## ISOMORPHISMS OF ADJOINT CHEVALLEY GROUPS OVER INTEGRAL DOMAINS

#### YU CHEN

ABSTRACT. It is shown that every automorphism of an adjoint Chevalley group over an integral domain containing the rational number field is uniquely expressible as the product of a ring automorphism, a graph automorphism and an inner automorphism while every isomorphism between simple adjoint Chevalley groups can be expressed uniquely as the product of a ring isomorphism, a graph isomorphism and an inner automorphism. The isomorphisms between the elementary subgroups are also found having analogous expressions.

#### 1. Introduction and main theorems

Let G and G' be simple Chevalley-Demazure group schemes of adjoint type. Suppose R and R' are commutative integral domains containing the rational number field  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The main purpose of this paper is to determine the isomorphisms between Chevalley groups G(R) and G'(R'), as well as the isomorphisms between their elementary subgroups E(R) and E'(R'). When G is semisimple, the automorphisms of G(R) and E(R) are also determined in this paper. When R is a field, the automorphisms of simple adjoint Chevalley groups over R have been determined by Steinberg [9] and Humphreys [8]. Our main results are as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let R and R' be commutative integral domains containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Suppose G and G' are simple adjoint Chevalley-Demazure group schemes whose ranks are greater than 1. Then

- (i) every isomorphism between E(R) and E'(R') can be extended uniquely to an isomorphism between G(R) and G'(R');
- (ii) if  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism from G(R) to G'(R'), the restriction of  $\alpha$  to E(R) is an isomorphism from E(R) to E'(R').

Suppose G and G' are adjoint Chevalley-Demazure group schemes. Let  $\Phi$  (resp.  $\Phi'$ ) be a root system of G (resp. G') and let  $\Delta$  (resp.  $\Delta'$ ) be a fundamental root system of  $\Phi$  (resp.  $\Phi'$ ). We refer to [7] for the properties of Chevalley-Demazure group schemes. In particular, for each root  $a \in \Phi$  and for each commutative ring R with a unit, there is a canonical monomorphism (cf. [7, XXII])

$$u_{a,R}: R^+ \to G(R)$$
.

Received by the editors May 2, 1994 .

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20G35, 20E36.

Key words and phrases. Chevalley group, elementary subgroup, integral domain, isomorphism

Supported in part by Italian M.U.R.S.T. research grant .

We rewrite this monomorphism simply as  $u_a$  for whatever commutative ring with a unit. We denote by  $U_a(R)$  the subgroup consisting of the elements  $u_a(r)$  for all  $r \in R$  and  $a \in \Phi$ . The elementary subgroup E(R) of G(R) is generated by  $U_a(R)$  for all  $a \in \Delta$  or  $-\Delta$ , where  $-\Delta$  is the set of the negative fundamental roots of  $\Phi$ .

Since both G and G' are of adjoint type, it follows from Demazure's fundamental theorem (see [7, XXIII,§5.1]) that every isomorphism of root systems between  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  implies an isomorphism between G and G'. Hence, if  $\gamma:\Phi\to\Phi'$  is an isomorphism of root systems such that  $\gamma(\Delta)=\Delta'$ , it gives rise canonically to an isomorphism  $\tilde{\gamma}:G(R)\to G'(R)$  satisfying

$$\tilde{\gamma}(u_a(r)) = u_{\gamma(a)}(r) \text{ for } a \in \Delta \text{ or } -\Delta, r \in R.$$

We call this  $\tilde{\gamma}$  a graph isomorphism related to  $\gamma$ . It is obvious that

$$\tilde{\gamma}(E(R)) = E'(R).$$

This identity allows us to define a graph isomorphism from E(R) to E'(R) related to  $\gamma$  to be an isomorphism  $\hat{\gamma}: E(R) \to E'(R)$  which satisfies

$$\hat{\gamma}(u_a(r)) = u_{\gamma(a)}(r) \text{ for } a \in \Delta \text{ or } -\Delta, \ r \in R.$$

Suppose G is a simple Chevalley-Demazure group scheme. Let R and R' be commutative rings with units. Since G is a covariant group functor on the category of commutative rings with units, every isomorphism  $\varphi: R \to R'$  gives rise to an isomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi}: G(R) \to G(R')$  in a canonical way, which is called the *ring* isomorphism related to  $\varphi$ . In particular, we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(u_a(r)) = u_a(\varphi(r)) \text{ for } a \in \Delta \text{ or } -\Delta, r \in R.$$

Thus

2

$$\tilde{\varphi}(E(R)) = E(R').$$

We shall also call an isomorphism  $\hat{\varphi}: E(R) \to E(R')$  to be a ring isomorphism related to  $\varphi$  if it satisfies

$$\hat{\varphi}(u_a(r)) = u_a(\varphi(r)) \text{ for } a \in \Delta \text{ or } -\Delta, r \in R.$$

**Theorem 1.2.** Let R, R', G and G' be as in Theorem 1.1. If  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism from G(R) to G'(R'), then there exist an element  $g \in G'(R')$ , an isomorphism of root system  $\gamma : \Phi \to \Phi'$  with  $\gamma(\Delta) = \Delta'$  and an isomorphism of rings  $\varphi : R \to R'$  such that

$$\alpha = Int \, g \cdot \tilde{\gamma} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}.$$

Moreover, g,  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$  are uniquely determined by  $\alpha$ .

Remark 1.3. The isomorphisms between E(R) and E'(R') have similar expressions where  $\tilde{\gamma}$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}$  are replaced by  $\hat{\gamma}$  and  $\hat{\varphi}$  respectively (see Theorem 3.9).

**Theorem 1.4.** Let R be a commutative integral domain which contains  $\mathbb{Q}$  and let G be an adjoint Chevalley-Demazure group scheme which has no simple component of type  $A_1$ . Then

- (i) every automorphism of E(R) can be extended uniquely to an automorphism of G(R);
- (ii) the restriction of each automorphism of G(R) to E(R) is an automorphism of E(R).

In particular,  $Aut G(R) \cong Aut E(R)$ .

Let G be an adjoint Chevalley-Demazure group scheme and let  $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be its simple components. Since  $G = \prod_{i=1}^n G_i$  (cf. [7, XXIV,§5.5]), G is a covariant group functor on the category of which the objects are of form  $\prod_{i=1}^n R$  for some commutative ring R with a unit. Hence, if  $\varphi_i : R \to R$  is an automorphism for  $1 \le i \le n$ , then the automorphism  $\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_i \in Aut \prod_{i=1}^n R$  gives rise canonically to an automorphism of G(R), which is easily seen to be  $\prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{\varphi}_i$  and is called the ring automorphism of G(R) related to  $\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_i$ . The automorphism  $\prod_{i=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_i \in Aut E(R)$  is also called the ring automorphism of E(R) related to  $\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_i$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** Let R and G be as in Theorem 1.4, then every automorphism  $\alpha$  of G(R) has an expression

(1.5.1) 
$$\alpha = Int \, g \cdot \tilde{\gamma} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{\varphi}_{i}$$

where  $g \in G(R)$ ,  $\gamma : \Phi \to \Phi$  is an automorphism of root system which keeps the fundamental root system  $\Delta$  invariant and  $\varphi_i \in Aut R$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Moreover,  $g, \gamma$  and  $\varphi_i$   $(1 \leq i \leq n)$  are uniquely determined by  $\alpha$ .

Remark 1.6. The automorphisms of E(R) have similar expressions where  $\tilde{\gamma}$  and  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{\varphi}_i$  are replaced by  $\hat{\gamma}$  and  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\varphi}_i$  respectively (see Theorem 4.2).

#### 2. Preliminaries

Let H be a group. If M and P are subgroups of H, we denote by  $\mathcal{C}_P(M)$  and  $\mathcal{N}_P(M)$  the centralizer and the normalizer of M in P respectively. The centre of H is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}(H)$ . A subgroup of H generated by subsets  $M_1, M_2, \ldots$  is written as  $\langle M_1, M_2, \ldots \rangle$  and  $[M_1, M_2]$  stands for the subgroup of H generated by the elements of the form  $xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$  for all  $x \in M_1, y \in M_2$ . If H is an algebraic group, we denote by L(H) the Lie algebra of H. Suppose M is an abstract subgroup of H, we denote by  $\overline{M}$  the Zariski closure of M in H and by  $\overline{M}^{\circ}$  the connected component of  $\overline{M}$  which contains the identity element of H. Throughout this paper we fix a universal domain K of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and R (resp. R') stands for a subring of K which contains  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

In this section we give some preliminary properties of algebraic groups and Chevalley groups over a ring which are needed in the development of our discussion.

**Lemma 2.1.** The Zariski closure of every infinite abstract simple subgroup of an algebraic group is connected.

*Proof.* Suppose H is an infinite abstract simple subgroup of an algebraic group. Let  $\iota$  be the natural embedding of H into its Zariski closure  $\overline{H}$  and let  $\pi$  be the natural homomorphism from  $\overline{H}$  to its quotient group  $\overline{H}/\overline{H}^{\circ}$ . Consider a composition of homomorphisms

$$H@>\iota>> \overline{H}@>\pi>> \overline{H}/\overline{H}^{\circ}.$$

Since  $\overline{H}/\overline{H}^{\circ}$  is a finite group,  $|H|/|\ker \pi \iota| < \infty$ . This yields, since H is infinite and simple,

$$H = \ker \pi \iota = H \cap \overline{H}^{\circ} \subseteq \overline{H}.$$

Taking Zariski closures of the above groups, we obtain immediately the connectedness of  $\overline{H}$ .

Let G be an adjoint Chevalley-Demazure group scheme with its root system  $\Phi$  and fundamental root system  $\Delta$ . We denote by U(R) (resp.  $U^-(R)$ ) the subgroup of G(R) generated by  $U_a(R)$  for all  $a \in \Phi^+$  (resp.  $-a \in \Phi^+$ ), where  $\Phi^+$  is the subset of positive roots of  $\Phi$ . Let B (resp.  $B^-$ ) be the normalizer of U(K) (resp.  $U^-(K)$ ) in G(K), which is a Borel subgroup of G(K) and let T be the maximal torus of G(K) which is contained in both B and  $B^-$ . If G' is also an adjoint Chevalley-Demazure group scheme, we denote analogically by  $\Phi'$ ,  $\Delta'$ ,  $U'_a(R)$  ( $a \in \Phi'$ ), U'(R) (resp.  $U'^-(R)$ ), T' and B' for related root systems and subgroups. Suppose  $\gamma : \Phi \to \Phi'$  is an isomorphism of root systems such that  $\gamma(\Delta) = \Delta'$ , then  $\gamma$  gives rise to a homomorphism of algebraic groups  $\bar{\gamma} : G(K) \to G'(K)$  defined by

$$\bar{\gamma}(u_a(k)) = u_{\gamma(a)}(k) \text{ for } a \in \Delta \text{ or } -\Delta, k \in K,$$

which is called the isogeny related to  $\gamma$  (cf. [6, exp.18]).

**Lemma 2.2.** Suppose  $\varepsilon$  is an isogeny from G(K) to G'(K), then there exist an element  $g \in G'(K)$  and an isomorphism of root systems  $\gamma : \Phi \to \Phi'$  with  $\gamma(\Delta) = \Delta'$  such that

$$(2.2.1) \varepsilon = Int \, g \cdot \bar{\gamma}$$

where  $\bar{\gamma}$  is the isogeny related to  $\gamma$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\varepsilon(B)$  is a Borel subgroup of G'(K), there exists an element  $g_1 \in G'(K)$  such that  $Int g_1\varepsilon(B) = B'$ . Moreover,  $Int g_1\varepsilon(T)$  is a maximal torus of B' since T is contained in B. Hence there exists an element  $g_2 \in B'$  such that

$$Int(g_2g_1)\varepsilon(T) = T'.$$

This, together with the fact that char K = 0 and

$$Int (g_2g_1)\varepsilon(B) = B',$$

gives rise to an isomorphism of root systems  $\gamma: \Phi \to \Phi'$  with  $\gamma(\Delta) = \Delta'$  such that (cf. [6, exp.18])

Int 
$$(g_2g_1)\varepsilon(u_a(k)) = u_{\gamma(a)}(q_ak)$$
 for  $a \in \Delta$  or  $-\Delta$ ,  $k \in K$ ,

where  $q_a \in K^*$ . Since the fundamental roots in  $\Delta'$  are linearly independent, there exists an element  $t \in T'$  such that

$$\gamma(a)(t) = q_a^{-1} \text{ for } a \in \Delta \text{ or } -\Delta.$$

Let  $g = (tg_2g_1)^{-1}$ , we then have for each root  $a \in \Delta$  or  $-\Delta$ 

Int 
$$g\varepsilon(u_a(k)) = u_{\gamma(a)}(k)$$
 for  $k \in K$ ,

from which follows (2.2.1) immediately.

**Lemma 2.3.** (i) 
$$\overline{U(R)} = U(K); \overline{U^-(R)} = U^-(K);$$

- (ii)  $\overline{T \cap E(R)} = T$ ;
- (iii)  $\overline{B \cap E(R)} = B$ .

*Proof.* (i) Suppose a is a positive root of  $\Phi$ . Since  $U_a(R)$  is an infinite group while  $\overline{U_a(R)}/\overline{U_a(R)}^{\circ}$  is a finite group,  $\overline{U_a(R)}^{\circ}$  must be infinite. In other words,  $\dim \overline{U_a(R)}^{\circ} \geq 1$ . On the other hand, since

$$(2.3.1) \overline{U_a(R)}^{\circ} \subseteq \overline{U_a(R)} \subseteq U_a(K),$$

we have

$$\dim \overline{U_a(R)}^{\circ} \leq \dim U_a(K) = 1.$$

Hence dim  $\overline{U_a(R)}^{\circ} = 1$  and (2.3.1) implies that

$$(2.3.2) \overline{U_a(R)} = U_a(K).$$

Therefore

$$\overline{U(R)} = \overline{\langle U_a(R) \mid \forall a \in \Phi^+ \rangle} = \langle \overline{U_a(R)} \mid \forall a \in \Phi^+ \rangle = U(K).$$

By taking negative roots instead of positive roots and by following a similar argument as above, we obtain also the Zariski density of  $U^{-}(R)$  in  $U^{-}(K)$ .

(ii) Let  $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$  be the fundamental roots of  $\Phi$  and write  $T_i$  for the one dimensional torus  $T \cap \langle U_{a_i}(K), U_{-a_i}(K) \rangle$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then

$$(2.3.3) T = \prod_{i=1}^{n} T_i.$$

Let  $T_i(R)$  be the *R*-rational points of  $T_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then we have

$$T \cap E(R) \supseteq \prod_{i=1}^{n} T_i(R).$$

Note that  $T_i(R)$  is Zariski dense in  $T_i$  by [1, Ch.V,Cor.18.3] since R contains rational field  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Hence we obtain from (2.3.3) that

$$T \supseteq \overline{T \cap E(R)} \supseteq \prod_{i=1}^{n} \overline{T_i(R)} = T.$$

This means that  $T \cap E(R)$  is Zariski dense in T.

(iii) We have

$$B \supseteq B \cap E(R) \supseteq (T \cap E(R)) \cdot U(R).$$

This yields

$$B \supseteq \overline{B \cap E(R)} \supseteq \overline{(T \cap E(R)) \cdot U(R)} = T \cdot U = B.$$

Hence  $B \cap E(R)$  is Zariski dense in B.

Recall that the semisimple complex Lie algebra  $L(G(\mathbb{C}))$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -form  $\mathfrak{g}$  with a Chevalley basis related to the root system  $\Phi$  (cf. [10]). We denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_R$  the R-Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$  and let  $ad : \mathfrak{g}_K \to M_n(K)$  be the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_K$ , where n is the dimension of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_K$  over K and  $M_n(K)$  is the algebra of  $n \times n$  matrices over K.

**Lemma 2.4.** Suppose z is an element of  $\mathfrak{g}_K$  such that  $ad(z) \in M_n(R)$ , then z lies

*Proof.* Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a Chevalley basis of  $L(G(\mathbb{C}))$  related to  $\Phi$ . Then  $ad(e_i \otimes 1) \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Suppose z has an expression  $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes k_i$ , where  $k_i \in K$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . Then

(2.4.1) 
$$ad(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} ad(e_i \otimes k_i).$$

On the other hand, we way assume  $ad(z)=(z_{pq})\in M_n(R)$ , where  $z_{pq}\in R$  for all  $1\leq p\leq n, 1\leq q\leq n$  and suppose  $ad(e_i\otimes 1)=(e_{pq}^{(i)})\in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $e_{pq}^{(i)}\in \mathbb{Z}$  for

all  $1 \le i \le n, 1 \le p \le n$  and  $1 \le q \le n$ . Then the equation (2.4.1) implies the following  $n^2$  equations:

$$z_{11} = k_1 e_{11}^{(1)} + k_2 e_{11}^{(2)} + \dots + k_n e_{11}^{(n)}$$

$$z_{12} = k_1 e_{12}^{(1)} + k_2 e_{12}^{(2)} + \dots + k_n e_{12}^{(n)}$$

$$\dots$$

$$z_{nn} = k_1 e_{nn}^{(1)} + k_2 e_{nn}^{(2)} + \dots + k_n e_{nn}^{(n)}$$

Since  $ad(e_1 \otimes 1), ad(e_2 \otimes 1), \ldots, ad(e_n \otimes 1)$  are linearly independent, there are n linearly independent equations in the above system. Therefore the unique solution for  $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n$  in the above equations is given by Cramer's rule as the quotient of the determinant of a matrix in  $M_n(R)$  factored by the determinant of a matrix in  $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . Consequently,  $k_i$  lies in R for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , which implies that z belongs to  $\mathfrak{g}_R$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Let g be an element of G(K), then g lies in G(R) if  $Int g(u_a(1))$  belongs to G(R) for all  $a \in \Phi$ .

Proof. Let  $\{e_a, h_b \mid \forall a \in \Phi, b \in \Delta\}$  be a Chevalley basis of the semisimple Lie algebra  $L(G(\mathbb{C}))$ , where  $[e_b, e_{-b}] = h_b$  for  $b \in \Delta$ . Considering G(K) as a subgroup of  $GL_n(\mathfrak{g}_K)$  through the adjoint representation of G(K) where  $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}_K$ , we obtain that  $u_a(1) = \exp ad(e_a \otimes 1)$  for all  $a \in \Phi$  (cf. [10]) and

$$(2.5.1) Int g(u_a(1)) = \exp ad(g(e_a \otimes 1))$$

where exp is the canonical exponential map which sends the nilpotent elements of  $M_n(K)$  to the unipotent elements of  $GL_n(K)$ . Recall that the logarithm map log sends the unipotent subset of  $M_n(R)$  to the nilpotent subset of  $M_n(R)$  and the composite log-exp is the identity map on the nilpotent subset (cf. [3, Ch.II,6.1]). We have by (2.5.1)

$$\log(Int g(u_a(1))) = ad(g(e_a \otimes 1)) \in M_n(R) \text{ for } a \in \Phi.$$

Hence  $g(e_a \otimes 1)$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{g}_R$  for all  $a \in \Phi$  by Lemma 2.4. Moreover, we have

$$g(h_a \otimes 1) = [g(e_a \otimes 1), g(e_{-a} \otimes 1)] \in \mathfrak{g}_R \text{ for } a \in \Delta.$$

Hence 
$$g \in GL_n(\mathfrak{g}_R) \cap G(K) = G(R)$$
.

*Remark.* Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 have been shown in [4] for the case when R is a Laurent polynomial ring over the complex number field.

Let a be a root in  $\Phi$ , we denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_a$  the root subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}_K$  related to a and by  $\mathfrak{u}$  the subalgebra generated by  $\mathfrak{g}_a$  for all  $a \in \Phi^+$ . If  $\mathfrak{b}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_K$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathfrak{b})$  the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{b}$  in  $\mathfrak{u}$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** Let a be a positive root and  $I = \{c \in \Phi^+ | a + b \in \Phi^+ \Rightarrow c + b \in \Phi^+, \forall b \in \Phi^+\}$ . Then

(2.6.1) 
$$C_{U(K)}(C_{U(K)}(U_a(K))) = \prod_{c \in I} U_c(K).$$

*Proof.* It is easily seen that the Lie algebra L(U(K)) of U(K) is  $\mathfrak{u}$ , hence we have

$$L(\mathcal{C}_{U(K)}(U_a(K))) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}(L(U_a(K))) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathfrak{g}_a).$$

Note that  $C_{U(K)}(U_a(K))$  is connected since it is a T-stable closed subgroup of U(K) (cf. [1, Ch.IV,14.4]). Then we have

$$\begin{split} L(\mathcal{C}_{U(K)}(\mathcal{C}_{U(K)}(U_a(K)))) &= \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}(L(\mathcal{C}_{U(K)}(U_a(K)))) \\ &= \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathfrak{g}_a)) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}(\sum_{\substack{b \in \Phi^+ \\ a+b \notin \Phi}} \mathfrak{g}_b) = \bigcap_{\substack{b \in \Phi^+ \\ a+b \notin \Phi}} \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathfrak{g}_b) \\ &= \bigcap_{\substack{b \in \Phi^+ \\ a+b \notin \Phi}} \sum_{\substack{c \in \Phi^+ \\ b+c \notin \Phi}} \mathfrak{g}_c = \sum_{c \in I} \mathfrak{g}_c = L(\langle U_c(K) \, | \, \forall c \in I \rangle). \end{split}$$

Moreover, it is easily seen that

$$\langle U_c(K) | \forall c \in I \rangle = \prod_{c \in I} U_c(K).$$

Note that, since  $C_{U(K)}(C_{U(K)}(U_a(K)))$  is a T-stable closed subgroup of U(K), it is also connected. Thus (2.6.1) follows from the above identities since the correspondence between the connected subgroups of U(K) and the Lie subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{u}$  is bijective.

Lemma 2.7. Let a be a positive root, then

(2.7.1) 
$$\overline{\mathcal{C}_{U(R)}(U_a(\mathbb{Q}))} = \mathcal{C}_{U(K)}(U_a(K)).$$

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $U_b(R) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{U(R)}(U_a(\mathbb{Q}))$  for all  $b \in \Phi^+$  with  $a+b \notin \Phi$ . Note that  $U_a(\mathbb{Q})$  is a Zariski dense subgroup of  $U_a(K)$  by (2.3.2). We then have

(2.7.2) 
$$\langle U_b(R) | b \in \Phi^+, a + b \notin \Phi \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{U(R)}(U_a(\mathbb{Q}))$$
$$= \mathcal{C}_{U(R)}(U_a(K)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{U(K)}(U_a(K)).$$

Moreover, since  $U_b(R)$  is Zariski dense in  $U_b(K)$  for all  $b \in \Phi^+$  by (2.3.2), we have

$$\overline{\langle U_b(R) | b \in \Phi^+, a + b \notin \Phi \rangle} = \overline{\langle U_b(R) | b \in \Phi^+, a + b \notin \Phi \rangle}$$
$$= \overline{\langle U_b(K) | b \in \Phi^+, a + b \notin \Phi \rangle} = C_{U(K)}(U_a(K)).$$

Therefore, taking Zariski closures of the subgroups in (2.7.2), we obtain immediately (2.7.1).

**Proposition 2.8.** Every normal subgroup of G(R) that contains  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  must contain the elementary subgroup E(R).

*Proof.* For each root  $a \in \Phi$  and each element  $q \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ , let

$$h_a(q) = u_a(q)u_{-a}(-q^{-1})u_a(q)u_{-a}(1)u_a(-1)u_{-a}(1) \in T \cap E(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Then (cf. [7])

$$h_a(q)u_a(r)h_a(q)^{-1} = u_a(q^2r) \text{ for } q \in \mathbb{Q}^*, \ r \in R.$$

Suppose H is a normal subgroup of G(R) which contains  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  and let  $q \neq \pm 1$ , then for all  $r \in R$  and  $a \in \Phi$  we have

$$u_a(r) = h_a(q)u_a((q^2 - 1)^{-1}r)h_a(q)^{-1}u_a((q^2 - 1)^{-1}r)^{-1} \in H.$$

This implies that H contains E(R).

**Proposition 2.9.** If  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of G(R) which fixes each element of E(R), then  $\alpha$  is the identity map on G(R).

*Proof.* Since E(R) is a normal subgroup of G(R) by [11], we have for all  $g \in G(R)$ 

$$gxg^{-1} = \alpha(gxg^{-1}) = \alpha(g)x\alpha(g)^{-1}$$
 for  $x \in E(R)$ .

This yields

$$(\alpha(g)^{-1}g)x = x(\alpha(g)^{-1}g) \text{ for } x \in E(R), g \in G(R),$$

which means that, since E(R) is Zariski dense in G(K) (cf. [2]),

$$\alpha(g)^{-1}g \in \mathcal{C}_{G(R)}(E(R)) = \mathcal{C}(G(K)).$$

Note that  $\mathcal{C}(G(K))$  is trivial since G is of adjoint type. Then we obtain

$$\alpha(g) = g \text{ for all } g \in G(R).$$

### 3. Isomorphisms of simple Chevalley groups

In this section we assume that G (resp. G') is a simple adjoint Chevalley-Demazure group scheme with its root system  $\Phi$  (resp.  $\Phi'$ ) and fundamental root system  $\Delta$  (resp.  $\Delta'$ ) whose rank is greater than 1. Let R and R' stand for subrings of K containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The elementary subgroup of G'(R') is denoted by E'(R').

**Lemma 3.1.** If there exists a nontrivial homomorphism from  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  to G'(K), then

$$\dim G(K) = \dim G'(K).$$

Proof. See [5, Cor.2.4].

**Lemma 3.2.** Let H be a connected algebraic group, then

- (i) dim  $G(K) \le \dim H$  if there exists a nontrivial homomorphism from  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  to H;
- (ii) the image of a nontrivial homomorphism from  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  to H is Zariski dense in H if  $\dim G(K)$  is equal to  $\dim H$ .

Proof. (i) Let  $\alpha: E(\mathbb{Q}) \to H$  be a nontrivial homomorphism. Since  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is a simple group,  $\overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}$  is a connected and non-solvable subgroup of H by Lemma 2.1. Therefore, if  $\Re$  is the solvable radical of  $\overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}$ , the quotient group  $\overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}/\Re$  is a semisimple algebraic group of positive dimension. Let  $\{H_i\}_{i=1}^m$  be the family of the simple components of  $\overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}/\Re$  and let  $H_i^{ad}$  be an adjoint simple algebraic group of the same type as  $H_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then there exists an isogeny  $\varepsilon: \overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}/\Re \to \prod_{i=1}^m H_i^{ad}$ . Let  $\pi$  be the natural homomorphism from  $\overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}$  to  $\overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}/\Re$  and let  $p_j$  be the canonical projection of  $\prod_{i=1}^m H_i^{ad}$  to the j-th factor  $H_j^{ad}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Note that, since  $p_j$   $(1 \leq j \leq m)$ ,  $\varepsilon$  and  $\pi$  are homomorphisms which preserve the Zariski density, so does their composite  $p_j \varepsilon \pi$ . In particular we have for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$ 

$$\overline{p_{j}\varepsilon\pi\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))} = p_{j}\varepsilon\pi(\overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}) = H_{j}^{ad},$$

which means that the composite  $p_j \varepsilon \pi \alpha$  is a homomorphism from  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  to  $H_j^{ad}$  with a Zariski dense image. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$ 

$$\dim G(K) = \dim H_j^{ad} = \dim H_j.$$

Hence

(3.2.1) 
$$\dim G(K) \le \overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))} / \Re \le \overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))} \le \dim H.$$

(ii) Suppose G(K) and H have the same dimension, then it follows from (3.2.1) that for a nontrivial homomorphism  $\alpha: E(\mathbb{Q}) \to H$  we have

$$\dim \overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))} = \dim H.$$

Since H is connected, this implies by Lemma 2.1 that  $\overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))} = H$  as required.  $\square$ 

Corollary 3.3. If E(R) and E'(R') are isomorphic to each other, then

$$\dim G(K) = \dim G'(K).$$

*Proof.* This comes directly from Lemma 3.2(i).

**Proposition 3.4.** Suppose  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism from E(R) (resp. G(R)) to E'(R')(resp. G'(R')), then there exist an element  $g \in G'(R')$  and an isomorphism of root systems  $\gamma: \Phi \to \Phi'$  with  $\gamma(\Delta) = \Delta'$  such that

(3.4.1) Int 
$$g\alpha(u_a(q)) = u_{\gamma(a)}(q)$$
 for  $a \in \Delta$  or  $-\Delta$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

In particular

(3.4.2) 
$$Int \ g\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q})) = E'(\mathbb{Q}).$$

*Proof.* Since E(R) (resp. G(R)) and E'(R') (resp. G'(R')) are isomorphic to each other, G(K) and G'(K) have the same dimension by Corollary 3.3. Hence the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ , which is a nontrivial homomorphism from  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  to G'(K), has a Zariski dense image by Lemma 3.2. It follows from the Borel-Tits theorem [2, Th.A] that there exist a homomorphism of fields  $\varphi: \mathbb{Q} \to K$  and an isogeny  $\varepsilon$ from  $\varphi G(K)$ , the group obtained from the base change through  $\varphi$ , to G'(K) such that

$$\alpha(x) = \varepsilon \varphi^{\circ}(x) \text{ for } x \in E(\mathbb{Q})$$

where  $\varphi^{\circ}$  is the canonical homomorphism from G(K) to  $\varphi G(K)$  induced by  $\varphi$  (see [2] for the notation). Note that there is no other possibility for  $\varphi$  but of the natural embedding, which implies that  $\varphi^{\circ}$  is the identity map. This yields

(3.4.3) 
$$\alpha(x) = \varepsilon(x) \text{ for } x \in E(\mathbb{Q}).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that there exist an isomorphism of root systems  $\gamma:\Phi\to$  $\Phi'$  with  $\gamma(\Delta) = \Delta'$  and an element  $g \in G'$  such that

$$(3.4.4) \varepsilon = Int \, g^{-1} \cdot \bar{\gamma}$$

where  $\bar{\gamma}$  is the isogeny from G(K) to G'(K) related to  $\gamma$ . Hence the identity (3.4.1) comes from the definition of  $\bar{\gamma}$  and the fact that

Int 
$$g\alpha(u_a(q)) = Int g\varepsilon(u_a(q)) = \bar{\gamma}(u_a(q))$$
 for  $a \in \Delta$  or  $-\Delta$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

We claim that g lies in G'(R'). This is because, for each root  $a' \in \Phi'$ , we have by (3.4.3) and (3.4.4)

$$Int g^{-1}(u_{a'}(1)) = Int g^{-1} \bar{\gamma}(\bar{\gamma}^{-1}(u_{a'}(1))) = \varepsilon(\bar{\gamma}^{-1}(u_{a'}(1)))$$
$$= \alpha(\bar{\gamma}^{-1}(u_{a'}(1))) = \alpha(u_{\gamma^{-1}(a')}(1)) \in G'(R'),$$

which implies by Lemma 2.5 that  $g^{-1}$ , hence also g, lies in G'(R').

**Lemma 3.5.** Suppose  $\gamma: \Phi \to \Phi'$  is an isomorphism of root systems with  $\gamma(\Delta) = \Delta'$ . If  $\alpha: E(R) \to E'(R')$  is an isomorphism such that

$$\alpha(u_a(q)) = u_{\gamma(a)}(q) \text{ for } a \in \Delta \text{ or } -\Delta, q \in \mathbb{Q},$$

then  $\alpha(U(R)) = U'(R')$ .

*Proof.* It follows from the definition of  $\bar{\gamma}$  that  $\bar{\gamma}(B) = B'$  and

(3.5.1) 
$$\alpha(g) = \bar{\gamma}(g) \text{ for } g \in E(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Therefore

$$\alpha(B \cap E(\mathbb{Q})) = \bar{\gamma}(B \cap E(\mathbb{Q})) = B' \cap E(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Hence

$$\alpha(B \cap E(R)) \supseteq \alpha(B \cap E(\mathbb{Q})) = B' \cap E(\mathbb{Q}).$$

By taking the Zariski closures of the above groups, we obtain from Lemma 2.3(iii)

$$\overline{\alpha(B \cap E(R))} \supseteq \overline{B' \cap E'(\mathbb{Q})} = B'.$$

However, since  $\overline{\alpha(B \cap E(R))}$  is a solvable group, we have

$$\overline{\alpha(B \cap E(R))} = B'.$$

In particular, we obtain that

(3.5.2) 
$$\alpha(U(R)) \subseteq \alpha(B \cap E(R)) \subseteq B' \cap E'(R').$$

Let a be a positive root in  $\Phi$ , we can choose an element  $t \in T \cap E(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $a(t) \neq 1$  since  $T \cap E(\mathbb{Q})$  is Zariski dense in T by Lemma 2.3(ii). Note that a(t) lies in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we have

$$(3.5.3) u_a(r) = tu_a((a(t)-1)^{-1}r)t^{-1}u_a((a(t)-1)^{-1}r)^{-1} for r \in R.$$

This implies that

$$u_a(R) \subseteq [T \cap E(\mathbb{Q}), U(R)] \text{ for } a \in \Phi^+.$$

Thus U(R) is contained in  $[T \cap E(\mathbb{Q}), U(R)]$ . Hence we obtain by (3.5.1) and (3.5.2)

$$\begin{split} \alpha(U(R)) &\subseteq [\alpha(T \cap E(\mathbb{Q})), \ \alpha(U(R))] = [\tilde{\gamma}(T \cap E(\mathbb{Q})), \ \alpha(U(R))] \\ &= [T' \cap E'(\mathbb{Q}), \ \alpha(U(R))] \subseteq [T', \ B'] \cap E'(R') \\ &= U' \cap E'(R') = U'(R'). \end{split}$$

Replacing  $\alpha$  by  $\alpha^{-1}$  and following a similar argument as above, we obtain on the other hand that  $\alpha(U(R)) \supseteq U'(R')$ . Hence  $\alpha(U(R))$  is equal to U'(R') as required.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  be as in Lemma 3.5, then

(3.6.1) 
$$\alpha(U_a(R)) = U_{\gamma(a)}(R') \text{ for } a \in \Phi.$$

*Proof.* We first show (3.6.1) for the case where a is a positive root. Using Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7, we have

$$C_{U(R)}(C_{U(R)}(U_a(\mathbb{Q}))) = U(R) \cap C_{U(K)}(C_{U(R)}(U_a(\mathbb{Q})))$$
  
=  $U(R) \cap C_{U(K)}(C_{U(K)}(U_a(K))) = U(R) \cap \prod_{c \in I} U_c(K),$ 

where I is as in Lemma 2.6. Moreover, since  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism of root systems, we also have by Lemma 2.6 that

$$\mathcal{C}_{U'(R')}(\mathcal{C}_{U'(R')}(U_{\gamma(a)}(\mathbb{Q}))) = U'(R') \cap \prod_{c \in I} U_{\gamma(c)}(K).$$

Hence, applying Lemma 3.5, we obtain that

(3.6.2) 
$$\alpha(U_a(R)) \subseteq \alpha(\mathcal{C}_{U(R)}(\mathcal{C}_{U(R)}(U_a(\mathbb{Q}))))$$
$$= \mathcal{C}_{U'(R')}(\mathcal{C}_{U'(R')}(\alpha(U_a(\mathbb{Q})))) = U'(R') \cap \prod_{c \in I} U_{\gamma(c)}(K).$$

Suppose  $I = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}$  where  $c_1 = a$ . If m = 1, then

$$\alpha(U_a(R)) \subseteq U'(R') \cap U_{\gamma(a)}(K) = U_{\gamma(a)}(R'),$$

from which follows (3.6.1) since  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism. Suppose  $m \geq 2$ . Then  $(\ker c_m)^{\circ} - \ker a$  is an open subset of  $(\ker c_m)^{\circ}$ . Note that, since  $(\ker c_m)^{\circ}$  splits over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (cf. [1, Ch.III, Cor.8.7]),  $(\ker c_m)^{\circ} \cap E(\mathbb{Q})$  is Zariski dense in  $(\ker c_m)^{\circ}$  by [2, Cor.6.8]. Therefore

$$\{(\ker c_m)^{\circ} - \ker a\} \cap E(\mathbb{Q}) = \{(\ker c_m)^{\circ} \cap E(\mathbb{Q})\} \cap \{(\ker c_m)^{\circ} - \ker a\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Let  $t \in \{(\ker c_m)^{\circ} - \ker a\} \cap E(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then the coincidence of the restrictions of  $\alpha$  and  $\bar{\gamma}$  to  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  implies that  $\alpha(t)$  lies in T' since  $\bar{\gamma}(T) = T'$  (see §2 for the notation). Moreover, for any root  $b \in \Phi$ , t lies in  $\ker b$  if and only if  $\alpha(t)$  lies in  $\ker \gamma(b)$  because

$$u_{\gamma(b)}(b(t)) = \alpha(u_b(b(t))) = \alpha(tu_b(1)t^{-1})$$
  
= \alpha(t)u\_{\gamma(b)}(1)\alpha(t)^{-1} = u\_{\gamma(b)}(\gamma(b)(\alpha(t))).

Therefore,  $\alpha(t)$  lies in  $\{\ker \gamma(c_m) - \ker \gamma(a)\} \cap E'(\mathbb{Q})$ . This yields that

$$[\alpha(t), \prod_{i=1}^{m} U_{\gamma(c_i)}(K)] \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} U_{\gamma(c_i)}(K).$$

Note that  $U_a(R) = [t, U_a(R)]$  by (3.5.3). We then have by (3.6.2)

$$\alpha(U_a(R)) = [\alpha(t), \alpha(U_a(R))]$$

$$\subseteq [\alpha(t), U'(R') \cap \prod_{i=1}^m U_{\gamma(c_i)}(K)] \subseteq U'(R') \cap \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} U_{\gamma(c_i)}(K).$$

This results in (3.6.1) if m = 2. When  $m \ge 3$ , (3.6.1) follows from the repetitions of analogous arguments as above.

We show now that (3.6.1) holds also for all -a, where  $a \in \Phi^+$ . Let  $w_a = u_a(1)u_{-a}(-1)u_a(1)$  for  $a \in \Phi^+$ . Then we have  $Int \, w_a(U_a(R)) = U_{-a}(R)$ . Note that for all  $a \in \Phi^+$ 

$$\alpha(w_a) = \tilde{\gamma}(w_a) = w_{\gamma(a)}.$$

This yields

$$\alpha(U_{-a}(R)) = \operatorname{Int} w_{\gamma(a)}(\alpha(U_a(R))) = \operatorname{Int} w_{\gamma(a)}(U_{\gamma(a)}(R')) = U_{-\gamma(a)}(R').$$

Hence (3.6.1) holds for all  $a \in \Phi$ .

Let  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  be as in Lemma 3.5. Thanks to Lemma 3.6, we can assign a map  $\varphi_a:R\to R'$  to each root  $a\in\Phi$  satisfying

$$\alpha(u_a(r)) = u_{\gamma(a)}(\varphi_a(r)) \text{ for } r \in \Phi.$$

It is easily seen that  $\varphi_a$  is an isomorphism between the additive groups  $R^+$  and  $R'^+$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** For each root a in  $\Phi$ ,  $\varphi_a$  is an isomorphism of rings and

$$(3.7.1) \varphi_a = \varphi_b for b \in \Phi.$$

*Proof.* We first consider the case where a is a fundamental root. Since G is not of type  $A_1$ , there exists a positive root b such that  $a + b \in \Phi$ . We have by the commutator formula [7, Exp.XXII,§5]

$$u_a(r)u_b(s)u_a(r)^{-1}u_b(s)^{-1} = u_{a+b}(n_{a,b}rs) \prod_{\substack{c \in \Phi^+ \\ h(c) > h(a+b)}} u_c(r_c) \ for \ r, s \in R,$$

where  $n_{a,b}$  is an integer determined uniquely by a and b while  $r_c \in R$ , and h is the height function of  $\Phi$ . Applying  $\alpha$  on both sides, we obtain that

$$u_{\gamma(a)}(\varphi_a(r))u_{\gamma(b)}(\varphi_b(s))u_{\gamma(a)}(\varphi_a(r))^{-1}u_{\gamma(b)}(\varphi_b(s))^{-1} = u_{\gamma(a+b)}(n_{a,b}\varphi_{a+b}(rs))u_{\gamma(a)}(\varphi_b(s))^{-1} = u_{\gamma(a+b)}(n_{a,b}\varphi_{a+b}(rs))u_{\gamma(a)}(\varphi_b(s))u_{\gamma(a)}(\varphi_$$

where u is a product of elements of the form  $u_{\gamma(c)}(p)$  for some positive root c such that h(c) > h(a+b) and for some  $p \in R'$ . On the other hand, it follows from the commutator formula that

$$u_{\gamma(a)}(\varphi_a(r))u_{\gamma(b)}(\varphi_b(s))u_{\gamma(a)}(\varphi_a(r))^{-1}u_{\gamma(b)}(\varphi_b(s))^{-1} = u_{\gamma(a+b)}(n_{a,b}\varphi_a(r)\varphi_b(s))u_1$$

where  $u_1$  is also a product of elements of the form  $u_{\gamma(c)}(p)$  for some  $c \in \Phi^+$  with h(c) > h(a+b) and  $p \in R'$ . Note that, if  $h' : \Phi' \to \mathbb{Z}$  is the height function of  $\Phi'$ , then  $h'(\gamma(c)) > h'(\gamma(a+b))$  for all the factors  $u_{\gamma(c)}(p)$  of u (resp.  $u_1$ ). Thus, comparing these two identities, we have

(3.7.2) 
$$\varphi_{a+b}(rs) = \varphi_a(r)\varphi_b(s) \text{ for } r, s \in R.$$

Taking r and s to be 1 alternately, we obtain that  $\varphi_{a+b} = \varphi_a = \varphi_b$ . Note that for each fundamental root  $c \in \Delta$  there exists a sequence of fundamental roots

$$a = a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m = c$$

such that  $a_i + a_{i+1} \in \Phi$  for all  $1 \le i \le m-1$ . Hence we have, by following similar arguments as above, that

$$\varphi_a = \varphi_{a_2} = \dots = \varphi_{a_m} = \varphi_c.$$

Thus we may simply write  $\varphi$  in stead of  $\varphi_a$  for all  $a \in \Delta$ . It follows from (3.7.2) that  $\varphi(rs) = \varphi(r)\varphi(s)$  for all  $a \in \Delta$ , which means that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism of rings and therefore is an isomorphism of rings.

We show now that

$$(3.7.3) \varphi_a = \varphi for a \in \Phi^+.$$

We use induction on the height of the roots. Suppose a is not a fundamental root and  $\varphi_c = \varphi$  for all  $c \in \Phi^+$  such that h(c) < h(a). Since a can be written as the sum of two positive roots, say b and c, with h(b) < h(a) and h(c) < h(a), we have

$$(3.7.4) u_b(r)u_c(s)u_b(r)^{-1}u_c(s)^{-1} = u_a(n_{b,c}rs)v \text{ for } r, s \in R,$$

where  $n_{b,c}$  is an integer which depends only on b and c, while v is a product of elements of the form  $u_d(p)$  for some  $p \in R$  and  $d \in \Phi^+$  such that h(d) > h(a), or equivalently  $h'(\gamma(d)) > h'(\gamma(a))$ . Applying  $\alpha$  on both sides of (3.7.4) and using the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$u_{\gamma(b)}(\varphi(r))u_{\gamma(c)}(\varphi(s))u_{\gamma(b)}(\varphi(r))^{-1}u_{\gamma(c)}(\varphi(s))^{-1} = u_{\gamma(a)}(n_{b,c}\varphi_a(rs))v_1$$

where  $v_1$  is a product of elements that involves only those positive roots of which the height is greater than  $h'(\gamma(a))$ . On the other hand, we have by the commutator formula that

$$u_{\gamma(b)}(\varphi(r))u_{\gamma(c)}(\varphi(s))u_{\gamma(b)}(\varphi(r))^{-1}u_{\gamma(c)}(\varphi(s))^{-1} = u_{\gamma(a)}(n_{b,c}\varphi(r)\varphi(s))v_2$$

where  $v_2$  is also a product of elements involving only the positive roots of which the height is greater than  $h'(\gamma(a))$ . Comparing these two identities, we obtain immediately that

$$\varphi_a(rs) = \varphi(r)\varphi(s) \text{ for } r, s \in R.$$

This yields (3.7.3) when s is the identity element.

Finally we show that  $\varphi_a = \varphi$  for all negative root a, from which follows (3.7.1). Suppose a is a negative root, then for all  $r \in R$ 

$$u_a(r) = w_{-a}u_{-a}(-r)w_{-a}^{-1}.$$

Applying  $\alpha$  on both sides of the identity, we have

$$u_{\gamma(a)}(\varphi_a(r)) = w_{-\gamma(a)}u_{\gamma(a)}(-\varphi(r))w_{-\gamma(a)}^{-1} = u_{\gamma(a)}(\varphi(r))$$

which implies that  $\varphi_a = \varphi$ . This completes our proof.

**Corollary 3.8.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  be as in Lemma 3.5, then there exists an isomorphism of rings  $\varphi: R \to R'$  such that  $\alpha = \hat{\varphi}$ .

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Lemma 3.6, Lemma 3.7 and the definition of  $\hat{\varphi}$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.9.** If  $\alpha: E(R) \to E'(R')$  is an isomorphism, then there exist an element  $g \in G'(R')$ , an isomorphism of root systems  $\gamma: \Phi \to \Phi'$  with  $\gamma(\Delta) = \Delta'$  and an isomorphism of rings  $\varphi: R \to R'$  such that

(3.9.1) 
$$\alpha = Int \, g \cdot \hat{\gamma} \cdot \hat{\varphi}.$$

Moreover,  $g, \gamma$  and  $\varphi$  are uniquely determined by  $\alpha$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 3.4 that there exist an element  $g \in G'(R')$  and an isomorphism of root systems  $\gamma : \Phi \to \Phi'$  such that

Int 
$$g^{-1} \alpha(u_a(q)) = u_{\gamma(a)}(q) = \hat{\gamma}(u_a(q))$$
 for  $a \in \Delta$  or  $-\Delta$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Since E'(R') is a normal subgroup of G'(R') (cf. [11]),  $Int g^{-1}\alpha$  is an isomorphism from E(R) to E'(R'). Hence  $\hat{\gamma}^{-1} \cdot Int g^{-1} \cdot \alpha$  is also an isomorphism from E(R) to E'(R'). Therefore by Corollary 3.8 there exists an isomorphism of rings  $\varphi: R \to R'$  such that

$$\hat{\gamma}^{-1} \cdot Int \, q^{-1} \cdot \alpha = \hat{\varphi}$$

from which follows (3.9.1). Suppose there exist an element  $g_1 \in G'(R')$ , an isomorphism of root systems  $\gamma_1 : \Phi \to \Phi'$  with  $\gamma_1(\Delta) = \Delta'$  and an isomorphism of rings  $\varphi_1 : R \to R'$  such that

$$\alpha = Int \, g \cdot \hat{\gamma} \cdot \hat{\varphi} = Int \, g_1 \cdot \hat{\gamma}_1 \cdot \hat{\varphi}_1,$$

then

(3.9.2) 
$$Int g_1^{-1} g = \hat{\gamma}_1 \cdot \hat{\varphi}_1 \cdot \hat{\varphi}^{-1} \cdot \hat{\gamma}^{-1}.$$

Let U'(R') (resp.  $U'^{-}(R')$ ) be the subgroup of G'(R') generated by  $u_a(r)$  for all  $a \in \Phi'$  (resp.  $-a \in \Phi'$ ) and  $r \in R'$ . Since

$$\hat{\gamma}(U(R')) = \hat{\gamma}_1(U(R')) = U'(R')$$

and

$$\hat{\varphi}(U(R)) = \hat{\varphi}_1(U(R)) = U(R'),$$

we have by (3.9.2)

$$Int g_1^{-1}g(U'(R')) = U'(R').$$

Similarly we also have

$$Int g_1^{-1}g(U'^{-}(R')) = U'^{-}(R').$$

Therefore, if we denote by  $B'^-$  the opposite Borel subgroup of B', then

$$\begin{split} g_1^{-1}g &\in \mathcal{N}_{G'(R')}(U'(R')) \cap \mathcal{N}_{G'(R')}(U'^-(R')) \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{N}_{G'(R')}(\overline{U'(R')}) \cap \mathcal{N}_{G'(R')}(\overline{U'^-(R')}) = \mathcal{N}_{G'(R')}(U'(K)) \cap \mathcal{N}_{G'(R')}(U'^-(K)) \\ &= G'(R') \cap \mathcal{N}_{G'(K)}(U'(K)) \cap \mathcal{N}_{G'(K)}(U'^-(K)) \\ &= G'(R') \cap B' \cap B'^- = G'(R') \cap T'. \end{split}$$

This yields that, for each fundamental root  $a \in \Delta'$ ,

$$Int g_1^{-1}g(u_a(1)) = u_a(a(g_1^{-1}g)).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\hat{\gamma}_1 \hat{\varphi}_1 \hat{\varphi}^{-1} \hat{\gamma}^{-1}(u_a(1)) = u_{\gamma_1 \gamma^{-1}(a)}(1) \text{ for } a \in \Delta'.$$

Comparing these two identities, we obtain that  $\gamma_1 = \gamma$  and  $a(g_1^{-1}g) = 1$  for all  $a \in \Delta'$ , which means that

$$g_1^{-1}g \in \bigcap_{a \in \Delta'} \ker a = \mathcal{C}(G'(K)).$$

This implies immediately that  $g_1 = g$  and that, by (3.9.2),  $\varphi_1 = \varphi$ . Hence the expression (3.9.1) of  $\alpha$  is unique.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** (i) Suppose  $\alpha: E(R) \to E'(R')$  is an isomorphism. it follows from Theorem 3.9 that  $\alpha$  has an expression of the form  $Int g \cdot \hat{\gamma} \cdot \hat{\varphi}$  where  $g \in G'(R'), \ \gamma: \Phi \to \Phi'$  is an isomorphism of root systems with  $\gamma(\Delta) = \Delta'$  and  $\varphi: R \to R'$  is an isomorphism of rings. It is evident from the definitions that  $\hat{\gamma}$  can be extended to the graph isomorphism  $\tilde{\gamma}$  from G(R') to G'(R') and that  $\hat{\varphi}$  can be extended to the ring isomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi}$  from G(R) to G(R'). Hence  $\alpha$  can be extended to an isomorphism  $\tilde{\alpha}$  from G(R) to G'(R') in an obvious way. If  $\bar{\alpha}: G(R) \to G'(R')$  is an isomorphism which is also an extension of  $\alpha$ , then  $\tilde{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\alpha}^{-1}$  is an automorphism

of G(R) which fixes each element of E(R) and, therefore,  $\tilde{\alpha} = \bar{\alpha}$  by Proposition 2.9. Thus the extension of  $\alpha$  to an isomorphism between G(R) and G'(R') is unique.

(ii) It follows from Proposition 3.4 that there exists an element  $g \in G'(R')$  such that

$$\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q})) = Int g(E'(\mathbb{Q})).$$

Thus H is a normal subgroup of G(R) which contains  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  if and only if  $\alpha(H)$  is a normal subgroup of G'(R') containing  $E'(\mathbb{Q})$ . This implies that  $\alpha$  induces a bijection between the set of normal subgroups of G(R) containing  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ , which is denoted by N, and the set of normal subgroups of G'(R') containing  $E'(\mathbb{Q})$ , which is denoted by N'. Note that by Proposition 2.8

$$E(R) = \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{N}} H.$$

Hence we have

$$\alpha(E(R)) = \bigcap_{H \in N} \alpha(H) = \bigcap_{H' \in N'} H' = E'(R').$$

. D

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** It follows from Theorem 1.1(ii) and Theorem 3.9 that the restriction  $\alpha|_{E(R)}$  of  $\alpha$  to E(R) is an isomorphism between E(R) and E'(R') which has an expression of the form  $Int \, g \cdot \hat{\gamma} \cdot \hat{\varphi}$  where  $g \in G'(R')$ ,  $\hat{\gamma}$  is a graph isomorphism from E(R') to E'(R') related to an isomorphism of root systems  $\gamma: \Phi \to \Phi'$  with  $\gamma(\Delta) = \Delta'$  and  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a ring isomorphism from E(R) to E(R') related to an isomorphism of rings  $\varphi: R \to R'$ . Thus  $\alpha|_{E(R)}$  can be extended to an isomorphism from G(R) to G'(R') by extending  $\hat{\gamma}$  (resp.  $\hat{\varphi}$ ) to  $\tilde{\gamma}$  (resp.  $\tilde{\varphi}$ ). This extension of  $\alpha|_{E(R)}$  has the form  $Int \, g \cdot \tilde{\gamma} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}$  and is equal to  $\alpha$  by Theorem 1.1(i). The uniqueness of the elements  $g, \gamma$  and  $\varphi$  comes directly from Theorem 3.9.

# 4. Automorphisms of G(R) and E(R)

In this section, we assume that G is an adjoint Chevalley-Demazure group scheme that has no simple component of type  $A_1$ . Let  $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be the simple components of G and  $\Phi_i$  (resp.  $\Delta_i$ ) be the root (resp. fundamental root) system of  $G_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Denote by  $E_i(R)$  the elementary subgroup of  $G_i(R)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** Suppose H is either E(R) or G(R) and  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of H. Then there exists a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  such that

- (i)  $\alpha(E_i(R)) = E_{\sigma(i)}(R)$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ ;
- (ii)  $\alpha(G_i(R)) = G_{\sigma(i)}(R)$  if  $\alpha \in Aut G(R)$ .

*Proof.* We show first that  $\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))$  is a Zariski dense subset of G(K). If n=1, we obtain by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 3.2(i) that dim  $G \leq \dim \overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}$ . Since  $\overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}$  is a subgroup of G(K), this implies that

$$\dim G = \dim \overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}.$$

Thus we have immediately the Zariski density of  $\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))$  in G(K) since  $\overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}$  is connected by Lemma 2.1. Suppose n > 1, then

$$(4.1.1) E_i(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{E(R)}(E_j(\mathbb{Q})) \text{ for } 1 \le i \ne j \le n.$$

Hence

$$(4.1.2) \overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{G(K)}(\overline{\alpha(E_j(\mathbb{Q}))}) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n.$$

Therefore, since  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is the direct product of  $E_i(\mathbb{Q})$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we obtain

$$(4.1.3) \overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))} = \overline{\alpha(E_1(\mathbb{Q}))} \cdot \overline{\alpha(E_2(\mathbb{Q}))} \dots \overline{\alpha(E_n(\mathbb{Q}))}.$$

Hence  $\overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}$  is connected since each  $\overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))}$  is connected for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  by Lemma 2.1. Let  $\Re$  be the solvable radical of  $\overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}$  and let  $Y_i$  be the quotient group of  $\Re \cdot \overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))}$  modulo  $\Re$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then

$$(4.1.4) \overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}/\Re = Y_1 \cdot Y_2 \dots Y_n.$$

It is obvious that  $Y_i$  is a semisimple normal subgroup of  $\overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}/\Re$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Moreover  $[Y_i, Y_j]$  is trivial for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$  since

$$[\overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))}, \overline{\alpha(E_j(\mathbb{Q}))}] = \{1\}.$$

This implies that  $|Y_i \cap Y_j| < \infty$  for all  $1 \le i \ne j \le n$  since  $\overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}/\Re$  is semisimple. Thus (4.1.4) yields

(4.1.5) 
$$\dim \overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}/\Re = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \dim Y_{i}.$$

Let  $\Re_i$  be the solvable radical of  $\overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Note that

$$\Re_i = \Re \cap \overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{O}))}; \ Y_i \cong \overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{O}))} / \Re_i.$$

We obtain from (4.1.5) that

(4.1.6) 
$$\dim \overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))}/\Re = \sum_{i=1}^n \dim \overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))}/\Re_i.$$

Let  $\pi_i$   $(1 \leq i \leq n)$  be the natural homomorphism from  $\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))$  to its quotient group  $\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))/\Re_i$ . Note that the restriction of  $\pi_i \cdot \alpha$  to  $E_i(\mathbb{Q})$  is nontrivial. We obtain from Lemma 3.2(i)

dim 
$$G_i \leq \dim \overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))}/\Re_i$$
 for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Thus we have from (4.1.6) that

$$\dim G \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \dim \overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))} / \Re_i \leq \dim \overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))} \leq \dim G.$$

This forces

$$\overline{\alpha(E(\mathbb{Q}))} = G(K).$$

We show now that for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ ,  $\overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))}$  is a simple component of G(K). From the above identity and (4.1.3) we have

$$G(K) = \overline{\alpha(E_1(\mathbb{Q}))} \cdot \overline{\alpha(E_2(\mathbb{Q}))} \dots \overline{\alpha(E_n(\mathbb{Q}))}.$$

Then (4.1.2) implies that  $\overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))}$  is a normal subgroup of G(K) for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and

$$(4.1.7) \overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))} \cap \overline{\alpha(E_j(\mathbb{Q}))} \subseteq \mathcal{C}(G(K)) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n.$$

Note that  $\overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))}$  is of positive dimension for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Hence each  $\overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))}$  contains at least one simple component of G(K) and, meanwhile, is the direct product of all those simple components which are contained in  $\overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))}$ . Moreover, (4.1.7) implies that each simple component  $G_k(K)$   $(1 \leq k \leq n)$  lies in at most one  $\overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))}$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since G(K) has exact n different simple components, each  $\overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))}$  is in fact a simple component of G(K) for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . In other words, there exists a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$  such that for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ 

(4.1.8) 
$$\overline{\alpha(E_i(\mathbb{Q}))} = G_{\sigma(i)}(K).$$

Now we come to show (ii). Note that for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ ,  $[G_i(R), E_j(\mathbb{Q})]$  is trivial and we have

$$G_i(R) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{G(K)}(\prod_{j \neq i}^n E_j(\mathbb{Q})) \ for \ 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Hence

$$\alpha(G_i(R)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{G(K)}(\prod_{j \neq i}^n \alpha(E_j(\mathbb{Q}))) = \mathcal{C}_{G(K)}(\prod_{j \neq i}^n \overline{\alpha(E_j(\mathbb{Q}))})$$
$$= \mathcal{C}_{G(K)}(\prod_{j \neq i}^n G_{\sigma(j)}(K)) = G_{\sigma(i)}(K).$$

Consequently

$$\alpha(G_i(R)) \subseteq G(R) \cap G_{\sigma(i)}(K) = G_{\sigma(i)}(R) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

By taking  $\alpha^{-1}$  instead of  $\alpha$  and by following a similar argument as above, we obtain on the other hand that  $\alpha(G_{\sigma(i)}(R)) \subseteq G_i(R)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Hence  $\alpha(G_i(R)) = G_{\sigma(i)}(R)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Finally we show (i). If  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of G(R), then (i) comes as a consequence of the above (ii) and Theorem 1.1(ii). Suppose  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of E(R). Note that

$$E_i(R) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{E(R)}(\prod_{j \neq i}^n E_j(\mathbb{Q})) \ for \ 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

We have, by using the identity (4.1.8),

$$\alpha(E_i(R)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{E(R)}(\prod_{j \neq i}^n \alpha(E_j(\mathbb{Q}))) = \mathcal{C}_{E(R)}(\prod_{j \neq i}^n \overline{\alpha(E_j(\mathbb{Q}))})$$
$$= E(R) \cap \mathcal{C}_{G(K)}(\prod_{j \neq i}^n G_{\sigma(j)}(K)) = E(R) \cap G_{\sigma(i)}(K) = E_{\sigma(i)}(R).$$

Since  $\alpha$  is an automorphism, we obtain that  $\alpha(E_i(R)) = E_{\sigma(i)}(R)$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$  as required.

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of E(R), then there exist an element  $g \in G(R)$ , an automorphism of root system  $\gamma : \Phi \to \Phi$  which keeps fundamental

root system  $\Delta$  invariant and an automorphism  $\varphi_i \in Aut\,R$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that

(4.2.1) 
$$\alpha = \operatorname{Int} g \cdot \hat{\gamma} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\varphi}_{i}.$$

Moreover, g,  $\gamma$  and  $\varphi_i$   $(1 \le i \le n)$  are uniquely determined by  $\alpha$ .

*Proof.* It is known from Proposition 4.1 that for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $E_i(R)$  is an isomorphism from  $E_i(R)$  to  $E_{\sigma(i)}(R)$  for some permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ . Hence by theorem 3.9 there exist an element  $g_i \in G_{\sigma(i)}(R)$ , an isomorphism of root system  $\gamma_i: \Phi_i \to \Phi_{\sigma(i)}$  with  $\gamma_i(\Delta_i) = \Delta_{\sigma(i)}$  and an automorphism  $\varphi_i \in Aut R$  such that the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $E_i(R)$  has an expression

(4.2.2) 
$$\alpha|_{E_i(R)} = \operatorname{Int} g_i \cdot \hat{\gamma}_i \cdot \hat{\varphi}_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Since  $\Phi = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Phi_i$ , it is easily seen that the isomorphisms  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_n$ , being pieced together, induce an automorphism of root system  $\gamma : \Phi \to \Phi$  defined by

$$\gamma(a) = \gamma_i(a) \text{ for } a \in \Phi_i, 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

which keeps the fundamental root system  $\Delta$  invariant. Moreover, we have by the definition of the graph automorphism that for all  $1 \le i \le n$ 

$$\hat{\gamma}(x) = \hat{\gamma}_i(x) \text{ for } x \in E_i(R).$$

Suppose x is an arbitrary element of E(R), we may assume that  $x = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$ , where  $x_i \in E_i(R)$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . Then we have by (4.2.2)

(4.2.4) 
$$\alpha(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Int} g_i \hat{\gamma}_i \hat{\varphi}_i(x_i).$$

Note that, since G(R) is the direct product of  $G_i(R)$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ , we have for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ 

$$Int g_1 Int g_2 \dots Int g_n \hat{\gamma}_i \hat{\varphi}_i(x_i) = Int g_i \hat{\gamma}_i \hat{\varphi}_i(x_i).$$

Let  $g = \prod_{i=1}^{n} g_n$ , then the identities (4.2.3) and (4.2.4) yield

$$\alpha(x) = \operatorname{Int} g\hat{\gamma}(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\varphi}_{i}(x_{i})) = \operatorname{Int} g\hat{\gamma}(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\varphi}_{i})(x) \text{ for } x \in E(R),$$

from which follows immediately (4.2.1).

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** (i) It follows from Theorem 4.2 that every automorphism  $\alpha$  of E(R) has an expression of the form  $Int g \cdot \hat{\gamma} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_i$  for some  $g \in G(R), \gamma \in Aut \Phi$  with  $\gamma(\Delta) = \Delta$  and  $\varphi_i \in Aut R$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $\hat{\gamma}$  and  $\prod_{i=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_i$  have the extensions  $\tilde{\gamma}$  and  $\prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{\varphi}_i$  in Aut G(R) respectively,  $\alpha$  can be extended to an automorphisms of G(R) in an obvious way.

Suppose  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\check{\alpha}$  are automorphisms of G(R) and the both are extensions of  $\alpha$ , then  $\tilde{\alpha} \cdot \check{\alpha}^{-1}$  is an automorphism of G(R) which fixes every element of E(R). Hence  $\tilde{\alpha} = \check{\alpha}$  by Proposition 2.9. Thus the extension of  $\alpha$  is unique.

(ii) Suppose  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of G(R), then by Proposition 4.1(i) there exists a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  such that  $\alpha(E_i(R)) = E_{\sigma(i)}(R)$ . Hence

$$\alpha(E(R)) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha(E_i(R)) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} E_{\sigma(i)}(R) = E(R).$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.** Since the restriction of  $\alpha$  to E(R) induces an automorphism of E(R) by Theorem 1.4(ii), it follows from Theorem 4.2 that there exist an element  $g \in G(R)$ , a graph automorphism  $\hat{\gamma} \in Aut E(R)$  related to an automorphism of root system  $\gamma: \Phi \to \Phi$  with  $\gamma(\Delta) = \Delta$  and a ring automorphism  $\hat{\varphi}_i$  of E(R) related to an automorphism  $\varphi_i \in Aut R$  for each  $1 \le i \le n$  such that

$$\alpha|_{E(R)} = Int \, g \cdot \hat{\gamma} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\varphi}_{i}.$$

It is easily seen from the definitions that the graph automorphism  $\tilde{\gamma}$  of G(R) is an extension of  $\hat{\gamma}$  while the ring automorphism  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{\varphi}_{i}$  is an extension of  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\varphi}_{i}$ , hence the automorphism  $Int g \cdot \tilde{\gamma} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{\varphi}_i$  is an extension of  $\alpha|_{E(R)}$ . Since the extension of  $\alpha|_{E(R)}$  is unique by Theorem 1.4(i), we obtain immediately the expression (1.5.1). Moreover, we have the uniqueness of  $g,\gamma$  and  $\varphi_i$  (1  $\leq i \leq n$ ) because, by Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 1.4(ii), all of them are uniquely determined by the restriction  $\alpha|_{E(R)}$  which is, as a consequence of Theorem 1.4(i), uniquely determined by  $\alpha$ . This completes our proof.

#### References

- A. Borel, Linear algebraic groups, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, MR 92e:20001.
- A. Borel, J. Tits, Homomorphismes "abstraits" de groupes algébrique simples, Ann. of Math. 97 (1973), 499-571, MR 47:5134.
- N. Bourbaki, Groupes et algèbres de Lie, Hermann, Paris, 1972, MR 58:28083a.
- R. Carter, Y. Chen, Automorphisms of affine Kac-Moody groups and related Chevalley groups over rings, J. of Algebra 155 (1993), 44–94, MR 94e:17032.
- Y. Chen, Isomorphic Chevalley groups over integral domains, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **92** (1994), 231–237.
- C. Chevalley, Séminaire sur la classification des groupes de Lie algébriques, Inst. H.
- Poincare, Paris, 1956/58, MR 21:5696.
- M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, Schémas en groupes III, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970. J. Humphreys, On the automorphisms of infinite Chevalley groups, Canad. J. Math. 21 (1969), 908-911, MR 40:1397.
- R. Steinberg, Automorphisms of finite linear groups, Canad. J. Math. 12 (1960), 606–615, MR **22:**12165.
- R. Steinberg, Lectures on Chevalley groups, 1967.
- G. Taddei, Normalité des groupes élémentaire dans les groupes de Chevalley sur un anneau, Contemp. Math. 55 (1986), 693-710, MR 88a:20054.

Department of Mathematics, University of Turin, Via Carlo Alberto 10, 10123 TORINO, ITALY

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: yuchen@dm.unito.it}$