

STABLE VECTOR BUNDLES ON ALGEBRAIC SURFACES

WEI-PING LI AND ZHENBO QIN

ABSTRACT. We prove an existence result for stable vector bundles with arbitrary rank on an algebraic surface, and determine the birational structure of a certain moduli space of stable bundles on a rational ruled surface.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{M}_L(r; c_1, c_2)$ be the moduli space of L -stable (in the sense of Mumford-Takemoto) rank- r vector bundles with Chern classes c_1 and c_2 on an algebraic surface X . The nonemptiness of $\mathcal{M}_L(2; 0, c_2)$ has been studied by Taubes [22], Gieseker [9], Artamkin [1], Friedman [8], Jun Li, etc. The generic smoothness of $\mathcal{M}_L(2; c_1, c_2)$ has been proved by Donaldson [6], Friedman [8], and Zuo [23]. For an arbitrary r and c_1 , Maruyama [17] proved that for any integer s , there exists an integer c_2 with $c_2 \geq s$ such that $\mathcal{M}_L(r; c_1, c_2)$ is nonempty; however, no explicit formula for the lower bound of c_2 was given. Using deformation theory on torsionfree sheaves, Artamkin [1] showed that if $c_2 > (r+1) \cdot \max(1, p_g)$, then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_L(r; 0, c_2)$ is nonempty and contains a vector bundle V with $h^2(X, \text{ad}(V)) = 0$ where $\text{ad}(V)$ is the tracefree subvector bundle of $\text{End}(V)$. Based on certain degeneration theory, Gieseker and J. Li [10] announced the generic smoothness of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_L(r; c_1, c_2)$.

In the first part of this paper, we determine the nonemptiness of $\mathcal{M}_L(r; c_1, c_2)$ in the most general form and show that at least one of the components of moduli space is generically smooth. Using an explicit construction, we show the following.

Theorem 1.1. *For any ample divisor L on X , there exists a constant α depending only on X , r , c_1 , and L such that for any $c_2 \geq \alpha$ there exists an L -stable rank- r bundle V with Chern classes c_1 and c_2 . Moreover, $h^2(X, \text{ad}(V)) = 0$.*

This is proved in §2. Our starting point is the classical Cayley-Bacharach property. A well-known result (see [11, p. 731]) says that there exists a rank-2 bundle given by an extension of $\mathcal{O}_X(L'') \otimes I_Z$ by $\mathcal{O}_X(L')$ if and only if the 0-cycle Z satisfies the Cayley-Bacharach property with respect to the complete linear system $|(L'' - L' + K_X)|$, that is, any curve in $|(L'' - L' + K_X)|$ containing all but one point in Z must contain the remaining point. It follows that to

Received by the editors September 14, 1993 and, in revised form, February 9, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14D20, 14J60; Secondary 14J26.

Key words and phrases. Moduli space, stable vector bundle, ruled surface.

construct a rank- r bundle V as an extension of

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{(r-1)} [\mathcal{O}_X(L_i) \otimes I_{Z_i}]$$

by $\mathcal{O}_X(L')$, we need only make sure that Z_i satisfies the Cayley-Bacharach property with respect to $|(L_i - L' + K_X)|$ for each i . Now, let L be an ample divisor and normalize c_1 such that $-rL^2 < c_1 \cdot L \leq 0$. Let $L' = c_1 - (r-1)L$ and $L_i = L$. Our main argument is that if the length of Z_i is sufficiently large and if Z_i is generic in the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^{l(Z_i)}(X)$ for each i , then the vector bundle V is L -stable and

$$h^2(X, \text{ad}(V)) = 0.$$

A similar construction for stable rank-2 bundles is well known [20].

We notice that there have been extensive studies for stable rank-2 bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 and on a ruled surface [3, 14, 13, 4, 5, 8, 16, 21] and for stable bundles with arbitrary rank on \mathbb{P}^2 [15, 18, 7, 1]. In the rest of this paper, we study the structure of $\mathcal{M}_L(r; c_1, c_2)$ for a suitable ample divisor L on a ruled surface X . In §3, we prove that $\mathcal{M}_L(r; c_1, c_2)$ is empty if $(c_1 \cdot f)$ is not divisible by r and that $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ is nonempty if $-r < t \leq 0$ and $c_2 \geq 2(r-1)$; moreover, we show that the restriction of any bundle in $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ to the generic fiber of the ruling π must be trivial.

In §4, we assume that X is a rational ruled surface and verify that a generic bundle V in $\mathcal{M}_L(r, tf, c_2)$ sits in an exact sequence of the form:

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{c_2} (\tau_i)_* \mathcal{O}_{f_i}(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

where $\{f_1, \dots, f_{c_2}\}$ are distinct fibers with τ_i being the natural embedding $f_i \hookrightarrow X$ and the integer n_i is defined inductively by (4.20). The idea is a natural generalization of those in [4, 5, 8]. Since the restriction of V to the generic fiber is trivial, $\pi_* V$ is a rank- r bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 ; thus, we can construct $(r-1)$ exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f) \rightarrow V_i^{**} \rightarrow V_{i-1} \rightarrow 0$$

where $i = r, \dots, 2$, $V_r = V$, and V_i is a torsionfree rank- i sheaf. By estimating the numbers of moduli of V_i and V_i^{**} , we conclude that for a generic V , the sheaves V_2, \dots, V_r are all locally free and $V_1 = \mathcal{O}_X((c_2 - n_1)f) \otimes I_Z$ where Z consists of c_2 points lying on distinct fibers. Then the exact sequence (1.2) follows.

In §5, based on (1.2), we define a rational map Φ from $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ to \mathbb{P}^{c_2} and show that the fiber is unirational. We thus obtain our second main result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a rational ruled surface. Assume that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ is nonempty where $r \geq 2$, $-r < t \leq 0$, and L satisfies condition (3.3). Then $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ is irreducible and unirational.*

One consequence of Theorem 1.3 is that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_L(r; 0, c_2)$ on \mathbb{P}^2 which is known to be irreducible [15, 7] is unirational. In fact, we shall show that any irreducible component of a nonempty moduli space on a rational

surface is unirational and determine the irreducibility and rationality in the rank-3 case. Details will appear elsewhere

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank Jun Li and Karien O'Grady for some valuable discussions. They are very grateful to the referee for useful comments and for pointing out a mistake in the previous version. The second author also would like to thank the Institute for Advanced Study at Princeton for its hospitality and its financial support through the NSF grant DMS-9100383.

NOTATION AND CONVENTIONS

X stands for an algebraic surface over the complex number field \mathbb{C} . The stability of a vector bundle is in the sense of Mumford-Takemoto. Furthermore, we make no distinction between a vector bundle and its associated locally free sheaf.

K_X =: the canonical divisor of X .

$p_g =: h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X))$, the geometric genus of X .

$l(Z)$ =: the length of the 0-cycle Z on X .

$\text{Hilb}^l(X)$:= the Hilbert scheme parametrizing all 0-cycles of length- l on X ;

r =: an integer larger than one;

$\mu_L(V)$ =: $c_1(V) \cdot L / \text{rank}(V)$ where L is an ample divisor on X and V is a torsionfree sheaf on X .

$\text{ad}(V)$ =: $\ker(\text{Tr} : \text{End}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X)$. Then, $\text{End}(V) = \text{ad}(V) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$.

$[x]$ =: the integer part of the number x .

When X is a ruled surface, we also fix the following notation.

π =: a ruling from X to an algebraic curve C .

f =: a fiber to the ruling π .

σ =: a section to π such that σ^2 is the least.

e =: $-\sigma^2$.

r_L =: b/a where $L \equiv (a\sigma + bf)$ and $a \neq 0$.

df =: $\pi^*(\mathbf{d})$ where \mathbf{d} is a divisor on C ; in this case, d stands for degree (\mathbf{d}).

P_K^1 =: the generic fiber of the ruling π .

2. EXISTENCE OF STABLE BUNDLES ON ALGEBRAIC SURFACES

2.1. The Cayley-Bacharach property. Fix divisors L', L_1, \dots, L_{r-1} and reduced 0-cycles Z_1, \dots, Z_{r-1} on the algebraic surface X such that $Z_i \cap Z_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Put $Z = \bigcup Z_i$ and

$$W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{(r-1)} [\mathcal{O}_X(L_i) \otimes I_{Z_i}].$$

Let W_i be the obvious quotient $W/[\mathcal{O}_X(L_i) \otimes I_{Z_i}]$. It is well known that there exists an extension e_i in $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X(L_i) \otimes I_{Z_i}, \mathcal{O}_X(L'))$ whose corresponding exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L') \rightarrow V_i \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L_i) \otimes I_{Z_i} \rightarrow 0$$

gives a bundle V_i if and only if Z_i satisfies the Cayley-Bacharach property with respect to the complete linear system $|(L_i - L' + K_X)|$; i.e., if a curve D in $|(L_i - L' + K_X)|$ contains all but one point of Z_i , then D contains the remaining

point. Note that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(W, \mathcal{O}_X(L')) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{(r-1)} \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X(L_i) \otimes I_{Z_i}, \mathcal{O}_X(L')).$$

In the following, we study the existence of a bundle V sitting in an extension

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L') \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{\varphi} W \rightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 2.2. *There exists an extension $e \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(W, \mathcal{O}_X(L'))$ whose corresponding exact sequence (2.1) gives a bundle V if and only if for each $i = 1, \dots, (r-1)$ the 0-cycle Z_i satisfies the Cayley-Bacharach property with respect to $|(L_i - L' + K_X)|$.*

Proof. Put $e = (e_1, \dots, e_{r-1})$ where $e_i \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X(L_i) \otimes I_{Z_i}, \mathcal{O}_X(L'))$. Let V_i be the subsheaf $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X(L_i) \otimes I_{Z_i})$ of V . Then V_i is given by the extension e_i :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L') \rightarrow V_i \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L_i) \otimes I_{Z_i} \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that V is locally free outside the 0-cycle Z and sits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_i \rightarrow V \rightarrow W_i \rightarrow 0.$$

Since W_i is locally free at the points in Z_i , we see that V is locally free at the points in Z_i if and only if V_i is locally free at the points in Z_i , that is, Z_i satisfies the Cayley-Bacharach property with respect to $|(L_i - L' + K_X)|$. Hence, our result follows. \square

Corollary 2.3. *If $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L_i - L' + K_X) \otimes I_{Z_i - \{x\}}) = 0$ for every i and for every $x \in Z_i$, then there exists a bundle V sitting in the exact sequence (2.1).*

2.2. Construction of rank- r bundle V . Let L be a very ample divisor on X , and let V be a rank- r bundle. Note that

$$c_1(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(nL)) = c_1(V) + nrL.$$

Thus, by tensoring some line bundle to V , we may assume that $-rL^2 < c_1(V) \cdot L \leq 0$. Without loss of generality, from now on, we fix a divisor c_1 with $-rL^2 < c_1 \cdot L \leq 0$.

We start with three lemmas. In these lemmas, we prove certain properties satisfied by a generic 0-cycle in the Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^l(X)$ when l is sufficiently large.

Lemma 2.4. *Let Z be a generic 0-cycle Z in the Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^l(X)$.*

- (i) *If $l \geq h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(rL - c_1 + K_X))$, then $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(rL - c_1 + K_X) \otimes I_Z) = 0$.*
- (ii) *If $l \geq p_g$, then $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \otimes I_Z) = 0$.*

Proof. This is straightforward. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $l \geq \max(p_g, h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(rL - c_1 + K_X)))$. Then a generic 0-cycle Z' in the Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^{l+1}(X)$ satisfies the Cayley-Bacharach property with respect to $|rL - c_1 + K_X|$; moreover, $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \otimes I_{Z'}) = 0$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.4(ii), we need only to prove the first statement. Define an open dense subset U_l of $\mathrm{Hilb}^l(X)$ such that if $Z \in U_l$, then Z is reduced and

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(rL + K_X - c_1) \otimes I_Z) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.4(i), this can be done. Define V_l to be the open subset of $\text{Hilb}^l(X)$ consisting of reduced 0-cycles. Hence U_l is an open dense subset of V_l . Define Z^{l+1} to be the universal family in $V_{l+1} \times X$:

$$Z^{l+1} = \{([Z], x) \in V_{l+1} \times X \mid x \in Z\}.$$

Then there is a surjective morphism $\pi : Z^{l+1} \rightarrow V_l$ given by $\pi([Z], x) = (Z - x)$. Hence, $Z^{l+1} - \pi^{-1}(U_l)$ is a proper closed subset of Z^{l+1} . Define the natural projection:

$$Z^{l+1} \subset V_{l+1} \times X \xrightarrow{\rho} V_{l+1}.$$

Then ρ is a flat surjection and $\rho(Z^{l+1} - \pi^{-1}(U_l))$ is a proper closed subset of V_{l+1} . So we can choose an element $Z' \in V_{l+1} - \rho(Z^{l+1} - \pi^{-1}(U_l))$. Hence, $\rho^{-1}([Z']) \subset \pi^{-1}(U_l)$; this means that for any point x in Z' , $Z' - x \in U_l$, that is, we have

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(rL + K_X - c_1) \otimes I_{Z'-x}) = 0 \quad \text{for any } x \in Z'.$$

So Z' satisfies the Cayley-Bacharach property with respect to $|rL + K_X - c_1|$. \square

The above two lemmas will be used to construct a rank- r bundle, while the following lemma will be used to show the L -stability of that bundle.

Lemma 2.6. *There exists a reduced 0-cycle Z'' of length $l(Z'') \geq 4(r-1)^2 \cdot L^2$ such that if $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(F) \otimes I_{Z''}) > 0$, then we have $F \cdot L \geq 2(r-1) \cdot L^2$.*

Proof. Choose $2(r-1)$ distinct smooth curves $L_1, \dots, L_{2(r-1)}$ in the complete linear system $|L|$. Choose a set Z_i'' of $2(r-1) \cdot L^2$ many distinct points in the open subset

$$L_i - \left(\bigcup_{j \neq i} L_j \right)$$

of L_i . Let $Z'' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2(r-1)} Z_i''$. Suppose that $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(F) \otimes I_{Z''}) > 0$. Then F is effective. If F contains all the curves L_i as its irreducible components, then

$$F \cdot L \geq 2(r-1) \cdot L^2.$$

If F does not have L_i as its irreducible component for some i , then $F \cap L_i \supset Z_i''$ and

$$F \cdot L = F \cdot L_i \geq l(Z_i'') = 2(r-1) \cdot L^2. \quad \square$$

Now, for $i = 1, \dots, (r-1)$, we can choose a reduced 0-cycle $Z_i = Z_i' \cup Z_i''$ such that Z_i' is chosen as in Lemma 2.5 and Z_i'' is chosen as in Lemma 2.6; moreover, we may assume that Z_1, \dots, Z_{r-1} are disjoint. Put $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r-1} Z_i$ and

$$W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{(r-1)} [\mathcal{O}_X(L) \otimes I_{Z_i}].$$

Since $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(rL + K_X - c_1) \otimes I_{Z'-x}) = 0$ for any $x \in Z_i'$,

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(rL + K_X - c_1) \otimes I_{Z' \cup Z_i'' - x}) = 0$$

for any $x \in Z_i = Z'_i \cup Z''_i$. Hence Z_i satisfies the Cayley-Bacharach property with respect to $|rL + K_X - c_1|$. By Corollary 2.3, there is a bundle V sitting in an extension:

$$(2.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(c_1 + (1-r)L) \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $c_1(V) = c_1$ and that, since Z is nonempty, the extension (2.7) is nontrivial.

2.3. L -stability of the vector bundle V . In the following, we show the L -stability of the bundle V constructed above.

Lemma 2.8. *The rank- r bundle V in (2.7) is L -stable.*

Proof. Let U be a proper subvector bundle of V such that the quotient V/U is torsion-free. Let U_2 be the image of U in W , and let U_1 be the kernel of the surjection $U \rightarrow U_2 \rightarrow 0$. Then we have a commutative diagram of morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X(c_1 + (1-r)L) & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & U_1 & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & U_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

Case (a): $U_1 \neq 0$. Then $c_1(U_1) = (c_1 + (1-r)L) - E_1$ for some effective divisor E_1 . From $U_2 \hookrightarrow W$, we have $U_2^{**} \hookrightarrow W^{**} = \mathcal{O}_X(L)^{\oplus(r-1)}$; thus,

$$\bigwedge^{r_2}(U_2^{**}) \hookrightarrow \bigwedge^{r_2}(\mathcal{O}_X(L)^{\oplus(r-1)}) = \mathcal{O}_X(r_2L)^{\oplus \binom{r-1}{r_2}}$$

where r_2 is the rank of U_2 . Thus, $c_1(U_2) = r_2L - E_2$ for some effective divisor E_2 and

$$c_1(U) = (c_1 + (1+r_2-r)L) - (E_1 + E_2).$$

It follows that $c_1(U) \cdot L \leq (c_1 + (1+r_2-r)L) \cdot L$. Therefore,

$$\mu_L(U) = \frac{c_1(U) \cdot L}{(1+r_2)} \leq \frac{(c_1 + (1+r_2-r)L) \cdot L}{(1+r_2)} < \frac{c_1 \cdot L}{r} = \mu_L(V).$$

Case (b): $U_1 = 0$. Then $U \hookrightarrow W$; thus, we see that

$$\bigwedge^{\bar{r}}(U) \hookrightarrow \bigwedge^{\bar{r}}(W) = \bigoplus_{\beta} [\mathcal{O}_X(\bar{r}L) \otimes I_{\bigcup_{i \in \beta} Z_i}]$$

where \bar{r} denotes the rank of U and β runs over the set of \bar{r} choices from $(r-1)$ letters. It follows that for some β and for some $i \in \beta$,

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\bar{r}L - c_1(U)) \otimes I_{Z_i}) > 0.$$

In particular, $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\bar{r}L - c_1(U)) \otimes I_{Z''_i}) > 0$. In view of Lemma 2.6, we have

$$(\bar{r}L - c_1(U)) \cdot L \geq 2(r-1)L^2 \geq 2\bar{r}L^2.$$

So $c_1(U) \cdot L \leq -\bar{r}L^2 < \bar{r} \cdot (c_1 \cdot L)/r$ and $\mu_L(U) < \mu_L(V)$.

Thus, in both cases, $\mu_L(U) < \mu_L(V)$. Therefore, V is L -stable. \square

In the next lemma, we are going to prove that $h^2(X, \text{ad}(V)) = 0$, that is, the irreducible component of $\mathcal{M}_L(r; c_1, c_2)$ containing V is generically smooth (equivalently, this means that the versal deformation space of V is smooth).

Lemma 2.9. *Let V be the rank- r bundle in (2.7). If $rL^2 > K_X \cdot L$, then*

- (i) $\text{Hom}(W, V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) = 0$;
- (ii) $h^2(X, \text{ad}(V)) = 0$.

Proof. (i) Let $\beta \in \text{Hom}(W, V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X))$. Then β induces a map β' from W^{**} to $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$ such that we have commutative diagram of maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \hookrightarrow & W^{**} = \mathcal{O}_X(L)^{\oplus(r-1)} \\ \downarrow \beta & \searrow \beta' & \\ V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) & & \end{array}$$

To show that $\beta = 0$, it suffices to show that $H^0(X, V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - L)) = 0$.

Since $c_1 \cdot L \leq 0$ and $K_X \cdot L < rL^2$, $(c_1 - rL + K_X) \cdot L < 0$. Thus,

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(c_1 - rL + K_X)) = 0.$$

By our choice of the 0-cycles Z'_i , $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \otimes I_{Z'_i}) = 0$. Thus,

$$H^0(X, W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - L)) = 0.$$

Now, tensoring (2.7) by $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X - L)$ and taking cohomology, we see that

$$H^0(X, V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - L)) = 0.$$

(ii) We follow the argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.5.4 in [19]. By the Serre duality, we have $H^2(X, \text{ad}(V)) \cong H^0(X, \text{ad}(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X))$. Let

$$\varphi \in H^0(X, \text{ad}(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) \subseteq H^0(X, \text{End}(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)).$$

Then we obtain a map φ from V to $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$. Consider the diagram:

$$(2.10) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(c_1 + (1-r)L) \xrightarrow{\theta} V \xrightarrow{\rho} W \rightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow \varphi$$

$$(2.11) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(c_1 + (1-r)L + K_X) \xrightarrow{\theta'} V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \xrightarrow{\rho'} W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \rightarrow 0.$$

By our choice of the 0-cycles Z'_i , $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(rL - c_1 + K_X) \otimes I_{Z'_i}) = 0$. Thus,

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X(c_1 + (1-r)L), W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) = 0,$$

so $\rho' \circ \varphi \circ \theta = 0$. Applying $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X(c_1 + (1-r)L), \cdot)$ to (2.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) &\xrightarrow{\lambda} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X(c_1 + (1-r)L), V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\rho' \circ} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X(c_1 + (1-r)L), W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there exists $\tau \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X))$ such that

$$\varphi \circ \theta = \lambda(\tau) = (\tau \otimes \text{Id}_V) \circ \theta$$

where Id_V is the identity morphism in $\text{End}(V)$. Thus, $(\varphi - \tau \otimes \text{Id}_V) \circ \theta = 0$. Applying $\text{Hom}(\cdot, V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X))$ to (2.10), we get an exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(W, V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) &\rightarrow H^0(X, \text{End}(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\circ\theta} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X(c_1 + (1-r)L), V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)). \end{aligned}$$

From (i), we conclude that $(\varphi - \tau \otimes \text{Id}_V) = 0$. Since $0 = \text{Tr}(\varphi) = \tau$, $\varphi = 0$. Hence,

$$h^2(X, \text{ad}(V)) = 0. \quad \square$$

Finally, we state and prove the main result in this section.

Theorem 2.12. *For any ample divisor L on X , there exists a constant α depending only on X, r, c_1 , and L such that for any $c_2 \geq \alpha$, there exists an L -stable rank- r bundle V with Chern classes c_1 and c_2 . Moreover, $h^2(X, \text{ad}(V)) = 0$.*

Proof. We may rescale the ample divisor L such that L is very ample and that $rL^2 > K_X \cdot L$. Note that $c_1(W) = (r-1)L$ and $c_2(W) = l(Z) + (r-1)(r-2)/2 \cdot L^2$.

From the exact sequence (2.7), we see that $c_1(V) = c_1$ and

$$c_2(V) = l(Z) + (r-1)(c_1 \cdot L) - r(r-1)/2 \cdot L^2.$$

By the construction of the 0-cycle Z , we get

$$\begin{aligned} l(Z) &= \sum_{i=1}^{(r-1)} [l(Z'_i) + l(Z''_i)] \\ &\geq (r-1)[1 + \max(p_g, h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(rL - c_1 + K_X))) + 4(r-1)^2 \cdot L^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Let α be the integer:

$$\begin{aligned} (r-1)[1 + \max(p_g, h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(rL - c_1 + K_X))) + 4(r-1)^2 \cdot L^2] \\ + (r-1)(c_1 \cdot L) - r(r-1)/2 \cdot L^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then α depends only on X, r, c_1 , and L . By Lemma 2.8, for any $c_2 \geq \alpha$, there exists an L -stable rank- r bundle V with Chern classes c_1 and c_2 .

Moreover, since $rL^2 > K_X \cdot L$, $h^2(X, \text{ad}(V)) = 0$ by Lemma 2.9(ii). \square

Remark 2.13. In [2], Artamkin showed that $\mathcal{M}_L(r; 0, c_2)$ is nonempty whenever

$$c_2 > (r+1) \cdot \max(1, p_g);$$

in particular, when we only consider the case of $c_1 = 0$, the lower bound of the integer c_2 does not depend on the ample divisor L . By contrast, the constant α in Theorem 2.12 depends on L . In fact, if we want a universal lower bound of c_2 for all c_1 , this bound must depend on the ample divisor L . We shall see this fact from Theorem 3.1 in the next section that on a ruled surface there exists a divisor c_1 such that for any integer c_2 we can find an ample divisor L with $\mathcal{M}_L(r; c_1, c_2)$ being empty.

3. RESTRICTION OF A STABLE BUNDLE ON A RULED SURFACE TO THE GENERIC FIBER

From now on, we study stable bundles on a ruled surface X . Our first goal in this section is to show that if $0 < (c_1 \cdot f) < r$ and if $r_L \gg 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_L(r; c_1, c_2)$ is empty.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0 < (c_1 \cdot f) < r$. Then there exists a constant r_0 depending only on X, r, c_1 , and c_2 such that $\mathcal{M}_L(r; c_1, c_2)$ is empty whenever $r_L > r_0$.*

Proof. Assume that $V \in \mathcal{M}_L(r; c_1, c_2)$. Let $c_1 = (a\sigma + bf)$; then $0 < a < r$. For any divisor k on C , we see that $c_1(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\sigma + kf)) = (a-r)\sigma + (b+rk)f$ and that

$$c_2(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\sigma + kf)) = c_2 + (r-1)(a\sigma + bf) \cdot (-\sigma + kf) + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} \cdot (-\sigma + kf)^2.$$

By the Riemann-Roch formula, we conclude the following:

$$\chi(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\sigma + kf)) = a \cdot k + a \cdot (b+1 - g_C) - c_2 - \frac{e(a^2 - a)}{2}.$$

Let $k = g_C - b + [c_2/a + e(a-1)/2] + 1$. Then $\chi(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\sigma + kf)) > 0$. Thus, $h^i(X, V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\sigma + kf)) > 0$ where $i = 0$ or 2 . On the other hand, put

$$r_0 = \max \left\{ e + \frac{kr+b}{r-a}, e - \frac{2r\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + er + kr + b}{r+a} \right\}.$$

Then r_0 is a number depending only on X, r, c_1 , and c_2 . If

$$h^0(X, V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\sigma + kf)) > 0,$$

then there exists an injective map $\mathcal{O}_C(\sigma - kf) \hookrightarrow V$. By the stability of V , we see that $(\sigma - kf) \cdot L < (a\sigma + bf) \cdot L/r$. By direct calculations, we get

$$r_L < e + \frac{kr+b}{r-a};$$

but this contradicts with the choice of the numbers r_0 and r_L .

If $h^2(X, V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\sigma + kf)) > 0$, then $h^0(X, V^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \sigma - kf)) > 0$. Hence, there is a nonzero map $V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - \sigma + kf)$ which can be extended to

$$V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \sigma - kf) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-E) \otimes I_Z \rightarrow 0$$

for some effective divisor E . By the stability of V , we must have

$$c_1(V) \cdot L/r < K_X \cdot L + (\sigma - kf) \cdot L - E \cdot L \leq K_X \cdot L + (\sigma - kf) \cdot L.$$

By a straightforward calculation, we obtain that

$$r_L \leq e - \frac{2r\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + er + kr + b}{r+a};$$

again, this contradicts our choices of r_0 and r_L .

Therefore, if $r_L > r_0$, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_L(r; c_1, c_2)$ is empty. \square

Remark 3.2. Theorem 3.1 only says that for a fixed c_1 with $0 < c_1 \cdot f < r$ and for a fixed c_2 , the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_L(r; c_1, c_2)$ is empty for some special ample divisor L (e.g., when $r_L > r_0$). For another ample divisor L' , $\mathcal{M}_{L'}(r; c_1, c_2)$ can be nonempty (see [21] when $r = 2$); we will discuss this issue in other places.

In view of Theorem 3.1, our next goal is to study the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ where $-r < t \leq 0$. Let $V \in \mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ where L is of the form $(\sigma + r_L f)$ with

$$(3.3) \quad r_L \geq \max\{e/2 - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + r(g_C + |c_2|) + 1, 2|e| + r(g_C + |c_2|)\}.$$

We want to show that the restriction of the stable bundle V to the generic fiber is trivial. To start with, we prove the following technical lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let U be a rank- s bundle with an injection $U \hookrightarrow V$.*

- (i) *For any divisor \mathbf{d} with $d \geq -r(g_C + |c_2|) - 1$, $h^2(X, U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{d}f)) = 0$.*
(ii) *If $c_1(U) = -\mathbf{a}f$ with $0 < a \leq (r-s)(g_C + |c_2|)$ and $c_2(U) \leq c_2$, then U sits in*

$$0 \rightarrow U_1 \rightarrow U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{n}f) \otimes I_Z \rightarrow 0$$

where U_1 is a rank- $(s-1)$ bundle with an injection $U_1 \hookrightarrow V$; moreover, $c_1(U_1) = -(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{n})f$ with $0 < (a+n) \leq (r-s+1)(g_C + |c_2|)$ and $c_2(U_1) \leq c_2$.

Proof. (i) By the Serre duality,

$$h^2(X, U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{d}f)) = h^0(X, U \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - \mathbf{d}f)).$$

If

$$h^0(X, U \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - \mathbf{d}f)) > 0,$$

then we have $\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{d}f - K_X) \hookrightarrow U \hookrightarrow V$; by the stability of V , we obtain that

$$(\mathbf{d}f - K_X) \cdot L < \frac{tf \cdot L}{r} \leq 0.$$

On the other hand, we have $(\mathbf{d}f - K_X) \cdot L = d - 2(e/2 - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)) + 2r_L \geq 0$ in view of the assumption (3.3); but this is a contradiction.

(ii) By the Riemann-Roch formula, one checks that

$$\chi(U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{k}f)) = s \cdot k + s \cdot \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + a - c_2(U) \geq s \cdot k + s \cdot \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + a - c_2.$$

Let $k = g_C + [(c_2 - a)/s]$. Then $\chi(U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{k}f)) > 0$. Since

$$k \geq g_C + \frac{c_2 - (r-s)(g_C + |c_2|)}{s} - 1 \geq -r(g_C + |c_2|) - 1,$$

$h^0(X, U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{k}f)) > 0$ by (i); thus, there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow U_1 \rightarrow U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{k}f - E) \otimes I_Z \rightarrow 0$$

where E is effective and Z is a 0-cycle. Since U/U_1 is torsion-free, U_1 is a bundle. Let $E \equiv (\lambda\sigma + \mu f)$. Then $\lambda \geq 0$; moreover, $\mu \geq 0$ when $e \geq 0$ and $\mu \geq \lambda e/2$ when $e < 0$. We claim that $\lambda = 0$; otherwise, $\lambda \geq 1$; then

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(U_1) \cdot L &= (\lambda\sigma + (\mu - a - k)f) \cdot L \\ &= \lambda(r_L - e) + \mu - a - k \\ &\geq (r_L - e) - |e| - a - k. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} a + k &\leq (r-s)(g_C + |c_2|) + g_C + [(c_2 - a)/s] \\ &\leq (r-s)(g_C + |c_2|) + g_C + |c_2| \\ &= (r-s+1)(g_C + |c_2|) \\ &\leq r(g_C + |c_2|). \end{aligned}$$

So $c_1(U_1) \cdot L \geq r_L - 2|e| - r(g_C + |c_2|) \geq 0$ by our assumption about r_L ; but this contradicts the stability of V . Therefore, E is supported in the fibers of the ruling and U sits in the desired exact sequence; moreover, $c_2(U_1) \leq c_2(U) \leq c_2$. Note that $c_1(U_1) = -(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{n})f$ and that $(a+n) \leq (a+k) \leq (r-s+1)(g_C + |c_2|)$. By the stability of V , $-(a+n)/(s-1) < -t/r \leq 0$. Thus, $(a+n) > 0$. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let $V \in \mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ where $-r < t \leq 0$ and L satisfies (3.3). Then*

$$V|_{\mathbb{P}_k^1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^1}^{\oplus r}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4(ii) and induction on the rank of subbundles of V , we conclude that there exists a flag of subbundles of $V : V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_{r-1} \subset V_r = V$ such that $\text{rank}(V_i) = i$, $c_2(V_i) \leq c_2$, $c_1(V_i) = -b_i f$ with $0 < b_i \leq r(g_C + |c_2|)$ for $i < r$, and $V_i/V_{i-1} = \mathcal{O}_X((b_{i-1} - b_i)f) \otimes I_{Z_i}$ where Z_i is an 0-cycle. Hence $V|_{\mathbb{P}_k^1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^1}^{\oplus r}$. \square

Next, we prove the following simple observation.

Lemma 3.6. *If the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ is nonempty, then it is smooth with dimension $2rc_2 - (r^2 - 1)(1 - g_C)$; in particular, $c_2 \geq (1 - g_C)(r^2 - 1)/(2r)$.*

Proof. Since L satisfies (3.3), $K_X \cdot L \leq 0$. By a well-known result of Maruyama, $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ is smooth with the expected dimension $2rc_2 - (r^2 - 1)(1 - g_C)$. \square

We notice that the ample divisor L in Theorem 3.5 depends on the integer c_2 (that is, condition (3.3)). However, in our existence result Theorem 2.12, the integer c_2 has to be bigger than some constant depending on L . Thus, Theorem 2.12 cannot apply to the present situation to guarantee the nonemptiness of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$. The following result deals with this problem.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $r \geq 2$, $-r < t \leq 0$, and $L = (\sigma + r_L f)$ with $r_L \geq (|e| + 2r - 2)$. If $c_2 \geq 2(r - 1)$, then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ is nonempty.*

We omit the proof since it is a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 2.12 (replacing the L in W by f). It seems to us that a stronger result should hold; that is, if $c_2 \geq (r + t)$, then $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ is nonempty (see Theorem 5.4(iii)).

4. GENERIC BUNDLES IN $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ ON A RATIONAL RULED SURFACE

From now on, X will be a rational ruled surface. In this section, we will study the structure of a generic bundle in $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ where L satisfies (3.3) and $-r < t \leq 0$.

4.1. Exact sequences associated to a bundle V in $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$. In this subsection, we will construct $(r - 1)$ exact sequences for each vector bundle in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$. We begin with two lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *Let U be a rank- i bundle with $c_1(U) = af$ and $U|_{\mathbb{P}_k^1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^1}^{\oplus i}$.*

Then

- (i) $\pi_* U$ is a rank- i bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 ;
- (ii) $\deg c_1(\pi_* U) \geq (a - c_2(U))$.

Proof. (i) Note that $\pi_* U$ is always torsion-free. Thus, $\pi_* U$ is a vector bundle. Since $U|_{\mathbb{P}_k^1}$ is equal to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^1}^{\oplus i}$, the rank of $\pi_* U$ is equal to i .

(ii) Since $U|_{\mathbb{P}_k^1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^1}^{\oplus i}$, $R^1 \pi_* U$ is a torsion sheaf supported in some points; thus, $\deg c_1(R^1 \pi_* U) \geq 0$. By the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula (see [12, p. 436]),

$$\text{ch}(\pi_* U) - \text{ch}(R^1 \pi_* U) = \pi_*(\text{ch}(U) \cdot \text{td}(T_X)) = i + (a - c_2(U)) \cdot [pt]$$

where T_π is the relative tangent bundle, $\text{td}(T_\pi) = 1 + (\sigma - e/2 \cdot f)$ and $[pt]$ stands for the class determined by a point. Therefore,

$$\deg c_1(\pi_* U) = \deg c_1(R^1 \pi_* U) + (a - c_2(U)) \geq (a - c_2(U)). \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let U be a rank- i bundle with $c_1(U) = af$ and $U|_{\mathbf{P}_k^1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_k^1}^{\oplus i}$. If*

$$(4.3) \quad \pi_* U = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-n)^{\oplus j} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-n_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-n_{i-j})$$

where $1 \leq j \leq i$ and $n < n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_{i-j}$, then

- (i) $in + (i - j) \leq (c_2(U) - a)$;
- (ii) $in - h^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \pi_* U \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(n)) \leq (c_2(U) - a) - i$;
- (iii) the bundle U sits in an exact sequence of the form:

$$(4.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-nf) \rightarrow U \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$$

where W is a torsion-free rank- $(i - 1)$ sheaf with $W|_{\mathbf{P}_k^1} = (W^{**})|_{\mathbf{P}_k^1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_k^1}^{\oplus(i-1)}$.

Proof. (i) Since $n < n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_{i-j}$, by Lemma 4.1(ii), we have

$$(c_2(U) - a) \geq -\deg c_1(\pi_* U) = jn + \sum_{k=1}^{i-j} n_k \geq in + (i - j).$$

(ii) Note that $h^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \pi_* U \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(n)) = j$. Therefore, by (i),

$$in - h^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \pi_* U \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(n)) \leq [(c_2(U) - a) - (i - j)] - j = (c_2(U) - a) - i.$$

(iii) Since there is a natural injection $\pi^*(\pi_* U) \hookrightarrow U$, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_X(-nf) \hookrightarrow U.$$

We claim that the quotient $W = U/\mathcal{O}_X(-nf)$ is torsion-free: otherwise, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{O}_X(-nf) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-nf + D) \hookrightarrow U$$

where D is some nontrivial effective divisor. Since $U|_{\mathbf{P}_k^1}$ is equal to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_k^1}^{\oplus i}$, D is supported in the fibers of π . Put $D = df$ where $d > 0$. Applying π_* to (4.5), we obtain

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-n + d) \hookrightarrow \pi_* U;$$

but this is impossible in view of the assumption (4.3).

Thus, we have the exact sequence (4.4). Since W is torsion-free, W is locally free outside possibly finite many points. Restricting (4.4) to \mathbf{P}_k^1 , we see that

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_k^1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_k^1}^{\oplus i} \rightarrow W|_{\mathbf{P}_k^1} = W^{**}|_{\mathbf{P}_k^1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $c_1(W) = (a + n)f$, we conclude that $W|_{\mathbf{P}_k^1} = W^{**}|_{\mathbf{P}_k^1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_k^1}^{\oplus(i-1)}$. \square

Proposition 4.6. *Let $V \in \mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ where L satisfies condition (3.3) and $-r < t \leq 0$. Then there exist $(r - 1)$ exact sequences:*

$$(4.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f) \rightarrow V_i^{**} \rightarrow V_{i-1} \rightarrow 0$$

where $i = r, \dots, 2$, $V_r = V$, and V_i is a torsion-free rank- i sheaf such that

- (i) $\pi_*(V_i^{**}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-n_i)^{\oplus j_i} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-n_{i,1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-n_{i,i-j_i})$ with $n_i < n_{i,k}$;

- (ii) $(V_i)|_{\mathbf{P}_k^1} = (V_i^{**})|_{\mathbf{P}_k^1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_k^1}^{\oplus i}$;
- (iii) $in_i + (i - j_i) \leq (c_2(V_i^{**}) - t - \sum_{k=i+1}^r n_k)$;
- (iv) $in_i - h^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \pi_*(V_i^{**}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(n_i)) \leq (c_2(V_i^{**}) - t - \sum_{k=i+1}^r n_k) - i$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.5, $V|_{\mathbf{P}_k^1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_k^1}^{\oplus r}$. Now the exact sequences (4.7) and the properties (i) and (ii) follow from induction and Lemma 4.2(iii). Note that

$$c_1(V_i^{**}) = c_1(V_i) = c_1(V_{i+1}^{**}) + n_{i+1}f = \left(t + \sum_{k=i+1}^r n_k\right)f.$$

Therefore, the properties (iii) and (iv) follow from Lemma 4.2(i) and (ii). \square

4.2. The number of moduli of V_i and V_i^{} .** In this subsection, we estimate the number of moduli of V_i and V_i^{**} . These estimations will be used in the next subsection to study generic bundles in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ where L satisfies condition (3.3) and $-r < t \leq 0$. To begin with, we collect some properties satisfied by the sheaf V_i .

Lemma 4.8. (i) *For each i , there exists a canonical exact sequence*

$$(4.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow V_i \rightarrow V_i^{**} \rightarrow Q_i \rightarrow 0$$

where Q_i is a torsion sheaf supported on finitely many points in X ;

- (ii) $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(V_i, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_{i+1}f)) + 1 \leq \dim \operatorname{Aut}(V_{i+1}^{**})$;
- (iii) $\operatorname{Ext}^2(V_i, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_{i+1}f)) = 0$;
- (iv) $-\chi(V_i, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_{i+1}f)) = c_2(V_i) + (t + \sum_{k=i+1}^r n_k) + i \cdot n_{i+1} - i$.

Proof. (i) This is a standard fact. The torsion sheaf Q_i is supported on those points where V_i is not locally free.

(ii) Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(V_{i+1}^{**}, \cdot)$ to the exact sequence (4.10), we have

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(V_{i+1}^{**}, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_{i+1}f)) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V_{i+1}^{**}) \xrightarrow{\psi_{i+1}} \operatorname{Hom}(V_{i+1}^{**}, V_i)$$

where $\psi_{i+1}(\operatorname{Id}) = p_i$ for the identity endomorphism Id in $\operatorname{End}(V_{i+1}^{**})$. Thus,

$$\dim \operatorname{Aut}(V_{i+1}^{**}) = \dim \operatorname{End}(V_{i+1}^{**}) \geq 1 + \dim \operatorname{Hom}(V_{i+1}^{**}, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_{i+1}f)).$$

Similarly, applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(\cdot, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_{i+1}f))$ to (4.10), we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(V_i, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_{i+1}f)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(V_{i+1}^{**}, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_{i+1}f));$$

thus, $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(V_{i+1}^{**}, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_{i+1}f)) \geq \dim \operatorname{Hom}(V_i, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_{i+1}f))$. Hence,

$$\dim \operatorname{Aut}(V_{i+1}^{**}) \geq 1 + \dim \operatorname{Hom}(V_i, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_{i+1}f)).$$

(iii) Since $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + n_{i+1}f)|_{\mathbf{P}_k^1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_k^1}(-2)$ and $(V_i)|_{\mathbf{P}_k^1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_k^1}^{\oplus i}$, we see that $H^0(X, V_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + n_{i+1}f)) = 0$. By the Serre duality,

$$\operatorname{Ext}^2(V_i, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_{i+1}f)) \cong H^0(X, V_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + n_{i+1}f)) = 0.$$

(iv) Recall that by definition, $\chi(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) = \sum_{i=0}^2 (-1)^i \dim \operatorname{Ext}^i(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$ for two sheaves \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 on X . Let $\operatorname{td}(X)$ be the Todd class of X , and let $\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{F})$ be the Chern character of a sheaf \mathcal{F} . Then we have the formula:

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) = (\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{F}_1)^* \cdot \operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{F}_2) \cdot \operatorname{td}(X))_4$$

where $*$ acts on $H^{2i}(X, Z)$ by multiplication of $(-1)^i$. Thus, we obtain

$$-\chi(V_i, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_{i+1}f)) = c_2(V_i) - \frac{1}{2}(K_X \cdot c_1(V_i)) + i \cdot n_{i+1} - i.$$

Since $c_1(V_i) = (t + \sum_{k=i+1}^r n_k)f$, the conclusion follows immediately. \square

Next, for convenience, we introduce some notation.

Notation 4.10. (i) Let $l_i = h^0(X, Q_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$.

(ii) Let $\delta_i = [\#(\text{moduli of } V_i) - \dim \text{Aut}(V_i)]$ for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$.

(iii) Let $\delta_i^{**} = [\#(\text{moduli of } V_i^{**}) - \dim \text{Aut}(V_i^{**})]$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Now we estimate the number of moduli of Q_i , V_i , and V_i^{**} .

Lemma 4.11. (i) $\#(\text{moduli of } Q_i) - \dim \text{Aut}(Q_i) \leq l_i$.

(ii) $\delta_i \leq \delta_i^{**} + (i+1)l_i$.

(iii) $\delta_i^{**} \leq \delta_{i-1} - \chi(V_{i-1}, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f)) - h^0(X, V_i^{**} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n_i f))$.

Proof. (i) From (4.7), we have an exact sequence

$$(4.12) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-n_{i+1}f) \rightarrow V_{i+1}^{**} \rightarrow V_i \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying $\text{Hom}(\cdot, Q_i)$ to (4.12), we obtain

$$\dim \text{Hom}(V_i, Q_i) \leq \dim \text{Hom}(V_{i+1}^{**}, Q_i) = (i+1)l_i.$$

Applying $\text{Hom}(\cdot, Q_i)$ to (4.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Q_i, Q_i) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(V_i^{**}, Q_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V_i, Q_i) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(Q_i, Q_i) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(V_i^{**}, Q_i) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Ext}^1(Q_i, Q_i) - \dim \text{Hom}(Q_i, Q_i) \\ = \dim \text{Hom}(V_i, Q_i) - \dim \text{Hom}(V_i^{**}, Q_i) \leq (i+1)l_i - il_i = l_i. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\#(\text{moduli of } Q_i) \leq \dim \text{Ext}^1(Q_i, Q_i)$$

and

$$\dim \text{Aut}(Q_i) = \dim \text{Hom}(Q_i, Q_i),$$

$$(4.13) \quad \#(\text{moduli of } Q_i) - \dim \text{Aut}(Q_i) \leq l_i.$$

(ii) From the exact of (4.9), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \#(\text{moduli of } V_i) &\leq \#(\text{moduli of } V_i^{**}) + \#(\text{moduli of } Q_i) + \dim \text{Hom}(V_i^{**}, Q_i) \\ &\quad - \dim \text{Aut}(V_i^{**}) - \dim \text{Aut}(Q_i) + 1 \\ &= \delta_i^{**} + [\#(\text{moduli of } Q_i) - \dim \text{Aut}(Q_i)] + \dim \text{Hom}(V_i^{**}, Q_i) + 1 \\ &\leq \delta_i^{**} + l_i + il_i + 1 \leq \delta_i^{**} + (i+1)l_i + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\dim \text{Aut}(V_i) \geq 1$, we obtain that $\delta_i \leq \delta_i^{**} + (i+1)l_i$.

(iii) Similarly, from the exact sequence (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#(\text{moduli of } V_i^{**}) &\leq \#(\text{moduli of } V_{i-1}) + \dim \text{Ext}^1(V_{i-1}, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f)) \\ &\quad - \dim \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f), V_i^{**}) - \dim \text{Aut}(V_{i-1}) + 1 \\ &= \delta_{i-1} + \dim \text{Ext}^1(V_{i-1}, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f)) - h^0(X, V_i^{**} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n_i f)) + 1 \\ &= \delta_{i-1} - \chi(V_{i-1}, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f)) - h^0(X, V_i^{**} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n_i f)) \\ &\quad + 1 + \dim \text{Hom}(V_{i-1}, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f)) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 4.8(iii) in the last equality. By Lemma 4.8(ii),

$$\delta_i^{**} \leq \delta_{i-1} - \chi(V_{i-1}, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f)) - h^0(X, V_i^{**} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n_i f)). \quad \square$$

Proposition 4.14. $\delta_i^{**} \leq \delta_{i-1}^{**} + 2(c_2 - \sum_{k=i}^{r-1} l_k) - (2i - 1) + il_{i-1}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.8(iv) and Proposition 4.6(iv), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\chi(V_{i-1}, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f)) &= c_2(V_{i-1}) + \left(t + \sum_{k=i}^r n_k \right) + (i-1)n_i - (i-1) \\ &= c_2(V_i^{**}) + \left(t + \sum_{k=i+1}^r n_k + in_i \right) - (i-1) \\ &\leq c_2(V_i^{**}) + [c_2(V_i^{**}) + h^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \pi_*(V_i^{**}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(n_i))] - (2i-1) \\ &= 2c_2(V_i^{**}) + h^0(X, V_i^{**} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n_i f)) - (2i-1) \\ &= 2 \left(c_2 - \sum_{k=i}^{r-1} l_k \right) + h^0(X, V_i^{**} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n_i f)) - (2i-1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4.11(ii) and (iii), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_i^{**} &\leq \delta_{i-1}^{**} - \chi(V_{i-1}, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f)) - h^0(X, V_i^{**} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n_i f)) + il_{i-1} \\ &\leq \delta_{i-1}^{**} + \left[2 \left(c_2 - \sum_{k=i}^{r-1} l_k \right) - (2i-1) \right] + il_{i-1}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

4.3. Generic bundles in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$. Our purpose is to determine the structure of a generic bundle in $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$.

Lemma 4.15. Assume $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ is nonempty where $-r < t \leq 0$ and L satisfies (3.3). Then for a generic bundle V in $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$, there are $(r-1)$ exact sequences:

$$(4.16) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f) \rightarrow V_i \rightarrow V_{i-1} \rightarrow 0$$

for $r \geq i \geq 2$ with the following properties:

(i) $V_r = V$, V_i is a rank- i bundle for $i = r-1, \dots, 2$, and

$$V_1 = \mathcal{O}_X \left(\left(t + \sum_{i=2}^r n_i \right) f \right) \otimes I_{Z_1};$$

(ii) $l(Z_1) = c_2$, and Z_1 is supported in c_2 distinct fibers;

(iii) $n_r = [\frac{c_2-t}{r}]$, and $n_i = [\frac{(c_2-t) - \sum_{k=i+1}^r n_k}{i}]$ for $i = r-1, \dots, 2$.

Proof. Note that $\delta_1^{**} = \#(\text{moduli of } V_1^{**}) - \dim \text{Aut}(V_1^{**}) = -1$. By Proposition 4.14,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_r^{**} &\leq \delta_1^{**} + \sum_{i=2}^r \left[2c_2 - 2 \sum_{k=i}^{r-1} l_k - (2i-1) + il_{i-1} \right] \\ &= -1 + \left[2(r-1)c_2 + (1-r^2) + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (3-i)l_i \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta_r^{**} = \#(\text{moduli of } V) - 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} l_i = c_2$, we have

$$(4.17) \quad \#(\text{moduli of } V) \leq 2rc_2 + (1 - r^2) + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (1 - i)l_i \leq 2rc_2 + (1 - r^2).$$

By Lemma 3.6, since $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ is nonempty, we always have

$$\#(\text{moduli of } V) = 2rc_2 + (1 - r^2);$$

thus, in particular, all the inequalities in (4.17), (4.13), and Proposition 4.6(iii) become equalities. Hence, for a generic bundle V in $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$, we conclude that:

(a) Since (4.17) is an equality, $l_2 = \dots = l_{r-1} = 0$; so $l_1 = c_2$. It follows that V_2, \dots, V_{r-1} are bundles, and (4.16) comes from (4.7). Since V_1 is of rank-1,

$$V_1 = \mathcal{O}_X \left(\left(t + \sum_{i=2}^r n_i \right) f \right) \otimes I_{Z_1}$$

for some 0-cycle Z_1 on X . Thus, $Q_1 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_1}$ and $l(Z_1) = l_1 = c_2$. This proves (i).

(b) Since (4.13) is an equality and $Q_1 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_1}$,

$$\#(\text{moduli of } Z_1) = \#(\text{moduli of } Q_1) = 2l_1 = 2c_2.$$

Thus, for a generic bundle V , Z_1 is reduced and supported in c_2 distinct fibers. This proves (ii).

(c) Since Proposition 4.6(iii) is an equality, for $i = 2, \dots, r$, we have

$$i \cdot n_i + (i - j_i) = c_2(V_i^{**}) - t - \sum_{k=i+1}^r n_k = c_2 - t - \sum_{k=i+1}^r n_k;$$

note that $0 \leq (i - j_i) < i$; thus, $n_r = \lfloor \frac{c_2 - t}{r} \rfloor$, and

$$n_i = \left\lfloor \frac{(c_2 - t) - \sum_{k=i+1}^r n_k}{i} \right\rfloor$$

for $i = r - 1, \dots, 2$. This proves (iii) and completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.18. Assume that $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ is nonempty where $-r < t \leq 0$ and L satisfies (3.3). Then a generic bundle V in $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ sits in an exact sequence:

$$(4.19) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{c_2} (\tau_i)_* \mathcal{O}_{f_i}(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

where the integer n_i is defined by induction as follows:

$$(4.20) \quad n_i = \left\lfloor \frac{(c_2 - t) - \sum_{k=i+1}^r n_k}{i} \right\rfloor \text{ for } i < r \text{ with } n_r = \left\lfloor \frac{c_2 - t}{r} \right\rfloor,$$

and $\{f_1, \dots, f_{c_2}\}$ are distinct fibers with τ_i being the natural embedding $f_i \hookrightarrow X$.

Proof. First of all, we notice that if $(c_2 - t) = ar + \varepsilon$ with $0 \leq \varepsilon < r$, then

$$(4.21) \quad n_i = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } i = \varepsilon + 1, \dots, r, \\ a + 1 & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $n_i \leq n_j$ if $i > j$. By Lemma 4.15, for a generic bundle V in $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$, we have $(r-1)$ exact sequences (4.16). Consider the first two exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-n_r f) \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{p_{r-1}} V_{r-1} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-n_{r-1} f) \rightarrow V_{r-1} \rightarrow V_{r-2} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then the subsheaf $p_{r-1}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X(-n_{r-1} f))$ of V sits in an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-n_r f) \rightarrow p_{r-1}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X(-n_{r-1} f)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-n_{r-1} f) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $n_r \leq n_{r-1}$, $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-n_{r-1} f), \mathcal{O}_X(-n_r f)) = 0$; thus,

$$p_{r-1}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X(-n_{r-1} f)) = \bigoplus_{i=r-1}^r \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f).$$

We check that $V / \bigoplus_{i=r-1}^r \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f) = V_{i-1} / \mathcal{O}_X(-n_{r-1} f) = V_{i-2}$. Thus, V sits in

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=r-1}^r \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f) \rightarrow V \rightarrow V_{r-2} \rightarrow 0.$$

By induction and the fact that $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X(-n_1 f), V_1) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(c_2 f) \otimes I_{Z_1}) \neq 0$, we conclude that V sits in an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f) \rightarrow V \rightarrow V_1 / \mathcal{O}_X(-n_1 f) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now the exact sequence (4.19) follows from the observation that

$$V_1 / \mathcal{O}_X(-n_1 f) = I_{Z_1} / \mathcal{O}_X(-c_2 f) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{c_2} (\tau_i)_* \mathcal{O}_{f_i}(-1)$$

where f_1, \dots, f_{c_2} are the c_2 distinct fibers supporting the 0-cycle Z_1 . \square

Remark 4.22. (i) By Theorem 3.5, for any stable bundle V in $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$, $\pi^*(\pi_* V)$ is a locally free rank- r subsheaf of V with the quotient Q being supported on the fibers of the ruling π over which the restriction of V is non-trivial. Another possible approach to prove Proposition 4.18 is to study the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^*(\pi_* V) \rightarrow V \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

and to estimate the number of moduli of these V 's in terms of the data of Q and the rank- r bundle $\pi_* V$ on \mathbf{P}^1 . In fact, this approach has been used very successfully by Friedman [8] to study stable rank-2 bundles on an arbitrary ruled surface. However, for $r > 2$, the difficulty of this approach lies in the observation that the deformation of Q is quite complicated.

(ii) From the exact sequence (4.19), we conclude that

$$\pi^*(\pi_* V) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f)$$

for a generic bundle V in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$.

5. THE MODULI SPACE $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ ON A RATIONAL RULED SURFACE

In this section, based on the results from the previous section, we determine the birational structure of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ on a rational ruled surface where L satisfies (3.3) and $-r < t \leq 0$. First of all, we introduce the following notation.

Notation 5.1. (i) Let n_i, f_i , and τ_i be as in Proposition 4.18. Put

$$W_0 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-n_i), \quad W = \pi^*(W_0) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f), \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{c_2} (\tau_i)_* \mathcal{O}_{f_i}(-1).$$

(ii) Let \mathcal{M} be the Zariski open and dense subset in $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ parametrizing all bundles sitting in exact sequences of the form (4.19).

(iii) Let $\Phi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow U$ be the morphism defined by

$$\Phi(V) = \sum_{i=1}^{c_2} \pi(f_i)$$

where U is a Zariski open and dense subset in $\text{Sym}^{c_2}(\mathbf{P}^1) \cong \mathbf{P}^{c_2}$.

Next, we want to determine the fiber $\Phi^{-1}(u)$ for $u \in U$. We start with a lemma.

Lemma 5.2. (i) $\text{Hom}(W, V) \cong \text{End}(W)$;
 (ii) $\dim \text{Aut}(W) = r^2$ and $\dim \text{Aut}(Q) = c_2$;
 (iii) $\dim \text{Ext}^1(Q, W) = 2rc_2$.

Proof. (ii) and (iii) follow from (4.21) and the definitions of W and Q . In the following, we prove (i). Since $W = \pi^*W_0$, $\text{End}(W) \cong \text{End}(W_0)$. Since π_*Q is torsion and

$$H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \pi_*Q) = H^0(X, Q) = 0,$$

π_*Q must be zero. Applying π_* to (4.19), we have $\pi_*V \cong \pi_*W = W_0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(W, V) &\cong H^0(X, V \otimes W^*) = H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \pi_*(V \otimes \pi^*(W_0^*))) \\ &\cong H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, W_0 \otimes W_0^*) \cong \text{End}(W_0) \cong \text{End}(W). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.3. Let $u \in U$. Then the fiber $\Phi^{-1}(u)$ is birational to $\text{Ext}^1(Q, W)$ modulo the $(c_2 + r^2 - 1)$ -dimensional group actions from $\text{Aut}(W)/\mathbf{C}^*$ and $\text{Aut}(Q)$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.2(i), $\text{Hom}(W, V) \cong \text{End}(W)$.

From the proof of Lemma 4.15, we see that generic extensions in $\text{Ext}^1(Q, W)$ must correspond to bundles in the Zariski open and dense subset \mathcal{M} . It follows that $\Phi^{-1}(u)$ is birational to $\text{Ext}^1(Q, W)$ modulo the group actions from $\text{Aut}(W)/\mathbf{C}^*$ and $\text{Aut}(Q)$. By Lemma 5.2(ii),

$$\dim \text{Aut}(W) = r^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \text{Aut}(Q) = c_2.$$

Therefore, the group actions are $(c_2 + r^2 - 1)$ -dimensional. \square

Now, we prove the second main result in this paper.

Theorem 5.4. Assume that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ is nonempty where $r \geq 2$, $-r < t \leq 0$, and the ample divisor L satisfies condition (3.3). Then

- (i) $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ is irreducible and unirational;
- (ii) a generic bundle V in $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ sits in an exact sequence

$$(5.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i f) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{c_2} (\tau_i)_* \mathcal{O}_{f_i}(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

where the integer n_i is defined by induction as follows:

$$(5.6) \quad n_i = \left\lfloor \frac{(c_2 - t) - \sum_{k=i+1}^r n_k}{i} \right\rfloor \quad \text{for } i < r \text{ with } n_r = \left\lfloor \frac{c_2 - t}{r} \right\rfloor,$$

and $\{f_1, \dots, f_{c_2}\}$ are distinct fibers with τ_i being the natural embedding $f_i \hookrightarrow X$;

- (iii) $(c_2 - t) \geq r$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 5.2(iii), the extension group $\text{Ext}^1(Q, W)$ has dimension $2rc_2$. By Proposition 4.24, we have a rational map Φ from the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ to \mathbb{P}^{c_2} such that a generic fiber $\Phi(u)$ is birational to

$$[\text{Aut}(W)/C^*] \backslash C^{\oplus 2rc_2} / \text{Aut}(Q).$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{M}_L(r; tf, c_2)$ is irreducible and unirational.

- (ii) This is the same as Proposition 4.18.

(iii) Since $\mathcal{O}_X(-n_r f) \hookrightarrow V$ and V is L -stable, $-n_r f \cdot L < tf \cdot L/r \leq 0$; thus, $n_r \geq 1$. Since $n_r = \lfloor (c_2 - t)/r \rfloor \leq (c_2 - t)/r$, we get $(c_2 - t) \geq r$. \square

Remark 5.7. In Theorem 1.9 of [2], Artamkin showed that if $c_2 \geq r \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{M}_L(r; 0, c_2)$ is nonempty and irreducible. Therefore, by Theorem 5.4(iii), we conclude that $\mathcal{M}_L(r; 0, c_2)$ is nonempty if and only if $c_2 \geq r$.

REFERENCES

1. I. V. Artamkin, *Deforming torsion-free sheaves on an algebraic surface*, Math. USSR-Izv. **36** (1991), 449–485.
2. ———, *Stable bundles with $c_1 = 0$ on rational surfaces*, Math. USSR-Izv. **36** (1991), 231–246.
3. W. Barth, *Moduli of vector bundles on the projective bundles*, Invent. Math. **42** (1977), 63–91.
4. J. Brosius, *Rank-2 vector bundles on a ruled surface. I*, Math. Ann. **265** (1983), 155–168.
5. ———, *Rank-2 vector bundles on a ruled surface. II*, Math. Ann. **266** (1983), 199–214.
6. S. K. Donaldson, *Polynomial invariants for smooth four-manifolds*, Topology **29** (1990), 257–315.
7. J. M. Drezet and J. Le Potier, *Fibrés stables et fibrés exceptionnels sur \mathbb{P}_2* , Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **18** (1985), 193–243.
8. R. Friedman, In preparation.
9. D. Gieseker, *A construction of stable bundles on an algebraic surface*, J. Differential Geometry **27** (1988), 137–154.
10. D. Gieseker and J. Li, *Irreducibility of moduli of rank two vector bundles on algebraic surfaces*, preprint.
11. P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley, New York, 1978.
12. R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1978.

13. H. J. Hoppe and H. Spindler, *Modulräume stabiler 2-Bündel auf Regelflächen*, Math. Ann. **249** (1980), 127–140.
14. K. Hulek, *Stable rank-2 vector bundles on P_2 with c_1 odd*, Math. Ann. **242** (1979), 241–266.
15. ———, *On the classification of stable rank-2 vector bundles over the projective plane*, Vector Bundles and Differential Equations, Progr. Math., vol. 7, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1980, pp. 113–144.
16. W. P. Li, *Moduli spaces of stable rank two vector bundles and computations of Donaldson polynomials over rational ruled surfaces*, Columbia Univ. Thesis, 1991.
17. M. Maruyama, *Moduli of stable sheaves. II*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **18** (1978), 557–614.
18. ———, *Vector bundles on P^2 and torsion sheaves on the dual plane*, Vector Bundles on Algebraic Varieties (Bombay, 1984), Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1987, pp. 275–339.
19. J. Morgan and K. O'Grady, *The smooth classification of fake $K3$'s and similar surfaces*, preprint.
20. C. Okonek and A. Van de Ven, *Stable bundles, instantons and C^∞ -structures on algebraic surfaces*, Several Complex Variables. VI, Encyclopaedia of Math. Sci., vol. 69, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990, pp. 197–249.
21. Z. B. Qin, *Moduli spaces of stable rank-2 bundles on ruled surfaces*, Invent. Math. **110** (1992), 615–625.
22. C. Taubes, *Self-dual connections on 4-manifolds with infinite intersection matrix*, J. Differential Geometry **19** (1984), 517–560.
23. K. Zuo, *Generic smoothness of the moduli of rank two stable bundles over an algebraic surface*, Math. Z. **207** (1991), 629–643.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HONG KONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
 CLEAR WATER BAY, KOWLOON, HONG KONG
E-mail address: mavpli@uxmail.ust.hk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA
 74078
E-mail address: zq@hardy.math.okstate.edu