

ON THE BIHOMOGENEITY PROBLEM OF KNASTER

KRYSTYNA KUPERBERG

ABSTRACT. The author constructs a locally connected, homogeneous, finite-dimensional, compact, metric space which is not bihomogeneous, thus providing a compact counterexample to a problem posed by B. Knaster around 1921.

0. INTRODUCTION

A topological space X is said to be *homogeneous* if for every two points p and q in X there exists a homeomorphism $h: X \rightarrow X$ such that $h(p) = q$. X is said to be *bihomogeneous* if for every two points p and q in X there exists a homeomorphism $h: X \rightarrow X$ such that $h(p) = q$ and $h(q) = p$. Around 1921, B. Knaster asked the question of whether every homogeneous space is bihomogeneous, and shortly after that C. Kuratowski (see [6]) described an example of a non-locally-compact, homogeneous subset of the plane, which is not bihomogeneous. In 1930, D. van Danzig asked whether homogeneity implies bihomogeneity for continua; see [10]. A locally compact, homogeneous, nonbihomogeneous, metric space was found by H. Cook in the early 1980s; see [3].

This paper contains an example of a seven-dimensional, homogeneous, nonbihomogeneous, locally connected, compact metric space. G. S. Ungar proved that certain homogeneity type properties imply local connectedness; see [9]. However, [5] and this paper show that a locally connected homogeneous continuum may lack some stronger but still very simple homogeneity properties.

The author would like to thank Piotr Minc for his cooperation and valuable suggestions. One of his remarks is that, using similar methods, one can obtain a simpler, although not locally connected, example of a homogeneous, nonbihomogeneous continuum.

1. PRELIMINARIES

All spaces considered in this paper are metric and all maps are continuous. By S^n , E^n , B^n , and \bar{B}^n we mean the n -dimensional sphere, the Euclidean n -space, the n -dimensional open ball, and the n -dimensional closed ball respectively.

Received by the editors February 2, 1988.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 54F20; Secondary 57M10.

© 1990 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9947/90 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Let P and Q be two disjoint, closed subsets in a compact space X , and let $g: P \rightarrow Q$ be a homeomorphism. Let \sim be an equivalence relation on X such that $p \sim q$ iff $p = q$, or if $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$ then $g(p) = q$, or if $q \in P$ and $p \in Q$ then $g(q) = p$. The space of equivalence classes with the quotient topology will be denoted by X/g .

In our applications of homology theory, we use either the singular or Čech homology groups with integral coefficients. For basic concepts of homotopy theory we refer the reader to [4].

Throughout this paper, M will denote the universal Menger curve as described in R. D. Anderson's paper [1, p. 321]. M is a subset of the cube $\{(x, y, z) \in E^3: x, y, z \in [0, 1]\}$ such that the intersection of M with each of the faces of the cube is homeomorphic to Sierpiński's plane curve.

In [1], Anderson proved that M is homogeneous, and that every 1-dimensional continuum with no local cut points and no open subsets embeddable in the plane is homeomorphic to M . Furthermore, from results in [1 and 2], it follows that if U is an open connected subset of M , and $p, q \in U$, then there exists a homeomorphism $h: M \rightarrow M$ such that $h(p) = q$, and $h(v) = v$ for $v \in M - U$.

In [5], the authors employ the fact that continua which are Cartesian products with one or more factors homeomorphic to M admit few homeomorphisms. A similar idea is used here in the form of Lemmas 1 and 2 whose proofs are analogous to those of Theorems 2 and 1 in [5].

Lemma 1. *Let $X = X_1 \times X_2$, where X_i is homeomorphic to M for $i = 1, 2$. Let $U_i \subset X_i$ be a connected open set for $i = 1, 2$. If $\varphi: U_1 \times U_2 \rightarrow X$ is an open embedding, then $\varphi = \varphi_1 \times \varphi_2$, where either (1) $\varphi_1: U_1 \rightarrow X_1$ and $\varphi_2: U_2 \rightarrow X_2$, or (2) $\varphi_1: U_1 \rightarrow X_2$ and $\varphi_2: U_2 \rightarrow X_1$.*

Proof. Let $\pi_i: X \rightarrow X_i$ be the projection. Suppose that (u, v_1) and (u, v_2) are two distinct points in $U_1 \times U_2$. Let $\varphi((u, v_1)) = (x_1, y_1)$ and $\varphi((u, v_2)) = (x_2, y_2)$. Suppose that $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $y_1 \neq y_2$. Let $V_1 \subset X_1$ and $V_2 \subset X_2$ be such that $V_1 \times V_2$ is a neighborhood of (x_1, y_1) contained in $\varphi(U_1 \times U_2)$.

There exists a nonsingular loop $f: S^1 \rightarrow U_1$ such that $u \in f(S^1)$, and if $f_i: S^1 \rightarrow X$ is defined by $f_i(s) = (f(s), v_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, then $\varphi \circ f_1(S^1) \in V_1 \times V_2$, and for $i = 1, 2$, we have $\pi_i \circ \varphi \circ f_1(S^1) \cap \pi_i \circ \varphi \circ f_2(S^1) = \emptyset$. Since $f_1(S^1)$ is a retract of $U_1 \times U_2$, then $\varphi \circ f_1(S^1)$ is a retract of $\varphi(U_1 \times U_2)$, and hence a retract of $V_1 \times V_2$. Therefore $\varphi \circ f_1$ is essential, which implies that at least one of the maps $\pi_i \circ \varphi \circ f_1$ is essential. Suppose that $\pi_1 \circ \varphi \circ f_1$ is essential. Since U_2 is arcwise connected, then f_1 and f_2 are homotopic, and hence $\pi_1 \circ \varphi \circ f_1$ and $\pi_1 \circ \varphi \circ f_2$ are homotopic. However, no two essential and disjoint loops in the Menger curve are homotopic. Therefore either $x_1 = x_2$ or $y_1 = y_2$.

If $x_1 = x_2$, then for any $v_3 \in U_2$ we have $\varphi((u, v_3)) = (x_1, y_3)$. Since a similar fact can be shown for points in $U_1 \times U_2$ with equal second coordinate,

then $\varphi = \varphi_1 \times \varphi_2$, where $\varphi_1: U_1 \rightarrow X_1$ and $\varphi_2: U_2 \rightarrow X_2$.

If $y_1 = y_2$ then $\varphi = \varphi_1 \times \varphi_2$, where $\varphi_1: U_1 \rightarrow X_2$ and $\varphi_2: U_2 \rightarrow X_1$. \square

Lemma 2. Let $X = X_1 \times X_2$, where X_1 is homeomorphic to $M \times M$, and X_2 is a continuum whose every point has a closed neighborhood which is an absolute retract. For $i = 1, 2$, let $U_i \subset X_i$ be an open set and let U_2 be connected. If $\varphi: U_1 \times U_2 \rightarrow X$ is an open embedding, then for every $u \in U_1$ there exists an $x \in X_1$ such that $\varphi(\{u\} \times U_2) \subset \{x\} \times X_2$.

Proof. Suppose that (u, v_1) and (u, v_2) are points in $U_1 \times U_2$. Let $\varphi((u, v_1)) = (x_1, y_1)$ and let $\varphi((u, v_2)) = (x_2, y_2)$. Suppose that $x_1 \neq x_2$. Let $V_1 \subset X_1$ and $V_2 \subset X_2$ be such that $V_1 \times V_2$ is a neighborhood of (x_1, y_1) contained in $\varphi(U_1 \times U_2)$, and V_2 is an absolute retract. Let $\pi_1: X \rightarrow X_1$ be the projection.

There exists an embedding $f: S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow U_1$ such that $u \in f(S^1 \times S^1)$, $f(S^1 \times S^1)$ is a retract of X_1 , and if $f_i: S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow X$ is defined by $f_i(s) = (f(s), v_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, then $\pi_1 \circ \varphi \circ f_1(S^1 \times S^1) \cap \pi_1 \circ \varphi \circ f_2(S^1 \times S^1) = \emptyset$, and $\varphi \circ f_1(S^1 \times S^1) \subset V_1 \times V_2$. Note that f_1 and f_2 are homotopic. Since $f_1(S^1 \times S^1)$ is a retract of $U_1 \times U_2$, $\varphi \circ f_1(S^1 \times S^1)$ is a retract of $\varphi(U_1 \times U_2)$, and hence $\varphi \circ f_1(S^1 \times S^1)$ is a retract of $V_1 \times V_2$. Let $g: X_1 \rightarrow X$ be an embedding defined by $g(p) = (p, y_1)$. Using the Čech homology and the induced homomorphism, we have $0 \neq (\varphi \circ f_1)_*(a) = (g \circ \pi_1 \circ \varphi \circ f_1)_*(a) = (g \circ \pi_1 \circ \varphi \circ f_2)_*(a)$, where a is a generator of $H_2(S^1 \times S^1)$.

Therefore, there are two 2-dimensional nontrivial homologous Čech cycles with disjoint carriers in X_1 . By [7, p. 246], the dimension of X_1 is greater than 2, which is a contradiction. \square

2. THE TWISTED PRODUCTS

Denote by (r, θ, z) the cylindrical coordinates of a point in E^3 . Let μ be an embedding of the Menger curve M in E^3 defined by $\mu(x, y, z) = (r, \theta, z)$, where $r = x + 1$, $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{9}y$, and $z = z$, for every $(x, y, z) \in M$. Let $f(r, \theta, z) = (r, \theta + \frac{2\pi}{9}, z)$ be the rotation about the z -axis through the angle of $\frac{2\pi}{9}$. Put $A_0 = \mu(M)$, $A_k = f^{(k)}(A_0)$, where $f^{(k)}$ is the k th iteration of f , and put $A = \bigcup_{i=0}^8 A_i$.

Clearly, A is invariant under f , and $f_1 = f|_A$ is a periodic homeomorphism of A onto itself. By [1], A is homeomorphic to M . Cylindrical coordinates (r, θ, z) will be used to denote a point in A , and Cartesian coordinates $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ will be used to denote a point in M . If $p = (a, m) \in A \times M$ is a point, where $a = (r, \theta, z)$ and $m = (\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$, then p may be denoted by $(r, \theta, z, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$.

For every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, put $M_\alpha = \{(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \in M: \bar{z} = \alpha\}$. Let $g_1: M_1 \rightarrow M_0$ be the homeomorphism taking $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 1)$ onto $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0)$. Let $g_2: A \times M_1 \rightarrow A \times M_0$ be defined by $g_2(a, m) = (f_1(a), g_1(m))$. Define B as the quotient space $(A \times M)/g_2$.

Thus the continuum B , a twisted product of A and M , is obtained from the Cartesian product $A \times M$ by pasting the "top" $A \times M_1$ to the "bottom" $A \times M_0$. Points in B will be denoted in the same manner as the corresponding points in $A \times M$ for which $\bar{z} \neq 1$.

Define $f_2: B \rightarrow B$ by $f_2(a, m) = (f_1(a), m)$ for $a \in A$ and $m \in M - M_1$. Clearly, f_2 is a periodic homeomorphism of period 9.

Lemma 3. *For every two points $p, q \in B$ there exists a homeomorphism $h: B \rightarrow B$ such that $h(p) = q$ and $h \circ f_2 = f_2 \circ h$.*

Proof. First, we shall show that for every two points $p = (r_p, \theta_p, x_p, \bar{x}_p, \bar{y}_p, \bar{z}_p)$ and $q = (r_q, \theta_q, x_q, \bar{x}_q, \bar{y}_q, \bar{z}_q)$ there exists a homeomorphism $h_1: B \rightarrow B$ such that $h_1(p) = (r_p, \theta_p, z_p, \bar{x}_q, \bar{y}_q, \bar{z}_q)$ and $h_1 \circ f_2 = f_2 \circ h_1$.

Let M/g_1 be the space homeomorphic to M obtained from M by identifying, in a similar fashion as above, the point $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 1)$ with the point $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0)$ for $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in [0, 1]$. For $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, denote by \widetilde{M}_α the subset of M/g_1 corresponding to $M_\alpha \subset M$. The point in M/g_1 corresponding to the point $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \in M$, where $\bar{z} \neq 1$, will be denoted by $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$.

For every $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, put $B_\alpha = \{(a, m) \in B: m \in M_\alpha\}$. The map $\Psi_\alpha: B - B_\alpha \rightarrow A \times (M/g_1 - \widetilde{M}_\alpha)$ defined by

$$\Psi_\alpha(r, \theta, z, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = \begin{cases} ((r, \theta, z), (\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})) & \text{if } 0 \leq \bar{z} < \alpha, \\ ((r, \theta + \frac{2\pi}{9}, z), (\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})) & \text{if } \alpha < \bar{z} < 1, \end{cases}$$

is a homeomorphism.

There exists a number α_0 and there exists a connected open subset $U \subset M/g_1$ containing $(\bar{x}_p, \bar{y}_p, \bar{z}_p)$ and $(\bar{x}_q, \bar{y}_q, \bar{z}_q)$ such that $U \cap \widetilde{M}_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset$. There exists a homeomorphism $k_1: M/g_1 \rightarrow M/g_1$ taking $(\bar{x}_p, \bar{y}_p, \bar{z}_p)$ onto $(\bar{x}_q, \bar{y}_q, \bar{z}_q)$, and not moving points outside U . Let $\bar{k}_1: A \times (M/g_1 - \widetilde{M}_{\alpha_0}) \rightarrow A \times (M/g_1 - \widetilde{M}_{\alpha_0})$ be such that

$$\bar{k}_1((r, \theta, z), (\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})) = ((r, \theta, z), k_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})),$$

and define $\bar{h}_1: B \rightarrow B$ by setting

$$\bar{h}_1(v) = \begin{cases} \Psi_{\alpha_0}^{-1} \circ \bar{k}_1 \circ \Psi_{\alpha_0}(v) & \text{if } v \notin B_{\alpha_0}, \\ v & \text{if } v \in B_{\alpha_0}. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$\bar{h}_1(p) = \Psi_{\alpha_0}^{-1} \circ k_1 \circ \Psi_{\alpha_0}(p) = (r_p, \theta_p + \varepsilon \frac{2\pi}{9}, z_p, \bar{x}_q, \bar{y}_q, \bar{z}_q),$$

where $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1, -1\}$. Put

$$h_1(v) = \begin{cases} \bar{h}_1(v) & \text{if } \varepsilon = 0, \\ f_2^{-1} \circ \bar{h}_1(v) & \text{if } \varepsilon = 1, \\ f_2 \circ \bar{h}_1(v) & \text{if } \varepsilon = -1. \end{cases}$$

For any $v = (r, \theta, z, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \in B$, $h_1(v) = ((r, \theta + \delta \frac{2\pi}{9}, z), k_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}))$, where $\delta \in \{0, 1, -1\}$. Hence $h_1 \circ f_2(v) = ((r, \theta + (\delta + 1) \frac{2\pi}{9}, z), k_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})) = f_2 \circ h_1(v)$.

Next, we shall show that there exists a homeomorphism $h_2: B \rightarrow B$ such that $h_2(r_p, \theta_p, z_p, \bar{x}_q, \bar{y}_q, \bar{z}_q) = q$, and $h_2 \circ f_2 = f_2 \circ h_2$.

If $f_2^{(i)}(r_p, \theta_p, z_p) = (r_q, \theta_q, z_q)$ for some i , then set $h_2 = f_2^{(i)}$. Otherwise, there is an open connected set $U \subset A$ containing (r_p, θ_p, z_p) and (r_q, θ_q, z_q) , and such that the sets $U, f(U), \dots, f^{(8)}(U)$ are pairwise disjoint. There is a homeomorphism $k_2: A \rightarrow A$ which is the identity outside U taking (r_p, θ_p, z_p) onto (r_q, θ_q, z_q) . Define h_2 by

$$h_2(v) = \begin{cases} (f^{(i)} \circ k_2 \circ (f^{(i)})^{-1}(a), m) & \text{if } a \in f^{(i)}(U), \\ v & \text{if } a \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^9 f^{(j)}(U), \end{cases}$$

where $v = (a, m)$ and $i = 1, \dots, 9$.

Clearly, $h_2 \circ f_2 = f_2 \circ h_2$.

Finally, put $h = h_2 \circ h_1$. \square

Let n be a positive integer, and let N be an n -manifold with nonempty boundary such that $\partial N = N_0 \cup N_1$, where $N_0 \cap N_1 = \emptyset$, both N_0 and N_1 are closed, and there exists a homeomorphism $g_3: N_1 \rightarrow N_0$. Let $g_4: B \times N_1 \rightarrow B \times N_0$ be such that $g_4(b, s) = (f_2^{(3)}(b), g_3(s))$, where $f_2^{(3)}$ is the third iteration of f_2 . Define Z_N as the quotient space $(B \times N)/g_4$.

Points in Z_N will be denoted in the same way as the corresponding points in the Cartesian products $B \times N$ or $A \times M \times N$. Specifically, if $p \in Z_N$, then $p = (b, s)$, where $b \in B$ and $s \in N - N_1$, or $p = (a, m, s)$, where $a \in A$, $m \in M - M_1$, and $s \in N - N_1$, or $p = (r, \theta, z, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, s)$, where $(r, \theta, z) \in A$, $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \in M - M_1$, and $s \in N - N_1$.

Lemma 4. Z_N is homogeneous.

Proof. Let $p = (b_p, s_p)$ and $q = (b_q, s_q)$ be two points in Z_N . To show that there exists a homeomorphism $h: Z_N \rightarrow Z_N$ taking p onto q , it is enough to show that there are homeomorphisms $h_1, h_2: Z_N \rightarrow Z_N$ such that $h_1(p) = (b_p, s_q)$ and $h_2(b_p, s_q) = q$.

Let U be a neighborhood of b_p in B such that $U, f_2^{(3)}(U)$, and $f_2^{(6)}(U)$ are pairwise disjoint. The set $W = \{(b, s) \in Z_N: b \in \bigcup_{i=3,6,9} f_2^{(i)}(U)\}$ is homeomorphic to $U \times Q$, where Q is an n -manifold; in fact, Q is a union of three copies of N . For any two points d_1 and d_2 in Q , there exists a homeomorphism $k: Q \rightarrow Q$ isotopic to the identity such that $k(d_1) = d_2$. Using the isotopy and the Cartesian product structure of W , it is easy to obtain the homeomorphism $h_1: Z_N \rightarrow Z_N$ with $h_1(b_p, s_p) = (b_p, s_q)$ and $h_1(v) = v$ for $v \notin W$.

By Lemma 3, there exists a homeomorphism $\bar{h}: B \rightarrow B$ such that $\bar{h}(b_p) = b_q$ and $\bar{h} \circ f_2 = f_2 \circ \bar{h}$. In particular, $\bar{h} \circ f_2^{(3)} = f_2^{(3)} \circ \bar{h}$. Hence, $h_2: Z_N \rightarrow Z_N$,

where $h_2(b, s) = (\bar{h}(b), s)$, is well defined and $h_2(b_p, s_q) = q$. \square

Let $p = (a_0, m_0)$ be a point in B . The sets A_p and M_p are defined by

$$A_p = \{(a, m) \in B : m = m_0\},$$

$$M_p = \{(a, m) \in B : a = f_1^{(i)}(a_0), \text{ where } i = 1, \dots, 9\}.$$

Similarly, if $p = (b_0, s_0) \in Z_N$, then the sets B_p and N_p are defined by

$$B_p = \{(b, s) \in Z_N : s = s_0\},$$

$$N_p = \{(b, s) \in Z_N : b = f_2^{(i)}(b_0), \text{ where } i = 3, 6, 9\}.$$

Each of the sets A_p , M_p , B_p , and N_p will be called a fiber.

Lemma 5. *If $h: B \rightarrow B$ is a homeomorphism, then either (1) $h(A_p) = A_{h(p)}$ and $h(M_p) = M_{h(p)}$ for all $p \in B$, or (2) $h(A_p) = M_{h(p)}$ and $h(M_p) = A_{h(p)}$ for all $p \in B$.*

Proof. Every point in B has a closed neighborhood in the form of a Cartesian product $X_1 \times X_2$, where X_1 is a subset of A homeomorphic to M , and by means of a homeomorphism similar to the homeomorphism Ψ_α of Lemma 3, X_2 is a subset of M/g_1 homeomorphic to M . Moreover, for every $x_1 \in X_1$ and $x_2 \in X_2$, each of the sets $\{x_1\} \times X_2$ and $X_1 \times \{x_2\}$ is contained in a fiber A_p or M_p . Since B is compact, there exists a finite collection $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ of these neighborhoods such that $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{Int}(V_i)$. Similarly, every point in B has arbitrarily small open neighborhoods in the form $U_1 \times U_2$, where for $i = 1, 2$, U_i is homeomorphic to a connected subset of M . Let $\{W_1, \dots, W_l\}$ be a finite collection of these neighborhoods covering B , and such that for each $j = 1, \dots, l$, there is an i such that $h(W_j) \subset V_i$. By Lemma 1, if $p \in B$, then for each $j = 1, \dots, l$, there is an i such that $h(A_p \cap W_j) \subset A_{h(p)} \cap V_i \subset A_{h(p)}$ or $h(A_p \cap W_j) \subset M_{h(p)} \cap V_i \subset M_{h(p)}$. Hence $h(A_p) \subset A_{h(p)}$ or $h(A_p) \subset M_{h(p)}$. Since B is connected, then if $h(A_p) \subset A_{h(p)}$ [$h(A_p) \subset M_{h(p)}$] for one point $p \in B$, then $h(A_p) \subset A_{h(p)}$ [$h(A_p) \subset M_{h(p)}$] for every $p \in B$. A similar statement holds for M_p . Since h is one-to-one, we have $h(A_p) = A_{h(p)}$ and $h(M_p) = M_{h(p)}$ for $p \in B$, or we have $h(A_p) = M_{h(p)}$ and $h(M_p) = A_{h(p)}$ for $p \in B$. \square

Let $p \in B$ be a point. Denote by O_p the orbit of p under f_2 , i.e., $O_p = A_p \cap M_p = \{p, f_2(p), \dots, f_2^{(8)}(p)\}$. The following lemma is an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.

Lemma 6. *If $h: B \rightarrow B$ is a homeomorphism, then $h(O_p) = O_{h(p)}$ for every $p \in B$.*

Lemma 7. *Let $p_i = (1, \frac{2\pi i}{9}, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in B$ for $i = 0, \dots, 8$. If $h: B \rightarrow B$ is a homeomorphism such that $h(p_0) = p_{i_0}$ and $h(p_1) = p_{i_1}$, then $h(p_j) = p_{[i_0 + j(i_1 - i_0)] \bmod 9}$.*

Proof. Let L_i be the arc $\{(1, \theta, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in B : \frac{2\pi i}{9} \leq \theta \leq \frac{2\pi(i+1)}{9}\}$, where $i = 0, \dots, 8$. The set $L = \bigcup_{i=0}^8 L_i$ is a simple closed curve invariant under

f_2 . By Lemma 6, $\bigcup_{i=1}^9 f_2^{(i)} \circ h(L_0) \subset h(L)$. Furthermore, for $i = 0, \dots, 8$, the end points of the arc $f_2^{(i)} \circ h(L_0)$ are the points $p_{(i_0+i) \bmod 9}$ and $p_{(i_1+i) \bmod 9}$. Therefore, since $h(L)$ is a simple closed curve, we have $\bigcup_{i=1}^9 f_2^{(i)} \circ h(L_0) = h(L)$. Hence, the ends of the arc $h(L_j)$ are $p_{[i_0+j(i_1-i_0)] \bmod 9}$ and $p_{[i_0+(j+1)(i_1-i_0)] \bmod 9}$. Thus $h(p_j) = p_{[i_0+j(i_1-i_0)] \bmod 9}$. \square

Lemma 8. *If $h: Z_N \rightarrow Z_N$ is a homeomorphism, then $h(N_p) = N_{h(p)}$ for every $p \in Z_N$.*

Proof. Every point in Z_N has a closed neighborhood in the form of a Cartesian product $X_1 \times X_2$, where X_1 is homeomorphic to $M \times M$ and X_2 is homeomorphic to a closed ball \overline{B}^n . We may assume that if $p(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$, then $\{x_1\} \times X_2 \subset N_p$ and $X_1 \times \{x_2\} \subset B_p$. Since Z_N is compact, there exists a finite collection $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ of these neighborhoods such that $Z_N = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{Int}(V_i)$. Similarly, every point in Z_N has arbitrarily small neighborhoods in the form $U_1 \times U_2$, where U_1 is homeomorphic to an open subset in $M \times M$, and U_2 is homeomorphic to an open ball B^n . Let $\{W_1, \dots, W_l\}$ be a finite collection of these neighborhoods covering Z_N such that for every $j = 1, \dots, l$, there is an i such that $h(W_j) \subset V_i$. By Lemma 2, if $p \in Z_N$, then for every $j = 1, \dots, l$, there is an i such that $h(N_p \cap W_j) \subset N_{h(p)} \cap V_i \subset N_{h(p)}$. Since N_p is connected, then $h(N_p) \subset N_{h(p)}$. \square

Now, we will define a continuum C by putting $C = Z_N$, where $N = [0, 1]$, $N_1 = \{1\}$, $N_0 = \{0\}$, and $g_3(1) = 0$. Notice that $C = \{(b, s): b \in B \text{ and } s \in [0, 1]\}$. Consider B to be the subset $\{(b, s) \in C: s = 0\}$ of C .

Let (ρ, α) denote the polar coordinates in the plane. Assume that $S^1 = \{(\rho, \alpha) \in E^2: \rho = 1 \text{ and } \alpha \in [0, 2\pi)\}$. Let $\Gamma: C \rightarrow S^1$ be defined by

$$\Gamma(r, \theta, z, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, s) = \left(1, \left(\theta + \frac{2\pi}{9}\bar{z} + \frac{2\pi}{3}s\right) \bmod 2\pi\right).$$

Clearly, Γ is continuous.

Lemma 9. *For every point $p \in C$, $\Gamma|_{N_p}: N_p \rightarrow S^1$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. If $p = (r_p, \theta_p, z_p, \bar{x}_p, \bar{y}_p, \bar{z}_p, s_p)$, then

$$N_p = \{(r_p, \theta_p + \frac{2\pi}{3}\varepsilon, z_p, \bar{x}_p, \bar{y}_p, \bar{z}_p, s): \varepsilon \in \{0, 1, 2\} \text{ and } s \in [0, 1]\}.$$

$\Gamma((r_p, \theta_p + \frac{2\pi}{3}\varepsilon, z_p, \bar{x}_p, \bar{y}_p, \bar{z}_p, s)) = (1, (\theta_p + \frac{2\pi}{3}\varepsilon + \frac{2\pi}{9}\bar{z}_p + \frac{2\pi}{3}s) \bmod 2\pi)$. Clearly, Γ is one-to-one and therefore Γ is a homeomorphism. \square

Consider $H_1(S^1)$ to be the additive group of integers. For every $p \in C$, denote by a_p the generator of $H_1(N_p)$ such that $(\Gamma|_{N_p})_*(a_p) = 1$. Just the first homology group determines an orientation on S^1 and on each fiber N_p .

Definition. A homeomorphism $h: C \rightarrow C$ is said to be *orientation preserving* [reversing] if for every $p \in C$, $h|_{N_p}$ is orientation preserving [reversing].

Lemma 10. If $k: C \rightarrow C$ is a map and if for every $p \in C$ there exists a $p' \in C$ such that $k(N_p) \subset N_{p'}$, then for any two points p_1 and p_2 in C , we have $(\Gamma \circ k|_{N_{p_1}})_*(a_{p_1}) = (\Gamma \circ k|_{N_{p_2}})_*(a_{p_2})$.

Proof. There exists a finite open cover $\{V_i\}$ of C such that each V_i is homeomorphic to $(V_i \cap B) \times S^1$, and such that if $V_i \cap V_j \neq \emptyset$, then the two Cartesian product structures coming from V_i and V_j are compatible. Hence, any two simple closed curves N_{p_1} and N_{p_2} bound a singular annulus. Therefore $(\Gamma \circ k|_{N_{p_1}})_*(a_{p_1}) = (\Gamma \circ k|_{N_{p_2}})_*(a_{p_2})$. \square

Lemma 11 follows immediately from Lemma 10.

Lemma 11. If $h: C \rightarrow C$ is a homeomorphism, then h is orientation preserving or h is orientation reversing.

Lemma 12. Let $p_i = (1, \frac{2\pi i}{9}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in C$, where $i = 0, \dots, 8$. Let $h: C \rightarrow C$ be a homeomorphism such that $h(B) = B$. If $h(N_{p_0}) = N_{p_1}$ and $h(N_{p_1}) = N_{p_0}$, then h is orientation reversing.

Proof. Since $h(\{p_0, p_3, p_6\}) = \{p_1, p_4, p_7\}$, and $h(\{p_1, p_4, p_7\}) = \{p_0, p_3, p_6\}$, we have $h(p_0) = p_{i_0}$, where $i_0 \bmod 3 = 1$, and $h(p_1) = p_{i_1}$, where $i_1 \bmod 3 = 0$. By Lemma 7, $h(p_j) = p_{[i_0 + j(i_1 - i_0)] \bmod 9}$ for $j = 0, \dots, 8$. Therefore $h(p_3) = p_{(i_0 + 6) \bmod 9}$ and $h(p_6) = p_{(i_0 + 3) \bmod 9}$ which implies that h is orientation reversing. \square

3. THE EXAMPLE

Assume the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} J^n &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n : x_1 \in [0, 1]\}, \\ J_0^{n-1} &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n : x_1 = 0\}, \\ J_1^{n-1} &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n : x_1 = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $i < n$, consider E^i to be the subset of E^n for which $x_{i+1} = \dots = x_n = 0$.

Let T be the Möbius strip with $\partial T = T_1$, and let T_0 be the middle simple closed curve of T . Consider T to be the mapping cylinder of $\gamma: T_1 \rightarrow T_0$, where γ is a map of degree 2. Since there is a piecewise linear embedding of T in E^3 , we may assume that T is a piecewise linear subset of J^4 with $T_i = T \cap J_i^3$ for $i = 0, 1$. Let ΣT_i be the suspension of T_i . Denote by ΣT the mapping cylinder of the suspension of γ . Again, assume that ΣT is a piecewise linear subset of J^5 with $\Sigma T_i = \Sigma T \cap J_i^4$ for $i = 0, 1$. Let V be a regular neighborhood of ΣT in J^5 such that for $i = 0, 1$, $V_i = V \cap J_i^4$ is a regular neighborhood of ΣT_i . Let V', V'', V'_0, V''_0 , and V'_1, V''_1 be two copies of V, V_0 ,

and V_1 respectively. Denote by $\sigma: \partial V' - \text{Int}(V'_0 \cup V'_1) \rightarrow \partial V'' - \text{Int}(V''_0 \cup V''_1)$, $\sigma_0: \partial V'_0 \rightarrow \partial V''_0$, and $\sigma_1: \partial V'_1 \rightarrow \partial V''_1$ the homeomorphisms corresponding to the identity homeomorphisms. Assume that V has an orientation compatible with the orientation of E^5 , and assume that each V_i has an orientation induced by the orientation of V . Let $\bar{\gamma}: V_1 \rightarrow V_0$ be an orientation reversing homeomorphism, and let $\bar{\gamma}': V'_1 \rightarrow V'_0$ and $\bar{\gamma}'': V''_1 \rightarrow V''_0$ be the homeomorphisms corresponding to $\bar{\gamma}$. Let $G = (V' \cup V'')/\sigma$. Note that $\partial G = G_0 \cup G_1$, where $G_0 = (V'_0 \cup V''_0)/\sigma_0$ and $G_1 = (V'_1 \cup V''_1)/\sigma_1$ are disjoint sets, each homeomorphic to $S^2 \times S^2$. The homeomorphisms $\bar{\gamma}'$ and $\bar{\gamma}''$ yield a homeomorphism $\hat{\gamma}: G_1 \rightarrow G_0$.

Denote by D the continuum obtained by putting $D = Z_N$, with $N = G$ and $g_3 = \hat{\gamma}$, where g_4 is the map appearing in the definition of Z_N given in §2. Each fiber N_p of D is an orientable 5-manifold F which is a union of three copies of G intersecting along the boundary components.

Let L be a properly embedded arc in G with end points q and $g_3(q)$ on G_1 and G_0 , respectively. There exists a retraction $r: G \rightarrow L$ such that $r^{-1}(q) = G_1$, $r^{-1}(g_3(q)) = G_0$. We can write $F = G^0 \cup G^1 \cup G^2$ with $G^i = G_0^{(i+1) \bmod 3}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$, where G^i , G_0^i , and G_1^i are copies of G , G_0 , and G_1 , respectively. Let $L_i \subset G^i$ be an arc corresponding to the arc $L \subset G$. Put $K = L_0 \cup L_1 \cup L_2$. Note that K is a retract of F . Let $\bar{r}: F \rightarrow K$ be a retraction such that for $i = 0, 1, 2$, $\bar{r}|_{G^i}: G^i \rightarrow L_i$ is the retraction corresponding to r . Notice that \bar{r} induces an isomorphism of the first homology groups $\bar{r}_*: H_1(F) \rightarrow H_1(K)$.

Let $\tau: \tilde{F} \rightarrow F$ be a covering map such that $\tau^{-1}(K)$ is homeomorphic to E^1 . Clearly, for $s_0 \in \tilde{F}$, $\pi_1(\tilde{F}, s_0) \approx 0$. For $i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, denote by F_i a subset of \tilde{F} homeomorphic to G such that $\tilde{F} = \bigcup_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} F_{i-1} \cap F_i \neq \emptyset$ and for $k = 0, 1, 2$, $\tau^{-1}(G^k) = \bigcup_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} F_{3j+k}$.

Definition. Let m be an integer. A homeomorphism $h: \tilde{F} \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ is said to be an m -shift homeomorphism if $h(F_i) = F_{i+m}$ for $i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$.

Definition. Let $m = 0, 1, 2$. A homeomorphism $h: F \rightarrow F$ is said to be an m -shift homeomorphism if $h(G^i) = G^{(i+m) \bmod 3}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Observe that for $i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, $F_{i-1} \cap F_i$ is homeomorphic to $S^2 \times S^2$.

From the properties of mapping cylinders and regular neighborhoods, it follows that the fourth homology group $H_4(\tilde{F})$ is generated by $\{b_i\}_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}$, with relations $b_i = 2b_{i-1}$, where b_i is obtained from the 4-manifold $F_{i-1} \cap F_i$ for $i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. Moreover, by choosing an appropriate orientation of $F_{i-1} \cap F_i$, we may assume that the cycle representing b_i has coefficient 1 on every simplex of $F_{i-1} \cap F_i$. Then, b_i cannot be represented by a cycle with its carrier contained in $\bigcup_{j=i+1}^{\infty} F_j$ for $i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. Also, note that if $h_1, h_2: \tilde{F} \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ ($h_1, h_2: F \rightarrow F$) are two isotopic m_1 -shift and m_2 -shift homeomorphisms, respectively, then $m_1 = m_2$.

Let a be a generator of $H_1(K)$.

Lemma 13. *If $h: F \rightarrow F$ is a homeomorphism, then $(\bar{r} \circ h|_K)_*(a) = a$.*

Proof. By [4, pp. 90–91] there exists a homeomorphism $\tilde{h}: \tilde{F} \rightarrow \tilde{F}$, and there exists a retraction $\tilde{r}: \tilde{F} \rightarrow \tau^{-1}(K)$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \tilde{F} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & \tilde{F} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{r}} & \tau^{-1}(K) \\ \tau \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \tau|_{\tau^{-1}(K)} \\ F & \xrightarrow{h} & F & \xrightarrow{\bar{r}} & K \end{array}$$

commutes.

Let $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of points in \tilde{F} . We will say that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = \infty$ [$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = -\infty$] if for every integer n_0 almost all of the points p_n belong to $\bigcup_{i=n_0}^\infty F_i$ [$\bigcup_{i=-\infty}^{n_0} F_i$]. To prove Lemma 13, it is enough to show that if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{h}(p_n) = \infty$ (hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{r} \circ \tilde{h}(p_n) = \infty$) for every sequence $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ contained in $\tau^{-1}(K)$.

There exist two sequences of positive integers $\{i_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{j_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\bigcup_{k=-i_n}^{i_n} F_k \subset \bigcup_{k=-j_n}^{j_n} \tilde{h}(F_k) \subset \bigcup_{k=-i_{n+1}}^{i_{n+1}} F_k.$$

Note that if $n_0 \geq 0$, then $\bigcup_{k=-n_0}^{n_0} F_k$ separates \tilde{F} between $\bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{-n_0-2} F_k$ and $\bigcup_{k=n_0+2}^\infty F_k$, and $\bigcup_{k=-n_0}^{n_0} \tilde{h}(F_k)$ separates \tilde{F} between $\bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{-n_0-2} \tilde{h}(F_k)$ and $\bigcup_{k=n_0+2}^\infty \tilde{h}(F_k)$. Hence, there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\{i'_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$, and a strictly increasing or decreasing sequence $\{j'_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ such that $\tilde{h}(F_{j'_m}) \subset \bigcup_{k=i'_m}^\infty F_k$. If $\{j'_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is strictly decreasing, then $\tilde{h}_*(b_{j'_0})$ can be represented by a cycle with its carrier in $\bigcup_{k=k_0}^\infty \tilde{h}(F_k)$ for some $k_0 > j'_0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\{j'_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is strictly increasing, and if $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{h}(p_n) = \infty$. \square

Lemma 14. *Let $X = S^1 \times F$. Let $h: X \rightarrow X$ be a homeomorphism such that for every $\alpha \in S^1$ there exists an $\alpha' \in S^1$ with $h(\{\alpha\} \times F) = \{\alpha'\} \times F$. Let $\rho: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a covering map defined by setting $\tilde{X} = S^1 \times \tilde{F}$ and $\rho = \text{id}_{S^1} \times \tau$. Then there exists a map $\tilde{h}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & \tilde{X} \\ \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho \\ X & \xrightarrow{h} & X \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. As defined before, $S^1 = \{\alpha: 0 \leq \alpha < 2\pi\}$. We may assume that both copies of S^1 appearing in $h: S^1 \times F \rightarrow S^1 \times F$ are parametrized in such a

way that $h(\{\alpha\} \times F) = \{\alpha\} \times F$. Let $x_0 = (0, s_0) \in X$, $y_0 = (0, r_0) \in X$, $\tilde{x}_0 = (0, \tilde{s}_0) \in \tilde{X}$, and $\tilde{y}_0 = (0, \tilde{r}_0) \in \tilde{X}$ be points such that $\rho(\tilde{x}_0) = x_0$, $\rho(\tilde{y}_0) = y_0$, and $h(x_0) = y_0$. By [4, p. 90], it is enough to show that $(h \circ \rho)_\#(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0)) \subset \rho_\#(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{y}_0))$. Let $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be a loop defined by $f(\alpha) = (2\pi\alpha \bmod 2\pi, \tilde{s}_0)$; the loop f represents a generator of $\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0)$.

For $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 2\pi$, put

$$X_{[a, b]} = \begin{cases} \{(\alpha, s) \in X : a \leq \alpha \leq b\} & \text{if } b \neq 2\pi, \\ \{(\alpha, s) \in X : a \leq \alpha < b \text{ or } \alpha = 0\} & \text{if } b = 2\pi. \end{cases}$$

Let $\tilde{X}_{[a, b]} = \rho^{-1}(X_{[a, b]})$. Let $\tilde{h}_1: \tilde{X}_{[0, \pi]} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{[0, \pi]}$ be the unique lifting of $(h \circ \rho)|_{\tilde{X}_{[0, \pi]}}$ with $\tilde{h}_1(\tilde{x}_0) = \tilde{y}_0$, and let $\tilde{h}_2: \tilde{X}_{[\pi, 2\pi]} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{[\pi, 2\pi]}$ be the unique lifting of $(h \circ \rho)|_{\tilde{X}_{[\pi, 2\pi]}}$ such that $\tilde{h}_2|_{\tilde{X}_{[\pi, \pi]}} = \tilde{h}_1|_{\tilde{X}_{[\pi, \pi]}}$. By [4, p. 86], there exists a path $\tilde{f}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ with $\tilde{f}(0) = \tilde{y}_0$ such that $\rho \circ \tilde{f} = h \circ \rho \circ f$. Let $i_\alpha: \tilde{F} \rightarrow \{\alpha\} \times \tilde{F}$ be the inclusion defined by $i_\alpha(s) = (\alpha, s)$, and let $\pi_{\tilde{F}}: S^1 \times \tilde{F} \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ be the projection. If $\tilde{f}(1) \neq \tilde{y}_0$, then $k = \pi_{\tilde{F}} \circ \tilde{h}_2^{-1} \circ \tilde{h}_1 \circ i_0: \tilde{F} \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ is a $3n$ -shift homeomorphism with $n \neq 0$. However, \tilde{h}_1 and \tilde{h}_2 yield an isotopy $H_t: \tilde{F} \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ defined by

$$H_t = \pi_{\tilde{F}} \circ \tilde{h}_j^{-1} \circ i_{2\pi t} \circ \pi_{\tilde{F}} \circ \tilde{h}_1 \circ i_0,$$

where $j = 1$ if $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $j = 2$ if $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Hence, $H_0 = \text{id}_{\tilde{F}}$ and $H_1 = k$ are isotopic, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\tilde{f}(1) = \tilde{y}_0$ and $(h \circ \rho)_\#(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0)) \subset \rho_\#(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{y}_0))$. \square

Lemma 15. *Let U and V be open connected subsets of B . Let $X = U \times F$, $Y = V \times F$, $\tilde{X} = U \times \tilde{F}$, and $\tilde{Y} = V \times \tilde{F}$. Let $\rho_X: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and $\rho_Y: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be covering maps defined by $\rho_X = \text{id}_U \times \tau$ and $\rho_Y = \text{id}_V \times \tau$ respectively. If $h: X \rightarrow Y$ is a homeomorphism, then there exists a map $\tilde{h}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & \tilde{Y} \\ \rho_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{h} & Y \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. By Lemma 2, for every $u \in U$ there exists a $v \in V$ such that $h(\{u\} \times F) = \{v\} \times F$.

Let $x_0 \in X$, $y_0 \in Y$, $\tilde{x}_0 \in \tilde{X}$, and $\tilde{y}_0 \in \tilde{Y}$ be points such that $\rho_X(\tilde{x}_0) = x_0$, $\rho_Y(\tilde{y}_0) = y_0$, and $h(x_0) = y_0$. It is enough to show that if $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is a loop with $f(0) = f(1) = \tilde{x}_0$, then there exists a loop $\tilde{f}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ with $\tilde{f}(0) = \tilde{f}(1) = \tilde{y}_0$ such that $h \circ \rho_X \circ f = \rho_Y \circ \tilde{f}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f([0, 1]) \subset U \times \{\tilde{s}_0\}$, where $\tilde{x}_0 = (u_0, \tilde{s}_0)$.

Let $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m = 1$ be a sequence of points in $[0, 1]$, and let $f': [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be a loop such that $f'([0, 1]) \subset U \times \{\tilde{s}_0\}$, $f'(t_i) = f(t_i)$ for $i = 0, \dots, m$, $f'|_{[0, 1] - \{t_0, \dots, t_m\}}: [0, 1] - \{t_0, \dots, t_m\} \rightarrow f'([0, 1] - \{t_0, \dots, t_m\})$

is one-to-one, and for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, there is a $j = 0, 1, 2$ such that $h \circ \rho_X \circ f'([t_{i-1}, t_i]) \cup h \circ \rho_X \circ f([t_{i-1}, t_i]) \subset V \times (G^j \cup G^{(j+1) \bmod 3})$.

If P is a simple closed curve in $f'([0, 1])$, then $h \circ \rho_X(P)$ is a simple closed curve in $h \circ \rho_X \circ f'([0, 1])$. Let $\pi_V: V \times F \rightarrow V$ be the projection. Since for each $u \in U$, $h(\{u\}) \times F = \{v\} \times F$ for some $v \in V$, then $\pi_V \circ h \circ \rho_X(P)$ is a simple closed curve in V , and $h \circ (\rho_X(P) \times F) = (\pi_V \circ h \circ \rho_X(P)) \times F$. By Lemma 14, for every $z \in \rho_Y^{-1} \circ h \circ \rho_X(P)$ there exists a simple closed curve $\tilde{P} \subset \tilde{Y}$ containing z such that $\rho_Y(\tilde{P}) = h \circ \rho_X(P)$. Also, for $i = 0, \dots, m$, every loop whose image is the set $h \circ \rho_X \circ f'([t_{i-1}, t_i]) \cup h \circ \rho_X \circ f([t_{i-1}, t_i])$ lifts to a loop in \tilde{F} . Hence, there exists a loop $\tilde{f}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that $h \circ \rho_X \circ f = \rho_Y \circ \tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{f}(0) = \tilde{f}(1) = \tilde{y}_0$. \square

Consider C to be a subset of D , $C = \{(b, s) \in D: s \in L\}$. Let s_0 be the point of $L \cap G_0$. Consider B to be a subset of D , $B = \{(b, s) \in D: s = s_0\}$. Let $R: D \rightarrow C$ be a retraction defined by $R(b, s) = (b, r(s))$, where $s \in G - G_1$. In this section, the notation N_p is used for fibers of D (homeomorphic to F). Hence, if $p = (b_1, s_1)$, then $N_p = \{(b, s) \in D: b = b_1, b = f_2^{(3)}(b_1), \text{ or } b = f_2^{(6)}(b_1)\}$, where f_2 is the homeomorphism defined in §2. If $p \in C$, then the fiber of C containing p , homeomorphic to S^1 , is denoted by K_p , i.e., $K_p = N_p \cap C$.

Lemma 16. *If $h: D \rightarrow D$ is a homeomorphism, then there exists an orientation preserving homeomorphism $\bar{h}: C \rightarrow C$ such that $\bar{h}(B) = B$ and such that for every p and q in C , if $h(N_p) = N_q$ then $\bar{h}(K_p) = K_q$.*

Proof. Define a homeomorphism $\varphi: D \rightarrow D$ by $\varphi(b, s) = (f_2^{(3)}(b), s)$. Let $X = \{(r, \theta, z, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, s) \in D: 0 < \theta < \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3} < \theta < \frac{4\pi}{3}, \text{ or } \frac{4\pi}{3} < \theta < 2\pi\}$. Let U be a component of $X \cap B$. Notice that X is homeomorphic to $U \times F$ and the set $h(X) \cap B$ is not empty. Let V be a component of $h(X) \cap B$.

We claim that $h(X)$ is homeomorphic to $V \times F$. Since V is connected, if $V \cap \varphi(V) \neq \emptyset$, then V is invariant under φ . Then, there exist a point $p_0 \in V$ and an arc $P_0 \subset V$ joining p_0 and $\varphi(p_0)$ such that $P_0 \cap \varphi(P_0) = \{p_0\}$. Hence $P_0 \cup \varphi(P_0) \cup \varphi^{(2)}(P_0)$ is a simple closed curve contained in V . Consider the Cartesian product $P_0 \times F$. Let $\pi_F: P_0 \times F \rightarrow F$ be the projection, and let $i_p: F \rightarrow P_0 \times F$ be the inclusion defined by $i_p(s) = (p, s)$ for $p \in P_0$. The set $Y = \bigcup_{p \in P_0} N_p$ is homeomorphic to $(P_0 \times F)/k$, where $k: \{p_0\} \times F \rightarrow \{\varphi(p_0)\} \times F$ is a homeomorphism such that $\pi_F \circ k \circ i_{p_0}$ is a 1-shift homeomorphism.

The embedding $h^{-1}|_Y: Y \rightarrow X$ preserves fibers, i.e., for every $p \in Y$, we have $(h^{-1}|_Y)(N_p) = N_q$ for some $q \in X$. By an argument similar to that of the proof of Lemma 14, $\pi_F \circ k \circ i_{p_0}$ is isotopic to the identity, which is a contradiction. Hence, $V \cap \varphi(V) = \emptyset$, and $\bigcup_{p \in V} N_p$ is homeomorphic to $V \times F$. Since $h(X)$ is connected, $h(X) = \bigcup_{p \in V} N_p$. Notice that for every $p \in V$, V intersects each fiber N_p at exactly one point, and there is a homeomorphism of $\bigcup_{p \in V} N_p$

onto $V \times F$ which takes each fiber N_p onto some fiber $\{q\} \times F$.

Let $\omega: B \times \tilde{F} \rightarrow D$ be a covering map such that $\omega(b, s) = (b, \tau(s))$ for $(b, s) \in B \times (F_0 - F_1)$. By Lemma 15, there exists a map $\tilde{h}_U: U \times \tilde{F} \rightarrow B \times \tilde{F}$ with $\tilde{h}(U \times \tilde{F}) = V \times \tilde{F}$ and such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times \tilde{F} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}_U} & B \times \tilde{F} \\ \omega|_{U \times \tilde{F}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \omega \\ X & \xrightarrow{h|_X} & D \end{array}$$

commutes.

For every $p \in \overline{U}$, the closure of U , there exists a neighborhood W_p of p in B such that the set $\bigcup_{q \in W_p} N_q$ is homeomorphic to the Cartesian product $W_p \times F$. Furthermore, for every $p \in \overline{U}$, there exists a unique map $\tilde{h}_p: W_p \times \tilde{F} \rightarrow B \times \tilde{F}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_p \times \tilde{F} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}_p} & B \times \tilde{F} \\ \omega|_{W_p \times \tilde{F}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \omega \\ \bigcup_{q \in W_p} N_q & \xrightarrow{h|_{\bigcup_{q \in W_p} N_q}} & D \end{array}$$

commutes, and $\tilde{h}_p|_{(U \cap W_p) \times \tilde{F}} = \tilde{h}_U|_{(U \cap W_p) \times \tilde{F}}$. Therefore there exists a map $\tilde{h}: \overline{U} \times \tilde{F} \rightarrow B \times \tilde{F}$ such that $\tilde{h}|_{U \times \tilde{F}} = \tilde{h}_U$. Note that $\tilde{h}(\overline{U} \times \tilde{F}) = \overline{V} \times \tilde{F}$.

The set $\omega^{-1}(C)$ is homeomorphic to $B \times E^1$. Assume that $\omega^{-1}(C) = B \times E^1$ and $\omega^{-1}(C) \cap (\overline{U} \times \tilde{F}) = \overline{U} \times E^1$. Let $(b, s) \in B \times E^1$, where $b = (r, \theta, z, \overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z})$. Let $i = 0, 1, 2$. Assume that if $i \leq s \bmod 3 < i + 1$, then $\omega(b, s) = (b_i, s_i)$, where $b_i = (r, \theta + \frac{2\pi i}{3}, z, \overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z})$, and $s_i = (s \bmod 3) - i$.

Let $\tilde{R}: B \times \tilde{F} \rightarrow B \times E^1$ be a retraction such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \times \tilde{F} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{R}} & B \times E^1 \\ \omega \downarrow & & \downarrow \omega|_{B \times E^1} \\ D & \xrightarrow{R} & C \end{array}$$

commutes. Note that if $p, \varphi(p) \in \overline{U}$ and $s \in E^1$, then

$$\omega(p, s) = \omega(\varphi(p), s - 1).$$

Hence, if $p, \varphi(p) \in \overline{U}$, $s \in E^1$, and $\tilde{R} \circ \tilde{h}(p, s) = (q, r)$, then $\tilde{R} \circ \tilde{h}(\varphi(p), s - 1) = (\varphi(q), r - 1)$ or $\tilde{R} \circ \tilde{h}(\varphi(p), s - 1) = (\varphi^{(2)}(q), r + 1)$. By Lemma 13, if $\tilde{R} \circ \tilde{h}(p, s) = (q, r)$, then $\tilde{R} \circ \tilde{h}(\varphi(p), s - 1) = (\varphi(q), r - 1)$ for $p, \varphi(p) \in \overline{U}$ and $s \in E^1$. Finally, if $(p, s) \in \overline{U} \times E^1$ is a point and $\tilde{R} \circ \tilde{h}(p, s) = (q, r)$, then define $h': \overline{U} \times E^1 \rightarrow \overline{V} \times E^1$ by $h'(p, s) = (q, s)$. Let $\bar{h}: C \rightarrow C$ be such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{U} \times E^1 & \xrightarrow{h'} & \overline{V} \times E^1 \\ \omega|_{\overline{U} \times E^1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \omega|_{\overline{V} \times E^1} \\ C & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} & C \end{array}$$

commutes. \bar{h} is an orientation preserving homeomorphism, $\bar{h}(B) = B$, and if $h(N_p) = N_q$, then $\bar{h}(K_p) = K_q$ for $p, q \in C$. \square

Theorem. *The continuum D is homogeneous but not bihomogeneous.*

Proof. By Lemma 4, D is homogeneous. Let $p_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, s_0)$ and $p_1 = (1, \frac{2\pi}{9}, 0, 0, 0, 0, s_0)$. Suppose that there exists a homeomorphism $h: D \rightarrow D$ such that $h(p_0) = p_1$ and $h(p_1) = p_0$. Then, by Lemma 16, there exists an orientation preserving homeomorphism $\bar{h}: C \rightarrow C$ such that $\bar{h}(B) = B$, $\bar{h}(K_{p_0}) = K_{p_1}$, and $\bar{h}(K_{p_1}) = K_{p_0}$. However, by Lemma 12, \bar{h} is orientation reversing. Therefore D is not bihomogeneous. \square

4. PROBLEMS

Definition. A space X is said to be *semilocally bihomogeneous* if for every p there exists a neighborhood U of p such that for every $q \in U$ there exists a homeomorphism $h: X \rightarrow X$ with $h(p) = q$ and $h(q) = p$.

Remark 1. The continuum D constructed in this paper is semilocally bihomogeneous.

Problem 1. Does there exist a homogeneous continuum (locally connected continuum) which is not semilocally bihomogeneous?

Problem 2. Does there exist a homogenous, locally compact metric space (continuum) X such that for no two points p and q in X there exists a homeomorphism $h: X \rightarrow X$ with $h(p) = q$ and $h(q) = p$?

Remark 2. Cook's example (see [3]) of a homogeneous, nonbihomogeneous, locally compact metric space is of dimension 2. The example constructed in this paper is of dimension 7.

Problem 3. What is the lowest dimension of a homogeneous, nonbihomogeneous, locally compact metric space (continuum)?

Remark 3. W. R. R. Transue points out that by his result of [8], Cook's example is embeddable in E^3 .

Problem 4. Does there exist a homogeneous, nonbihomogeneous continuum embeddable in E^3 ?

Problem 5. Is every homogeneous, metric, absolute neighborhood retract bihomogeneous?

REFERENCES

1. R. D. Anderson, *A characterization of the universal curve and a proof of its homogeneity*, Ann. of Math. (2) **67** (1958), 313–324.
2. —, *1-dimensional continuous curves and a homogeneity theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **68** (1958), 1–16.

3. H. Cook, *A locally compact, homogeneous metric space which is not bihomogeneous*, Topology Proc. **11** (1986), 25–27.
4. S. T. Hu, *Homotopy theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1959.
5. K. Kuperberg, W. Kuperberg, and W. R. R. Transue, *On the 2-homogeneity of Cartesian products*, Fund. Math. **110** (1980), 131–134.
6. C. Kuratowski, *Un probleme sur les ensembles homogènes*, Fund. Math. **3** (1922), 14–19.
7. J. Nagata, *Modern dimension theory*, Bibl. Mat. **6** (1965).
8. W. R. R. Transue, *On the hyperspace of subcontinua of the pseudoarc*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 1074–1075.
9. G. S. Ungar, *On all kinds of homogeneous spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **212** (1975), 393–400.
10. D. van Danzig, *Ueber topologisch homogene Kontinua*, Fund. Math. **15** (1930), 102–125.

DEPARTMENT OF FOUNDATIONS, ANALYSIS AND TOPOLOGY, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN,
ALABAMA 36849