

## ON GELFAND PAIRS ASSOCIATED WITH SOLVABLE LIE GROUPS

CHAL BENSON, JOE JENKINS AND GAIL RATCLIFF

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group, and let  $K$  be a compact subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ , the group of automorphisms of  $G$ . There is a natural action of  $K$  on the convolution algebra  $L^1(G)$ , and we denote by  $L_K^1(G)$  the subalgebra of those elements in  $L^1(G)$  that are invariant under this action. The pair  $(K, G)$  is called a Gelfand pair if  $L_K^1(G)$  is commutative. In this paper we consider the case where  $G$  is a connected, simply connected solvable Lie group and  $K \subseteq \text{Aut}(G)$  is a compact, connected group. We characterize such Gelfand pairs  $(K, G)$ , and determine a moduli space for the associated  $K$ -spherical functions.

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group, and let  $K$  be a compact subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ , the group of automorphisms of  $G$ . There is a natural action of  $K$  on the convolution algebra  $L^1(G)$ , and we denote by  $L_K^1(G)$  the subalgebra of those elements in  $L^1(G)$  that are invariant under this action. The pair  $(K, G)$  is called a Gelfand pair if  $L_K^1(G)$  is commutative. A more general and more usual definition of Gelfand pairs assumes that  $K$  is a compact subgroup of  $G$ . One then defines  $(K, G)$  to be a Gelfand pair if the subalgebra of  $K$ -bi-invariant elements in  $L^1(G)$  is commutative. This is the case, for example, if  $(G, K)$  is a Riemannian symmetric pair, as was shown by Gelfand in 1950, [Ge]. In this paper we consider the case where  $G$  is a connected, simply connected solvable Lie group and  $K \subseteq \text{Aut}(G)$  is a compact, connected group.

*For the remainder of the paper, unless otherwise stated,  $S$  will denote a connected, simply connected solvable Lie group and  $N$  will denote a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group, with corresponding Lie algebras  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$ , and  $K$  will denote a compact, connected subgroup of the appropriate automorphism group.*

The classification of Gelfand pairs involving solvable groups presupposes a classification for such pairs involving nilpotent groups, which is the subject we

---

Received by the editors October 27, 1988 and, in revised form, June 6, 1989.  
 1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 22E30, 43A55.  
 The authors were supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

first consider. An important reduction is given by

**Theorem A.** *If  $(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair then  $N$  is at most two step.*

The proof is based on the observation that  $(K, G)$  is a Gelfand pair if, and only if, products (as sets) of  $K$ -orbits in  $G$  commute, i.e. for each  $x, y \in G$ ,  $(K \cdot x)(K \cdot y) = (K \cdot y)(K \cdot x)$ .

The criterion that we generally use to determine if  $(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair is contained in a theorem due to Carcano, [Ca], which we now recall. Let  $\pi \in \hat{N}$ , and denote by  $K_\pi$  the set of all elements  $k \in K$  such that  $\pi_k \simeq \pi$  where  $\pi_k$  is the element of  $\hat{N}$  defined by  $\pi_k(x) = \pi(k \cdot x)$  for all  $x \in N$ . Then there is a projective representation  $W_\pi$  of  $K_\pi$  on  $H_\pi$ , the representation space of  $\pi$ .  $W_\pi$  is called the *intertwining representation* for  $\pi$ . If  $\sigma$  is the cocycle of  $W_\pi$  there is a decomposition

$$W_\pi = \sum_{T \in \hat{K}_\pi^a} c(T, W_\pi) T,$$

where  $c(T, W_\pi)$  denotes the multiplicity of  $T$  in  $W_\pi$ . Carcano's theorem states that  $(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair if  $c(T, W_\pi) \leq 1$  for all  $\pi$  in a set of full Plancherel measure, and that, conversely, if  $(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair then  $c(T, W_\pi) \leq 1$  for every  $\pi \in \hat{N}$ .

Since the representations of 2-step nilpotent groups factor through tensor products of representations of Heisenberg  $\times$  abelian groups, the classification of Gelfand pairs  $(K, N)$  reduces to classification of Gelfand pairs  $(K, H_n)$ , where  $H_n$  is the  $2n + 1$ -dimensional Heisenberg group. We realize  $H_n$  as  $\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{R}$  with multiplication given by  $(z, t)(z', t') = (z + z', t + t' + 2\Im z\bar{z}')$ . If  $K \subseteq \text{Aut}(H_n)$ , then, after conjugating by an element of  $\text{Aut}(H_n)$  if necessary, we may assume that  $K \subseteq U(n)$ , the group of  $n \times n$  unitary matrices acting on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  in the usual fashion. Given such a  $K$ , we denote by  $K_{\mathbf{C}}$  its complexification, which may be considered as a subgroup of  $Gl(n, \mathbf{C})$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{C}^n]$  the polynomial ring over  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . There is a natural action of  $K_{\mathbf{C}}$  on  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{C}^n]$ .

**Theorem B.** *Suppose that  $K$  acts irreducibly on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ .  $(K, H_n)$  is a Gelfand pair if, and only if,  $K_{\mathbf{C}}$  acts without multiplicity on  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{C}^n]$ .*

Victor Kac, [Ka], has given a complete list of all such groups  $K_{\mathbf{C}}$  acting without multiplicity on  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{C}^n]$ . If the action of  $K$  on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  is not irreducible, consider the irreducible decomposition  $\mathbf{C}^n = \sum_{j=1}^p V_j$ , and let  $K_j$  denote the subgroup of  $U(V_j)$  given by the (irreducible) action of  $K$  on  $V_j$ . The subset of  $H_n$  given by  $V_j \times \mathbf{R}$  is isomorphic to  $H_{m_j}$ , where  $m_j = \dim(V_j)$ . For  $n_1, \dots, n_p \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  let  $\mathbf{P}^{n_1, \dots, n_p} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^p \mathbf{P}_{j, n_j}$ , where  $\mathbf{P}_{j, n_j}$  is a  $K_j$ -irreducible subspace of  $\mathbf{C}[V_j]$ .

**Theorem C.**  *$(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair if, and only if, the subrepresentations of  $K$  on the various  $\mathbf{P}^{n_1, \dots, n_p}$  are all distinct.*

We next consider the free, two-step nilpotent Lie group on  $n$ -generators,  $F(n)$ . We identify its Lie algebra  $\mathcal{F}(n)$  with  $\mathbf{R}^n \oplus \Sigma_n$ , where  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is viewed as  $1 \times n$  real matrices,  $\Sigma_n$  is the set of  $n \times n$  skew symmetric matrices, and the bracket is defined by  $[(u, U), (v, V)] = (0, u^t v - v^t u)$ . The automorphism group of  $\mathcal{F}(n)$  is identified with  $Gl(n, \mathbf{R}) \times \text{Hom}(\mathbf{R}^n, \Sigma_n)$  with the action of  $(A, \nu)$  on  $(u, U)$  given by  $(A, \nu) \cdot (u, U) = (uA, A^t U A + \nu(u))$ . Thus,  $O(n)$ , the group of  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrices is a maximal compact subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(n))$ . We denote by  $SO(n)$  the subgroup of matrices of determinant one.

**Theorem D.** *Let  $K$  be a closed (not necessarily connected) subgroup of  $SO(n)$ .  $(K, F(n))$  is a Gelfand pair if, and only if  $K = SO(n)$ .*

Suppose now that a two-step  $N$  is given with  $[\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}] = \mathcal{Z}$ , where  $\mathcal{Z}$  is the center of  $\mathcal{N}$ . (If this condition is not satisfied, then  $N$  has an abelian direct product factor that does not play a role in the current considerations.) Given a compact, connected  $K \subseteq \text{Aut}(N)$ , we fix a  $K$ -invariant inner product,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , on  $\mathcal{N}$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{N}_1$ , the orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{Z}$  in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{N}_1$ . Define the homomorphism  $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  by setting  $\lambda(e_i) = X_i$  (where  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is the standard basis for  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ), and  $\lambda(E_{i,j}) = [X_i, X_j]$ , (where  $E_{i,j} = [(e_i, 0), (e_j, 0)] \in \mathcal{F}(n)$ ). Let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote the kernel of  $\lambda$  ( $\subseteq \Sigma_n$ ). Note that  $\lambda: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1$  is an isometry (where  $\mathcal{F}(n)$  is equipped with the (standard) inner product  $\langle (u, U), (v, V) \rangle = uv^t + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(UV^t)$ ). Given  $k \in K$ , we define  $\tilde{k} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(n))$  by  $\tilde{k}(e_i) = \lambda^{-1}(k \cdot (\lambda(e_i)))$  and  $\tilde{k}(E_{i,j}) = [\tilde{k} \cdot e_i, \tilde{k} \cdot e_j]$ , and set  $\tilde{K} = \{\tilde{k} | k \in K\}$ . Then  $\tilde{K} \subseteq O(n)$ , and one has that  $K$  is maximal compact if, and only if,  $\tilde{K} = O_{\mathcal{N}}(n) := \{A \in O(n) | A \cdot \mathcal{K} (= A^t \mathcal{K} A) = \mathcal{K}\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  denote the orthogonal complement in  $\Sigma_n$  of  $\mathcal{K}$ , and set  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \mathbf{R}^n \oplus \mathcal{Z}$  with Lie bracket defined by  $[(u, U), (v, V)]_{\mathcal{Z}} = P_{\mathcal{Z}}(u^t v - v^t u)$ , where  $P_{\mathcal{Z}}$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\Sigma_n$  onto  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}} \simeq \mathcal{N}$  and  $\tilde{K} \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ .

For nonzero  $B \in \mathcal{Z}$ , let  $\mathcal{N}_B$  denote the subset of  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}}$  given by  $\mathbf{R}^n B \oplus \mathbf{R}B$ , i.e. the range of  $B$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  plus the line through  $B$ , and define a Lie bracket similar to the above by following the bracket in  $\mathcal{F}(n)$  with the orthogonal projection onto  $\mathbf{R}B$ . The quotient Lie algebra  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ , where  $\mathcal{Z}_0$  is the orthogonal complement in  $\mathcal{Z}$  of  $\mathbf{R}B$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of ideals  $\mathcal{N}_B$  and  $(\mathbf{R}^n B)^\perp$ , the latter being commutative. Let  $H_B$  denote the simply connected Lie group corresponding to  $\mathcal{N}_B$ , and given  $b \in (\mathbf{R}^n B)^\perp$ , let  $\tilde{K}_{(b,B)} = \{\tilde{k} \in \tilde{K} | \tilde{k} \cdot (b, B) = (b, B)\}$ .

**Theorem E.**  *$(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair if  $(\tilde{K}_{(b,B)}, H_B)$  is a Gelfand pair for all  $(b, B)$  in a set of full Plancherel measure, and conversely, if  $(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair, then  $(\tilde{K}_{(b,B)}, H_B)$  is a Gelfand pair for all  $B \in \mathcal{Z}$ ,  $b \in (\mathbf{R}^n B)^\perp$ .*

We demonstrate the use of Theorem E in two examples. In the first, let  $N$  be the group whose Lie algebra has a basis  $X, Y_1, Y_2, Z_1, Z_2$ , and with all non-trivial commutators determined by  $[X, Y_1] = Z_1$  and  $[X, Y_2] = Z_2$ . We show that there is no compact subgroup  $K \subseteq \text{Aut}(N)$  for which  $(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair.

In the second example, we give a short proof of a theorem due to H. Leptin [Le] which states that if  $K$  is the  $n$ -dimensional torus (and  $N$  is a two-step group with  $[\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}] = \mathcal{Z}$ , the center of  $\mathcal{N}$ ) then  $(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair if, and only if,  $N$  is the quotient of the direct product of  $n$ -copies of  $H_1$ , with  $K$  lifting to a  $U(1)$  action on each factor  $H_1$ .

We turn now to solvable groups. The essential new ingredient is another theorem due to H. Leptin, which was privately communicated to the authors. Since a proof has not appeared in the literature, we include his proof here.

**Theorem (Leptin).** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a solvable Lie algebra with nilradical  $\mathcal{N}$ . Let  $K$  be a compact, connected subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{S})$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}_0 = \{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid k \cdot X = X, \forall k \in K\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_0 + \mathcal{N}$ .*

For  $X \in \mathcal{S}$ , let  $i_X$  denote the inner-automorphism of  $S$  determined by  $\exp X$ , and denote by  $\text{rad}(S)$  the simply connected nilpotent Lie group whose Lie algebra is the nilradical of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Using Leptin's theorem we can prove

**Theorem F.**  *$(K, S)$  is a Gelfand pair if, and only if,  $(K, \text{rad}(S))$  is a Gelfand pair, and for each  $X \in \mathcal{S}_0$ ,  $y \in S$  there is a  $k \in K$  such that  $i_X(y) = k \cdot y$ .*

Finally, we consider the  $K$ -spherical functions associated to a Gelfand pair  $(K, S)$ . Recall that a  $K$ -spherical function  $\phi$  is a continuous, complex valued function defined on  $S$  satisfying  $\phi(e) = 1$  and  $\int_K \phi(xk \cdot y) dk = \phi(x)\phi(y)$  for each  $x, y \in S$ . It is well known that integration against a  $K$ -spherical function,  $\phi$ , defines a complex homomorphism on  $L_K^1(S)$ , that this homomorphism is continuous if  $\phi$  is bounded, and that each continuous homomorphism of  $L_K^1(S)$  is obtained in this manner. We denote by  $\Delta(K, S)$  the set of continuous homomorphisms on  $L_K^1(S)$ . It follows from Theorem F, that if  $(K, S)$  is a Gelfand pair then  $S$  has polynomial growth, [Je], and hence that  $L^1(S)$  is a symmetric Banach  $*$ -algebra, [Lu]. From this one can show that the bounded  $K$ -spherical functions are positive definite, in sharp contrast to the case when  $(G, K)$  is a Riemannian symmetric pair (cf. [He]).

We first consider Gelfand pairs  $(K, N)$ . One shows that if  $\pi \in \widehat{N}$  and  $\pi' = \pi_k$ , then the intertwining representations  $W_\pi$  and  $W_{\pi'}$  have the same irreducible subspaces.

**Theorem G.** *Let  $(K, N)$  be a Gelfand pair. Then  $\phi$  is a bounded  $K$ -spherical function if, and only if, there is a  $\pi \in \widehat{N}$  and a  $\xi \in V_\alpha \subseteq \mathbf{H}_\pi$ ,  $\|\xi\| = 1$ , such that for each  $x \in N$ ,*

$$\phi(x) = \phi_{\pi, \xi}(x) := \int_K \langle \pi(k \cdot x)\xi, \xi \rangle dk,$$

where  $V_\alpha$  is an irreducible subspace for the intertwining representation  $W_\pi$ . Furthermore, bounded  $K$ -spherical functions  $\phi_{\pi, \xi} = \phi_{\pi', \xi'}$  if, and only if,  $\pi' = \pi_k$  for some  $k \in K$  and  $\xi, \xi'$  belong to the same  $V_\alpha$ .

Theorem G states that there is a 1-1 correspondence between  $\Delta(K, N)$  and the fibered product  $\hat{N}/K \times_\pi \sigma(W_\pi, \mathbf{H}_\pi)$ , where  $\hat{N}/K$  denotes the  $K$ -orbits in  $\hat{N}$ , and  $\sigma(W_\pi, \mathbf{H}_\pi)$  denotes the irreducible components of  $W_\pi$  in  $\mathbf{H}_\pi$ .

Suppose now that  $(K, S)$  is a Gelfand pair. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_p$  be a basis for a complement of  $\mathcal{N}$ , the nilradical of  $\mathcal{S}$ , in  $\mathcal{S}_0$ . For each  $y \in S$ , there exist unique  $n(y) \in N (= \exp(\mathcal{N}))$  and  $\mathbf{t}(y) \in \mathbf{R}^p$  such that  $y = n(y)\Pi_i \exp(t_i(y)X_i)$ .

**Theorem H.**  $\phi$  is a bounded  $K$ -spherical function on  $S$  if, and only if,  $\phi|_N$  is a bounded  $K$ -spherical function on  $N$  and there exists  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{R}^p$  such that  $\phi(y) = \phi(n(y))e^{i\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{t}(y) \rangle}$ . Thus,

$$\Delta(K, S) = \Delta(K, N) \times \mathbf{R}^p.$$

*Remarks.* A number of authors, in addition to those already mentioned, have considered Gelfand pairs of the form  $(K, N)$ , and the associated  $K$ -spherical functions. In [HR] it is shown that the usual action of a maximal torus in  $U(n)$  on  $H_n$  provides an example of a Gelfand pair, and the  $K$ -spherical functions are expressed in terms of Laguerre polynomials. The paper [KR] exhibits examples  $(K, N)$ , where  $N$  is an irreducible group of Heisenberg type and  $K$  is either  $\text{Spin}(n)$  or a maximal connected compact subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(n)$ . In [Ca], examples are presented where  $N$  arises as the Šilov boundary of a Siegel domain of type II and  $K = SU(p) \times U(q)$ . The generalized Laguerre polynomials introduced in [Hz] are shown in [Di] to be associated to certain Gelfand pairs  $(U(n), H_n)$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are indebted to Roger Howe who introduced us to this problem and suggested the basic strategy of working through the free, two-step nilpotent group. We also have benefited from enlightening conversations with a number of people: particularly, B. Blank, J. Faraut, R. Goodman, A. Hulanicki, H. Leptin, F. Ricci.

#### PRELIMINARIES

Consider a unimodular group  $G$  with  $K \subseteq G$  a compact subgroup. We denote the  $L^1$ -functions that are invariant under both the left and right actions of  $K$  on  $G$  by  $L^1(G//K)$ . These form a subalgebra of the group algebra  $L^1(G)$  with respect to the convolution product

$$(1.1) \quad f * g(x) = \int_G f(y)g(y^{-1}x) dy = \int_G f(xy^{-1})g(y) dy.$$

According to the traditional definition, one says that  $K \subseteq G$  is a Gelfand pair if  $L^1(G//K)$  is commutative.

Suppose now that  $K$  is a compact group acting on  $G$  by automorphisms via some homomorphism  $\phi: K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ . One can form the semidirect product  $K \ltimes G$ , with group law

$$(1.2) \quad (k_1, x_1)(k_2, x_2) = (k_1 k_2, x_1 k_1 \cdot x_2),$$

where we write  $k \cdot x$  for  $\phi(k)(x)$ . Right  $K$ -invariance of a function  $f: K \ltimes G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  means that  $f(k, x)$  depends only on  $x$ . Accordingly, if one defines  $f_G: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $f_G(x) = f(e, x)$ , then one obtains a bijection  $L^1(K \ltimes G/K) \simeq L_K^1(G)$  given by  $f \mapsto f_G$ . Here  $L_K^1(G)$  denotes the  $K$ -invariant functions on  $G$ , i.e. those  $f \in L^1(G)$  such that  $f(k \cdot x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $k \in K$ . One verifies easily that this map respects the convolution product and we see that  $K \subseteq K \ltimes G$  is a Gelfand pair if, and only if, the convolution algebra  $L_K^1(G)$  is commutative. Thus, the definition given in the introduction agrees with the more standard one.

Note that if  $(K_1, G)$  is a Gelfand pair and  $K_1 \subseteq K_2$ , then  $(K_2, G)$  is also a Gelfand pair. Also note that we can assume that  $K$  acts faithfully on  $G$  since we can always replace  $K$  by  $K/\ker(\phi)$ . In this way we can regard  $K$  as a compact subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . It is a useful fact that the Gelfand pair property depends only on the conjugacy class of  $K$  in  $\text{Aut}(G)$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $K, L$  be compact groups acting on  $G$  which are conjugate inside  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . Then  $(K, G)$  is a Gelfand pair if, and only if,  $(L, G)$  is a Gelfand pair.*

*Proof.* For  $f \in L^1(G)$ , define  $f^L \in L_L^1(G)$  by

$$(1.4) \quad f^L(x) = \int_L f(l \cdot x) dl.$$

The map  $f \mapsto f^L$  is onto  $L_L^1(G)$ . Suppose that  $L = uKu^{-1}$  for some  $u \in \text{Aut}(G)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f^L(x) &= \int_K f((uku^{-1}) \cdot x) dk \\ &= \int_K (f \circ u)(k \cdot (u^{-1}(x))) dk \\ &= (f \circ u)^K(u^{-1}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $f^L(u(x)) = (f \circ u)^K(x)$  and that  $L_L^1(G) \rightarrow L_K^1(G): f \mapsto f \circ u := \Phi(f)$  is a vector space isomorphism.

Let  $dx$  denote Haar measure on  $G$ . Then  $u^*(dx) = \Delta(u) dx$  for some nonzero real number  $\Delta(u)$ . We will show that  $\Phi(f) * \Phi(g) = \Delta(u)\Phi(f * g)$ . It

follows that  $f * g = g * f \Leftrightarrow \Phi(f) * \Phi(g) = \Phi(g) * \Phi(f)$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Phi(f) * \Phi(g))(x) &= \int_G \Phi(f)(y) \Phi(g)(y^{-1}x) dy \\
 &= \int_G (f \circ u)(y) (g \circ u)(y^{-1}x) dy \\
 &= \int_G f(u(y)) g(u(y^{-1}u(x))) dy \\
 &= \int_G f(y) g(y^{-1}u(x)) u^*(dy) \\
 &= \Delta(u) \int_G f(y) g(y^{-1}u(x)) dy \\
 &= \Delta(u)(f * g)(u(x)) \\
 &= \Delta(u)\Phi(f * g)(x). \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that  $G$  is a Lie group. For  $D \in \mathcal{E}'(G)$ , the space of compactly supported distributions, define the  $K$ -average  $D^K$  by

$$(1.5) \quad \langle D^K, f \rangle = \langle D, f^K \rangle,$$

for each  $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ , where  $f^K$  is defined by (1.4). The space of  $K$ -invariant, compactly supported distributions is

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{E}'_K(G) = \{D \in \mathcal{E}'(G) \mid D^K = D\} = \{D^K \mid D \in \mathcal{E}'(G)\}.$$

If  $\delta_x$  is the delta function at  $x \in G$  then  $\delta_x^K \in \mathcal{E}'_K(G)$  has compact support  $K \cdot x$ . One has

$$(1.7) \quad \langle \delta_x^K, f \rangle = \int_K f(k \cdot x) dk.$$

**Lemma 1.8.** *The  $K$ -invariant test functions are dense in  $\mathcal{E}'_K(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Merely note that if  $\{u_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(G)$ , and  $u_n \rightarrow D \in \mathcal{E}'(G)$ , then  $u_n^K \rightarrow D^K = D$ , for each  $D \in \mathcal{E}'_K(G)$ .  $\square$

The convolution of distributions  $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{E}'(G)$  is defined by

$$(1.9) \quad \langle D_1 * D_2, f \rangle = \langle D_1(x), \langle D_2, l_{x^{-1}}f \rangle \rangle,$$

where  $l_x f(y) = f(x^{-1}y)$ . In particular, one has

$$(1.10) \quad \langle \delta_x^K * \delta_y^K, f \rangle = \int_K \int_K f((k_1 \cdot x)(k_2 \cdot y)) dk_1 dk_2.$$

**Lemma 1.11.** *If  $(K, G)$  is a Gelfand pair then convolution in  $\mathcal{E}'_K(G)$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from commutativity of  $L_K^1(G)$  and Lemma 1.8.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.12.**  $(K, G)$  is a Gelfand pair if, and only if, for all  $x, y \in G$ ,  $xy \in (K \cdot y)(K \cdot x)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $xy \notin (K \cdot y)(K \cdot x)$ . We will show that  $\delta_x^K * \delta_y^K \neq \delta_y^K * \delta_x^K$ , so  $(K, G)$  fails to be a Gelfand pair by Lemma 1.11. Indeed, one can find a non-negative test function  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  with  $f(xy) = 1$  and  $f((K \cdot y)(K \cdot x)) = \{0\}$  by compactness of  $(K \cdot y)(K \cdot x)$ . But then (1.10) shows that  $\langle \delta_x^K * \delta_y^K, f \rangle$  is positive, whereas  $\langle \delta_y^K * \delta_x^K, f \rangle = 0$ .

Conversely, suppose  $xy \in (K \cdot y)(K \cdot x)$  for all  $x, y \in G$ , and let  $f, g \in L_K^1(G)$ . Then

$$f * g(x) = \int_G f(xy)g(y^{-1})dy = \int_G f((k_3 \cdot y)x)g(y^{-1})dy,$$

where  $xy = (k_1 \cdot y)(k_2 \cdot x) = k_2((k_3 \cdot y)x)$ . Note that  $k_1, k_2$ , and  $k_3$  depend on the integration variable  $y$ . Using  $K$ -invariance of  $f$  we write

$$\begin{aligned} f * g(x) &= \int_G \int_K f(k \cdot ((k_3 \cdot y)x))g(y^{-1})dk dy \\ &= \int_G \int_K f((k \cdot y)(kk_3^{-1} \cdot x))g(y^{-1})dk dy \end{aligned}$$

via  $k \mapsto kk_3^{-1}$

$$= \int_K \int_G f(y(kk_3^{-1} \cdot x))g(k^{-1} \cdot y^{-1})dy dk$$

via  $y \mapsto k^{-1} \cdot y$

$$= \int_G \int_K f(y(kk_3^{-1} \cdot x))g(y^{-1})dk dx$$

using  $K$ -invariance

$$= \int_G \int_K f(y(k \cdot x))g(y^{-1})dk dy$$

via  $k \mapsto kk_3$

$$= \int_K g * f(k \cdot x)dk$$

changing the order of integration

$$= g * f(x)$$

using  $K$ -invariance.  $\square$

It is not difficult to check that the condition in Theorem 1.12 is equivalent to the more symmetrical condition that  $(K \cdot x)(K \cdot y) = (K \cdot y)(K \cdot x)$ .

### THREE-STEP GROUPS

We now begin our consideration of Gelfand pairs that involve nilpotent groups. Let  $N$  be a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathcal{N}$ . Recall the descending central series for  $\mathcal{N}$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}^{(1)} \supset \mathcal{N}^{(2)} \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{N}^{(n)} \supset \mathcal{N}^{(n+1)} = \{0\},$$



where  $\mathcal{N}^{(k)} = [\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}^{(k-1)}]$  for  $k > 1$ . We say that  $N$  is an  $n$ -step group if  $\mathcal{N}^{(n)} \neq \{0\}$ .

Fix any inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathcal{N}$ , and let  $\mathcal{N}_k$  denote the orthogonal complement to  $\mathcal{N}^{(k+1)}$  inside  $\mathcal{N}^{(k)}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ . Also, set  $\mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{N}^{(n)}$  so that

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_1 \oplus \mathcal{N}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{N}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}^{(k)} = \mathcal{N}_k \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{N}_n$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $N$  be an  $n$ -step group with  $n \geq 3$ . Then*

$$[\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}^{(n-1)}] \neq \{0\}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $[\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}^{(n-1)}] = \{0\}$ , and choose any  $n$  elements  $X_1, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, Y \in \mathcal{N}$ . Then  $W = [X_1, [X_2, [\cdots [X_{n-2}, X_{n-1}] \cdots ]]]$  is an element of  $\mathcal{N}^{(n-1)}$ , and writing  $Y = U + V$  where  $U \in \mathcal{N}_1$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{N}^{(2)}$ , we see that

$$[Y, W] = [U, W] + [V, W] = [V, W] = 0$$

since  $[\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}^{(n-1)}] = 0$  and any  $n$ -fold bracket of terms in  $\mathcal{N}^{(2)}$  must vanish. However, this shows that  $\mathcal{N}$  cannot be  $n$ -step since all  $n$ -fold brackets in  $\mathcal{N}$  are zero.  $\square$

The main result of this section is

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $N$  is an  $n$ -step group with  $n \geq 3$  then there are no Gelfand pairs  $(K, N)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $K$  is compact, there is a  $K$ -invariant inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathcal{N}$ . Indeed, such an inner product can be obtained by averaging an arbitrary one with respect to the  $K$ -action. Form the decomposition (2.2) using this inner product and choose any  $X \in \mathcal{N}_1$ ,  $Y \in \mathcal{N}_{n-1}$  with  $[X, Y] \neq 0$ . This is possible by Lemma 2.3, and the observations that  $\mathcal{N}^{(n-1)} = \mathcal{N}_{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_n$  and  $\mathcal{N}_n$  is contained in the center.

Let  $\exp$  denote the exponential map from  $\mathcal{N}$  to  $N$ . We will show that for  $x = \exp(X)$ ,  $y = \exp(Y)$  one has  $xy \notin (K \cdot y)(K \cdot x)$ . Suppose otherwise, and pick  $k_1, k_2 \in K$  so that  $xy = (k_1 \cdot y)(k_2 \cdot x)$ . By the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula one has

$$(2.5) \quad X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] = k_2 \cdot X + k_1 \cdot Y + \frac{1}{2}[k_1 \cdot Y, k_2 \cdot X],$$

where  $(k, X) \mapsto k \cdot X$  is the derived action of  $K$  on  $\mathcal{N}$ .

Since any automorphism of  $\mathcal{N}$  must preserve each  $\mathcal{N}^{(k)}$ , we have  $k_1 \cdot Y \in \mathcal{N}^{(n-1)}$ . Thus  $X$  and  $k_2 \cdot X$  differ by an element  $W \in \mathcal{N}^{(n-1)}$ , so that  $k_2 \cdot X = X + W$ . As  $\mathcal{N}_1$  and  $\mathcal{N}^{(n-1)}$  are orthogonal subspaces in  $\mathcal{N}$  and the  $K$ -action preserves orthogonality, we see that  $W = 0$ . That is  $k_2 \cdot X = X$ , and (2.5) becomes

$$(2.6) \quad Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] = k_1 \cdot Y + \frac{1}{2}[k_1 \cdot Y, X].$$

The same trick now shows that  $k_1 \cdot Y = Y$ , since the two differ by an element of  $\mathcal{N}_n$ . Finally, (2.6) becomes  $[X, Y] = [Y, X]$ , which is impossible since  $[X, Y] \neq 0$ .  $\square$

### SOME REPRESENTATION THEORY

This section will serve to introduce some notation and to describe a result due to G. Carcano. Since this result is of primary importance to our analysis, we will include a sketch of the proof.

If  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  are irreducible unitary representations of  $N$ , we write  $\pi \simeq \pi'$  to indicate that  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  are unitarily equivalent. We denote by  $\hat{N}$  the equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of  $N$ . Given  $k \in K$  and  $\pi \in \hat{N}$  we denote by  $\pi_k$  the representation defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \pi_k(x) = \pi(k \cdot x).$$

The stabilizer of  $\pi$  under this action is

$$(3.2) \quad K_\pi = \{k \in K : \pi_k \simeq \pi\}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_\pi$  the coadjoint orbit in  $\mathcal{N}^*$  corresponding to  $\pi$  according to the Kirillov theory, and note that  $K_\pi$  is also the stabilizer of  $\mathcal{O}_\pi$  under the dual action of  $K$  on  $\mathcal{N}^*$ .

For each  $k \in K_\pi$ , one can choose an intertwining operator  $W_\pi(k)$  with  $\pi_k(x) = W_\pi(k)\pi(x)W_\pi(k)^{-1}$  for each  $x \in N$ . The map  $k \mapsto W_\pi(k)$  need not be a representation of  $K_\pi$ . Indeed, the  $W_\pi(k)$ 's are only characterized up to multiplicative constants in the circle  $\mathbf{T}$  by the intertwining condition. In fact, there will be a map

$$(3.3) \quad \sigma (= \sigma_\pi) : K_\pi \times K_\pi \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$$

for which  $W_\pi(k_1 k_2) = \sigma(k_1, k_2)W_\pi(k_1)W_\pi(k_2)$ . The map  $\sigma$  can be made measurable and is called the multiplier for the projective representation  $W_\pi$ . We call  $W_\pi$  the *intertwining representation* for the representation  $\pi$ .

Many aspects of representation theory can be extended to projective representations as well (cf. [Ma]). In particular, compactness of  $K_\pi$  implies that  $W_\pi$  decomposes as a direct sum of irreducible (projective) representations. Writing  $c(T, W_\pi)$  for the multiplicity of  $T$  in  $W_\pi$ , one has

$$(3.4) \quad W_\pi = \sum_{T \in \hat{K}_\pi^\sigma} c(T, W_\pi)T.$$

Here,  $\hat{K}_\pi^\sigma$  denotes the set of unitary equivalence classes of projective representations of  $K_\pi$  with multiplier  $\sigma (= \sigma_\pi)$ . The following theorem is from [Ca].

**Theorem 3.5.** *If  $(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair, then  $c(T, W_\pi) \leq 1$  for all  $\pi \in \hat{N}$ , and conversely, if  $c(T, W_\pi) \leq 1$  for almost all (with respect to Plancherel measure)  $\pi \in \hat{N}$  then  $(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair.*

*Proof.* For completeness we sketch what is essentially Carcano's proof.

Let  $\pi \in \hat{N}$  and let  $W_\pi$  be the intertwining representation of  $K_\pi$  with multiplier  $\sigma$ . If  $\bar{T}$  is any irreducible projective representation of  $K_\pi$  with multiplier  $\bar{\sigma}$ , then

$$(3.6) \quad R(k, x) = \bar{T}(k) \otimes \pi(x)W_\pi(k)$$

is an irreducible representation of  $K_\pi \ltimes N$  whose restriction to  $N$  is a multiple of  $\pi$ , and the induced representation  $\text{Ind}_{K_\pi \ltimes N}^{K \ltimes N}(R)$  is irreducible for  $K \ltimes N$ . By considering all  $\pi$  and  $\bar{T}$ , one obtains all equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $K \ltimes N$  in this manner (cf. [Ma]).

It is well known that if  $K \subset G$  is a Gelfand pair, then for each irreducible representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ , the space of  $K$ -fixed vectors has dimension  $c(1_K, \pi|_K) \in \{0, 1\}$  (cf. [He]). For the representation  $R$  given by (3.6), one has

$$\text{Ind}_{K_\pi \ltimes N}^{K \ltimes N}(R)|_K \simeq \text{Ind}_{K_\pi}^K(R|_{K_\pi}) = \text{Ind}_{K_\pi}^K(\bar{T} \otimes W_\pi),$$

and by Frobenius reciprocity for compact groups,

$$c(1_K, \text{Ind}_{K_\pi}^K(\bar{T} \otimes W_\pi)) = c(1_K|_{K_\pi}, \bar{T} \otimes W_\pi) = c(1_{K_\pi}, \bar{T} \otimes W_\pi).$$

This last value can be written as  $c(T, W_\pi)$  since  $1_{K_\pi}$  has multiplicity 1 in  $\bar{T} \otimes T$  and multiplicity 0 in  $\bar{T} \otimes S$  for  $S$  not equivalent to  $T$ . This shows the necessity of the condition.

Now suppose  $\pi \in \hat{N}$  satisfies the multiplicity condition. Denote the Hilbert space on which it acts by  $\mathbf{H}_\pi$ , and form the decomposition

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbf{H}_\pi = \sum_{T \in \hat{\mathbf{K}}_\pi^\sigma} \mathbf{H}_{\pi, T}$$

into  $K_\pi$ -irreducible subspaces. (If  $T$  is not a subrepresentation of  $W_\pi$ , then  $\mathbf{H}_{\pi, T} = \{0\}$ .) If  $f \in L_K^1(N)$  then one shows that the operator  $\pi(f)$  commutes with every  $W_\pi(k)$ . Since each factor  $\mathbf{H}_{\pi, T}$  in (3.7) occurs only once,  $\pi(f)$  must preserve these factors and thus, acts as a scalar in each by Schur's Lemma. It follows that if  $f, g \in L_K^1(N)$  then the operators  $\pi(f)$  and  $\pi(g)$  commute and hence  $\pi(f * g) = \pi(g * f)$ . When this equality holds for almost all  $\pi \in \hat{N}$ , one concludes that  $f * g = g * f$  by appealing to the Plancherel Theorem.  $\square$

We remark that the result holds more generally for compact actions on separable locally compact groups.

### HEISENBERG GROUPS

The  $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg group  $H_n$  has Lie algebra  $\mathcal{H}_n$  with basis  $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, Z$  and structure equations given by  $[X_i, Y_i] = Z$ . The group  $Sp(n, \mathbf{R})$  of real  $2n \times 2n$  symplectic matrices acts on  $\text{Span}(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  by automorphisms of  $\mathcal{H}_n$ . It is well known that  $U(n) = Sp(n, \mathbf{R}) \cap O(2n) = Sp(n, \mathbf{R}) \cap SO(2n)$  is a maximal compact

connected subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(H_n)$  (cf. [Ho]). (The full automorphism group contains inner automorphisms, dilations and an involution that sends  $Z$  to  $-Z$  in addition to these symplectic automorphisms.) If one models  $H_n$  as  $\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{R}$ , as we generally will, then  $U(n)$  becomes the group of  $n \times n$  unitary matrices acting on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  in the usual fashion.

We recall the representation theory of  $H_n$ . A generic set of coadjoint orbits in  $\mathcal{H}_n^*$  is parametrized by nonzero  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ , where the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  is the hyperplane in  $\mathcal{H}_n^*$  of all functionals taking the value  $\lambda$  at  $Z$ . The action of  $U(n)$  on  $\mathcal{H}_n^*$  preserves each  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ . Hence, if  $\pi_\lambda$  is the element of  $\widehat{H}_n$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ , then  $U(n)$  also preserves the equivalence class of  $\pi_\lambda$ .

One can realize  $\pi_\lambda$  in the Fock space

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{H}_\lambda(\mathbf{n}) = \left\{ \text{entire } f: \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \mid \int_{\mathbf{C}^n} e^{-2|\lambda||w|^2} |f(w)|^2 dw < \infty \right\}$$

as

$$(4.2) \quad \pi_\lambda(z, t)f(w) = e^{-i\lambda t + \lambda(2\langle w, z \rangle - |z|^2)} f(w - z)$$

for  $\lambda > 0$  and

$$(4.3) \quad \pi_\lambda(z, t)f(w) = e^{-i\lambda t - \lambda(2\langle w, \bar{z} \rangle - |z|^2)} f(w - \bar{z})$$

for  $\lambda < 0$ . Here  $\langle w, z \rangle$  denotes the Hermitian inner product on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . We refer the reader to [Ho or Ta] for a discussion of the Fock model.

Define  $W_\lambda(k): \mathbf{H}_\lambda(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_\lambda(\mathbf{n})$  by

$$(4.4) \quad W_\lambda(k)f(z) = f(k^{-1}z).$$

Then  $W_\lambda(k)$  intertwines  $\pi_\lambda(z, t)$  and  $(\pi_\lambda)_k(z, t) = \pi_\lambda(kz, t)$ . We verify this for  $\lambda > 0$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} W_\lambda(k)(\pi_\lambda(k^{-1}z, t)f)(w) &= \pi_\lambda(k^{-1}z, t)f(k^{-1}w) \\ &= e^{-i\lambda t + \lambda(2\langle k^{-1}w, k^{-1}z \rangle - |k^{-1}z|^2)} f(k^{-1}w - k^{-1}z) \\ &= e^{-i\lambda t + \lambda(2\langle w, z \rangle - |z|^2)} W_\lambda(k)f(w - z) \\ &= (\pi_\lambda(z, t)W_\lambda(k)f)(w), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(4.5) \quad W_\lambda(k)\pi_\lambda(z, t)W_\lambda(k)^{-1} = \pi_\lambda(kz, t)$$

as claimed. That is,  $U(n)$  is the stabilizer of the equivalence class of  $\pi_\lambda \in \widehat{H}_n$  under the action of  $U(n)$  and  $W_\lambda: \mathbf{H}_\lambda(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_\lambda(\mathbf{n})$  is the intertwining representation as in (3.4). (We remark that up to a factor of  $\det(k)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $W_\lambda$  lifts to the oscillator representation on the double cover  $MU(n)$  of  $U(n)$  (cf. [Ta]).) It follows that for any compact subgroup  $K \subseteq U(n)$ ,  $K_{\pi_\lambda} = K$ , and the intertwining representation of  $K$  is given by the restriction of  $W_\lambda$  to  $K$ .

Given a compact, connected subgroup  $K \subseteq U(n)$ , we denote its complexification by  $K_{\mathbf{C}}$ . The action of  $K$  on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  yields a representation of  $K_{\mathbf{C}}$  on

$\mathbb{C}^n$ , and one can view  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  as a subgroup of  $Gl(n, \mathbb{C})$ . (A discussion of the complexification construction can be found in [BtD].)

A finite dimensional representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow Gl(V)$  in a complex vector space  $V$  is said to be *multiplicity free* if each irreducible  $G$ -module occurs at most once in the associated representation on the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[V]$  (given by  $(x \cdot p)(z) = p(\rho(x^{-1})z)$ ).

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $K$  be a compact, connected subgroup of  $U(n)$  acting irreducibly on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The following are equivalent: (i)  $(K, H_n)$  is a Gelfand pair. (ii) The representation of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is multiplicity free. (iii) The representation of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is equivalent to one of the representations in the following table:*

Multiplicity Free Representations		
Group	Acting On	Subject To
$Sl(n, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n \geq 2$
$Gl(n, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n \geq 1$
$Sp(k, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 2k$
$\mathbb{C}^* \times Sp(k, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 2k$
$\mathbb{C}^* \times SO(n, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n \geq 2$
$Gl(k, \mathbb{C})$	$S^2(\mathbb{C}^k) \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$	$n = k(k+1)/2, k \geq 2$
$Sl(k, \mathbb{C})$	$\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^k) \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$	$n = \binom{k}{2}$ and $k$ is odd
$Gl(k, \mathbb{C})$	$\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^k) \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$	$n = \binom{k}{2}$
$Sl(k, \mathbb{C}) \times Sl(l, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^l \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$	$n = kl, k \neq l$
$Gl(k, \mathbb{C}) \times Sl(l, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^l \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$	$n = kl$
$Gl(2, \mathbb{C}) \times Sp(k, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2k} \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 4k$
$Sl(3, \mathbb{C}) \times Sp(k, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2k} \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 6k$
$Gl(3, \mathbb{C}) \times Sp(k, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2k} \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 6k$
$Gl(4, \mathbb{C}) \times Sp(4, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^8 \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 32$
$Sl(k, \mathbb{C}) \times Sp(4, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^8 \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 8k, k > 4$
$Gl(k, \mathbb{C}) \times Sp(4, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^8 \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 8k, k > 4$
$\mathbb{C}^* \times Spin(7, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 8$
$\mathbb{C}^* \times Spin(9, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 16$
$Spin(10, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 16$
$\mathbb{C}^* \times Spin(10, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 16$
$\mathbb{C}^* \times G_2$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 7$
$\mathbb{C}^* \times E_6$	$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n = 27$

*Proof.* The complexification  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  of  $K$  is connected, reductive, algebraic (cf. [BtD]) and acts irreducibly on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Moreover, the representation of  $K$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is multiplicity free if, and only if, the complexified representation of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is multiplicity free. The multiplicity free irreducible linear representations of connected, reductive, algebraic groups have been classified by V. Kac. The table given here is taken from Theorem 3 of [Ka]. This gives the equivalence of (ii) and (iii).

The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.5 once one observes that for each  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $W_{\lambda}$  is the completion of the associated representation of  $K$  on  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}^n]$ .  $\square$

*Remarks.* Some comments are in order regarding the table.  $\mathbb{C}^*$  denotes the nonzero complex numbers,  $S^2$  the symmetric 2-tensors and  $\Lambda^2$  the alternating 2-tensors. The group  $\mathbb{C}^* \times Sp(k, \mathbb{C})$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^{2k}$  via  $(\lambda, A) \cdot v = \lambda v A$ . We can view  $\mathbb{C}^* \times Sp(k, \mathbb{C})$  as the group of  $n \times n$  complex matrices that transform the standard symplectic structure on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  into a scalar multiple of itself. There are similar interpretations for the other groups  $\mathbb{C}^* \times G$ .  $\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a double cover of  $SO(n, \mathbb{C})$  and acts by the complexified half-spin representation.  $\text{Spin}(7, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\text{Spin}(9, \mathbb{C})$  are simply connected and  $\pi_1(\text{Spin}(10, \mathbb{C})) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

Suppose now that the action of  $K$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is reducible, and let

$$(4.7) \quad \mathbb{C}^n = \sum_{j=1}^p V_j$$

be a decomposition of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  into  $K$ -irreducible (not necessarily complex) subspaces. If  $(K, H_n)$  is a Gelfand pair, then the  $V_{\alpha}'$ s are orthogonal with respect to the skew-symmetric form on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  given by  $\Lambda: (z, w) \mapsto \Im \langle z, w \rangle$ . Indeed, if  $z_i \in V_{\alpha_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2$  then by Theorem 1.12 there exist  $k_1, k_2 \in K$  such that  $(z_1, 0)(z_2, 0) = (k_2 \cdot z_2, 0)(k_1 \cdot z_1, 0)$ . It follows that

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_i z_i = \sum_i k_i \cdot z_i$$

and that

$$(4.9) \quad \Lambda(z_1, z_2) = \Lambda(k_2 \cdot z_2, k_1 \cdot z_1).$$

Since the  $V_{\alpha}$ 's are orthogonal with respect to the usual Hermitian inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and are  $K$ -invariant, one concludes from (4.8) that  $k_i \cdot z_i = z_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ , and hence from (4.9) that  $\Lambda(z_1, z_2) = 0$ . It now follows that the  $V_{\alpha}$ 's have complex structure, i.e.  $iV_{\alpha} = V_{\alpha}$ . Suppose not, and let  $z \in V_{\alpha}$  such that  $iz \notin V_{\alpha}$ . Then  $iz = \sum_{\beta} z_{\beta}$ , and  $z_{\beta} \neq 0$  for some  $\beta \neq \alpha$ . Thus,

$$|z|^2 = -\Lambda(z, iz) = \sum_{\beta} -\Lambda(z, z_{\beta}) = -\Lambda(z, \bar{z}_{\alpha}) < |z|^2.$$

Finally, since the  $V_{\alpha}$ 's are invariant under multiplication by  $i$ , the skew-symmetric form  $\Lambda$  is nondegenerate on each  $V_{\alpha}$ . Therefore, if  $m_j = \dim(V_j)$ ,

$H_{m_j} \simeq V_j \times \mathbf{R}$ . (This isomorphism is made explicit in the proof of Theorem 5.12.)

Let  $K_j$  denote the subgroup of  $U(V_j)$ , the group of unitary transformations on  $V_j$  obtained by the restriction of  $K$  to  $V_j$ , and let

$$(4.10) \quad \mathbf{C}[V_j] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_{j,n}$$

be the decomposition of the polynomial ring over  $V_j$  into  $K_j$ -irreducible subspaces, with the convention that  $\mathbf{P}_{j,0} = \{0\}$ . For each  $p$ -tuple  $(n_1, \dots, n_p) \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^p$ , let  $\mathbf{P}^{n_1, \dots, n_p} = \mathbf{P}_{1,n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{P}_{p,n_p}$ . If  $W_{\lambda,j}$  denotes the intertwining representation associated to the pair  $(K_j, H_{m_j})$  as above, then for each  $k \in K$ , the restriction of  $W_{\lambda}$  to  $\mathbf{P}^{n_1, \dots, n_p}$  is given by  $W_{\lambda,1} \otimes \dots \otimes W_{\lambda,p}$ . Thus, if  $(K, H_n)$  is a Gelfand pair, Theorem 4.6 implies that  $(K_j, H_{m_j})$  is a Gelfand pair for each  $j = 1, \dots, p$ . But it also implies the stronger condition that the subrepresentations of  $K$  on  $\mathbf{P}^{n_1, \dots, n_p}$ , as  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$  ranges over  $(\mathbf{Z}^+)^p$ , are distinct. This establishes the necessity of the condition in the following theorem. The sufficiency is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.6 and the observation that

$$\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{C}^n] = \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_p) \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^p} \mathbf{P}^{n_1, \dots, n_p}.$$

**Theorem 4.11.**  *$(K, H_n)$  is a Gelfand pair if, and only if, the subrepresentations of  $W_{\lambda}$  on  $\mathbf{P}^{n_1, \dots, n_p}$  are distinct as  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$  ranges over  $(\mathbf{Z}^+)^p$ .*

We consider two examples. For the first, let  $K$  be the subgroup of matrices of determinant one in  $U(2) \times U(1) \subseteq U(3)$ , i.e.  $K = \{(A, \overline{\det(A)}) | A \in U(2)\}$ . The decomposition of  $\mathbf{C}^3$  corresponding to (4.7) is  $\mathbf{C}^3 = \mathbf{C}^2 \oplus \mathbf{C}$ , in the obvious sense, and corresponding to (4.10) one has that  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{C}^2] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_{1,n}$ , where  $\mathbf{P}_{1,n}$  is the space of homogeneous polynomials in  $z_1, z_2$  of degree  $n$ , and  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{C}] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_{2,n}$ , where  $\mathbf{P}_{2,n} = \mathbf{C}z_3^n$ . The intertwining representation of  $K$  on  $\mathbf{P}^{n_1, n_2}$  is equivalent to the representation  $A \mapsto (\det(A))^{n_2} W_{\lambda}(A)$  of  $U(2)$  on  $\mathbf{P}_{1,n_1}$ . These representations are clearly irreducible and inequivalent for distinct  $(n_1, n_2)$ . Thus  $(K, H_3)$  is a Gelfand pair.

For the second example, let  $K$  be the subgroup of  $U(1) \times U(1)$  consisting of all matrices of determinant one. In this case, both  $(K_1, H_1)$  and  $(K_2, H_1)$  are Gelfand pairs, and in fact, the subrepresentations of the intertwining representations of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  on  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{C}]$  are distinct (corresponding to  $\mathbf{Z}^+$  for  $K_1$ , and  $\mathbf{Z}^-$  for  $K_2$ ). However, the intertwining representation on  $\mathbf{P}^{n,n}$  is the identity for each  $n$ , and thus  $(K, H_2)$  is not a Gelfand pair.

We conclude this section with an immediate corollary to Theorem 4.11.

**Corollary 4.12.** *Let  $K_j$  be a compact subgroup of  $U(n_j)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq p$ ,  $K = \prod K_j$ , and let  $n = \sum n_j$ . Then  $(K, H_n)$  is a Gelfand pair if, and only if  $(K_j, H_{n_j})$  is a Gelfand pair for  $1 \leq j \leq p$ .*

## FREE GROUPS

In this section we turn our attention to the free, two-step nilpotent Lie group on  $n$ -generators,  $F(n)$ . We realize its Lie algebra,  $\mathcal{F}(n)$ , as  $\mathbf{R}^n \oplus \Sigma_n$ , where  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is viewed as  $1 \times n$  real matrices,  $\Sigma_n$  is the space of real  $n \times n$  skew symmetric matrices, and the Lie bracket is given by

$$(5.1) \quad [(u, U), (v, V)] = (0, u^t v - v^t u).$$

The group law is thus

$$(5.2) \quad (u, U)(v, V) = (u + v, U + V + \frac{1}{2}(u^t v - v^t u)).$$

**Lemma 5.3.** *There is a bijection between  $\text{Aut}(F(n)) \simeq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(n))$  and the set  $Gl(n, \mathbf{R}) \times \text{Hom}(\mathbf{R}^n, \Sigma_n)$ .*

*Proof.* The exponential map establishes the isomorphism

$$\text{Aut}(F(n)) \simeq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(n)).$$

For  $(A, \nu) \in Gl(n, \mathbf{R}) \times \text{Hom}(\mathbf{R}^n, \Sigma_n)$ , define  $\phi_{(A, \nu)}: \mathcal{F}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(n)$  by

$$(5.4) \quad \phi_{(A, \nu)}(u, U) = (uA, A^t U A + \nu(u)).$$

It is easy to check that  $\phi_{(A, \nu)}$  is a Lie algebra automorphism. On the other hand, if  $\phi: \mathcal{F}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(n)$  is any given automorphism, then  $\phi = \phi_{(A, \nu)}$ , where  $A$  and  $\nu$  are the composites

$$\mathbf{R}^n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(n) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{F}(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$$

and

$$\mathbf{R}^n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(n) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{F}(n) \rightarrow \Sigma_n$$

respectively.  $\square$

Note that the correspondence in Lemma 5.3 becomes a group isomorphism if the set  $Gl(n, \mathbf{R}) \times \text{Hom}(\mathbf{R}^n, \Sigma_n)$  is given the group structure

$$(5.4) \quad (A, \nu)(B, \mu) = (AB, A \cdot \mu + \nu B),$$

with  $Gl(n, \mathbf{R})$  acting on  $\Sigma_n$  by  $A \cdot V = A^t V A$ . In particular, we see that a maximal compact subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(F(n))$  can be identified with  $O(n)$ , the group of real orthogonal matrices. This acts on  $\mathcal{F}(n)$  by

$$(5.5) \quad A \cdot (u, U) = (uA, A \cdot U) = (uA, A^t U A),$$

and preserves the inner product

$$(5.6) \quad \langle (u, U), (v, V) \rangle = uv^t + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(UV^t).$$

Suppose that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a subspace of  $\Sigma_n$ . We define a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}} := \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathcal{Z}$  with bracket

$$(5.7) \quad [(u, U), (v, V)]_{\mathcal{Z}} = (0, P_{\mathcal{Z}}(u^t v - v^t u)),$$

where  $P_{\mathcal{Z}}$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\Sigma_n$  onto  $\mathcal{Z}$ .



We now describe the coadjoint orbits in  $\mathcal{F}(n)^*$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}}^*$ . First, using the inner product (5.6) we identify  $\mathcal{F}(n)^*$  with  $\mathcal{F}(n)$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}}^*$  with  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ . This gives an inclusion  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}}^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(n)^*$  dual to the projection  $P_{\mathcal{Z}}$ . For  $B \in \Sigma_n$ , define a map

$$(5.8) \quad J_B: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$$

by  $\langle J_B(u), v \rangle = \langle B, u^t v - v^t u \rangle$ . Similarly, if  $B \in \mathcal{Z}$  define a map

$$(5.9) \quad J_B^{\mathcal{Z}}: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$$

by  $\langle J_B^{\mathcal{Z}}(u), v \rangle = \langle B, [(u, 0), (v, 0)]_{\mathcal{Z}} \rangle$ . In fact, though, for  $B \in \mathcal{Z}$ ,  $J_B = J_B^{\mathcal{Z}}$  since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J_B^{\mathcal{Z}}(u), v \rangle &= \langle B, P_{\mathcal{Z}}[(u, 0), (v, 0)] \rangle \\ &= \langle B, [(u, 0), (v, 0)] \rangle = \langle J_B(u), v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, we denote both maps by  $J_B$ . One computes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J_B(u), v \rangle &= \langle B, [(u, 0), (v, 0)] \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(B(u^t v - v^t u)^t) = \langle uB, v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

to conclude that

$$(5.10) \quad J_B(u) = uB.$$

The coadjoint orbit through  $(b, B) \in \mathcal{F}(n)^*$  ( $\cong \mathcal{F}(n)$ ) is

$$\mathcal{O}_{(b, B)} = \operatorname{Ad}^*(F(n))(b, B).$$

For  $(u, U), (v, V) \in \mathcal{F}(n)$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \operatorname{Ad}^* \exp(u, U)(b, B), (v, V) \rangle &= \langle (b, B), (v, V) + [(u, U), (v, V)] \rangle \\ &= bv^t + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(BV^t) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(B(u^t v - v^t u)^t) \\ &= \langle (b, B), (v, V) \rangle + \langle J_B(u), v \rangle \\ &= \langle (b + J_B(u), B), (v, V) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(5.11) \quad \mathcal{O}_{(b, B)} = (b, B) + (\operatorname{Image}(J_B), 0) = (b + \mathbf{R}^n B, B).$$

The same reasoning shows that when  $B \in \mathcal{Z}$  the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{(b, B)}^{\mathcal{Z}}$  through  $(b, B) \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}}^*$  is also given by  $(b + \mathbf{R}^n B, B)$ , i.e. the inclusion  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}}^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(n)^*$  maps  $\mathcal{O}_{(b, B)}^{\mathcal{Z}}$  diffeomorphically to  $\mathcal{O}_{(b, B)}$ . Accordingly, we denote both of these orbits by  $\mathcal{O}_{(b, B)}$ , and will write  $\mathcal{O}_B$  for  $\mathcal{O}_{(0, B)}$ .

For  $n$  even, the orbits  $\mathcal{O}_B := \mathcal{O}_{(0, B)} = \mathbf{R}^n \times \{B\}$  with  $B$  nondegenerate provide a generic set of orbits in  $\mathcal{F}(n)^*$ , while for  $n$  odd, the orbits  $\mathcal{O}_{(b, B)}$  with  $b \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $B$  of rank  $(n-1)$  form a generic set. (Note that these orbits are not distinct since  $\mathcal{O}_{(b_1, B)} = \mathcal{O}_{(b_2, B)}$ , provided  $b_1 - b_2 \in \mathbf{R}^n B$ .)

**Theorem 5.12.**  $(SO(n), F(n))$  is a Gelfand pair for all  $n \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* The proof is an application of Theorem 3.5. Since the generic orbits in  $\mathcal{F}(n)^*$  depend on the parity of  $n$ , we consider the cases separately.

Suppose first that  $n = 2k$  and let  $B \in \Sigma_n$  be nondegenerate. We may also assume that  $B$  has distinct eigenvalues which we denote  $\pm i\lambda_1, \dots, \pm i\lambda_k$ , with  $\lambda_j > 0$ . The orbits  $\mathcal{O}_B = \mathbf{R}^n \times \{B\}$  for such  $B$  form a generic set in  $\mathcal{F}(n)^*$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}_B$  denote the Lie algebra defined in (5.7) with  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbf{R}B$ .  $B$  is central in  $\mathcal{H}_B$  and for  $u, v \in \mathbf{R}^n$  one has

$$(5.13) \quad [(u, 0)(v, 0)] = \langle J_B(u), v \rangle B = \omega_B(u, v)B,$$

where  $\omega_B(u, v) = uBv^t$  is the skew symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with matrix  $B$ . Nondegeneracy of  $B$  implies that  $\mathcal{H}_B$  is isomorphic to the Heisenberg algebra  $\mathcal{H}_k$ . We can make this isomorphism explicit by changing the basis on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Suppose  $B$  has eigenvectors  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$  in  $\mathbf{C}^k$  corresponding to the eigenvalues  $i\lambda_1, \dots, i\lambda_k$ . Writing  $\alpha_j = v_j + iu_j$ , one has  $u_j B = \lambda_j v_j$  and  $v_j B = -\lambda_j u_j$ . The matrix of  $B$  in the basis  $\{u_1, v_1, \dots, u_k, v_k\}$  is

$$(5.14) \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 J & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 J & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_k J \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

By scaling the  $\alpha_j$ 's we can ensure that  $\{u_1, v_1, \dots, u_k, v_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis. Writing  $X'_j = (u_j, 0)$ ,  $Y'_j = (v_j, 0)$ , and  $Z = (0, B)$  in  $\mathcal{H}_B$  we obtain a basis in which the Lie bracket in (5.7) becomes  $[X'_j, Y'_j] = \lambda_j Z$  with other brackets vanishing. Replacing  $X'_j$  by  $X_j = (1/\sqrt{\lambda_j})X'_j$ , and  $Y'_j$  by  $Y_j = (1/\sqrt{\lambda_j})Y'_j$  one obtains a basis  $\{X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_k, Y_k, Z\}$  for  $\mathcal{H}_B$  in which the nonzero brackets are determined by  $[X_j, Y_j] = Z$ .

Let  $Sp(\omega_B) = \{A \in Gl(n, \mathbf{R}) | ABA^t = B\}$ . This is the group of linear transformations preserving the symplectic form  $\omega_B$ . The stabilizer of  $\mathcal{O}_B$  under the action of  $SO(n)$  is

$$(5.15) \quad K_B = SO(n) \cap Sp(\omega_B) = \{A \in SO(n) | AB = BA\}.$$

$K_B$  also acts on  $\mathcal{H}_B$  and stabilizes  $\mathcal{O}_B$  regarded as an orbit in  $\mathcal{H}_B^*$ . In view of (5.14),  $K_B$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}_B$  as  $U(1)^k$  on  $\text{Span}(X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_k, Y_k)$ . Here each factor  $U(1) = SO(2) \cap Sp(1, \mathbf{R}) = \{A \in SO(2) | AJ = JA\}$  acts on  $\text{Span}(X_j, Y_j)$  in the usual fashion. The representations of  $H_B = \exp(\mathcal{H}_B)$  and  $F(n)$  given by  $\mathcal{O}_B$  coincide under the orthogonal projection  $\mathcal{F}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_B$  and hence have the same intertwining representations. In view of Corollary 4.12, this must satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.5, and we conclude that  $(SO(n), F(n))$  is a Gelfand pair.

Now consider the case  $n = 2k + 1$ . Let  $b \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and let  $B \in \Sigma_n$  have rank  $n - 1 = 2k$  and distinct eigenvalues  $0, \pm i\lambda_1, \dots, \pm i\lambda_k$  with  $\lambda_j > 0$ . We obtain a generic set of orbits  $\mathcal{O}_{(b, B)}$  in  $\mathcal{F}(n)^*$  from such pairs  $(b, B)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{N}_B$  be defined as in (5.7) with  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbf{R}B$ , and let  $X$  be any nonzero vector in  $\ker(B)$ . From (5.10) one concludes that the center of  $\mathcal{N}_B$  is given by  $\text{Span}(B, X)$  and that  $\mathcal{N}_B = \mathcal{H}_B \times \mathbf{R}$  (as Lie algebras) where  $\mathcal{H}_B = \mathcal{N}_B / \mathbf{R}X \simeq \mathcal{H}_k$ .

In view of (5.5), the stabilizer of  $\mathcal{O}_{(b, B)}$  under the action of  $SO(n)$  is given by

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{(b, B)} &= \{A \in SO(n) \mid bA = b \text{ and } AB = BA\} \\ &= \{A \in SO(2k) \mid AB = BA\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we are regarding  $SO(2k)$  as the stabilizer of  $b \in \mathbf{R}^n$  under the action of  $SO(n)$ .

$\mathcal{O}_{(b, B)}$  can be viewed as an orbit in  $\mathcal{N}_B$  and also as an orbit in  $\mathcal{H}_B$ . The action of  $K_{(b, B)}$  on  $\mathcal{N}_B$  descends to  $\mathcal{H}_B$  since each  $A \in K_{(b, B)}$  preserves  $\ker(B)$ . Just as in the case where  $n$  is even, one shows that this corresponds to the action of  $U(1)^k$  on  $\mathcal{H}_k$  and completes the proof using Corollary 4.12 and Theorem 3.5.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.17.** *If  $K$  is a proper, closed (not necessarily connected) subgroup of  $SO(n)$  then  $(K, F(n))$  is not a Gelfand pair.*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 5.12, one must consider separately the cases  $n$  even and  $n$  odd. Here we present the argument for the case  $n = 2k$ . We assume at first that  $K$  is connected. The stabilizer of a generic orbit  $\mathcal{O}_B$  can be viewed as a compact subgroup  $A_B$  of  $K_B \simeq U(1)^k$  (see equation (5.15)). We regard  $A_B$  as acting on a Heisenberg group  $H_k$  and conclude that if  $(K, F(n))$  is a Gelfand pair then so is  $(A_B, H_k)$ , as in the proof of Theorem 5.12.

For a suitable choice of  $B$ ,  $A_B$  is a proper subgroup of  $K_B$ . Indeed, let  $C \in SO(n) \setminus K$  and let  $\mathbf{T}$  be a maximal torus in  $SO(n)$  that contains  $C$ . Choose a basis for  $\mathbf{C}^k \simeq \mathbf{R}^n$  which transforms  $\mathbf{T}$  into the usual  $U(1)^k$  and let  $B$  be given in this basis by

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 2J & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & kJ \end{pmatrix}.$$

One has  $K_B = \mathbf{T}$  so that  $A_B = K \cap K_B$  is a proper subgroup of  $K_B$ .

$A = A_B$  is a proper connected subgroup of  $U(1)^k$  and hence is a torus. One can decompose  $\mathbf{C}^k$  into a sum of weight spaces for the action of  $A$ ,

$$(5.19) \quad \mathbf{C}^k = \sum_{\alpha \in P} V_\alpha.$$

Here  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the Lie algebra of  $A$ ,

$$(5.20) \quad V_\alpha = \{v \in \mathbf{C}^k \mid \exp(X) \cdot v = e^{2\pi i \alpha(X)} v \text{ for all } X \in \mathcal{A}\},$$

and  $P$  denotes the set of weights:  $P = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^* \mid V_\alpha \neq \{0\}\}$ . Each  $\alpha \in P$  is an integral form, that is  $\alpha(L) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $L = \ker(\exp: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow A)$ . There is a corresponding decomposition of the polynomial functions on  $\mathbf{C}^k$ :

$$(5.21) \quad \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{C}^k] = \bigotimes \mathbf{C}[V_\alpha].$$

The  $A$ -action on  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{C}^k]$  preserves each  $\mathbf{C}[V_\alpha]$  and acts via the character

$$(5.22) \quad \chi_\alpha(\exp(X)) = e^{2\pi i \alpha(X)}.$$

There are two cases to consider:

- (i) Some weight space  $V_\alpha$  has  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(V_\alpha) > 1$ .
- (ii)  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(V_\alpha) = 1$  for all  $\alpha \in P$ .

Suppose (i). Any decomposition  $V_\alpha = U \oplus W$  into nontrivial subspaces  $U$  and  $W$  will be preserved by the  $A$ -action. Moreover,  $A$  will act on the invariant subspaces  $\mathbf{C}[U]$  and  $\mathbf{C}[W]$  of  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{C}^k]$  via the character  $\chi_\alpha$ . This shows that the action of  $A$  on  $\mathbf{C}^k$  is not multiplicity free and hence that  $(K, F(n))$  is not a Gelfand pair.

Next assume that  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(V_\alpha) = 1$  for all  $\alpha \in P$ . In this case,  $P$  consists of  $k$  weights  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$  and we obtain a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  of  $\mathbf{C}^k$  by choosing  $v_j \in V_{\alpha_j}$  with  $v_j \neq 0$ . Note that any monomial  $v_1^{j_1} v_2^{j_2} \dots v_k^{j_k}$  generates an  $A$ -invariant subspace in  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{C}^k]$ .

As  $\dim(\mathcal{A}) < k$ , the weights  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$  must satisfy some nontrivial linear dependence relation:

$$(5.23) \quad c_1 \alpha_1 + c_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + c_k \alpha_k = 0.$$

In fact, one can find an integer solution  $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$  to this equation, since the forms  $\alpha_j$  are integral. Suppose  $c_1, \dots, c_l$  are nonnegative and that  $c_{l+1}, \dots, c_k$  are negative (after rearranging the weights). Consider the monomials

$$(5.24) \quad p = v_1^{c_1} \dots v_l^{c_l} \quad \text{and} \quad q = v_{l+1}^{-c_{l+1}} \dots v_k^{-c_k}.$$

One has

$$\exp(X)p = e^{2\pi i(c_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + c_l \alpha_l)(X)} p \quad \text{and} \quad \exp(X)q = e^{-2\pi i(c_{l+1} \alpha_{l+1} + \dots + c_k \alpha_k)(X)} q$$

for  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ . One concludes that the  $A$ -irreducible subspaces of  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{C}^k]$  spanned by  $p$  and  $q$  are equivalent. As in case (i), the action of  $A$  on  $\mathbf{C}^k$  is not multiplicity free and  $(K, F(n))$  fails to be a Gelfand pair.

Finally, consider a nonconnected, proper subgroup  $K \subseteq SO(n)$ . The stabilizer  $A' = A'_B$  of a generic orbit  $\mathcal{O}_B$  now has the form  $A' = A \times F$ , where  $A$  is a torus with  $\dim(A) < k$  and  $F$  is a finite abelian group. As before, we decompose  $\mathbf{C}^k$  into weight spaces  $V_\alpha$  for the action of  $A$ . Note that the action of  $F$  and  $A$  commute so that each  $V_\alpha$  is  $F$ -invariant. As before, we consider two cases:

(i) Suppose  $\dim(V_\alpha) > 1$ . Choose two linearly independent vectors  $u, v \in V_\alpha$ . The actions of  $A'$  on the monomials  $u^{|F|}$  and  $v^{|F|}$  agree and hence the representation of  $A'$  on  $\mathbf{C}^K$  is not multiplicity free.

(ii) Suppose  $\dim(V_\alpha) = 1$  for all  $\alpha$ . In this case, the actions of  $A'$  on  $p^{|F|}$  and  $q^{|F|}$  agree, where  $p$  and  $q$  are given by (5.24).  $\square$

## TWO-STEP GROUPS

In this section we do not assume that  $K$  is a connected group. Suppose now that a two-step  $N$  is given with  $[\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}] = \mathcal{Z}$ , where  $\mathcal{Z}$  is the center of  $\mathcal{N}$ . If this condition is not satisfied, then  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}$  where  $\mathcal{N}_1$  is a  $K$ -invariant, nilpotent Lie algebra with  $[\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_1]$  spanning the center of  $\mathcal{N}_1$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative. Thus,  $N = N_1 \times A$  and  $L^1(N) = L^1(N_1) \otimes L^1(A)$ . It is now easy to show that  $L_K^1(N)$  is commutative if, and only if,  $L_K^1(N_1)$  is commutative. Thus there is no loss in assuming that  $[\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}] = \mathcal{Z}$ .

Given a compact subgroup  $K \subseteq \text{Aut}(N)$ , we fix a  $K$ -invariant inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathcal{N}$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{N}_1$  the orthogonal complement to  $\mathcal{Z}$  in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{N}_1$ . Define the homomorphism  $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  by setting  $\lambda(e_i) = X_i$  (where  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is the standard basis for  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ), and  $\lambda(E_{i,j}) = [X_i, X_j]$ , (where  $E_{i,j} = [(e_i, 0), (e_j, 0)] \in \mathcal{F}(n)$ ). Let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote the kernel of  $\lambda$  ( $\subseteq \Sigma_n$ ). Note that  $\lambda: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1$  is an isometry (where  $\mathcal{F}(n)$  is equipped with the inner product  $\langle (u, U), (v, V) \rangle = (0, uv^t + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(UV^t))$ ). Given  $k \in K$ , we define  $\tilde{k} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(n))$  by  $\tilde{k}(e_i) = \lambda^{-1}(k \cdot (\lambda(e_i)))$  and  $\tilde{k}(E_{i,j}) = [\tilde{k} \cdot e_i, \tilde{k} \cdot e_j]$ , and set  $\tilde{K} = \{\tilde{k} | k \in K\}$ . Note that  $\tilde{K} \simeq K$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $K$  be a compact subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(N)$ . For any choice of orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{N}_1$ ,  $\tilde{K}$  is a compact subgroup of  $O(n)$ . If  $\tilde{K}, \tilde{K}'$  are constructed using different orthonormal bases of  $\mathcal{N}_1$  then  $\tilde{K} = A' \tilde{K}' A$  for some  $A \in O(n)$ .  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(N)$  if, and only if,  $\tilde{K} = O_{\mathcal{K}}(n) := \{A \in O(n) | A \cdot \mathcal{K} := A' \mathcal{K} A = \mathcal{K}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $\tilde{k} \in \tilde{K}$ ,  $\tilde{k}(\mathbf{R}^n) \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ . Thus, there is an  $A_k \in Gl(n, \mathbf{R})$  such that  $\tilde{k} \cdot (u, U) = (uA_k, A_k \cdot U)$ . Since  $\lambda: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1$  is an isometry and the inner product on  $\mathcal{N}$  is  $K$ -invariant,  $A_k \in O(n)$ . Finally note that  $\lambda \tilde{k} = k \lambda$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{K} = \ker(\lambda)$  is  $\tilde{k}$ -invariant, and hence that  $\tilde{K} \subseteq O_{\mathcal{K}}(n)$ .

Suppose that  $A \in O_{\mathcal{K}}(n)$ . Define  $k_A \in \text{Aut}(N)$  by requiring that  $k_A \cdot \lambda((u, U)) = \lambda(A \cdot (u, U))$ . It is clear that  $A \mapsto k_A: O_{\mathcal{K}}(n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$  is a 1-1 homomorphism, and hence, since  $O(n)$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $Gl(n, \mathbf{R})$ , that  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(N)$  if, and only if,  $\tilde{K} = O_{\mathcal{K}}(n)$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  denote the orthogonal complement in  $\Sigma_n$  of  $\mathcal{K}$ , and let  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathcal{Z}$  be the Lie algebra defined as in (5.7), i.e. with Lie bracket defined by

$[(u, U), (v, V)]_{\mathcal{Z}} = P_{\mathcal{Z}}(u^t v - v^t u)$ , where  $P_{\mathcal{Z}}$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\Sigma_n$  onto  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Let  $\tilde{\lambda}: \mathcal{F}(n)/\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  be the canonical isomorphism, define  $i: \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(n)/\mathcal{H}$  by  $i(X) = X + \mathcal{H}$ , and let  $\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\lambda} \circ i$ . Then  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is a Lie algebra isomorphism. Since  $\tilde{K} \subseteq O_{\mathcal{Z}}(n)$ , by restriction we may consider  $\tilde{K} \subseteq \text{Aut}(N_Z)$ , where  $N_Z = \exp(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ . One can easily check that  $k \cdot \lambda(X) = \tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{k} \cdot X)$  and thus prove

**Lemma 6.2.**  *$(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair if, and only if,  $(\tilde{K}, N_Z)$  is a Gelfand pair.*

Pick a nonzero  $B \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}_B$  denote the Lie algebra defined as in (5.7) with  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbf{R}B$ .  $\mathcal{N}_B$  is a concrete realization of the quotient Lie algebra  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}}/\mathcal{Z}_0$ , where  $\mathcal{Z}_0$  is the orthogonal complement in  $\mathcal{Z}$  of  $\mathbf{R}B$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_B$  denote the subset of  $\mathcal{N}_B$  given by  $\mathbf{R}^n B \times \mathbf{R}B$ , and define a Lie bracket as in (5.7). Let  $N_B$  and  $H_B$  denote the corresponding simply connected Lie groups. Since the bilinear form defined on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  by  $B$  is nondegenerate on its range, one has as in the proof of Theorem 5.12 (see equation (5.13)) that  $H_B$  is isomorphic to a Heisenberg group.

Given  $b \in (\mathbf{R}^n B)^{\perp}$ , the orthogonal complement in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  of the range of  $B$ , set

$$(6.3) \quad \tilde{K}_{(b, B)} = \{\tilde{k} \in \tilde{K} \mid \tilde{k} \cdot B = B, \text{ and } \tilde{k} \cdot b = b\}.$$

By restriction, we may consider  $\tilde{K}_{(b, B)}$  as a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(H_B)$ .

**Theorem 6.4.** *If  $(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair then  $(\tilde{K}_{(b, B)}, H_B)$  is a Gelfand pair for all  $B$  in  $\mathcal{Z}$ , and all  $b \in (\mathbf{R}^n B)^{\perp}$ . Conversely, if  $(\tilde{K}_{(b, B)}, H_B)$  is a Gelfand pair for  $(b, B)$  in a set of full Plancherel measure, then  $(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair.*

*Proof.* Recall that we identify Lie algebras and their duals using the selected inner products. Given  $B \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $b \in (\mathbf{R}^n B)^{\perp}$  we let  $\mathcal{O}_{(b, B)}$  denote the orbit in  $\mathcal{N}_Z$  ( $\cong \mathcal{N}_Z^*$ ) through  $(b, B)$ . By (5.11),  $\mathcal{O}_{(b, B)} = (b + \mathbf{R}^n B, B)$ . Thus,  $\tilde{K}_{(b, B)}$  is the subgroup of  $\tilde{K}$  that preserves the equivalence class of  $\pi_{(b, B)}$ , the representation of  $N_Z$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{O}_{(b, B)}$ .

As above, let  $\mathcal{Z}_0$  be the orthogonal complement in  $\mathcal{Z}$  of  $\mathbf{R}B$ . Then  $\mathcal{Z}_0$  is the subset of  $\mathcal{Z}$  on which the functional  $B$  vanishes. Thus,  $\pi_{(b, B)}$  factors through a representation of  $N_B = N_Z / \exp(\mathcal{Z}_0)$ .

Note that for  $u \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $v \in (\mathbf{R}^n B)^{\perp}$ , equation (5.10) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} [(u, 0), (v, 0)]_{\mathbf{R}B} &= P_{\mathbf{R}B}([(u, 0), (v, 0)]) = \langle B, [(u, 0), (v, 0)] \rangle B \\ &= \langle J_B(u), v \rangle B = \langle uB, v \rangle B = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{N}_B$  is the direct sum of the Heisenberg Lie algebra  $\mathcal{H}_B = \mathbf{R}^n B \times \mathbf{R}B$  and the commutative algebra  $(\mathbf{R}^n B)^\perp (= (\mathbf{R}^n B)^\perp \times \{0\})$ . Writing  $N_B = H_B \times (\mathbf{R}^n B)^\perp$ ,  $\pi_{(b,B)}$  factors as  $\pi_B \otimes \chi_b$ , where  $\pi_B$  is the element of  $\hat{H}_B$  corresponding to  $B$  and  $\chi_b$  is the unitary character defined on  $(\mathbf{R}^n B)^\perp$  by  $\chi_b(v) = e^{2\pi i \langle b, v \rangle}$ .

The intertwining representation of  $\tilde{K}_{(b,B)}$  fixes the factor  $\chi_b$ , and thus is multiplicity free if, and only if, the representation of  $\tilde{K}_{(b,B)}$  on the space of  $\pi_B$  is multiplicity free. This proves the theorem.  $\square$

*Remark.* If  $K$  is a maximal compact, connected subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(N)$  then  $\tilde{K}_{(b,B)} = O(\mathbf{R}^n B) \times O_b((\mathbf{R}^n B)^\perp)$ , where  $O_v(V)$  denotes the group of all orthogonal transformations of  $V$  that fix  $v \in V$ . We consider two applications of Theorem 6.4. in the first, let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the Lie algebra with basis  $X, Y_1, Y_2, Z_1, Z_2$ , and with all nonzero brackets determined by  $[X, Y_j] = Z_j$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . Let  $K$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{N})$ , and fix a  $K$ -invariant inner product on  $\mathcal{N}$ . Pick an orthonormal basis  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , for  $\mathcal{Z}^\perp$ , and define  $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(3) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  by requiring that  $\lambda(e_i) = X_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Then,  $\dim(\mathcal{K} = \ker \lambda) = 1$ . Thus, if  $\mathcal{Z}$  is the orthogonal complement to  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $\Sigma_3$ ,  $\dim(\mathcal{Z}) = 2$ . Hence, if  $B \in \mathcal{Z}$ ,  $B \neq 0$ , and  $b \in \mathbf{R}^3$ , one easily sees that  $\tilde{K}_{(b,B)} = \{e\}$ . Thus there are no compact subgroups  $K'$  of  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{N})$  such that  $(K', N)$  is a Gelfand pair.

The next application of Theorem 6.4 will be to offer a short proof of a theorem due to H. Leptin, [Le]. We assume, as always, that  $\mathcal{N}$  is the nilpotent Lie algebra of a simply connected group  $N$  with  $[\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}] = \mathcal{Z}$ , the center of  $\mathcal{N}$ .

**Theorem (Leptin).** *Suppose that  $K$  is the  $k$ -torus contained in  $\text{Aut}(N)$ . Then  $(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair if, and only if,  $N$  is the quotient of the direct product of  $k$ -copies of the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group  $H_1$ , with  $K$  acting trivially on the center of  $N$  and lifting to the product of the usual  $U(1)$  action on each factor  $H_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ , and  $\tilde{K} \subseteq \text{Aut}(F(n))$  be defined as above. Let

$$\mathbf{R}^n = \sum_{i=1}^k V_{\alpha_i}$$

be the decomposition into  $\tilde{K}$ -root spaces. First note that if  $X_{\alpha_i} \in V_{\alpha_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ , then  $[X_{\alpha_1}, X_{\alpha_2}] = 0$ . Indeed, since  $(\tilde{K}, N_Z)$  is a Gelfand pair, there exist  $k_i \in \tilde{K}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that

$$X_{\alpha_1} + X_{\alpha_2} + \frac{1}{2}[X_{\alpha_1}, X_{\alpha_2}] = k_1 \cdot X_{\alpha_1} + k_2 \cdot X_{\alpha_2} + \frac{1}{2}[k_2 \cdot X_{\alpha_2}, k_1 \cdot X_{\alpha_1}].$$

From the  $\tilde{K}$ -invariance of each  $V_\alpha$ , one concludes that  $k_i \cdot X_{\alpha_i} = X_{\alpha_i}$ , and thus that  $[X_{\alpha_1}, X_{\alpha_2}] = 0$ .

Next observe that for  $\alpha \in \{\alpha_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ ,  $\dim(V_\alpha) = 2$ . For this note that if  $\tilde{K}_\alpha$  is the action of  $\tilde{K}$  on  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha := V_\alpha \oplus \mathcal{Z}$ , considered as a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ , then  $(\tilde{K}_\alpha, \exp(\mathcal{N}_\alpha))$  is a Gelfand pair.  $\dim(V_\alpha) > 1$ , since for each nonzero  $X \in V_\alpha$  there is a  $Y \in V_\alpha$  such that  $[X, Y] \neq 0$ , and since  $\tilde{K}_\alpha$  acts as a subgroup of  $\mathbf{T}$  on  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$ , one concludes as in the proof of Theorem 5.17 that  $\dim(V_\alpha) = 2$ , and so  $n = 2k$ .

Let  $\{e_{2i-1}, e_{2i}\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $V_{\alpha_i}$ , and let

$$\Omega = \text{span}\{E_{2i-1, 2i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

We will show that if  $B \in \mathcal{Z}$ , the orthogonal complement to  $\mathcal{K} := \ker(\lambda)$  in  $\Sigma_{2k}$ , then  $B \in \Omega$ . Given such a  $B$ , let  $\mathbf{R}^n B = \sum_{i=1}^l V_i$  be the decomposition corresponding to the standard form of the skew-symmetric  $B$ . Since  $B$  is nondegenerate on its range, for each nonzero  $X \in \mathbf{R}^n B$  there is a  $Y_X \in \mathbf{R}^n B$  such that  $[X, Y_X] \neq 0$ . Since  $(\tilde{K}_B, H_B)$  is a Gelfand pair, one concludes as before, that if  $X \in V_i$ , then  $Y_X \in V_i$ . It then follows that  $V_i = \text{span}\{\tilde{K}_B \cdot X\}$  for any nonzero  $X \in V_i$ . This amounts to showing that if  $\tilde{K}_B \cdot X = X$  for some  $X \in V_i$ , then  $X = 0$ . But this is clear, for otherwise, by Theorem 1.12, there exist  $k \in \tilde{K}_B$  such that

$$X + Y_X + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y_X] = X + k \cdot Y_X + \frac{1}{2}[k \cdot Y_X, X].$$

This forces the contradiction that  $[X, Y_X] = 0$ . It now follows that each  $V_i$  equals some  $V_{\alpha_j}$ , and hence that  $B \in \Omega$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{K}$  contains the orthogonal complement to  $\Omega$  in  $\Sigma_{2k}$ , and  $F(n)/\exp(\mathcal{K})$  is the quotient of the direct product of  $k$ -copies of  $H_1$ . Finally, since  $\tilde{K}$  fixes each element of  $\Omega$ ,  $K$  acts trivially on the center of  $N$ .  $\square$

### SOLVABLE GROUPS

We now consider a simply connected solvable Lie group  $S$  with Lie algebra  $\mathcal{S}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}}$ , or more simply by  $\mathcal{N}$ , the nilradical of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Given a compact subgroup  $K \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S})$ , we set

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = \{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid k \cdot X = X, \forall k \in K\}.$$

The following theorem and proof was communicated to the authors by H. Leptin.

**Theorem (Leptin).** *If  $K$  is connected, then  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_0 + \mathcal{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$  be the complexification of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then  $K \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{C}})$ ,  $(\mathcal{S}_0)_{\mathbf{C}} = (\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{C}})_0$ , and  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{C}}} = (\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}})_{\mathbf{C}}$ . Thus, we may assume that  $\mathcal{S}$  is complex.

Now, if  $K$  is abelian and

$$\mathcal{S}_\chi = \{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid k \cdot X = \chi(k)X, \forall k \in K\},$$

then

$$(7.1) \quad \mathcal{S} = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{K}} \mathcal{S}_\chi.$$



If  $X \in \mathcal{S}_\chi$ ,  $X \neq 0$ , and  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\text{ad } X$ , then there is a nonzero  $Y \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $[X, Y] = \lambda Y$ . For  $k \in K$ ,

$$k \cdot (\lambda Y) = [k \cdot X, k \cdot Y] = \chi(k)[X, k \cdot Y].$$

Thus,  $\overline{\chi(k)}\lambda$  is also an eigenvalue of  $\text{ad } X$  for all  $k \in K$ . But if  $\chi \neq \varepsilon$ , the identity,  $\chi(K) = \mathbf{T}$ , and thus,  $\lambda t$  is an eigenvalue of  $\text{ad } X$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{T}$ . It follows that  $\lambda = 0$ , and so  $\text{ad } X$  is nilpotent. Therefore,  $\mathcal{S}_\chi \subseteq \mathcal{N}$  for all  $\chi \neq \varepsilon$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_0 + \mathcal{N}$ .

We turn now to the general case. Let  $t \in \mathbf{T} \subseteq K$ , and  $X \in \mathcal{S}$ . Since  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}'_0 + \mathcal{N}$ , where  $\mathcal{S}'_0 = \{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid t \cdot X = X, \forall t \in \mathbf{T}\}$ , by the argument above,  $t \cdot X \equiv X \pmod{\mathcal{N}}$ . But every element of  $K$  is in a torus, and so for all  $k \in K$ ,  $k \cdot X \equiv X \pmod{\mathcal{N}}$ . It follows that

$$X_0 := \int_K k \cdot X dk \equiv X \pmod{\mathcal{N}}.$$

Since  $X_0 \in \mathcal{S}_0$ , the theorem is proven.  $\square$

Given  $X \in \mathcal{S}$ , we define  $i_X \in \text{Aut}(S)$  by  $i_X(y) = \exp(X)y \exp(-X)$ . Consider the following condition:

$$(7.2) \quad \text{For each } X \in \mathcal{S}_0, y \in S, \exists k \in K \ni i_X(y) = k \cdot y.$$

**Theorem 7.3.** *Suppose  $K$  is connected. Then  $(K, S)$  is a Gelfand pair if, and only if,  $(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair, and condition (7.2) is satisfied.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(K, S)$  is a Gelfand pair. By Theorem 1.12, for all  $x, y \in N$ ,  $xy \in (K \cdot y)(K \cdot x)$ , which implies that  $(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair. Furthermore, if  $X \in \mathcal{S}_0$  and  $y \in S$ , then  $\exp(X)y \in (K \cdot y)(K \cdot \exp(X)) = (K \cdot y)\exp(X)$ . This proves the necessity of the conditions.

Suppose now the converse. Note that  $S = \exp(\mathcal{S}_0)N$ . Given  $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}_0$ , and  $x, y \in N$  we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (K \cdot \exp(X)x)(K \cdot \exp(Y)y) &= \exp(X)(K \cdot x)\exp(Y)(K \cdot y) \\ &= \exp(X)\exp(Y)(\exp(-Y)(K \cdot x)\exp(Y))(K \cdot y) \\ &= \exp(X)\exp(Y)(K \cdot x)(K \cdot y) \\ &= \exp(X)\exp(Y)(K \cdot y)(K \cdot x) \\ &= (\exp(X)(K \cdot \exp(Y)y)\exp(-X))(K \cdot (\exp(X)x)) \\ &= (K \cdot \exp(Y)y)(K \cdot \exp(X)x). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.12 implies that  $(K, S)$  is a Gelfand pair.  $\square$

Recall that a connected Lie group  $G$  is said to be *type-R* if the eigenvalues of  $\text{ad } X$ , as a linear operator on  $\mathcal{G}$ , are pure imaginary. Note that  $i_X(\exp(Y)) = \exp(\text{Ad}(\exp(X)) \cdot Y) = \exp(\exp(\text{ad } X) \cdot Y)$ . Thus, if (7.2) is satisfied, and  $\|\cdot\|$  is a  $K$  invariant norm on  $\mathcal{S}$ , then for all  $X \in \mathcal{S}_0$ ,  $\|\exp(\text{ad } X) \cdot Y\| = \|i_X \cdot Y\| = \|Y\|$ . This implies that the eigenvalues of  $\text{ad } X$  are pure imaginary for all  $X \in \mathcal{S}_0$ . The same holds true for  $X \in \mathcal{N}$ , since  $\text{ad } X$  is nilpotent as a

linear operator on  $\mathcal{S}$ . Thus

**Corollary 7.4.** *If  $(K, S)$  is a Gelfand pair, then  $S$  is type-R.*

A very simple example of a Gelfand pair  $(K, S)$  involving a non-nilpotent group is given by letting  $S = \mathbf{R} \ltimes \mathbf{C}$ , with  $\mathbf{R}$  acting on  $\mathbf{C}$  by  $t: z \mapsto e^{it}z$ , and  $K = U(1)$  acting as usual on  $\mathbf{C}$ .

### SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS

In this section we identify a moduli space for the  $K$ -spherical functions associated to a Gelfand pair  $(K, S)$ . Recall that a  $K$ -spherical function associated to such a pair is a continuous, complex-valued function,  $\phi$ , defined on  $S$ , satisfying

$$(8.1) \quad \phi(e) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_K \phi(xk \cdot y) dk = \phi(x)\phi(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . It easily follows that a  $K$ -spherical function is  $K$ -invariant. One also has that integration against a  $K$ -spherical function,  $\phi$ , defines a complex-valued homomorphism on  $L_K^1(N)$ , that this homomorphism is continuous if  $\phi$  is bounded, and that all continuous homomorphisms of  $L_K^1(N)$  are given in this manner (cf. [He]). We first consider  $K$ -spherical functions associated to a Gelfand pair  $(K, N)$ .

**Lemma 8.2.** *Suppose  $\phi$  is a bounded  $K$ -spherical function on  $N$ . Then there is a  $\pi \in \hat{N}$  and a unit vector  $\xi \in \mathbf{H}_\pi$  such that*

$$\phi(x) = \int_K \langle \pi(k \cdot x)\xi, \xi \rangle dk,$$

for each  $x \in N$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda_\phi: L_K^1(N) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be given by integration against  $\phi$ .

Since  $L^1(N)$  is a symmetric Banach  $*$ -algebra, [Le2], there is a representation  $\bar{\pi}$  of  $L^1(N)$  and a one-dimensional subspace  $\mathbf{H}_\phi$  of  $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{\pi}}$  such that  $(\bar{\pi}|_{L_K^1(N)}, \mathbf{H}_\phi)$  is equivalent to  $(\lambda_\phi, \mathbf{C})$ . As  $\lambda_\phi$  is irreducible, the extension  $\bar{\pi}$  is also irreducible (cf. [Na]). Using approximate identities at each point of  $N$ , one can show that  $\bar{\pi}$  is the integrated version of some  $\pi \in \hat{N}$ , with  $\mathbf{H}_\pi = \mathbf{H}_{\bar{\pi}}$ .

Choose  $\xi \in \mathbf{H}_\phi$  with  $\|\xi\| = 1$ . Then for each  $f \in L_K^1(N)$ ,  $\pi(f)\xi = \lambda_\phi(f)\xi$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi, f \rangle &= \lambda_\phi(f) = \langle \pi(f)\xi, \xi \rangle \\ &= \int_N f(x) \langle \pi(x)\xi, \xi \rangle dx \\ &= \int_K \int_N f(k^{-1} \cdot x) \langle \pi(x)\xi, \xi \rangle dx dk \end{aligned}$$

since  $f$  is  $K$ -invariant

$$= \int_K \int_N f(x) \langle \pi(k \cdot x) \xi, \xi \rangle dx dk.$$

Since  $\phi$  is  $K$ -invariant, we change the order of integration and obtain

$$(8.3) \quad \phi(x) = \int_K \langle \pi(k \cdot x) \xi, \xi \rangle dk. \quad \square$$

*Notation.* We denote the function defined by (8.3) as  $\phi_{\pi, \xi}$ .

**Corollary 8.4.** *If  $\phi$  is a bounded  $K$ -spherical function on  $N$ , then  $\phi$  is positive definite.*

Recall from §3 that for  $\pi \in \hat{N}$  we denote by  $K_\pi$  the subgroup of  $K$  that preserves the equivalence class of  $\pi$ , and that  $W_\pi$  denotes the intertwining representation of  $K_\pi$ .

Let  $\mathbf{H}_\pi = \sum_\alpha V_\alpha$  be the decomposition of  $\mathbf{H}_\pi$  into irreducible subspaces invariant under the action of  $W_\pi$ . The assumption that  $(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair implies that as  $K_\pi$ -modules, the  $V_\alpha$ 's are inequivalent for different  $\alpha$ 's.

**Lemma 8.5.** *If  $\pi' = \pi_{k_0}$ , then  $K_{\pi'} = k_0^{-1} K_\pi k_0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $k' \in K_{\pi'}$ , then  $\pi'_{k'} \simeq \pi'$ . That is,  $\pi'_{k'}(x) = W_{\pi'}(k') \pi'(x) W_{\pi'}^*(k')$  for each  $x \in N$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{k_0 k' k_0^{-1}}(x) &= \pi_{k_0 k'}(k_0^{-1} \cdot x) = \pi'_{k'}(k_0^{-1} \cdot x) \\ &= W_{\pi'}(k') \pi'(k_0^{-1} \cdot x) W_{\pi'}^*(k') = W_{\pi'}(k') \pi(x) W_{\pi'}^*(k'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\pi_{k_0 k' k_0^{-1}} \simeq \pi$ , so  $k_0 k' k_0^{-1} \in K_\pi$ .  $\square$

Note that for  $k' \in K_{\pi'}$ , the above calculation shows that we could choose  $W_{\pi'}$  so that  $W_\pi(k_0 k' k_0^{-1}) = W_{\pi'}(k')$ .

**Corollary 8.6.** *For  $\pi' = \pi_{k_0}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_\pi$  and  $\mathbf{H}_{\pi'}$  have the same decomposition into  $W_\pi$ - and  $W_{\pi'}$ -irreducible subspaces respectively.*

**Theorem 8.7.** (i)  $\phi_{\pi, \xi}$  is a  $K$ -spherical function if, and only if,  $\xi \in V_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha$ , and  $\|\xi\| = 1$ . (ii)  $\phi_{\pi, \xi} = \phi_{\pi', \eta}$  if, and only if, there is a  $k \in K$  such that  $\pi' = \pi_k$  and  $\xi, \eta$  belong to the same  $V_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in L_K^1(N)$ . Since  $f$  is  $K_\pi$ -invariant,  $\pi(f)$  commutes with the action of  $W_\pi$  on  $\mathbf{H}_\pi$ . Since  $W_\pi$  is multiplicity free,  $\pi(f)$  preserves each  $V_\alpha$ . Now by Schur's lemma, the irreducibility of  $W_\pi$  on  $V_\alpha$  implies that  $\pi(f)$  acts as a scalar multiple of the identity on each  $V_\alpha$ . Note that this scalar is computed by the formula  $\langle \pi(f) \xi, \xi \rangle$  for any  $\xi \in V_\alpha$  with  $\|\xi\| = 1$ .

For  $\xi \in V_\alpha$  with  $\|\xi\| = 1$ ,  $\phi_{\pi, \xi}$  is clearly a continuous function on  $N$ . We only need to show that  $\lambda_\phi$  (with  $\phi = \phi_{\pi, \xi}$ ) is a homomorphism on  $L_K^1(N)$ .

Note that for  $f \in L_K^1(N)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \phi_{\pi, \xi}, f \rangle &= \int_N \int_K \langle \pi(k \cdot x) \xi, \xi \rangle f(x) dk dx \\
 (8.8) \qquad &= \int_K \int_N \langle \pi(x) \xi, \xi \rangle f(k^{-1} \cdot x) dx dk \\
 &= \langle \pi(f) \xi, \xi \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if  $f, g \in L_K^1(N)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_\phi(f * g) &= \langle \pi(f * g) \xi, \xi \rangle = \langle \pi(f) \pi(g) \xi, \xi \rangle \\
 &= \langle \pi(g) \xi, \xi \rangle \langle \pi(f) \xi, \xi \rangle = \lambda_\phi(f) \lambda_\phi(g).
 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose  $\xi \in \mathbf{H}_\pi$ ,  $\|\xi\| = 1$ . Write  $\xi = \sum t_\alpha \xi_\alpha$  with  $\xi_\alpha \in V_\alpha$ ,  $\|\xi_\alpha\| = 1$ ,  $t_\alpha \geq 0$ , and  $\sum t_\alpha^2 = \|\xi\|^2 = 1$ . Then

$$\langle \phi_{\pi, \xi}, f \rangle = \langle \pi(f) \xi, \xi \rangle = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} t_\alpha t_\beta \langle \pi(f) \xi_\alpha, \xi_\beta \rangle = \sum_\alpha t_\alpha^2 \langle \pi(f) \xi_\alpha, \xi_\alpha \rangle$$

since  $\pi(f)$  preserves the mutually orthogonal  $V_\alpha$ 's

$$= \sum_\alpha t_\alpha^2 \langle \phi_{\pi, \xi_\alpha}, f \rangle.$$

Thus, for  $\xi = \sum t_\alpha \xi_\alpha$ ,  $t_\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\phi_{\pi, \xi} = \sum_\alpha t_\alpha^2 \phi_{\pi, \xi_\alpha}$ , and  $\|\xi\|^2 = 1$  implies that  $\sum t_\alpha^2 = 1$ . Note that positive definite homomorphisms are extreme points in the Gelfand space of  $L_K^1(N)$ , so if  $\phi_{\pi, \xi}$  is a positive definite  $K$ -spherical function, it cannot be a convex sum of positive definite  $K$ -spherical functions. Thus  $\xi = \xi_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha$ .

Now suppose  $\pi' = \pi_{k_0}$  and  $\xi, \eta$  belong to  $V_\alpha \subseteq \mathbf{H}_\pi$ . Then

$$\langle \phi_{\pi, \xi}, f \rangle = \langle \pi(f) \xi, \xi \rangle = \langle \pi(f) \eta, \eta \rangle$$

since  $\pi(f)$  is constant on  $V_\alpha$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_N \int_K \langle \pi(k \cdot x) \eta, \eta \rangle f(x) dk dx \\
 &= \int_N \int_K \langle \pi(k_0 k \cdot x) \eta, \eta \rangle f(x) dk dx \\
 &= \langle \phi_{\pi', \eta}, f \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\phi_{\pi, \xi} = \phi_{\pi', \eta}$ .

For the converse of (ii), we need to understand  $K \widehat{\propto} N$  via the Mackey machine. Let  $\pi \in \widehat{N}$ , and suppose the intertwining representation  $W_\pi$  of  $K_\pi$  is a  $\sigma$ -representation, as described in §3. Let  $T$  be any  $\bar{\sigma}$ -representation of  $K_\pi$ . Then  $\rho = T \otimes \pi W_\pi$  is an irreducible representation of  $K_\pi \propto N$ . Let  $\tilde{\rho}$  be the representation of  $K \propto N$  induced from  $\rho$ . Then  $\tilde{\rho} \in \widehat{K \propto N}$ , and any irreducible representation of  $K \propto N$  is obtained in this manner. More precisely,

$\widehat{K \propto N}$  is given by pairs  $(\pi, T)$ , where  $\pi \in \widehat{N}$ , and  $T \in \widehat{K_\pi^\sigma}$ . Another pair  $(\pi', T')$  yields an equivalent representation if, and only if,  $\pi' \simeq \pi_{k_0}$  for some  $k_0$  and  $T' \simeq T \circ i_{k_0}$ , where  $i_{k_0}: K_{\pi'} \rightarrow K_\pi = k_0 K_{\pi'} k_0^{-1}$ .

As a function on  $G = K \propto N$ , any positive definite  $K$ -spherical function is given as follows: Let  $\hat{\rho} \in \widehat{G}$ . If there is a  $K$ -fixed vector  $v \in \mathbf{H}_{\hat{\rho}}$  (the space of  $K$ -fixed vectors has dimension at most one), then  $\phi(x) = \langle \hat{\rho}(x)v, v \rangle$ . This yields a 1-1 correspondence between the representations in  $\widehat{G}$  with  $K$ -fixed vectors and positive definite  $K$ -spherical functions on  $G$  (cf. [He]).

By Frobenius reciprocity, we see that the dimension of the space of  $K$ -fixed vectors in  $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{\rho}}$  equals the dimension of the space of  $K_\pi$ -fixed vectors in  $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{\rho}}$ . Note that  $T \otimes W_\pi$  has  $K_\pi$ -fixed vectors if, and only if,  $\bar{T}$  is a subrepresentation of  $W_\pi$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{H}_T = V_\alpha$  for some  $W_\pi$ -irreducible component of  $\mathbf{H}_\pi$ , and  $T = \bar{W}_\pi|_{V_\alpha}$ . Thus there is a 1-1 correspondence between positive definite  $K$ -spherical functions and pairs  $(\pi, V_\alpha)$ , where  $\pi \in \widehat{N}$  and  $V_\alpha \subseteq \mathbf{H}_\pi$  is a  $W_\pi$ -irreducible component. We will see that these  $K$ -spherical functions coincide with the formulas in the statement of the theorem. Let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $V_\alpha$ , and set

$$(8.9) \quad v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum v_i \otimes v_i,$$

regarded as an element of  $\mathbf{H}_\rho = V_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{H}_\pi$ . For  $k \in K_\pi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(k)v &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_i \bar{W}_\pi(k)v_i \otimes W_\pi(k)v_i \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i,j,k} \bar{a}_{i,j} v_j \otimes a_{i,k} v_k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A = (a_{i,j})$  is the matrix corresponding to  $W_\pi(k)|_{V_\alpha}$ . But

$$\sum_i \bar{a}_{i,j} a_{i,k} = (A^* A)_{j,k} = \delta_{j,k}.$$

Thus

$$(8.10) \quad \rho(k)v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_j v_j \otimes v_j,$$

so  $v$  is a  $K_\pi$ -fixed vector in  $\mathbf{H}_\rho$ .

To construct a corresponding  $K$ -fixed vector in  $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{\rho}}$ , define  $f: K \propto N \rightarrow V_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{H}_\pi$  by  $f(k, n) = (1 \otimes \pi(n))v$ . To ensure that  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{\hat{\rho}}$ , we need  $f(hg) = \rho(h)f(g)$ , for  $h \in K_\pi \propto N$ ,  $g \in K \propto N$ . (Actually it is sufficient to take  $g = (k, e)$  with  $k \in K$ .) We have

$$f((k_\pi, n)(k, e)) = f(k_\pi k, n) = (1 \otimes \pi(n))v.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(k_\pi, n)f(k, e) &= \bar{W}_\pi(k_\pi) \otimes \pi(n)W_\pi(k_\pi)v \\ &= (1 \otimes \pi(n))\rho(k_\pi)v = (1 \otimes \pi(n))v, \end{aligned}$$

as required. Thus  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{\tilde{\rho}}$ , and for  $k \in K$ ,

$$\tilde{\rho}(k)f(k', n) = f((k', n)(k, e)) = f(k'k, n) = (1 \otimes \pi(n))v = f(k', n),$$

so  $f$  is a  $K$ -fixed vector.

We check that  $f$  is a unit vector.

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|^2 &= \int_{(K \rtimes N)/(K_\pi \rtimes N)} \|f(k, n)\|^2 dk dn \\ &= \int_{(K \rtimes N)/(K_\pi \rtimes N)} \|(1 \otimes \pi(n))v\|^2 dk dn \\ &= \int_{K/K_\pi} \|v\|^2 dk = 1, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\|v\|^2 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \|v_i \otimes v_i\|^2 = 1.$$

The  $K$ -spherical function  $\tilde{\phi}$  on  $G$  associated with  $f$  is given by  $\tilde{\phi}(g) = \langle \tilde{\rho}(g)f, f \rangle$ . The restriction  $\phi$  of  $\tilde{\phi}$  to  $N$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(n) &= \langle \tilde{\rho}(n)f, f \rangle \\ &= \int_{K/K_\pi} \langle \tilde{\rho}(n)f(k), f(k) \rangle dk \\ &= \int_{K/K_\pi} \langle f((k, e)(e, n)), f(k) \rangle dk \\ &= \int_{K/K_\pi} \langle f(k, k \cdot n), f(k) \rangle dk \\ &= \int_{K/K_\pi} \langle (1 \otimes \pi(k \cdot n))v, v \rangle dk. \end{aligned}$$

For  $k \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (1 \otimes \pi(k \cdot n))v, v \rangle &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i,j} \langle v_j \otimes \pi(k \cdot n)v_j, v_i \otimes v_i \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_i \langle \pi(k \cdot n)v_i, v_i \rangle \end{aligned}$$

For  $k \in K_\pi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \langle \pi(k \cdot n)v_i, v_i \rangle &= \sum_i \langle W_\pi(k)\pi(n)W_\pi(k)^{-1}v_i, v_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \langle \pi(n)W_\pi(k)^{-1}v_i, W_\pi(k)^{-1}v_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \langle \pi(n)v_i, v_i \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

by an easy trace argument. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(n) &= \frac{1}{m} \int_K \sum_i \langle \pi(k \cdot n) v_i, v_i \rangle dk \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_i \phi_{\pi, v_i}(n) = \phi_{\pi, m^{-1/2} \sum v_i}(n).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\phi = \phi_{\pi, \xi}$ , where  $\xi$  is any element of  $V_\alpha$  (since any unit vector in  $V_\alpha$  can be written as  $1/\sqrt{m} \sum v_i$  for some orthonormal basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ ).  $\square$

Suppose now that  $(K, S)$  is a Gelfand pair. Note that if  $\phi$  is a  $K$ -spherical function,  $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}_0$ , and  $y \in S$ , then by (8.1)

$$\phi(y \exp X \exp Y) = \phi(y) \phi(\exp X) \phi(\exp Y).$$

One also sees from (8.1) that the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $N := \exp(\mathcal{N})$ , where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the nilradical of  $\mathcal{S}$ , is a  $K$ -spherical function. This indicates how one constructs  $K$ -spherical functions on  $S$ .

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_p$  be a basis for a complement of  $\mathcal{N}$ , the nilradical of  $\mathcal{S}$ , in  $\mathcal{S}_0$ . Since  $S$  is simply connected, for each  $y \in S$ , there exist unique  $n(y) \in N (= \exp(\mathcal{N}))$  and  $\mathbf{t}(y) \in \mathbf{R}^p$  such that  $y = n(y) \prod_i \exp(t_i(y) X_i)$ . Thus, if  $\phi$  is a bounded  $K$ -spherical function on  $S$  then

$$\phi(y) = \phi(n(y)) \prod_i \phi(\exp(t_i(y)))$$

for each  $y \in S$ . Again by (8.1), for any  $X \in \mathcal{S}_0$ , the mapping  $t \mapsto \phi(\exp(tX))$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}$  into  $\mathbf{C}$ . Thus, there exist an  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{R}^p$  such that  $\phi(y) = \phi(n(y)) e^{i(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{t}(y))}$ . Thus one has

**Theorem 8.11.**  *$\phi$  is a bounded  $K$ -spherical function on  $S$  if, and only if, there is a bounded  $K$ -spherical function  $\psi$  on  $N$  and an  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{R}^p$  such that  $\phi(y) = \psi(n(y)) e^{i(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{t}(y))}$ . Thus  $\Delta(K, S) = \Delta(K, N) \times \mathbf{R}^p$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [BtD] T. Bröcker and T. tom Dieck, *Representations of compact Lie groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [Ca] G. Carcano, *A commutativity condition for algebras of invariant functions*, Boll. Un. Mat. Italiano **7** (1987), 1091–1105.
- [Di] H. Dib, *Polynômes de Laguerre d'un argument matriciel*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **304** (1987), 111–114.
- [Ge] I. Gelfand, *Spherical functions on symmetric spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **70** (1950), 5–8.
- [He] S. Helgason, *Groups and geometric analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1984.
- [Hz] C. Herz, *Bessel functions of matrix argument*, Ann. of Math **61** (1955), 474–523.
- [Ho] R. Howe, *Quantum mechanics and partial differential equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **38** (1980), 188–255.
- [HR] A. Hulanicki and F. Ricci, *A tauberian theorem and tangential convergence of bounded harmonic functions on balls in  $\mathbf{C}^n$* , Invent. Math. **62** (1980), 325–331.
- [Je] J. Jenkins, *Growth of connected locally compact groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **12** (1973), 113–127.

- [Le] H. Leptin, *A new kind of eigenfunction expansions on groups*, Pacific J. Math. **116** (1985), 45–67.
- [Le2] ———, *On group algebras of nilpotent groups*, Studia Math. **47** (1973), 37–49.
- [Lu] J. Ludwig, *Polynomial growth and ideals in group algebras*, Manuscripta Math. **30** (1980), 215–221.
- [Ka] V. Kac, *Some remarks on nilpotent orbits*, J. Algebra **64** (1980), 190–213.
- [KR] A. Kaplan and F. Ricci, *Harmonic analysis on groups of Heisenberg type*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 992, Springer, 1983, pp. 416–435.
- [Ki] A. Kirillov, *Unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups*, Russian Math. Surveys **17** (1978), 53–104.
- [Ma] G. Mackey, *Unitary group representations in physics*, Probability and Number Theory, Benjamin-Cummings, 1978.
- [Na] M. Naimark, *Normed rings*, Wolters-Noordhoff, 1970.
- [Ta] M. Taylor, *Noncommutative harmonic analysis*, Math. Surveys, no. 22, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1986.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-ST. LOUIS,  
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63121 (C1792@UMSLVAXA)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY AT ALBANY/SUNY, ALBANY,  
NEW YORK 12222 (JWJ71@ALBANY1VX)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-ST. LOUIS,  
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63121