$

PROOF. The proof follows the same line as in Hunt [5], which is presented here. Let e_0^{ρ} be the same as in the proof of Theorem 3.2. Note that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}e_0^\rho(x)=e_0(x), \qquad x\in\mathbf{R}^n,$$

and

$$\lim_{\rho \to +\infty} \mathcal{E}_0(e_0^\rho, e_0^\rho) = \mathcal{E}_0(e_0, e_0).$$

We now estimate

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{0}(e_{0}^{\rho},e_{0}^{\rho}) &= \int_{1<|x|<\rho} \frac{\partial e_{0}^{\rho}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial e_{0}^{\rho}}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{ij}(dx) \\ &\geq \int_{1<|x|<\rho} \frac{\partial e_{0}^{\rho}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial e_{0}^{\rho}}{\partial x_{j}} \tilde{a}_{ij} \, dx \\ &\geq \int_{r<|x|<\rho} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial e_{0}^{\rho}}{\partial x_{i}} \right)^{2} \tilde{A}_{-}(|x|) \, dx, \qquad r \in (1,\rho), \\ &\geq \int_{r<|x|<\rho} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{e}_{0}^{\rho}}{\partial x_{i}} \right)^{2} \tilde{A}_{-}(|x|) \, dx, \end{split}$$

by an inequality in Hunt [5], where $\bar{e}_0^{\rho}(r) = \int S^{n-1} e_0^{\rho}(r\sigma) d\sigma/|S^{n-1}|$,

$$= \int_{r}^{\rho} |S^{n-1}| \tilde{A}_{-}(s) s^{n-1} \left(\frac{d\bar{e}_{0}^{\rho}}{ds} \right)^{2} ds$$

$$\geq \frac{\left(\int_{r}^{\rho} \frac{d\bar{e}_{0}^{\rho}}{ds} ds \right)^{2} |S^{n-1}|}{\int_{r}^{\rho} s^{1-n} \tilde{A}_{-}(s)^{-1} ds} = \frac{|S^{n-1}| \cdot \bar{e}_{0}^{\rho}(r)^{2}}{\int_{r}^{\rho} s^{1-n} \tilde{A}_{-}(s)^{-1} ds},$$

by the Schwarz inequality. Thus we have

$$\bar{e}_0^{\rho}(r) \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0(e_0^{\rho}, e_0^{\rho})} \sqrt{\int_r^{\rho} s^{1-n} \tilde{A}_-(s)^{-1} \, ds} \bigg/ \sqrt{|S^{n-1}|}.$$

Taking limits in the above inequality, we obtain

$$\bar{e}_0(r) \le \sqrt{C_0(B_n)} \sqrt{\int_r^{+\infty} s^{1-n} \tilde{A}_-(s)^{-1} ds} / \sqrt{|S^{n-1}|},$$

which completes the proof. Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

- W. Feller, The parabolic differential equations and the associated semigroups of transformations, Ann. of Math. (2) 55 (1952), 468-519.
- 2. M. Fukushima, Dirichlet forms and Markov processes, Kodansha, Tokyo, 1980.
- 3. D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1983.
- R. Z. Hasminskii, Ergodic properties of recurrent diffusion processes and stabilization of the problem to the Cauchy problem for parabolic equations, Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. 5 (1960), 196-214.
- 5. G. A. Hunt, On positive Green functions, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 40 (1954), 816-818.
- K. Ichihara, Some global properties of symmetric diffusion processes, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 14 (1978), 441-486.
- 7. ____, Explosion problems for symmetric diffusion processes, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A 60 (1984), 243-245.
- 8. K. Ichihara and H. Watanabe, Double points for diffusion processes, Japan. J. Math. 6 (1980), 267-281.
- K. Itô and H. P. McKean, Diffusion processes and their sample paths, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1965.
- 10. W. Littman, G. Stampacchia, and H. F. Weinberger, Regular points for elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 17 (1963), 43-77.
- 11. H. P. McKean, Stochastic integrals, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
- H. P. McKean and H. Tanaka, Additive functionals of the Brownian path, Memoirs Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A Math. 33 (1961), 479-506.
- S. Mizohata, The theory of partial differential equations, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1973.
- O. A. Oleinik and E. D. Radkevich, Second order equations with nonnegative characteristic form, Plenum Press, New York and London, 1973.
- 15. M. Tomisaki, A construction of diffusion processes with singular product measures, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 53 (1980), 51-70.
- _____, Dirichlet forms associated with direct product diffusion processes, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 923, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1981.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF GENERAL EDUCATION, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, NAGOYA 464, JAPAN