DIVISORS ON GENERIC COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS IN PROJECTIVE SPACE

GENG XU

ABSTRACT. Let V be a generic complete intersection of hypersurfaces of degree d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_m in n-dimensional projective space. We study the question when a divisor on V is nonrational or of general type, and give an alternative proof of a result of Ein. We also give some improvement of Ein's result in the case $d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_m = n + 2$.

0. Introduction

Let V be a generic complete intersection of hypersurfaces of degree d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m in \mathbf{P}^n . A conjecture of Kobayashi (cf. [L]) states that V is hyperbolic if $d = d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_m \ge n + 2$. In general, S. Lang [L] has conjectured that a variety X is hyperbolic if and only if every subvariety of X is of general type. In this paper, we will prove the following

Theorem 1. Let V be a complete intersection of m generic hypersurfaces of degree d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m in \mathbf{P}^n , $M \subset V$ a reduced and irreducible divisor, $p_g(M)$ the geometric genus of the desingularization of M. Assume that $1 \leq m \leq n-3$ and $d_i \geq 2$ for all i. Then

- (1) $p_q(M) \ge n 1$ if $d = d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_m \ge n + 2$,
- (2) *M* is of general type if $d = d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_m > n + 2$.

In [E1,E2], Ein has shown that M is nonrational if $d \ge n+2$, and is of general type if d > n+2. Here we are going to give an alternative proof of it. Ein also proved that every subvariety of V of dimension l is nonrational if $d \ge 2n-m-l+1$, and is of general type if d > 2n-m-l+1. Therefore the improvement we made here is in the case d = n+2 and l = n-m-1. In particular, we conclude that the divisor M can not be an abelian variety. If a variety X is hyperbolic, then every rational map of an abelian variety or \mathbf{P}^1 into X is constant. On the other hand, Lang [L] conjectured that this condition is also sufficient for X to be hyperbolic.

If V is a generic hypersurface in \mathbf{P}^n , it was first shown by Clemens [CKM] that V contains no rational curves, if deg $V \ge n-1$. In [X1], we study generic surfaces in \mathbf{P}^3 , obtain that every curve C on S has geometric genus $g(C) \ge \frac{1}{2}d(d-3)-2$ $(d = \deg S)$, and the bound is sharp. We also obtain results about divisors on a generic hypersurface in \mathbf{P}^n . In [X2], we generalize these results to some nongeneric cases.

Received by the editors August 5, 1995.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14J70, 14B07.

Partially Supported by NSF grant DMS-9401547.

When V is a generic quintic 3-fold in \mathbf{P}^4 , a conjecture of Clemens says that V should contain only finitely many rational curves of given degree, which is equivalent to the statement that every divisor on V must have a nonnegative Kodaira dimension. Chang and Ran [CR] has proved that V does not contain a reduced and irreducible divisor which admits a desingularization having a numerically effective anticanonical bundle.

To establish Theorem 1, we need to get control over the singularities of the divisor M on V. The method we use here is deformation of singularity as we did in [X1].

Throughout this paper we work over the complex number field \mathbb{C} .

Finally, I am very grateful to Herbert Clemens, Mark Green and Jonathan Wahl for helpful conversations.

1. Deformation of Singularities

For simplicity of notations, we will give a proof of Theorem 1 in the case m = 2. First of all, we recall some definitions from [X1].

Let V be an n-dimensional smooth variety, and $M \subset V$ be a reduced and irreducible divisor. According to Hironaka [H], there is a desingularization of M:

$$V_{m+1} \stackrel{\pi_{m+1}}{\longrightarrow} V_m \stackrel{\pi_m}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\pi_2}{\longrightarrow} V_1 \stackrel{\pi_1}{\longrightarrow} V_0 = V,$$

so that the proper transform \tilde{M} of M in V_{m+1} is smooth. Here $V_j \xrightarrow{\pi_j} V_{j-1}$ is the blow-up of V_{j-1} along a ν_{j-1} -dimensional submanifold X_{j-1} with $E_{j-1} \subset V_j$ the exceptional divisor. If X_{j-1} is a μ_{j-1} -fold singular submanifold of the proper transform of M in V_{j-1} , we say that M has a $type \ \mu = (\mu_j, X_j, E_j \mid j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\})$ singularity.

If $M \subset V$ has a type $\mu = (\mu_j, X_j, E_j \mid j \in \Gamma)$ singularity, $\Omega \subset V$ is an open set, we localize our definition by saying that M has a type $\mu_{\Omega} = (\mu_j, X_j, E_j \mid j \in \Gamma_{\Omega} = \{j \mid \exists q \in E_j, q \text{ is an infinitely near point of some } p \in \Omega\}$ singularity on Ω .

Given any resolution of the singularity of $M\subset V$ as above, if $D\subset V$ is a divisor, such that

$$\pi_j^*(\cdots(\pi_2^*(\pi_1^*(D)-\delta_0 E_0)-\delta_1 E_1)-\cdots)-\delta_{j-1} E_{j-1}$$

is an effective divisor for all $j=1,2,\ldots,m+1$, then we say that D has a weak type $\delta=(\delta_j,X_j,E_j\mid j\in\{0,1,\ldots,m\})$ singularity. It is easy to see that a type μ singularity implies a weak type μ singularity.

Assume that $M \subset V$ has a type $\mu = (\mu_j, X_j, E_j \mid j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\})$ singularity. The following lemma describes the connection between the singularities of M and the canonical bundle of the desingularization \tilde{M} of M.

Lemma 2. A section of $K_V \otimes M$ with a weak type $\mu - 1 = (\mu_j - 1, X_j, E_j \mid j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\})$ singularity induces a section of $K_{\tilde{M}}$.

Proof. Proposition 1.1 in [X1]. q.e.d.

Definition. Let $T \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be an open neighborhood of the origin $0 \in T$. Assuming that $\sigma: M \longrightarrow T$ is a family of reduced equidimensional algebraic varieties, $M_t = \sigma^{-1}(t)$, then we say that the family M_t is μ -equisingular at t = 0 in the sense

that we can resolve the singularity of M_t simultaneously, that is, there is a proper morphism $\pi: \tilde{M} \longrightarrow M$, so that $\sigma \circ \pi: \tilde{M} \longrightarrow T$ is a flat map and

$$\sigma \circ \pi : \tilde{M}_t = (\sigma \circ \pi)^{-1}(t) \longrightarrow M_t$$

is a resolution of the singularities of M_t . Moreover, if M_t has a type $\mu(t) = (\mu_j(t), X_j(t), E_j(t) \mid j \in \Gamma(t))$ singularity with the above resolution, then $\mu_j(t) = \mu_j$ and $\Gamma(t) = \Gamma$ are independent of t, and the exceptional divisors and the singular loci of the desingularization $\tilde{M}_t \longrightarrow M_t$ have the same configuration for all t.

Now we state a lemma concerning the local deformation theory of singular divisors.

Lemma 3. If $M_t = \{g_t(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = 0\}$ is a μ -equisingular family of varieties defined in an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, and M_t has a type $\mu(t)_{\Omega} = (\mu_j, X_j(t), E_j(t) \mid j \in \{0, \ldots, m\})$ singularity on Ω , then the variety $\{\frac{dg_t}{dt} \mid_{t=0} = 0\}$ has a weak type $\mu(0)_{\Omega} - 1 = (\mu_j - 1, X_j(0), E_j(0) \mid j \in \{0, \ldots, m\})$ singularity on Ω .

Proof. Lemma 4.4 in [X1]. q.e.d.

Let $\{Z_i\}$ be some homogeneous coordinates of \mathbf{P}^n , $F \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(r))$ and $G \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(l))$ be homogeneous polynomials. We define

$$\frac{\partial(F,G)}{\partial(Z_i,Z_j)} = \det \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z_i} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z_j} \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial Z_i} & \frac{\partial G}{\partial Z_j} \end{vmatrix}.$$

The next lemma tells us how to use deformation of singularities to produce special homogeneous polynomials.

Lemma 4. Let $F_{1,t} \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_1)), F_{2,t} \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_2)), G_t \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(k)),$ and $M_t = \{F_{1,t} = 0\} \cap \{F_{2,t} = 0\} \cap \{G_t = 0\}$ be a μ -equisingular family of varieties with a type $\mu(t) = (\mu_j, X_j(t), E_j(t) \mid j \in \Gamma)$ singularity. Setting

$$\frac{dF_{1,t}}{dt}\mid_{t=0} = F'_1, \quad \frac{dF_{2,t}}{dt}\mid_{t=0} = F'_2, \quad \frac{dG_t}{dt}\mid_{t=0} = G',$$

and assuming that both the varieties $\{F_{i,t}=0\}$ (i=1,2) and $\{F_{1,t}=0\}\cap\{F_{2,t}=0\}$ are smooth for t in a neighborhood of 0. Then the divisor

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} G' - \frac{\partial(G_0, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} F'_1 - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, G_0)}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} F'_2 = 0 \right\}$$

(i, j = 0, 1, ..., n) on $V = \{F_{1,0} = 0\} \cap \{F_{2,0} = 0\}$ has a weak type $\mu(0) - 1 = (\mu_j - 1, X_j(0), E_j(0) \mid j \in \Gamma)$ singularity, where $\{Z_0, Z_1, \cdots, Z_n\}$ are homogeneous coordinates of \mathbf{P}^n .

Proof. For any point $P \in M_0$, we can find an open set $\Omega \ni P$ of V, and generic homogeneous coordinates $\{Z_i'\}$ with

$$Z'_i = \sum_{j=0}^n l_{ij} Z_j \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n),$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i', Z_j')} \neq 0$$

on Ω for all $i \neq j$ $(i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n)$. Assuming M_0 has a type $\mu_{\Omega}(0) = (\mu_j, X_j(0), E_j(0) | j \in \Gamma_{\Omega})$ singularity on Ω . Denoting

$$\{z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n\} = \left\{\frac{Z'_1}{Z'_0}, \frac{Z'_2}{Z'_0}, \cdots, \frac{Z'_n}{Z'_0}\right\},$$

if we solve the equation

$$F_{1,t}(1, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = 0, \quad F_{2,t}(1, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = 0$$

near the point P(t), where P(0) = P, and get

$$z_1 = \varphi_{1,t}(z_3, \cdots, z_n), \quad z_2 = \varphi_{2,t}(z_3, \cdots, z_n),$$

then on some open set of \mathbb{C}^{n-2} , M_t is a μ -equisingular family of divisors locally defined by the equation

$$G_t(1, \varphi_{1,t}, \varphi_{2,t}, z_3, \cdots, z_n) = 0.$$

By Lemma 3, the divisor locally defined by the equation

$$\frac{dG_t}{dt}(1, \varphi_{1,t}(z_3, \dots, z_n), \varphi_{2,t}(z_3, \dots, z_n), z_3, \dots, z_n) \mid_{t=0} = 0$$

on Ω has a weak type $\mu_{\Omega}(0) - 1 = (\mu_j - 1, X_j(0), E_j(0) \mid j \in \Gamma_{\Omega})$ singularity. Now a detailed computation shows that

$$\frac{dG_t}{dt}(1,\varphi_{1,t},\varphi_{2,t},z_3,\cdots,z_n)|_{t=0} = G' + \frac{\partial G_0}{\partial Z_1'} \frac{d\varphi_{1,t}}{dt}|_{t=0} + \frac{\partial G_0}{\partial Z_2'} \frac{d\varphi_{2,t}}{dt}|_{t=0}
= \left\{ \frac{\partial (F_{1,0},F_{2,0})}{\partial (Z_1',Z_2')} \right\}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\partial (F_{1,0},F_{2,0})}{\partial (Z_1',Z_2')} G' - \frac{\partial (G_0,F_{2,0})}{\partial (Z_1',Z_2')} F_1' - \frac{\partial (F_{1,0},G_0)}{\partial (Z_1',Z_2')} F_2' \right\}.$$

Then the divisor

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_1', Z_2')} G' - \frac{\partial(G_0, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_1', Z_2')} F_1' - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, G_0)}{\partial(Z_1', Z_2')} F_2' = 0 \right\}$$

has a weak type $\mu_{\Omega}(0) - 1$ singularity on Ω . Similarly, the divisor

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i', Z_i')} G' - \frac{\partial(G_0, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i', Z_i')} F_1' - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, G_0)}{\partial(Z_i', Z_i')} F_2' = 0 \right\} \qquad (i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n)$$

has a weak type $\mu_{\Omega}(0) - 1$ singularity on Ω . Finally, since the expression

$$\frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)}G' - \frac{\partial(G_0, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)}F_1' - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, G_0)}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)}F_2'$$

is a linear combination of expressions

$$\frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_s', Z_l')}G' - \frac{\partial(G_0, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_s', Z_l')}F_1' - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, G_0)}{\partial(Z_s', Z_l')}F_2', \quad (s, l = 0, 1, \dots, n)$$

and weak type $\mu_{\Omega}(0) - 1$ singularity is additive (cf. section 1 in [X1]), we conclude that the divisor

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} G' - \frac{\partial(G_0, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} F'_1 - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, G_0)}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} F'_2 = 0 \right\}$$

has a weak type $\mu_{\Omega}(0) - 1$ singularity on Ω , hence it has a weak type $\mu(0) - 1$ singularity on V. q.e.d.

Remark. In general, if

$$V_t = \{F_{1,t} = 0\} \cap \{F_{2,t} = 0\} \cap \dots \cap \{F_{m,t} = 0\}$$

is a complete intersection of m hypersurfaces, and $M_t^* = V_t \cap \{G_t = 0\}$ is a μ -equisingular family of divisors. Then one can state and prove an analogy of Lemma 4 with the divisor

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} G' - \frac{\partial(G_0, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} F'_1 - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, G_0)}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} F'_2 = 0 \right\}$$

replaced by a divisor of the form

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0}, F_{3,0}, \cdots, F_{m,0})}{\partial(Z_{i_1}, Z_{i_2}, Z_{i_3}, \cdots, Z_{i_m})} G' - \frac{\partial(G_0, F_{2,0}, F_{3,0}, \cdots, F_{m,0})}{\partial(Z_{i_1}, Z_{i_2}, Z_{i_3}, \cdots, Z_{i_m})} F'_1 \right. \\
\left. - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, G_0, F_{3,0}, \cdots, F_{m,0})}{\partial(Z_{i_1}, Z_{i_2}, Z_{i_3}, \cdots, Z_{i_m})} F'_2 - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0}, G_0, \cdots, F_{m,0})}{\partial(Z_{i_1}, Z_{i_2}, Z_{i_3}, \cdots, Z_{i_m})} F'_3 \right. \\
\left. - \cdots - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0}, F_{3,0}, \cdots, G_0)}{\partial(Z_{i_1}, Z_{i_2}, Z_{i_3}, \cdots, Z_{i_m})} F'_m = 0 \right\},$$

here $i_1, \dots, i_m = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $V = \{F_1 = 0\} \cap \{F_2 = 0\} \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ be a complete intersection of generic hypersurfaces $\{F_1 = 0\}$ and $\{F_2 = 0\}$ of degree d_1 and d_2 . By our assumption $m \leq n-3$, that is dim $V \geq 3$, we know that Pic $V = \mathbb{Z}$ and it is generated by $\mathcal{O}_V(1)$, thanks to the Lefschetz theorem. Now if $M \subset V$ is a reduced and irreducible divisor, then it is a complete intersection of V with another hypersurface $\{G = 0\}$ of degree k. Here F_1, F_2 and G are homogeneous polynomials.

Proposition 5. Let V be a complete intersection of m generic hypersurfaces of degree d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m in \mathbf{P}^n , and $M \subset V$ a reduced and irreducible divisor. Assume that $d = d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_m \geq n + 2$, $1 \leq m \leq n - 3$ and $d_i \geq 2$ for all i. Then there is a desingularization $\sigma : \tilde{M} \to M$ of M, and we have

$$\dim H^0(\tilde{M}, K_{\tilde{M}} \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}(-(d-n-2))) \ge n-1.$$

Remark. This is an improvement of an early result of L. Ein [E2] which states that

$$H^0(\tilde{M}, K_{\tilde{M}} \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}(-(d-n-2))) \neq 0.$$

Assuming Proposition 5, now we can give the

Proof of Theorem 1. (1) If $d \ge n+2$, then $H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(d-n-2)) \ne 0$, by Proposition 5,

dim
$$H^0(\tilde{M}, K_{\tilde{M}} \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}(-d+n+2)) \ge n-1$$
.

Hence we have

$$p_g(M) = \dim H^0(\tilde{M}, K_{\tilde{M}})$$

$$\geq \dim H^0(\tilde{M}, K_{\tilde{M}} \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}(-d+n+2)) + \dim H^0(\tilde{M}, \sigma^* \mathcal{O}(d-n-2)) - 1$$

$$\geq n-1,$$

thanks to Hopf's theorem.

(2) If
$$d > n + 2$$
, then $d - n - 2 \ge 1$. From

$$\dim H^0(\tilde{M}, K_{\tilde{M}} \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}(-(d-n-2))) \ge n-1 > 0,$$

we conclude that M is of general type. q.e

We now begin the proof of Proposition 5. For simplicity of notation, we will assume that m=2.

Assume the contrary; namely, for any generic complete intersection of 2 hypersurfaces of degree d_1, d_2 , there is a reduced and irreducible divisor on it with

$$\dim H^0(\tilde{M}, K_{\tilde{M}} \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}(-(d-n-2))) < n-1.$$

Set

$$B = \{ \{F_1, F_2\} \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_1)) \times H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_2)) | \text{ both varieties}$$

$$\{F_i = 0\} (i = 1, 2) \text{ and } \{F_1 = 0\} \cap \{F_2 = 0\} \text{ are smooth} \},$$

$$A_k = \{ \{F_1, F_2, G\} \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_1)) \times H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_2)) \times H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(k)) |$$

$$\{F_1, F_2\} \in B, M = \{G = 0\} \cap V \text{ is a reduced and irreducible divisor on}$$

$$V = \{F_1 = 0\} \cap \{F_2 = 0\}, \text{ dim } H^0(\tilde{M}, K_{\tilde{M}} \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}(-(d - n - 2))) < n - 1\}.$$

Then the map

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \to B$$

is dominant by assumption. Hence the map $A_k \to B$ is dominant for some k. Therefore at some regular point $\{F_1, F_2\}$ of B, we can find a smooth section $B \to A_k$, that is, there is a triple

$$\{F_1, F_2, G\} \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_1)) \times H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_2)) \times H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(k)),$$

which has the following property: both varieties $\{F_i = 0\}$ (i = 1, 2) and $V = \{F_1 = 0\} \cap \{F_2 = 0\}$ are smooth, the divisor $M = V \cap \{G = 0\}$ is reduced and irreducible,

and for any deformation $F_{1,t}$ of $F_1 = F_{1,0}$ and $F_{2,t}$ of $F_2 = F_{2,0}$, there is a unique deformation G_t of $G = G_0$, so that the divisor

$$M_t = \{F_{1,t} = 0\} \cap \{F_{2,t} = 0\} \cap \{G_t = 0\}$$

on $\{F_{1,t}=0\}\cap \{F_{2,t}=0\}$ has

$$\dim H^0(\tilde{M}_t, K_{\tilde{M}_t} \otimes \sigma_t^* \mathcal{O}(-(d-n-2))) < n-1.$$

Here $\sigma_t^*: \tilde{M}_t \to M_t$ is a desingularization of M_t . Moreover, we can assume that the family M_t is μ -equisingular, and M_t has a type $\mu(t) = (\mu_j, X_j(t), E_j(t) \mid j \in \Gamma)$ singularity.

Let $\{Z_i\}$ be fixed homogeneous coordinates of \mathbf{P}^n . By Lemma 4, for any deformation $F_1' \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_1))$ of F_1 and $F_2' \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_2))$ of F_2 , there is a unique deformation $G' \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(k))$ of G, so that the divisor

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} G' - \frac{\partial(G_0, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} F'_1 - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, G_0)}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} F'_2 = 0 \right\} \quad (i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n)$$

on $V=\{F_{1,0}=0\}\cap \{F_{2,0}=0\}=\{F_1=0\}\cap \{F_2=0\}$ has a weak type $\mu(0)-1=(\mu_j-1,X_j(0),E_j(0)\mid j\in\Gamma)$ singularity. Denote $G'=\Phi(F_1',F_2')$. Then we have a map

$$\Phi: H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_1)) \times H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_2)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(k))/(F_1, F_2, G),$$

here (F_1, F_2, G) is the ideal generated by F_1, F_2, G .

Lemma 6. Φ is linear in $F_1, F_2 \mod (F_1, F_2, G)$.

Proof. Otherwise, since

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial(F_{1,0},F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_{i},Z_{j})}(\Phi(aF'_{1}+b\tilde{F}'_{1},F'_{2})-a\Phi(F'_{1},0)-b\Phi(\tilde{F}'_{1},0)-\Phi(0,F'_{2}))\\ &=\Big\{\frac{\partial(F_{1,0},F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_{i},Z_{j})}\Phi(aF'_{1}+b\tilde{F}'_{1},F'_{2})-\frac{\partial(G_{0},F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_{i},Z_{j})}(aF'_{1}+b\tilde{F}'_{1})-\frac{\partial(F_{1,0},G_{0})}{\partial(Z_{i},Z_{j})}F'_{2}\Big\}\\ &-a\Big\{\frac{\partial(F_{1,0},F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_{i},Z_{j})}\Phi(F'_{1},0)-\frac{\partial(G_{0},F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_{i},Z_{j})}F'_{1}\Big\}\\ &-b\Big\{\frac{\partial(F_{1,0},F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_{i},Z_{j})}\Phi(\tilde{F}'_{1},0)-\frac{\partial(G_{0},F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_{i},Z_{j})}\tilde{F}'_{1}\Big\}\\ &-\Big\{\frac{\partial(F_{1,0},F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_{i},Z_{j})}\Phi(0,F'_{2})-\frac{\partial(F_{1,0},G_{0})}{\partial(Z_{i},Z_{j})}F'_{2}\Big\}, \end{split}$$

and for any point $P \in V$,

$$\frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)}(P) \neq 0$$

for some i, j. By Lemma 4 and the additivity of weak type $\mu(0)-1$ singularity, the divisor

$$\{\Phi(aF_1' + b\tilde{F}_1', F_2') - a\Phi(F_1', 0) - b\Phi(\tilde{F}_1', 0) - \Phi(0, F_2')\} = 0\}$$

will have a weak type $\mu(0) - 1 = (\mu_j - 1, X_j(0), E_j(0)|j \in \Gamma)$ singularity on V. On the other hand, by the adjunction formula, we have

$$K_V \otimes M = \mathcal{O}(d+k-n-1).$$

If Φ is not linear mod (F_1, F_2, G) , then

$$\Phi(aF_1' + b\tilde{F}_1', F_2') - a\Phi(F_1', 0) - b\Phi(\tilde{F}_1', 0) - \Phi(0, F_2')$$

will generate a section of $K_{\tilde{M}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-(d-n-2)-1)$ by Lemma 2, which will imply that

dim
$$H^0(\tilde{M}, K_{\tilde{M}} \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}(-(d-n-2))) \ge n-1$$

because dim $H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(1)) \geq n-1$. Here we use the fact that deg $F_i = d_i \geq 2$. q.e.d.

Let $\{Y_i\}$ be another homogeneous coordinate of \mathbf{P}^n . Now we take a special deformation $F_1' = Y_pU$ $(p = 0, 1, \dots, n)$ of F_1 with $U \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_1 - 1))$. Since

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial(F_{1,0},F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_{i},Z_{j})}(Y_{p}\Phi(Y_{q}U,0)-Y_{q}\Phi(Y_{p}U,0))\\ &=Y_{p}\Big(\frac{\partial(F_{1,0},F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_{i},Z_{j})}\Phi(Y_{q}U,0)-\frac{\partial(G_{0},F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_{i},Z_{j})}Y_{q}U\Big)\\ &-Y_{q}\Big(\frac{\partial(F_{1,0},F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_{i},Z_{i})}\Phi(Y_{p}U,0)-\frac{\partial(G_{0},F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_{i},Z_{i})}Y_{p}U\Big), \end{split}$$

by Lemma 4 we conclude that the divisor $\{Y_p\Phi(Y_qU,0) - Y_q\Phi(Y_pU,0) = 0\}$ on V has a weak type $\mu(0) - 1$ singularity.

Lemma 7. If dim $H^0(\tilde{M}, K_{\tilde{M}} \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}(-(d-n-2))) < n-1$, then there is a linear map

$$\Phi_1: H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_1-1)) \times H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_2-1)) \to H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(k-1))/(F_1, F_2, G),$$

so that for any $U \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_1-1))$, and $W \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_2-1))$, the divisor

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} \Phi_1(U, W) - \frac{\partial(G_0, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} U - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, G_0)}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} W = 0 \right\}$$

 $(i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n)$ on V has a weak type $\mu(0) - 1$ singularity.

Proof. Let $Y, H \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$ be 2 hyperplanes, and $U \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_1 - 1))$ be a fixed polynomial. By the argument before Lemma 7 (choose $Y_p = Y, Y_q = H$), we know that the divisor $\{Y\Phi(HU, 0) - H\Phi(YU, 0) = 0\}$ on V has a weak type $\mu(0) - 1$ singularity. Since we have

$$K_V \otimes M = \mathcal{O}(d+k-n-1),$$

and $Y\Phi(HU,0) - H\Phi(YU,0) \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(k+1))$, if

$$Y\Phi(HU,0) - H\Phi(YU,0) \not\equiv 0$$

on M, that is $Y\Phi(HU,0) - H\Phi(YU,0) \not\in (F_1,F_2,G)$, then it will induce a section of $K_{\tilde{M}} \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}(-(d-n-2))$ by Lemma 2. Denote

$$\Lambda_H = \{Y | Y\Phi(HU, 0) - H\Phi(YU, 0) \in (F_1, F_2, G)\} \subset H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)).$$

The linearity of Φ implies that Λ_H is a linear subspace of $H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$. We conclude that dim $\Lambda_H \geq 2$ by our assumption that

dim
$$H^0(\tilde{M}, K_{\tilde{M}} \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}(-(d-n-2))) \leq n-2$$
.

Hence there is a nontrivial hyperplane $Y_H \in \Lambda_H$ such that

$$Y_H \not\in (H, F_1, F_2, G),$$

thanks to the fact that $\deg F_i \geq 2$.

Let $\sigma: \tilde{M} \to M$ be a desingularization of M. Then the linear system $|\sigma^*\mathcal{O}(1)|$ on \tilde{M} is base point free. Since dim $M = \dim V - 1 \geq 2$, and M is reduced and irreducible, Bertini's theorem implies that the generic hyperplane section of \tilde{M} is irreducible. Therefore we can choose a generic hyperplane H, so that $H \cap M$ is irreducible and reduced. By our construction of Y_H , we have

$$Y_H \Phi(HU, 0) - H\Phi(Y_HU, 0) \in (F_1, F_2, G),$$

that is $Y_H\Phi(HU,0) \in (H,F_1,F_2,G)$. The fact that $Y_H \notin (H,F_1,F_2,G)$ and that $H \cap M$ is irreducible now gives us $\Phi(HU,0) \in (H,F_1,F_2,G)$. Therefore,

$$\Phi(HU,0) = HU^* \mod (F_1, F_2, G)$$

for some $U^* \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(k-1))$, and U^* is unique mod (F_1, F_2, G) because M is reduced and irreducible. Similarly, for any $W \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_2-1))$, there is a $W^* \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(k-1))$, such that

$$\Phi(0, HW) = HW^* \mod (F_1, F_2, G).$$

Now we define

$$\Phi_1(U, W) = U^* + W^* \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(k-1))/(F_1, F_2, G),$$

then Φ_1 is independent of the choice of the generic hyperplane H. From Lemma 4, we know that the divisor

$$\left\{\frac{\partial(F_{1,0},F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i,Z_j)}\Phi(HU,HW) - \frac{\partial(G_0,F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i,Z_j)}HU - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0},G_0)}{\partial(Z_i,Z_j)}HW = 0\right\}$$

on V has a weak type $\mu(0) - 1$ singularity. Using the fact that

$$\Phi(HU, HW) = \Phi(HU, 0) + \Phi(0, HW) = H\Phi_1(U, W) \mod (F_1, F_2, G),$$

we find that the divisor

$$\left\{ H(\frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} \Phi_1(U, W) - \frac{\partial(G_0, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} U - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, G_0)}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} W) = 0 \right\}$$

on V has a weak type $\mu(0) - 1$ singularity. Therefore we know that the divisor

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} \Phi_1(U, W) - \frac{\partial(G_0, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} U - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, G_0)}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} W = 0 \right\}$$

on V has a weak type $\mu(0) - 1$ singularity if we choose the generic hyperplane H such that it is in general position with respect to the singular locus of M. Again, we may assume that Φ_1 to be linear mod (F_1, F_2, G) as we did for Φ . q.e.d.

We continue the proof of Theorem 1. If

$$\dim H^0(\tilde{M}, K_{\tilde{M}} \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}(-(d-n-2))) < n-1,$$

we can repeat the argument in the proof of Lemma 7 again on the triple

$$(U, W, \Phi_1(U, W)) \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_1 - 1)) \times H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_2 - 1)) \times H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(k - 1))$$

instead of the triple

$$(F'_1, F'_2, \Phi(F'_1, F'_2)) \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_1)) \times H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d_2)) \times H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(k)),$$

and using Lemma 7 instead of Lemma 4. After repeating this process for several times, eventually we arrive at the following situation.

Case (1). $d_1 \leq k$ and $d_2 \leq k$. There are

$$R_{ij} \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(k-d_1))$$
 and $S_{ij} \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(k-d_2)),$

so that both the divisor

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} R_{ij} - \frac{\partial(G_0, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} \cdot 1 = 0 \right\}$$

and the divisor

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} S_{ij} - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, G_0)}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} \cdot 1 = 0 \right\}$$

on V have weak type $\mu(0) - 1$ singularities. Moreover,

$$R_{ij} \equiv R, S_{ij} \equiv S \mod (F_1, F_2, G)$$

are independent of i, j, because we assume that the deformation $G' = \Phi(F'_1, F'_2)$ is unique for given F'_1, F'_2 (the reason is the same as we assume that Φ is linear).

Consider the following linear equation

$$\alpha \frac{\partial F_{1,0}}{\partial Z_i} + \beta \frac{\partial F_{2,0}}{\partial Z_i} = \frac{\partial G_0}{\partial Z_i} - \frac{\partial F_{1,0}}{\partial Z_i} R - \frac{\partial F_{2,0}}{\partial Z_i} S,$$

$$\alpha \frac{\partial F_{1,0}}{\partial Z_j} + \beta \frac{\partial F_{2,0}}{\partial Z_j} = \frac{\partial G_0}{\partial Z_j} - \frac{\partial F_{1,0}}{\partial Z_j} R - \frac{\partial F_{2,0}}{\partial Z_j} S.$$

When we solve this equation, we get

$$\frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} \alpha = \frac{\partial(G_0, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} \cdot 1 - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} R,$$

$$\frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} \beta = \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, G_0)}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} \cdot 1 - \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} S.$$

Hence the divisor

$$\Big\{\frac{\partial(F_{1,0},F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i,Z_j)}(\frac{\partial G_0}{\partial Z_i}-\frac{\partial F_{1,0}}{\partial Z_i}R-\frac{\partial F_{2,0}}{\partial Z_i}S)=0\Big\}$$

on V has a weak type $\mu(0) - 1$ singularity. For any point $P \in V$, we can choose generic homogeneous coordinates so that

$$\frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} \neq 0$$

near P for all $i \neq j$. Then the divisor

$$\left\{\frac{\partial G}{\partial Z_i} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Z_i}R - \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial Z_i}S = 0\right\} = \left\{\frac{\partial G_0}{\partial Z_i} - \frac{\partial F_{1,0}}{\partial Z_i}R - \frac{\partial F_{2,0}}{\partial Z_i}S = 0\right\}$$

has a weak type $\mu(0) - 1$ singularity in a neighborhood of P. Now let $\{Y_i\}$ be another homogeneous coordinate of \mathbf{P}^n . Since

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial Y_j} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Y_j} R - \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial Y_j} S$$

is a linear combination of expressions

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial Z_i} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Z_i} R - \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial Z_i} S \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n)$$

and weak type $\mu(0) - 1$ singularity is additive, we conclude that the divisor

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial G}{\partial Y_i} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Y_i} R - \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial Y_i} S = 0 \right\}$$

on V has a weak type $\mu(0) - 1$ singularity. If

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial Y_i} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Y_i} R - \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial Y_i} S = 0 \mod(F_1, F_2, G)$$

for all j, then

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial Y_j} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Y_j} R - \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial Y_j} S = 0 \quad \text{ mod } (F_1, F_2)$$

because it's degree k-1 < k, and the Euler equation will imply that $G \in (F_1, F_2)$, which is impossible.

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial Y_j} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Y_j} R - \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial Y_j} S \not\in (F_1, F_2, G)$$

for some j, that is,

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial Y_j} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Y_j} R - \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial Y_j} S \not\equiv 0$$

on M. Now we can choose

$$H_1, \dots, H_{n-1} \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)),$$

so that H_i generates a linear subspace of dimension n-1 and G is not there (in case deg G=1). Then

$$\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial Y_i} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Y_i}R - \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial Y_i}S\right)H_1H_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1)$$

will induce n-1 linear independent sections of $K_{\tilde{M}} \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}(-(d-n-2))$ by Lemma 2. A contradiction.

Case (2). $d_1 \leq k < d_2$. Then Lemma 7 implies that the divisor

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial(F_{1,0}, G_0)}{\partial(Z_i, Z_j)} = 0 \right\}$$

on V has a weak type $\mu(0) - 1$ singularity. The argument in case (1) (take S = 0) shows that for some j, the divisor

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial G}{\partial Y_j} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Y_j} R = 0 \right\}$$

on V has a weak type $\mu(0) - 1$ singularity, and it is nontrivial on M. Again we get

dim
$$H^0(\tilde{M}, K_{\tilde{M}} \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}(-(d-n-2))) \ge n-1$$
.

Case (3). $d_1 > k$ and $d_2 > k$. This time, we conclude that both divisors

$$\left\{\frac{\partial(F_{1,0},G_0)}{\partial(Z_i,Z_j)}=0\right\}\quad\text{ and }\quad \left\{\frac{\partial(G_0,F_{2,0})}{\partial(Z_i,Z_j)}=0\right\}$$

on V have weak type $\mu(0)-1$ singularities. The argument in case (1) (take R=S=0) shows that for some j, the divisor $\{\frac{\partial G}{\partial Y_j}=0\}$ on V has a weak type $\mu(0)-1$ singularity, and it is nontrivial on M. We conclude again that

dim
$$H^0(\tilde{M}, K_{\tilde{M}} \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}(-(d-n-2))) \ge n-1.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 7.

References

- [CKM] H. Clemens, J. Kóllar and S. Mori, Higher Dimensional Complex Geometry, Asterisque, 1988. MR 90j:14046
- [CR] M.C. Chang and Z. Ran, Divisors on some generic hypersurfaces, J. Diff. Geom. 38 (1993), 671–678. MR 95e:14031
- [E1] L. Ein, Subvarieties of generic complete intersection, Invent. Math. 94 (1988), 163–169. MR 89i:14002
- [E2] L. Ein, Subvarieties of generic complete intersections II, Math. Ann. 289 (1991), no. 3, 465–471. MR 92h:14002
- [H] H. Hironaka, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero, Annals of Math. 79 (1964), 109–203, 205–326. MR 33:7333
- [L] S. Lang, Hyperbolic and diophantine analysis, Bull. of A.M.S. 14 (1986), 159–205. MR
 87h:32051
- [X1] G. Xu, Subvarieties of general hypersurfaces in projective space, J. Diff. Geom. 39 (1994), 139–172. MR 95d:14043
- [X2] G. Xu, Divisors on hypersurfaces, Math. Zeitschrift 219 (1995), 581–589.

Department of Mathematics, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218 $E\text{-}mail\ address$: geng@math.jhu.edu