

MIXED NORM ESTIMATES FOR CERTAIN MEANS

LENNART BÖRJESON

ABSTRACT. We obtain estimates of the mean

$$F_x^\gamma(t) = C_\gamma \int_{|y|<1} (1 - |y|^2)^\gamma f(x - ty) dy$$

in mixed Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces. They generalize earlier estimates of the spherical mean $F_x^{-1}(t) = C \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x - ty) dS(y)$ and of solutions of the wave equation $\Delta_x u = \partial^2 u / \partial t^2$.

Introduction. For $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\gamma > -1$ we define the mean

$$F_x^\gamma(t) = \frac{2^{-\gamma}(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(1+\gamma)} \int_{|y|<1} (1 - |y|^2)^\gamma f(x - ty) dy,$$

$x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Γ is the gamma function. A computation of the Fourier transform of $F^\gamma(t)$ gives (see [SWe, p. 171])

$$\hat{F}_\xi^\gamma(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} F_x^\gamma(t) dx = m_\gamma(t\xi) \hat{f}(\xi),$$

where the multiplier

$$m_\gamma(\xi) = |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma}(|\xi|).$$

$J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma}$ is the Bessel function of order $\frac{n}{2} + \gamma$. (For more details about Bessel functions consult [E or W].) But since the multiplier m_γ is well-defined for all complex γ , we can extend the mean F^γ to these γ 's.

The same letter C will be used to denote various constants, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

For some values of γ the mean F^γ has a special meaning.

If $\gamma = 0$, then

$$F_x^0(t) = C \int_{|y|<1} f(x - ty) dy = \frac{C}{|B(x, t)|} \int_{B(x, t)} f(y) dy$$

the mean of f over the ball $B(x, t)$ of radius t with its centre in x .

If $\gamma = -1$, then

$$F_x^{-1}(t) = C \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x - ty) dS(y)$$

the mean of f over the sphere of radius t with its centre in x . dS is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit sphere S^{n-1} .

Received by the editors February 12, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 42B15; Secondary 44A30.

Key words and phrases. Mixed norm, spherical mean, wave equation.

If $\gamma = -\frac{n-1}{2}$, then $u(x, t) = CtF_x^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}(t)$ solves the following Cauchy problem for the wave equation.

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}(x, t) = \Delta_x u(x, t), \quad u(x, 0) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = f(x)$$

In this case the multiplier is given by

$$m_{-\frac{n-1}{2}}(t\xi) = |t\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} J_{\frac{1}{2}}(|t\xi|) = C(\sin t|\xi|)/t|\xi|.$$

If $\gamma = -\frac{n+1}{2}$, then $u(x, t) = CtF_x^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}(t)$ solves the wave equation with Cauchy data

$$u(x, 0) = f(x), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = 0.$$

The multiplier is then $m_{-\frac{n+1}{2}}(t\xi) = |t\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{-\frac{1}{2}}(|t\xi|) = C \cos t|\xi|$.

Estimates of spherical means which are related to the results in this paper can be found in [B1–B3, OB, PS, Sj1–Sj5, St2, STW, SWa and Str]. Related results of regularity properties of the solution of the wave equation are found in [Ma, Mi, Pr, Ss, St2 and Str]. [Sj2] also contains an application to convergence of Fourier integrals.

2. Preliminaries. Let $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ be the functions in $C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ with compact support in $\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

The operator J^α is defined by the relation $(J^\alpha \varphi)^\wedge(s) = (1+s^2)^{\alpha/2} \hat{\varphi}(s)$, and the norm in the Bessel potential space $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p(\mathbf{R})$ is defined by $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p} = \|J^\alpha \varphi\|_p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Cf. [St1]. $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ is the closure of $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2}$. $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ is the space obtained by complex interpolation between $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_{[\beta]}^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ and $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_{[\beta]+1}^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$, where $[\beta]$ is the integral part of β , $[\beta] \leq \beta < [\beta] + 1$. The norm is denoted $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2}$ and coincides, by definition, with the norm of $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2$ when β is an integer. Properties of the spaces $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ can be found in [LM].

$BMO(\mathbf{R})$ is the space of functions of bounded mean oscillation normed by

$$\|\varphi\|_{BMO} = \sup_I \left[|I|^{-1} \int_I |\varphi(t) - |I|^{-1} \int_I \varphi(s) ds| dt \right],$$

where I is a bounded interval. Cf. [St1, p. 164].

$\Lambda_\delta(\mathbf{R})$, $\delta > 0$, is the Lipschitz space with norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\Lambda_\delta} = \|\varphi\|_\infty + \sup_{t,y} y^{k-\delta} \left| \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial y^k}(t, y) \right|$$

where $u(t, y)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, $y > 0$, is the Poisson integral of φ and k is the smallest integer greater than δ . See [St1].

The Hardy space $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $0 < p \leq 1$, is defined to be the set of all temperate distributions f such that

$$\|f\|_{H^p} = \left\| \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |f * \psi_\varepsilon| \right\|_p < \infty,$$

where ψ is some fixed element of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (the Schwartz class) with $\int \psi(x) dx \neq 0$ and $\psi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-n} \psi(x/\varepsilon)$. If $1 < p < \infty$, H^p is defined to be equal to L^p with norm $\|f\|_{H^p} = \|f\|_p$. Cf. [FS].

Our results are the following.

THEOREM 1. *If $n \geq 2$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$,*

- (i) $\gamma \geq -\frac{n+1}{2}$,
- (ii) $\frac{n}{n+\frac{1}{2}+\gamma} \leq p \leq 2$,
- (iii) $\beta = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$, and
- (iv) $\alpha = \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma$,

then

$$(1) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \|f\|_{H^p},$$

where $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ and $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$. For $0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ and $\mathcal{L}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R})$ coincide. (1) is best possible in the sense that we cannot have $\alpha > \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma$.

REMARK 1. When $\gamma = -1$ and φ is a fixed function in $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ with compact support in $(0, \infty)$ and $\|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2}$ is replaced by C_φ in (1), then the result was obtained by P. Sjölin in [Sj2]. In [Sj4] this was extended to a larger class of means, viz.

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x - ty) \rho(x, y) dS(y),$$

where $\rho(x, y)$ satisfy certain differentiability properties.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $n \geq 2$ and γ , p and β satisfy (i) and (iii) of Theorem 1, $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ and $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$.*

If (v) $\frac{n}{n+\frac{1}{2}+\gamma} \leq p < \frac{n}{n+\gamma}$ and $q = -(\frac{n}{p'} + \gamma)^{-1}$, then

$$(2) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{L^q}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \|f\|_{H^p}.$$

If (vi) $p = \frac{n}{n+\gamma}$, then

$$(3) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{BMO}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \|f\|_{H^p}.$$

If (vii) $\frac{n}{n+\gamma} < p \leq 2$ and $\delta = \frac{n}{p'} + \gamma$, then

$$(4) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\Lambda_\delta}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \|f\|_{H^p}.$$

It is not possible to take $q > -(\frac{n}{p'} + \gamma)^{-1}$ in (2). The BMO-norm in (3) cannot be replaced by a Lipschitz-norm and (4) is no longer true if $\delta > \frac{n}{p'} + \gamma$.

REMARK 2. For $-1 \leq \gamma < 0$, set

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{-n-\gamma} \left(\log \frac{1}{|x|} \right)^{-1}, & \text{if } 0 < |x| \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $f \in L^{\frac{n}{n+\gamma}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, but $F_x^\gamma(|x|) = \infty$. This shows that the BMO -norm in (3) is not replaceable by the sup-norm. If $p > 1$, $\gamma > -1$ and $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$, then (1)–(4) is valid for $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$. (The case $\gamma = -1$ is contained in [Sj2].) The details are carried out at the end of the proof of Corollary 1.

THEOREM 2. Assume that $n \geq 2$, $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ and $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

If (viii) $-\frac{n+1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq -1$, (ix) $\frac{n-1}{n+\gamma} < p \leq 2$, $p \leq r \leq p'$, and (x) $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1$ (or (ix') $2 \leq p < -\frac{n-1}{1+\gamma} = \left(\frac{n-1}{n+\gamma}\right)'$, $r = p$, and (x') $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{n-1}{p} + \gamma + 1$), then

$$(5) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p}^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C_\varphi \|f\|_p.$$

If γ satisfies (viii) and is equal to an integer or is such that $\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$ is equal to an integer, then the conclusion still holds, if $r = p > 1$ and if $<$ is replaced by $\leq$ in (ix), (x), (ix') and (x').

REMARK 3. We conjecture that Theorem 2 is still true if we also allow $p = \frac{n-1}{n+\gamma}$ in (ix) and equality in (x) and (x'), since the conclusion holds for the endpoints $\gamma = -1$ and $\gamma = -\frac{n+1}{2}$ and for some values in between.

COROLLARY 2. Let $n \geq 2$, $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$, $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $-\frac{n+1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq -1$.

If (xi) $\frac{n-1}{n+\gamma} < p \leq \frac{n}{n+\gamma} \leq 2$, $p \leq q < -\left(\frac{n}{p'} + \gamma\right)^{-1}$, $p \leq r \leq p'$ (or (xi') $2 \leq -\frac{n-2}{1+\gamma} \leq p < -\frac{n-1}{1+\gamma}$, $p \leq q < -\left(\frac{n-2}{p} + \gamma + 1\right)^{-1}$, $r = p$), then

$$(6) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_q^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C_\varphi \|f\|_p.$$

If (xii) $\frac{n}{n+\gamma} < p \leq 2$, $p \leq r \leq p'$ (or (xii') $2 \leq p < -\frac{n-2}{1+\gamma}$, $r = p$), then

$$(7) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{BMO}^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C_\varphi \|f\|_p.$$

If (xiii) $\frac{n}{n+\gamma} < p \leq 2$, $0 < \delta < \frac{n}{p'} + \gamma$, $p \leq r \leq p'$ (or (xiii') $2 \leq p < -\frac{n-2}{1+\gamma}$, $0 < \delta < \frac{n-2}{p} + \gamma + 1$, $r = p$), then

$$(8) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\Lambda_\delta}^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C_\varphi \|f\|_p.$$

REMARK 4. Here we also have the corresponding better estimates when γ or $\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$ are integers. A combination of the methods and results of this paper with the estimates of $F_x^\gamma(1)$ given by Strichartz [Str] should give more mixed norm estimates.

COROLLARY 3. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$. Then it is possible to replace $\varphi(t)$ by $\varphi(t)|t|^\eta$ in (5)–(8), if

$$\eta > \frac{n}{r'} + \gamma, \quad p \leq 2,$$

or

$$\eta > \frac{n-2}{p} + \gamma + 1, \quad p \geq 2.$$

REMARK 5. Corollary 3 is contained in [Sj4, Theorem 4] in the case $\gamma = -1$ and $p \leq 2$, where it is also shown that the value $\frac{n}{r} - 1$ is best possible.

EXAMPLES. The estimate (3), for $n = 2$, $\gamma = -1$, can be seen as an endpoint result of Theorem 2 in [St2] and Theorem 1 in [B3].

Let $p = 1$ and $\gamma = -\frac{1}{2}$. Then it is easy to see that the H^1 -norm in (1) cannot be replaced by the L^1 -norm. However, we have that $F_x^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t)$ maps $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to weak $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (since $(1 - |y|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is in weak $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$), i.e.

$$|\{x; |F_x^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t)| > \lambda\}| \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda} \right)^2.$$

This also shows that the estimate

$$\|F^{-1}(1)\|_1 \leq C\|f\|_1$$

cannot be extended to

$$\|F^{-1+i\mu}(1)\|_1 \leq C(\mu)\|f\|_1, \quad \mu \in \mathbf{R},$$

where $F^{-1+i\mu}(1)$ and $C(\mu)$ satisfy the hypothesis of the interpolation theorem of Stein [SWe, p. 205]. For it would then be possible to interpolate with

$$\|F^{i\mu}(1)\|_\infty \leq Ce^{\pi|\mu|}\|f\|_1, \quad \mu \in \mathbf{R},$$

to get

$$\|F^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1)\|_2 \leq C\|f\|_1,$$

but this is false.

3. Proofs.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We start with the case where $\alpha = 0$ and prove a somewhat better estimate than (1). Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$, $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\gamma = k + i\mu - \frac{n+1}{2}$, where k is a nonnegative integer and $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$. With Fubini's theorem and Plancherel's identity we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t) F_x^\gamma(t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = C \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t) \hat{F}_\xi^\gamma(t)|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ (9) \quad &= C \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t) |t\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n+1}{2} - k - i\mu} J_{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{n+1}{2} + k + i\mu}(|t\xi|) \hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t) |t\xi|^{\frac{1}{2} - k - i\mu} J_{-\frac{1}{2} + k + i\mu}(|t\xi|) \hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The next step is to invoke the asymptotic estimate of Bessel functions for large arguments, i.e.

$$\left| J_{-\frac{1}{2} + k + i\mu}(r) \right| \leq C_k r^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{2\pi|\mu|},$$

where $r > 0$, $k \in \mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$. See [W, pp. 217–218] or [Bö]. So (9) can be majorized by

$$\begin{aligned} & C_k e^{2\pi|\mu|} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t)| t |\xi|^{-k} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C_k e^{2\pi|\mu|} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi(t) t^{-k}|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)| |\xi|^{-k}|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we make use of the assumption that $\varphi^{(k)}(0) = 0$ and Hardy's inequality, to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi(t) t^{-k}|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq C_k \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi'(t) t^{-k+1}|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \dots \leq C_k \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_k \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

See [T, p. 262]. This gives

$$(10) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_k e^{2\pi|\mu|} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2} \|\hat{f}| \cdot |^{-k}\|_2,$$

for $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Consider the function $G_x^\gamma(t)$, defined by

$$(G^\gamma(t))^\wedge(\xi) = |\xi|^{k+i\mu} \hat{F}_\xi^\gamma(t).$$

Then (10) becomes

$$\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi G_x^\gamma\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_k e^{2\pi|\mu|} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2} \|\hat{f}\|_2 = C_k e^{2\pi|\mu|} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2} \|f\|_2,$$

where $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Using complex interpolation (see [CJ, Theorem 2]) between k and $k+1$, we obtain

$$\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi G_x^\gamma\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \|f\|_2,$$

for $-\frac{n+1}{2} + k \leq \gamma \leq -\frac{n+1}{2} + k+1$, $\beta = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$, or equivalently

$$(11) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \|\hat{f}| \cdot |^{-\beta}\|_2,$$

for $\gamma \geq -\frac{n+1}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$. This is the improved inequality in the case $\alpha = 0$.

We now consider the case $\alpha = \beta$, i.e. $p = 2$ in (iv). Let φ , f and γ be as in the proof of the case $\alpha = 0$ and set $D^l = \frac{d^l}{dt^l}$. In this proof we use the following

LEMMA 1. *If $\nu \in \mathbf{C}$, $l \in \mathbf{N}$, $r > 0$ and $\Re \nu \geq l - \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$|r^l D^l (r^{-\nu} J_\nu(r))| \leq C e^{3\pi|\Im \nu|}.$$

C depends only on $\Re \nu$ and l .

We postpone the proof of the lemma.

The $L^2(\mathcal{L}_k^2)$ norm of φF^γ , $\gamma = k + i\mu - \frac{n+1}{2}$, is split into two L^2 norms.

$$\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left[\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|D^k(\varphi F_x^\gamma)\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$

The first one is easily estimated ($l = 0$ in the lemma).

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= C \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t) \hat{F}_\xi^{-\frac{n+1}{2} + k + i\mu}(t)|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \varphi(t) |t\xi|^{\frac{1}{2} - k - i\mu} J_{-\frac{1}{2} + k + i\mu}(|t\xi|) \hat{f}(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|\varphi\|_2 \|\hat{f}\|_2 \leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2} \|f\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

Set $B(r) = r^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}(r)$ and estimate the second term again by Hardy's inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|D^k(\varphi F_x^\gamma)\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left\| \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{k-l} D^{k-l} \varphi D^l F_x^\gamma \right\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{l=0}^k \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|D^{k-l} \varphi D^l F_x^\gamma\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \sum_{l=0}^k \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |D^{k-l} \varphi(t)|^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |D^l F_x^\gamma(t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \sum_{l=0}^k \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |D^{k-l} \varphi(t)|^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |D^l \hat{F}_\xi^\gamma(t)|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \sum_{l=0}^k \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |D^{k-l} \varphi(t)|^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |D^l(B(|t\xi|)) \hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \sum_{l=0}^k \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |D^{k-l} \varphi(t)|^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| |\xi|^l (\operatorname{sgn} t)^l (D^l B)(|t\xi|) \hat{f}(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \sum_{l=0}^k \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |D^{k-l} \varphi(t) t^{-l}|^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| |t\xi|^l (D^l B)(|t\xi|) \hat{f}(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \sum_{l=0}^k \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |D^{k-l} \varphi(t) t^{-l}|^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \sum_{l=0}^k \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |D^{k-l} \varphi(t) t^{-l}|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\hat{f}\|_2 \\ &\leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|D^k \varphi\|_2 \|f\|_2 \leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2} \|f\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

because $\Re(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma) = k - \frac{1}{2} \geq l - \frac{1}{2}$ and the condition in the lemma is fulfilled. So

$$\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2} \|f\|_2,$$

for $k \in \mathbf{N}$, and as before we interpolate between the k 's. Using again the extension of Stein's interpolation theorem for the complex family φF^γ we get

$$(12) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \|f\|_2,$$

for $\gamma \geq -\frac{n+1}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$. See [CJ, Theorem 2]. For interpolation of the spaces $L^2(\mathcal{L}_k^2)$, see [BL, pp. 107 and 153]. This proves the theorem in the case $\alpha = \beta$.

We end up by interpolating between (11) and (12) with the following result.

$$\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \|\hat{f} \cdot |\cdot|^{\alpha-\beta}\|_2,$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$. But from the boundedness of fractional integrals on L^p spaces and its extension to H^p spaces we also get that

$$\|\hat{f} \cdot |\cdot|^{\alpha-\beta}\|_2 \leq C \|f\|_{H^p},$$

if

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{n} = 1 + \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma - \alpha}{n}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \alpha = \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma.$$

See [BL, p. 168 or P, p. 50].

Hardy's inequality carries over from $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ to $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_k^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ if the derivatives are to be understood in the weak sense. Consequently, the proof for $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ holds also for $\varphi \in \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_k^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$.

We continue with the proof of the identity $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}) = \mathcal{L}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R})$, $0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{2}$.

It is enough to show the identity $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}) = \mathcal{L}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R})$, since $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}) = \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ if $0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ (see [LM, p. 64]).

Take a φ in $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ and let $\{\varphi_i\}_1^\infty$ be a sequence in $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ converging to φ . Extending the sequence to the whole real line by $\varphi_i(0) = 0$, for all i , we obtain a sequence in $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ that converges to φ . Thus $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}) \subset \mathcal{L}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R})$.

Now take a φ in $\mathcal{L}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R})$ and a sequence $\{\varphi_i\}_1^\infty$ in $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\|\varphi - \varphi_i\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. From this sequence we shall construct another one in $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$, with supports in $\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and converging to φ thus showing that $\mathcal{L}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R}) \subset \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$.

Let $\psi(t) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ be equal to 0, if $|t| < \frac{1}{2}$, and equal to 1, if $|t| \geq 1$. For given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose i such that $1/i < \varepsilon$ and $\|\varphi - \varphi_i\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} < \varepsilon/2$. We claim that it is possible to choose, for each i , an $R = R(i) > 1$ such that

$$\|\varphi_i - \varphi_i \psi_{R(i)}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} < \frac{1}{2i}.$$

Here $\psi_R(t) = \psi(Rt)$. Then $\{\varphi_i \psi_{R(i)}\}_1^\infty$ is the desired sequence, because

$$\|\varphi - \varphi_i \psi_{R(i)}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} \leq \|\varphi - \varphi_i\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} + \|\varphi_i - \varphi_i \psi_{R(i)}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{2i} < \varepsilon.$$

Now to the proof of the claim. For $\beta = 1$ we estimate the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_i - \varphi_i \psi_R\|_{\mathcal{L}_1^2} &= \|\varphi_i(1 - \psi_R)\|_{\mathcal{L}_1^2} \\ &\leq \|\varphi_i(1 - \psi_R)\|_2 + \|(\varphi_i(1 - \psi_R))'\|_2 \\ &= \|\varphi_i(1 - \psi_R)\|_2 + \|\varphi_i(1 - \psi_R)' + \varphi_i'(1 - \psi_R)\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\varphi_i\|_\infty \|1 - \psi_R\|_2 + \|\varphi_i\|_\infty \|(1 - \psi_R)'\|_2 + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty \|1 - \psi_R\|_2 \\ &\leq (\|\varphi_i\|_\infty + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty)(2\|1 - \psi_R\|_2 + \|(1 - \psi_R)'\|_2) \\ &= (\|\varphi_i\|_\infty + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty)(2\|1 - \psi_R\|_2 + R\|(1 - \psi)'\|_2). \end{aligned}$$

A dilation gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_i - \varphi_i \psi_R\|_{\mathcal{L}_1^2} &\leq (\|\varphi_i\|_\infty + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty)(R^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2\|1 - \psi\|_2 + R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(1 - \psi)'\|_2) \\ &\leq R^{\frac{1}{2}} 2(\|\varphi_i\|_\infty + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty)\|1 - \psi\|_{\mathcal{L}_1^2}, \end{aligned}$$

if we choose $R \geq 1$. Setting $\psi_1 = 1 - \psi$ this can be rewritten as

$$(13) \quad \|\varphi_i \psi_1(R \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}_1^2} \leq R^{\frac{1}{2}} 2(\|\varphi_i\|_\infty + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty)\|\psi_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_1^2}.$$

For $\beta = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_i - \varphi_i \psi_R\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2} &= \|\varphi_i(1 - \psi_R)\|_2 \leq \|\varphi_i\|_\infty \|1 - \psi_R\|_2 \\ &= \|\varphi_i\|_\infty R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|1 - \psi\|_2 \leq R^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2(\|\varphi_i\|_\infty + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty)\|1 - \psi\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$(14) \quad \|\varphi_i \psi_1(R \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2} \leq R^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2(\|\varphi_i\|_\infty + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty)\|\psi_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2}.$$

Interpolating between (13) and (14) yields

$$(15) \quad \|\varphi_i \psi_1(R \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} \leq R^{\beta - \frac{1}{2}} 2(\|\varphi_i\|_\infty + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty)\|\psi_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2}.$$

Since $0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ it is possible to choose $R > 1$ so that the right-hand side of (15) becomes less than $\frac{1}{2i}$.

We finish the proof of Theorem 1 by showing that it is impossible to have $\alpha > \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma$ in (1).

Take a fixed $a \geq 1$. Set $T_t^\gamma f(x) = F_x^\gamma(t)$ and $g_a(x) = g(ax)$. Computing the Fourier transform of g_a yields $\widehat{(g_a)}(\xi) = a^{-n} \hat{g}(\xi/a)$. With these identities we get

$$\begin{aligned} (T_t^\gamma(f_a))^\wedge(\xi) &= m_\gamma(t\xi) \widehat{(f_a)}(\xi) = m_\gamma(t\xi) a^{-n} \hat{f}(\xi/a) \\ &= m_\gamma(at\xi a^{-1}) a^{-n} \hat{f}(\xi/a) = a^{-n} (T_{at}^\gamma f)^\wedge(\xi/a) = ((T_{at}^\gamma f)_a)^\wedge(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

That is $T_t^\gamma(f_a)(x) = (T_{at}^\gamma f)(ax)$. Applying (1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi_a T^\gamma(f_a)(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi(a \cdot) (T_{at}^\gamma f)_a(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\varphi(a \cdot)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \|f_a\|_{H^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $\psi_a(t) = \psi(at) = T_a^\gamma f$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\varphi_a \psi_a\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2} &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |((\varphi\psi)_a)^\wedge(s)|^2 (1+s^2)^\alpha ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |a^{-1}(\widehat{\varphi\psi})(s/a)|^2 (1+s^2)^\alpha ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= a^{-1} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |(\widehat{\varphi\psi})(t)|^2 (1+a^2 t^2)^\alpha a dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\geq a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |(\widehat{\varphi\psi})(t)|^2 (a^2 t^2)^\alpha dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= a^{-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |(\widehat{\varphi\psi})(t)|^2 t^{2\alpha} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

A change of variables in the integral defining the H^p -norm gives $\|f_a\|_{H^p} = a^{-\frac{n}{p}} \|f\|_{H^p}$.

We introduce the space

$$\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}) = \left\{ \varphi; \varphi \in \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}), |\cdot|^{[\beta]-\beta} D^{[\beta]} \varphi \in L^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}) \right\}$$

with norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2}^\circ = \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} + \| |\cdot|^{[\beta]-\beta} D^{[\beta]} \varphi \|_2.$$

With this space we have a description of $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$, viz.

$$\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}) = \begin{cases} \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}), & \text{if } \beta - [\beta] = \frac{1}{2}, \\ \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

See [LM, p. 66]. Thus $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}) \subset \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ and we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\varphi(a \cdot)\|_{\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} &\leq \|\varphi(a \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} + \| |\cdot|^{[\beta]-\beta} D^{[\beta]} \varphi(a \cdot) \|_2 \\
 &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |a^{-1} \hat{\varphi}(s/a)|^2 (1+s^2)^\beta ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| |t|^{[\beta]-\beta} a^{[\beta]} (D^{[\beta]} \varphi)(at) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |a^{-1} \hat{\varphi}(u)|^2 (1+a^2 u^2)^\beta a du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| a^\beta |v|^{[\beta]-\beta} (D^{[\beta]} \varphi)(v) \right|^2 a^{-1} dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |\hat{\varphi}(u)|^2 (a^2(1+u^2))^\beta du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + a^{-\frac{1}{2}+\beta} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| |v|^{[\beta]-\beta} (D^{[\beta]} \varphi)(v) \right|^2 dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= a^{-\frac{1}{2}+\beta} \|\varphi\|_{\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2}^\circ.
 \end{aligned}$$

Summing up the estimates gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi_a(T_a^\gamma f)_a(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= a^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi_a T_a^\gamma f(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\geq a^{-\frac{n}{2}} a^{-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} C = C a^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{J}$ does not depend on a . But we also have that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\varphi_a T^\gamma(f_a)(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi_a\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \|f_a\|_{H^p} \leq a^{-\frac{1}{2}+\beta} C a^{-\frac{n}{p}} C = C a^{-\frac{1}{2}+\beta-\frac{n}{p}},$$

$\varphi \in \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2$. With the a 's on the left-hand side

$$a^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha+\frac{1}{2}-\beta+\frac{n}{p}} = a^{\alpha-\beta-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{n}{p}} \leq C$$

or

$$\alpha - \beta - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{p} \leq 0,$$

because $a \geq 1$. But this implies

$$\alpha \leq \beta + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{p} = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{p} = \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma.$$

So it is impossible to have

$$\alpha > \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma.$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 1. $\square$

PROOF OF LEMMA 1. We use the formula

$$2D^1 J_\nu(r) = J_{\nu-1}(r) - J_{\nu+1}(r)$$

and the fact that

$$|J_\nu(r)| \leq C e^{2\pi|\Im \nu|} r^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

if $\Re \nu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ and $r > 0$. Here C depends only on $\Re \nu$. See [W, pp. 45 and 217–218] or [Bö]. The first identity repeated j times gives

$$D^j J_\nu(r) = \sum_{i=-j}^j a_i J_{\nu+i}(r).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} r^l D^l(r^{-\nu} J_\nu(r)) &= r^l \sum_{j=0}^l b_j r^{-\nu-(l-j)} D^j J_\nu(r) = r^l \sum_{j=0}^l b_j r^{-\nu-l+j} \sum_{i=-j}^j a_i J_{\nu+i}(r) \\ &= r^l \sum_{j=0}^l \sum_{i=-j}^j b_j a_i r^{-l+j+i} r^{-\nu-i} J_{\nu+i}(r) = \sum_{j=0}^l \sum_{i=-j}^j b_j a_i r^{j+i} r^{-(\nu+i)} J_{\nu+i}(r). \end{aligned}$$

The case $0 \leq r \leq 1$: $r^{\nu+i} \leq 1$, since $j+i \geq 0$ and

$$|r^{-(\nu+i)} J_{\nu+i}(r)| \leq C e^{2\pi|\Im \nu|},$$

since $\Re(\nu+i) \geq \Re \nu - j \geq \Re \nu - l \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ and as a consequence the double sum is bounded by $C e^{3\pi|\Im \nu|}$, because b_j have only polynomial growth in $\Im \nu$.

The case $r \geq 1$. We have that

$$|r^{-(\nu+i)} J_{\nu+i}(r)| \leq C e^{2\pi|\Im \nu|} r^{-\frac{1}{2}-\nu-i},$$

since $\Re(\nu + i) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |r^l D^l (r^{-\nu} J_\nu(r))| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^l |b_j a_i r^{-\nu+j}| C e^{2\pi|\Im \nu|} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C e^{3\pi|\Im \nu|} \sum_{j=0}^l r^{-\Re \nu+j-\frac{1}{2}} \leq C e^{3\pi|\Im \nu|}, \end{aligned}$$

because $-\Re \nu + j - \frac{1}{2} \leq -\Re \nu + l - \frac{1}{2} \leq 0$. This shows the lemma. $\square$

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1. If $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \alpha$ and $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, then $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2(\mathbf{R}) \subset L^q(\mathbf{R})$ with corresponding norm inequalities. Thus (2) follows if

$$0 \leq \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \left(\frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma \right),$$

but this is (v).

$\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}}^2(\mathbf{R})$ is continuously embedded in $BMO(\mathbf{R})$, i.e.

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma$$

which is (vi) and then (3) follows.

If

$$\delta = \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma > \frac{1}{2},$$

then $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2(\mathbf{R}) \subset \Lambda_\delta(\mathbf{R})$ and as a consequence we have (4) if (vii) holds.

Compare with the proof of Corollary 2.

In the homogeneity argument showing the necessity of

$$\alpha = \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma$$

in (1), we used that

$$\|\varphi_a\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2} \geq C a^{-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}.$$

Here C is independent of a . In the same way it is easy to see that (2), (3) and (4) can not be improved using

$$\|\varphi_a\|_q = a^{-\frac{1}{q}} \|\varphi\|_q$$

and, for $0 < \delta < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_a\|_{\Lambda_\delta} &= \|\varphi_a\|_\infty + \sup_{|t|>0} \frac{\|\varphi_a(u+t) - \varphi_a(u)\|_\infty}{|t|^\delta} \\ &\geq \sup_{|t|>0} \frac{\|\varphi(au+at) - \varphi(au)\|_\infty}{|t|^\delta} = a^\delta \sup_{|t|>0} \frac{\|\varphi(v+at) - \varphi(v)\|_\infty}{|at|^\delta} = C a^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

When $\delta \geq 1$ the argument is similar but involves higher order differences. See [St1, Chapter V, §4].

Next we prove the extension of f to $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if $p > 1$, $\gamma > -1$ and $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$.

Assume that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset (0, \infty)$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Let $\{f_k\}_1^\infty$ be a sequence of functions in $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ converging to f in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and let $F_{x,k}^\gamma(t)$ be

the mean of f_k . Estimating the Fourier transform of $\varphi(t)(F_x^\gamma(t) - F_{x,k}^\gamma(t))$ in the t -variable gives

$$\begin{aligned} |(\varphi(F_x^\gamma - F_{x,k}^\gamma))^\wedge(s)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-ist} \varphi(t) (F_x^\gamma(t) - F_{x,k}^\gamma(t)) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-ist} \varphi(t) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (f(x - ty) - f_k(x - ty)) (1 - |y|^2)_+^\gamma dy dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-ist} \varphi(t) \int_0^1 \int_{S^{n-1}} (f - f_k)(x - tr y') (1 - r^2)^\gamma dS(y') r^{n-1} dr dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 r^{n-1} (1 - r^2)^\gamma \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (f - f_k)(x - ry) e^{-is|y|} \varphi(|y|) |y|^{1-n} dy dr \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\varphi_1(y) = \varphi(|y|)|y|^{1-n}$ and change variables, $y = \frac{z}{r}$, in the inner integral. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(\varphi(F_x^\gamma - F_{x,k}^\gamma))^\wedge(s)| &\leq \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \varphi_1\left(\frac{z}{r}\right) \right| |(f - f_k)(x - z)| dz (1 - r^2)^\gamma \frac{dr}{r} \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left\| \varphi_1\left(\frac{\cdot}{r}\right) \right\|_{p'} (1 - r^2)^\gamma \frac{dr}{r} \|f - f_k\|_p \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's inequality. Here

$$\int_0^1 \left\| \varphi_1\left(\frac{\cdot}{r}\right) \right\|_{p'} (1 - r^2)^\gamma \frac{dr}{r} \leq C,$$

if $p > 1$, $\gamma > -1$, and $\|f - f_k\|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. An application of Fatou's lemma now shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |(\varphi F_x^\gamma)^\wedge(s)|^2 (1 + s^2)^\alpha ds dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \underline{\lim} |(\varphi F_{x,k}^\gamma)^\wedge(s)|^2 (1 + s^2)^\alpha ds dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \underline{\lim} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |(\varphi F_{x,k}^\gamma)^\wedge(s)|^2 (1 + s^2)^\alpha ds dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \underline{\lim} C \|f_k\|_p = C \|f\|_p \end{aligned}$$

and (1)–(4) can be extended to $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

This also applies to φ such that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset (-\infty, 0)$, and therefore all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ by splitting the support in two. $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. The proof is divided into two parts. In the first one we prove (5) in the case $\alpha = 0$. The second part contains an interpolation argument, where the result proved in the first part is interpolated with the L^2 case of Theorem 1.

Assume that $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ and $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Consider the mean for $\gamma = -1 + \varepsilon + i\mu$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$.

$$F_x^{-1+\varepsilon+i\mu}(t) = \frac{C 2^{-\varepsilon-i\mu}}{\Gamma(\varepsilon + i\mu)} \int_{|y|<1} (1 - |y|^2)^{-1+\varepsilon+i\mu} f(x - ty) dy.$$

C depends on the dimension n only. Taking $t = 1$, the $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ norm of the mean can be estimated:

$$\begin{aligned} \|F^{-1+\varepsilon+i\mu}(1)\|_1 &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \frac{C2^{-\varepsilon-i\mu}}{\Gamma(\varepsilon+i\mu)} \int_{|y|<1} (1-|y|^2)^{-1+\varepsilon+i\mu} f(x-y) dy \right| dx \\ &\leq \frac{C2^{-\varepsilon}}{|\Gamma(\varepsilon+i\mu)|} \int_{|y|<1} (1-|y|^2)^{-1+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x-y)| dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{C2^{-\varepsilon}}{|\Gamma(\varepsilon+i\mu)|} \int_{|y|<1} (1-|y|^2)^{-1+\varepsilon} dy \|f\|_1 \\ &\leq C_\varepsilon |\Gamma(\varepsilon+i\mu)|^{-1} \|f\|_1 = C_\varepsilon e^{\pi|\mu|} \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate of the gamma function can be found in [E, Volume 1, p. 47].

We continue with the L^2 estimate of the mean when $\gamma = -\frac{n+1}{2} + i\mu$ and $t = 1$. In this case the multiplier

$$m_{-\frac{n+1}{2}+i\mu}(\xi) = |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}-i\mu} J_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\mu}(|\xi|)$$

and as we have seen in Lemma 1 it can be estimated, i.e.

$$\left| m_{-\frac{n+1}{2}+i\mu}(\xi) \right| \leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|}.$$

C depends only on the dimension n . Therefore by Plancherel's identity

$$\begin{aligned} \|F^{-\frac{n+1}{2}+i\mu}(1)\|_2 &= C \|\hat{F}^{-\frac{n+1}{2}+i\mu}(1)\|_2 = C \|m_{-\frac{n+1}{2}+i\mu} \hat{f}\|_2 \\ &\leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|\hat{f}\|_2 = C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|f\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

The operator $F^\gamma(1)$ is of “admissible” growth, so we can perform the complex interpolation of Stein (see [SWe, p. 205]) to get the following:

$$(16) \quad \|F^\gamma(1)\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p,$$

where $-\frac{n+1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq -1 + \varepsilon$ and $p = \frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon}$. By duality we also have (16) if $p' = \frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon}$. Now the standard dilation argument shows that (16) can be replaced with

$$\|F^\gamma(t)\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p.$$

The constant C does not depend on the variable t . Now by Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_p^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi(t) F_x^\gamma(t)|^p dt dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi(t)|^p \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |F_x^\gamma(t)|^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi(t)|^p C^p \|f\|_p^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = C \|\varphi\|_p \|f\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Take φ , f , ε , and μ as before and define $a_+ = \max(a, 0)$. This gives

$$\|\varphi F_x^{\varepsilon-1+i\mu}\|_1 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \varphi(t) \frac{2^{-\varepsilon+1-i\mu}(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\varepsilon+i\mu)} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (1-|y|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1+i\mu} f(x-ty) dy \right| dt.$$

A change of variable $z = ty$ makes this equal to

$$\frac{2^{1-\varepsilon}(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{|\Gamma(\varepsilon+i\mu)|} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \varphi(t) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1+i\mu} f(x-z) |t|^{-n} dz \right| dt.$$

Again using the asymptotic expansion of the gamma function and Fubini's theorem we get that

$$\|\varphi F_x^{\varepsilon-1+i\mu}\|_1 \leq C_\varepsilon e^{3\pi|\mu|} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x-z)| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t)| |t|^{-n} (1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1} dt dz.$$

If we can show that the kernel

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t)| |t|^{-n} (1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1} dt$$

of the convolution of the right-hand side is bounded, then

$$(17) \quad \sup_x \|\varphi F_x^{\varepsilon-1+i\mu}\|_1 \leq C_\varepsilon e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|f\|_1.$$

But by the trivial estimate $(1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1} \leq (1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1}$ and splitting the integral defining the kernel in two parts, we can find a bound of the kernel

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|t| \geq |z|} |\varphi(t)| |t|^{-n} (1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)^{\varepsilon-1} dt &\leq \int_{|t| \geq |z|} |\varphi(t)| |t|^{-n} (1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)^{\varepsilon-1} dt \\ &= \int_{|t| > 2|z|} |\varphi(t)| |t|^{-n} (1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)^{\varepsilon-1} dt + \int_{|z| \leq |t| \leq 2|z|} |\varphi(t)| |t|^{-n} (1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)^{\varepsilon-1} dt \\ &\leq \int_{|t| > 2|z|} |\varphi(t)| |t|^{-n} 2^{1-\varepsilon} dt + \int_{|z| \leq |t| \leq 2|z|} |\varphi(t)| |t|^{-n+1} |t|^{-1} (1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)^{\varepsilon-1} dt \\ &\leq 2^{1-\varepsilon} \int_{|t| > 2|z|} |\varphi(t)| |t|^{-n} dt \\ &\quad + \left(\sup_{|z| \leq |t| \leq 2|z|} |\varphi(t)| |t|^{-n+1} \right) \int_{\frac{1}{2} \leq |z/t| \leq 1} (1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)^{\varepsilon-1} |t|^{-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Changing variable $s = \frac{|z|}{t}$ in the second integral makes it equal to

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2} \leq |s| \leq 1} (1-|s|)^{\varepsilon-1} \left| \frac{s}{z} \right| \frac{|z|}{s^2} ds \leq 4 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-s)^{\varepsilon-1} ds = \frac{4 \cdot 2^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}.$$

Summing up we see that the kernel is bounded if $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$. We now use an extended version of Stein's interpolation theorem for a complex family of operators (see [BP, p. 313]) to get

$$(18) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{p'}^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C \|f\|_p,$$

$p = \frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon}$, from (17) and the earlier used L^2 estimate

$$(19) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^{-\frac{n+1}{2}+i\mu}\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|\varphi\|_2 \|f\|_2 = C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|f\|_2.$$

Let γ be fixed in $[-\frac{n+1}{2}, -1]$. Using Riesz-Thorin's theorem for vector-valued functions (see [BL, p. 107]) we can interpolate between

$$(20) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_p^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_p \|f\|_p,$$

where $p = \frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon} > 1$ and (1) for $\alpha = \beta = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$, i.e.

$$(21) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} \|f\|_2$$

and obtain

$$(22) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p}^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{p_0}^{1-\theta} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2}^\theta \|f\|_p.$$

Here $\frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon} = p_0 \leq p \leq 2$, $\alpha = \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - \frac{2}{p})$ and $\theta = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$.

Using the same argument we can interpolate between (20) with p such that $p' = \frac{p}{p-1} = \frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon} < \infty$ and (21) to obtain (22) with $2 \leq p \leq p'_0 = -\frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{1+\gamma-\varepsilon}$, $\alpha = \frac{n-1}{p} + \gamma + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - \frac{2}{p'})$ and $\theta = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$.

We now continue with the interpolation of (18), $p = \frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon}$, and (21). This yields

$$(23) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{p'}}^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C_\varphi \|f\|_p$$

for $\frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon} \leq p \leq 2$ and $\alpha = \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - \frac{2}{p})$. Another application of the above type of Riesz-Thorin's interpolation theorem, now applied to (22) and (23), gives

$$\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p}^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C_\varphi \|f\|_p.$$

Here $\frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon} \leq p \leq 2$, $p \leq r \leq p'$ and $\alpha = \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - \frac{2}{p})$ (γ fixed). But since the spaces $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p(\mathbf{R})$ decrease when α increases, the conclusion still holds if we allow $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - \frac{2}{p})$ (or $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{n-1}{p} + \gamma + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - \frac{2}{p'})$ if $p \geq 2$). So, for an arbitrary p such that $\frac{n-1}{p'} < p \leq 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1$ we choose a small positive ε so that $\frac{n-1}{n+\gamma} < \frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon} \leq p \leq 2$ and $\alpha \leq \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - \frac{2}{p}) < \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1$. The same thing is done for $2 \leq p < -\frac{n-1}{1+\gamma}$ and $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{n-1}{p} + \gamma + 1$.

This proves (5) under the conditions (viii), (ix) and (x) (or (viii), (ix') and (x')).

We now prove (5) under the assumption that $\alpha \leq \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1$ and the restriction $r = p$ and γ an integer.

Let $M(A, B)$ be the class of multipliers that give bounded operators from A to B , and set $M_p = M(L^p, L^p)$. The estimate $\|f * dS\|_1 \leq C \|f\|_1$ is easy, since

the convolution with a finite measure is bounded in $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. This shows that the corresponding multiplier

$$m(|\xi|) = (\widehat{dS})(\xi) = C|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}+1}J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(|\xi|) \in M_1.$$

Now a computation (see [Pr and E, Volume 2, p. 11]) of the derivative of the multiplier gives

$$\frac{dm}{dr}(r) = -r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1}J_{\frac{n}{2}}(r) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n R_i(x_i dS(x)) \right)^{\wedge}(r),$$

where $r = |\xi|$ and R_i are the Riesz transforms, defined by $(\widehat{R_i f})(\xi) = \frac{\xi_i}{|\xi|} \hat{f}(\xi)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. So the new operator looks as follows:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n R_i(x_i dS(x)) \right) * f = \sum_{i=1}^n ((R_i(x_i dS(x)) * f) = \sum_{i=1}^n ((R_i f) * (x_i dS(x)).$$

The convolution of a function with the measure $x_i dS(x)$ is bounded on $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, since it is finite. The Riesz transforms are bounded on $H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (see [St1, p. 232]). Thus the operator $\sum_{i=1}^n ((R_i f) * (x_i dS(x))$ from $H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is bounded, or equivalently $|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}+1}J_{\frac{n}{2}}(|\xi|) \in M(H^1, L^1)$.

LEMMA 2. Assume that $-\frac{n+1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq -1$, $p(\gamma) = \frac{n-1}{n+\gamma}$ and $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$.

If

$$|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma)},$$

then

$$|\xi|^\lambda |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma-\lambda)}.$$

If

$$|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma+1}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma)}, \quad \gamma < -1,$$

then

$$|\xi|^\lambda |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma+1}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma-\lambda)}.$$

Assume for a moment the truth of this lemma. We use induction to prove our assertion. The induction hypothesis is

$$(24) \quad r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+k}J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-k}(r), \quad r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+k}J_{\frac{n}{2}-k}(r) \in M_{p(-k-1)},$$

where $k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ and $m \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$. We shall show that (24) holds true even for $k = m$.

With use of the recursion formula $J_{\nu-1}(r) = \frac{2\nu}{r}J_{\nu}(r) - J_{\nu+1}(r)$ (see [E, Volume 2, p. 12]) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+m}J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-m}(r) \\ &= r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+m} \left[\frac{2(\frac{n}{2}+m)}{r} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-(m-1)}(r) - J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-(m-2)}(r) \right] \\ &= (n+2m)r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+(m-1)}J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-(m-1)}(r) - r \cdot r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+(m-1)}J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-(m-2)}(r) \end{aligned}$$

The first term belongs to $M_{p(-m)}$ according to the assumption, but $M_{p(-m)} \subset M_{p(-m-1)}$ by duality and interpolation. See [BL, p. 133]. The second term

belongs to $M_{p(-m-1)}$, because

$$r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+(m-1)} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-(m-2)}(r) \in M_{p(-m)}$$

by the assumption and this together with the lemma gives

$$r \cdot r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+(m-1)} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-(m-2)}(r) \in M_{p(-m-1)}.$$

Therefore, $r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+m} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-m}(r) \in M_{p(-m-1)}$.

Consider now

$$r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+m} J_{\frac{n}{2}-m}(r) = r \cdot r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+(m-1)} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-(m-1)}(r).$$

But according to the assumption

$$r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+(m-1)} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-(m-1)}(r) \in M_{p(-m)}$$

and a multiplication with r puts the multiplier in the class $M_{p(-m-1)}$ by the lemma. Thus (24) is true for $k = m$. This proves the induction step. To conclude the starting point $k = 1$, we observe that it follows from the fact that

$$(25) \quad r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(r) \in M_1 \quad \text{and} \quad r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1} J_{\frac{n}{2}}(r) \in M(H^1, L^1).$$

Applying the above argument and the inclusions $M_{p(-1)} = M_1 \subset M(H^1, L^1) \subset M_{p(-2)}$, (25) can be interpreted as step $k = 0$. But the assumption (24) implies that $\|F^\gamma(1)\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$, for integral γ . By the same homogeneity argument as before one easily sees that

$$\|F^\gamma(t)\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p, \quad p = p(\gamma),$$

with C independent of t , and as a consequence, for $r = p$,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_p^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi(t)|^p \|F^\gamma(t)\|_p^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C\|\varphi\|_p \|f\|_p.$$

Thus (5) follows from the above mentioned interpolation with (1) ($\alpha = \beta$).

We next assume that $\alpha \leq \frac{n-1}{p} + \gamma + 1$, $r = p$ and $\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$ is equal to an integer. For such γ 's $J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma}$ becomes a "spherical" Bessel function and the remainder term in its asymptotic expansion vanishes (see [E, Volume 2, p. 78]), i.e.

$$J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma}(|\xi|) = \sum_{i=0}^k \left(c_i e^{i|\xi|} + d_i e^{-i|\xi|} \right) |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}-i}.$$

Let ϕ be a cut-off function in $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\phi(|\xi|) = 1$ for $|\xi| < 1$ and $\phi(|\xi|) = 0$ for $|\xi| > 2$. Then

$$\phi(|\xi|) |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma}(|\xi|) \in M_p, \quad p \geq 1,$$

since $|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma}(|\xi|)$ is C^∞ and bounded for small ξ if $\gamma \geq -\frac{n+1}{2}$. It will be enough to find an estimate for the first term $(1-\phi(|\xi|)) (c_0 e^{i|\xi|} + d_0 e^{-i|\xi|}) |\xi|^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-\gamma}$ in

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-\phi(|\xi|)) |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma}(|\xi|) \\ &= (1-\phi(|\xi|)) \left(c_0 e^{i|\xi|} + d_0 e^{-i|\xi|} \right) |\xi|^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-\gamma} \\ &+ \cdots + (1-\phi(|\xi|)) \left(c_k e^{i|\xi|} + d_k e^{-i|\xi|} \right) |\xi|^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-\gamma-k}, \end{aligned}$$

since the others decay faster. k is a number depending on $\frac{n}{2} + \gamma$ only. But such an estimate falls under the scope of Theorem 1 in [Mi] and as a consequence we get that the first term belongs to $M(H^p, H^p)$ if

$$(n-1) \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma.$$

This means that $\|F^\gamma(1)\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$, $1 < p < \infty$, if $-\frac{n+1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq -1$ and $\frac{n-1}{n+\gamma} \leq p \leq 2$ (or $\frac{n-1}{n+\gamma} \leq p' \leq 2$). $\|F^{-1}(1)\|_1 \leq C\|f\|_1$ is contained in the above case where γ is an integer. From this we obtain, as before, (5) under the desired conditions by interpolation with (1) ($\alpha = \beta$). $\square$

PROOF OF LEMMA 2. It is known that $|\xi|^{i\mu} \in M(H^1, H^1) \subset M(H^1, L^1)$, $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$, with

$$\| |\xi|^{i\mu} \|_{M(H^1, L^1)} \leq C(1 + |\mu|)^{n+1}.$$

($|\xi|^{i\mu}$ satisfies Hörmander's hypothesis for the Mihlin multiplier theorem and gives rise to an operator bounded on $H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. See [FS, p. 159].) This implies that

$$|\xi|^{i\mu - \frac{n}{2} + 1} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(|\xi|) \in M(H^1, L^1),$$

because

$$|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}+1} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(|\xi|) = C(\widehat{dS})(\xi) \in M_1.$$

We have that $M(H^1, L^1) \subset M_{p(\gamma)}$ if $-\frac{n+1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq -1$, and as a consequence

$$|\xi|^{i\mu - \frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma)}$$

if

$$|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma)}.$$

In the other endpoint we have that

$$\left| |\xi|^{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma + i\mu} |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}(|\xi|) \right| = \left| |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}(|\xi|) \right| \leq C,$$

if $\gamma \geq -\frac{n+1}{2}$. Cf. Lemma 1 ($l = 0$). Thus

$$|\xi|^{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma + i\mu} |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}(|\xi|) \in M_2,$$

with the M_2 -norm independent of μ . Interpolating the complex family of operators, defined by the multipliers $|\xi|^{\lambda + i\mu} |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}(|\xi|)$, between the endpoints $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$ gives

$$|\xi|^\lambda |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma - \lambda)},$$

$0 < \lambda \leq \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$. If

$$|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma + 1}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma)}, \quad \gamma < -1, \quad \text{or} \quad |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} + 1} J_{\frac{n}{2}}(|\xi|) \in M(H^1, L^1),$$

we replace $J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}$ ($J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}$) by $J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma + 1}$ ($J_{\frac{n}{2}}$) in the previous discussion and obtain

$$|\xi|^\lambda |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma + 1}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma - \lambda)},$$

for $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$. $\square$

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2. As in the proof of Corollary 1 we use that $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p$ is continuously embedded in L^q , BMO and Λ_δ for certain values of p , α , q and δ .

For $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \alpha$ and $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ we have the embedding $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p(\mathbf{R}) \subset L^q(\mathbf{R})$. See [BL, p. 153]. So for γ, p and α satisfying (vii), (ix) and (x) this becomes true if

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \alpha > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{n-1}{p'} - \gamma - 1 = -\left(\frac{n}{p'} + \gamma\right) \geq 0,$$

which is (xi). If p and α satisfy (ix') and (x') instead of (ix) and (x), q is then forced to satisfy

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \alpha > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{n-1}{p} - \gamma - 1 = -\left(\frac{n-2}{p} + \gamma + 1\right) \geq 0.$$

This is (xi') and proves that (xi) or (xi') is sufficient for (6).

If $\alpha = \frac{1}{p}$ and $1 < p < \infty$, the space $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p(\mathbf{R})$ embeds continuously in $BMO(\mathbf{R})$. See [St1, p. 164]. This substitutes the endpoint $q = \infty$ in the previous case, and by the same reasons (7) is true if

$$-\left(\frac{n}{p'} + \gamma\right) \geq 0 \quad (p \leq 2) \quad \text{or} \quad -\left(\frac{n-2}{p} + \gamma + 1\right) \geq 0 \quad (p \geq 2)$$

which is contained in (xii) and (xii').

In the proof of (8) we need the following embedding $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p(\mathbf{R}) \subset \Lambda_\delta(\mathbf{R})$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{p} + \delta$, $1 < p < \infty$, $\delta > 0$, which can be obtained from the chain of embeddings

$$\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{p}+\delta}^p \subset \Lambda_{\frac{1}{p}+\delta}^{p2} \subset \Lambda_{\frac{1}{p}+\delta}^{p\infty} \subset \Lambda_\delta^{\infty\infty} = \Lambda_\delta, \quad p \leq 2,$$

or

$$\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{p}+\delta}^p \subset \Lambda_{\frac{1}{p}+\delta}^{pp} \subset \Lambda_{\frac{1}{p}+\delta}^{p\infty} \subset \Lambda_\delta^{\infty\infty} = \Lambda_\delta, \quad p \geq 2.$$

(The definition of the Lipschitz-Besov spaces Λ_s^{pq} and the embeddings can be found in [St1, Chapter V, §5-6].) With α satisfying (x) we have

$$\frac{1}{p} + \delta = \alpha < \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1$$

and therefore $\delta < \frac{n}{p'} + \gamma$ so that if $\frac{n}{n+\gamma} < p \leq 2$ the conditions for the embedding are satisfied. This proves (8) in the case (xiii). For α satisfying (x') we get that

$$\delta < \frac{n-2}{p} + \gamma + 1$$

and (5) if

$$2 \leq p < -\frac{n-2}{1+\gamma}. \quad \square$$

PROOF OF COROLLARY 3. We recall the estimates in the proof of Theorem 2 and take a closer look at the dependence of φ .

Another estimate of the kernel

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi(t)| |t|^{-n} (1 - |\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1} dt$$

gives

$$C(\|\varphi\| \cdot |\cdot|^{-n}\|_1 + \|\varphi\| \cdot |\cdot|^{-n+1}\|_\infty)$$

as an upper bound. Therefore (17) can be rewritten to yield

$$\sup_x \|\varphi F_x^{\varepsilon-1+i\mu}\|_1 \leq C_\varepsilon e^{3\pi|\mu|} C(\|\varphi| \cdot |^{-n}\|_1 + \|\varphi| \cdot |^{-n+1}\|_\infty) \|f\|_1.$$

Interpolating with the L^2 estimate (19) of the endpoint $\gamma = -\frac{n+1}{2} + i\mu$ gives

$$(26) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_p^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C(\|\varphi| \cdot |^{-n}\|_1 + \|\varphi| \cdot |^{-n+1}\|_\infty)^{1-\theta_1} \|\varphi\|_2^{\theta_1} \|f\|_p,$$

where

$$p = \frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon}$$

and

$$\theta_1 = \frac{2}{p'} = -2 \frac{1+\gamma+\varepsilon}{n-1+2\varepsilon}.$$

We continue, as in the proof of Theorem 2, by the interpolation between (26) and (21) with the following result (replacing (23)):

$$(27) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p}^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C((\|\varphi| \cdot |^{-n}\|_1 + \|\varphi| \cdot |^{-n+1}\|_\infty)^{1-\theta_1} \|\varphi\|_2^{\theta_1})^{1-\theta_2} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2}^{\theta_2} \|f\|_p.$$

Here $\frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon} = p_0 \leq p \leq 2$, $\alpha = \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - \frac{2}{p})$, $\beta = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$ and $\theta_2 = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$. Finally, we interpolate (27) with (22) ($\theta = \theta_2$) in the case when $p \leq 2$ and obtain

$$(28) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p}^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C((\|\varphi| \cdot |^{-n}\|_1 + \|\varphi| \cdot |^{-n+1}\|_\infty)^{1-\theta_1} \|\varphi\|_2^{\theta_1})^{1-\theta_2} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2}^{\theta_2})^{1-\theta_3} \times (\|\varphi\|_{p_0}^{1-\theta_2} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2}^{\theta_2})^{\theta_3} \|f\|_p.$$

Where $p \leq r \leq p'$ and

$$\theta_3 = \frac{\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} - 1}{\frac{2}{p} - 1}.$$

Choose $\rho_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ so that $\text{supp } \rho_0 \subset \{t; \frac{1}{2} < |t| < 2\}$ and

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_0(2^k t) = 1, \quad t \neq 0.$$

Set $\rho_k(t) = \rho_0(2^k t)$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, and

$$\rho(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_0(2^k t),$$

then $\rho(t) = 1$ for $0 < |t| \leq 1$. It is sufficient to prove that (5) holds with $\rho(t)|t|^\eta$ instead of $\varphi(t)|t|^\eta$. We first obtain (5) with $\rho_k(t)|t|^\eta$ and then the full result by summing them up. Here is where the above estimates come in. Replacing φ by

$\rho_k| \cdot |^\eta$ in (28) gives that

$$(((\|\varphi| \cdot |^{-n}\|_1 + \|\varphi| \cdot |^{-n+1}\|_\infty)^{1-\theta_1} \|\varphi\|_2^{\theta_1})^{1-\theta_2} \|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2}^{\theta_2})^{1-\theta_3} (\|\varphi\|_{p_0}^{1-\theta_2} \|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2}^{\theta_2})^{\theta_3}$$

can be estimated and an upper bound is a constant times a power of 2^{-k} . If η is chosen so that the exponent becomes positive, then the geometric series converges and we obtain (5).

Therefore, we proceed with the estimates of the norms of $\rho_k| \cdot |^\eta$

$$\|\rho_k| \cdot |^\eta| \cdot |^{-n}\|_1 + \|\rho_k| \cdot |^\eta| \cdot |^{-n+1}\|_\infty = C(2^{-k})^{\eta-n+1},$$

$$\|\rho_k| \cdot |^\eta\|_{p_0} = C(2^{-k})^{\eta+\frac{1}{p_0}}$$

and

$$\|\rho_k| \cdot |^\eta\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \leq C(2^{-k})^{\eta-\beta+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

So the considered exponent becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left[(\eta - n + 1)(1 - \theta_1) + \left(\eta + \frac{1}{2} \right) \theta_1 \right] (1 - \theta_2) + \left(\eta - \beta + \frac{1}{2} \right) \theta_2 \right] (1 - \theta_3) \\ & + \left[\left(\eta + \frac{1}{p_0} \right) (1 - \theta_2) + \left(\eta - \beta + \frac{1}{2} \right) \theta_2 \right] \theta_3 \\ & = \eta + \left[\left[(1 - n)(1 - \theta_1) + \frac{\theta_1}{2} \right] (1 - \theta_2) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta \right) \theta_2 \right] (1 - \theta_3) \\ & + \left[\frac{1}{p_0} (1 - \theta_2) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta \right) \theta_2 \right] \theta_3 \\ & = \eta + \left[(1 - n)(1 - \theta_1) + \frac{\theta_1}{2} \right] (1 - \theta_2)(1 - \theta_3) \\ & + \frac{1}{p_0} (1 - \theta_2) \theta_3 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta \right) \theta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since we only consider positive exponents we can take $\varepsilon = 0$ where it appears above.

Performing the substitutions

$$p_0 = \frac{n-1}{n+\gamma}, \quad \beta = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma, \quad \theta_1 = -2 \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1},$$

$$\theta_2 = \frac{\frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_3 = \frac{\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} - 1}{\frac{2}{p} - 1}$$

in the exponent gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \eta + \left((1-n) \left(1 + 2 \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} \right) - \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} - 1}{\frac{2}{p} - 1} \right) \\
 & + \frac{n+\gamma}{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{\frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \right) \frac{\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} - 1}{\frac{2}{p} - 1} - \left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma \right) \frac{\frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \\
 & = \eta + \left(1 - n - 2 - 2\gamma - \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} \right) \frac{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma - \frac{n-1}{p'} - \gamma - 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \cdot \frac{\frac{2}{p} - 1 - \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p} + 1}{2(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \\
 & + \frac{n+\gamma}{n-1} \cdot \frac{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma - \frac{n-1}{p'} - \gamma - 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} - 1}{2(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} - \left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma \right) \frac{\frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \\
 & = \eta + \left(-1 - n - 2\gamma - \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} \right) \frac{(n-1)(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \cdot \frac{(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r})}{2(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \\
 & + \frac{n+\gamma}{n-1} \frac{(n-1)(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} - 1}{2(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} - \frac{n-1}{2} (n+2\gamma) \frac{\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1}}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \\
 & = \eta + \frac{n-1}{n+2\gamma+1} \left[\left(-1 - n - 2\gamma - \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{n+\gamma}{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} - 1 \right) - (n+2\gamma) \left(\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} \right) \right] \\
 & = \eta + \frac{n-1}{n+2\gamma+1} \left[\underbrace{\frac{1}{p} \left(-1 - n - 2\gamma - \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} + \frac{n+\gamma}{n-1} + n+2\gamma \right)}_{=0} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{r} \left(1 + n + 2\gamma + \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} + \frac{n+\gamma}{n-1} \right) - \frac{n+\gamma}{n-1} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - (n+2\gamma) \left(1 + \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} \right) \right] \\
 & = \eta + \frac{n-1}{n+2\gamma+1} \left[\frac{n}{r} \cdot \frac{n+2\gamma+1}{n-1} - (n+2\gamma+1) \frac{n+\gamma}{n-1} \right] \\
 & = \eta + \frac{n}{r} - (n+\gamma) = \eta - \frac{n}{r'} - \gamma.
 \end{aligned}$$

Which is positive if $\eta > \frac{n}{r'} + \gamma$.

For $p \geq 2$ we repeat the argument for (22) ($\theta = \theta_2$), but now putting $p_0 = -\frac{n-1}{1+\gamma}$ and

$$\theta_2 = \frac{\frac{n-1}{p} + \gamma + 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma}.$$

The exponent becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\eta + \frac{1}{p_0} \right) (1 - \theta_2) + \left(\eta - \beta + \frac{1}{2} \right) \theta_2 \\
 & = \eta + \frac{1}{p_0} (1 - \theta_2) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta \right) \theta_2
 \end{aligned}$$

and with the substitutions

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \eta - \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{\frac{n-1}{p} + \gamma + 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \right) - \left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma \right) \frac{\frac{n-1}{p} + \gamma + 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \\
 &= \eta + \frac{1}{p} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1+\gamma - (n-1)(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma}}_{=-n+2} \\
 & \quad + (\gamma+1) \underbrace{\left(-\frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{1+\gamma}{(n-1)(\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma)} - \frac{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \right)}_{=-1} \\
 &= \eta - \frac{n-2}{p} - \gamma - 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\eta > \frac{n-2}{p} + \gamma + 1$ gives a positive exponent. This ends the proof of Corollary 3. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [BP] A. Benedek and R. Panzone, *The spaces L^p , with mixed norm*, Duke Math. J. **28** (1961), 301–324.
- [BL] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1976.
- [Bö] L. Börjeson, *Estimates for the Bochner-Riesz operator with negative index*, Reports, Dept. of Math., Univ. of Stockholm, No. 5, 1984.
- [B1] J. Bourgain, *Estimations de certaines fonctions maximales*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **301** (1985), 599–502.
- [B2] —, *Averages in the plane over convex curves and maximal operators*, preprint.
- [B3] —, *On the spherical maximal function in the plane*, preprint.
- [CJ] M. Cwikel and S. Janson, *Interpolation of analytic families of operators*, Studia Math. (to appear).
- [E] A. Erdélyi (Editor), *Higher transcendental functions*, Vols. 1, 2, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.
- [FS] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, *H^p spaces of several variables*, Acta Math. **129** (1972), 137–193.
- [LM] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications*, Vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1972.
- [Ma] B. Marshall, *$L^p - L^q$ multipliers of anisotropic wave equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **33** (1984), 435–457.
- [Mi] A. Miyachi, *On some estimates for the wave equation in L^p and H^p* , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **27** (1980), 331–354.
- [OS] D. M. Oberlin and E. M. Stein, *Mapping properties of the Radon transform*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **31** (1982), 641–650.
- [P] J. Peetre, *H_p spaces*, Lecture notes, Lund, 1974.
- [Pr] J. C. Peral, *L^p estimates for the wave equation*, J. Funct. Anal. **36** (1980), 114–145.
- [PS] J. Peyrière and P. Sjölin, *Regularity of spherical means*, Ark. Mat. **16** (1978), 117–126.
- [Sj1] P. Sjölin, *Lipschitz continuity of spherical means*, Linear spaces and approximation, Proc. Conf. Oberwolfach, 1977, Ed. by P. L. Butzer and B. Sz. Nagy, Birkhäuser, 1978, pp. 229–234.
- [Sj2] —, *Regularity and integrability of spherical means*, Monatsh. Math. **96** (1983), 277–291.
- [Sj3] —, *Regularity and integrability properties of spherical means*, Proc. of the Nineteenth Nordic Congress of Mathematicians, Reykjavík, 1984, pp. 215–218.
- [Sj4] —, *Regularity properties of spherical means*, Proc. A. Haar Memorial Conference (Budapest, 1985) (to appear).
- [Sj5] —, *Norm inequalities for spherical means*, Monatsh. Math. **100** (1985), 153–161.
- [Ss] S. Sjöstrand, *On the Riesz means of solutions of Schrödinger equation*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **24** (1970), 331–348.

- [St1] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1970.
- [St2] ———, *Maximal functions: Spherical means*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **73** (1976), 2174–2175.
- [STW] E. M. Stein, M. H. Taibleson and G. Weiss, *Weak type estimates for maximal operators on certain H^p classes*, Rend. Mat. Circ. Palermo Suppl. **2** (1981), 81–97.
- [SWa] E. M. Stein and S. Wainger, *Problems in harmonic analysis related to curvature*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **84** (1978), 1239–1295.
- [SWe] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis in Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1971.
- [Str] R. Strichartz, *Convolutions with kernels having singularities on a sphere*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **148** (1970), 461–471.
- [T] H. Triebel, *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, and New York, 1978.
- [W] G. N. Watson, *Theory of Bessel functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1944.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF STOCKHOLM, BOX 6701, S-113 85 STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN