

## A CLASSIFICATION AND EXAMPLES OF RANK ONE CHAIN DOMAINS

H. H. BRUNGS AND N. I. DUBROVIN

**ABSTRACT.** A chain order of a skew field  $D$  is a subring  $R$  of  $D$  so that  $d \in D \setminus R$  implies  $d^{-1} \in R$ . Such a ring  $R$  has rank one if  $J(R)$ , the Jacobson radical of  $R$ , is its only nonzero completely prime ideal. We show that a rank one chain order of  $D$  is either invariant, in which case  $R$  corresponds to a real-valued valuation of  $D$ , or  $R$  is nearly simple, in which case  $R$ ,  $J(R)$  and  $(0)$  are the only ideals of  $R$ , or  $R$  is exceptional in which case  $R$  contains a prime ideal  $Q$  that is not completely prime. We use the group  $\mathcal{M}(R)$  of divisorial  $R$ -ideals of  $D$  with the subgroup  $\mathcal{H}(R)$  of principal  $R$ -ideals to characterize these cases. The exceptional case subdivides further into infinitely many cases depending on the index  $k$  of  $\mathcal{H}(R)$  in  $\mathcal{M}(R)$ . Using the covering group  $\mathbb{G}$  of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  and the result that the group ring  $T\mathbb{G}$  is embeddable into a skew field for  $T$  a skew field, examples of rank one chain orders are constructed for each possible exceptional case.

### INTRODUCTION

A subring  $R$  of a skew field  $D$  is called total if  $d$  in  $D$  and  $d$  not in  $R$  implies that the inverse  $d^{-1}$  is contained in  $R$ . It follows that for such rings  $R$  the lattice of right ideals as well as the lattice of left ideals is linearly ordered by inclusion;  $R$  is a chain domain. Conversely, any chain domain  $R$  is Ore and is a total subring of its skew field of quotients  $D$ . The total subrings of fields are exactly valuation rings, corresponding to valuation functions into linearly ordered groups. In particular, if we take nontrivial subgroups  $G$  of the additive group  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \leq)$  of the reals as value groups, then we obtain the commutative valuation rings of rank one. Such a ring can also be characterized as a maximal subring of a field, or as a valuation ring with exactly one nonzero prime ideal. In the non-commutative case we must distinguish between prime ideals and completely prime ideals: An ideal  $B \neq R$  of a ring  $R$  is prime if  $I_1 I_2 \subseteq B$  implies  $I_1 \subseteq B$  or  $I_2 \subseteq B$  for ideals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  of  $R$ . If  $ab \in B$  implies  $a \in B$  or  $b \in B$  for elements  $a, b$  in  $R$ , then  $B$  is called completely prime. A total subring  $R$  of a skew field  $D$  will be called a chain domain of rank one if  $R$  has exactly one nonzero completely prime ideal. This ideal will then be  $J(R)$ , the Jacobson radical of  $R$ .

---

Received by the editors April 10, 2002 and, in revised form, October 9, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16L30, 16K40, 16W60; Secondary 20F29, 20F60.

*Key words and phrases.* Exceptional chain domains, skew field, valuation, cone, covering group. The first author was supported by NSERC.

The second author was supported by RFBR and DFG (grant no. 98-01-04110).

We prove in Theorem 1.9 that a rank one chain domain  $R$  is either invariant, i.e., all one-sided ideals are two-sided, or it is nearly simple in which case  $R$ ,  $J(R)$ , and  $(0)$  are its only ideals, or  $R$  is exceptional in which case  $R$  contains a prime ideal that is not completely prime. The exceptional rank one chain domains are classified further with the help of the group  $\mathcal{M}(R)$  of divisorial  $R$ -ideals and the subgroup  $\mathcal{H}(R)$  of  $\mathcal{M}(R)$  of principal  $R$ -ideals. The lattice of two-sided  $R$ -ideals is then determined by the index  $k$  of  $\mathcal{H}(R)$  in  $\mathcal{M}(R)$ , and we say that  $R$  is exceptional of type  $(C_k)$ .

These results are proved in the more general case of cones  $P$  in groups  $G$  where a cone  $P$  of  $G$  is a subsemigroup of  $G$  so that  $g \in G \setminus P$  implies  $g^{-1} \in P$ .

That rank one chain domains are either invariant, nearly simple or exceptional was proved in [4]. Invariant rank one chain orders of  $D$  correspond to valuation functions from  $D^*$  into  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \leq)$ . Nearly simple chain domains were constructed in [8], [16], [5] and [3]. The construction of exceptional rank one chain domains, however, appeared to be elusive even though Posner in [19] hinted that such rings might exist, and the classification of hypercyclic rings by Osofsky in [18] is complete only if such rings do not exist. I. N. Herstein had considered the problem and this existence problem was also encountered in [14]. We construct in this paper exceptional rank one chain domains of any type  $(C_k)$ : Theorem 4.4 and Corollary 4.6. We do this by first constructing exceptional cones  $P_k$  of type  $(C_k)$  in subgroups  $H_k$  of the universal covering group  $\mathbb{G}$  of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , Theorem 3.8, and then apply Dubrovin's result in [11], where he constructs an exceptional rank one chain ring of type  $(C_1)$  associated with a cone  $\mathbb{P}$  in  $\mathbb{G}$ .

## 1. CHAIN DOMAINS AND CONES

**1.1. Basic properties.** A ring  $R$  is a *right chain ring*, if the set of all right ideals of  $R$  is linearly ordered with respect to inclusion. Left chain rings and chain rings are defined similarly. A chain domain  $R$  has a classical skew field of quotients  $D$  and can therefore be considered as a total subring of  $D$  ([7]).

A subsemigroup  $P$  of a group  $G$  is called a *cone* of  $G$  if  $G = P \cup P^{-1}$  and  $P$  is a *pure cone* if in addition  $P \cap P^{-1} = \{e\}$ . There is a close connection between cones  $P$  in a group  $G$  and right or left orders: if  $P$  is a cone of  $G$  and  $a, b \in G$ , then  $\leq_\ell$  defined by  $a \leq_\ell b$  if and only if  $a^{-1}b \in P$  defines a left preorder, and  $a \leq_r b$  if and only if  $ba^{-1} \in P$  defines a right preorder on  $G$ . The relations " $\leq_r$ " and " $\leq_\ell$ " are right orders and left orders on  $G$  respectively if and only if the cone  $P$  is pure. Finally, if  $P$  is pure, then the right order defined by  $P$  agrees with the left order defined by  $P$  if and only if  $aP = Pa$  for all  $a$  in  $G$ , i.e.,  $P$  is invariant under all inner automorphisms of  $G$ . The group  $G$  is then linearly ordered.

Let  $P$  be a cone of a group  $G$ . A nonempty subset  $I$  of  $G$  is called a left  $P$ -ideal if  $PI \subseteq I$  and  $I \subseteq Pa$  for a suitable element  $a$  in  $G$ . The second condition is satisfied for any  $I \neq G$  provided  $I$  satisfies the first condition. If in addition  $I \subseteq P$ , we say  $I$  is a left ideal. Right  $P$ -ideals,  $P$ -ideals and right ideals and ideals are defined similarly. An ideal  $B$  of  $P$  is called a prime ideal if  $B \neq P$  and  $aPb \subseteq B$  implies  $a \in B$  or  $b \in B$  for  $a, b \in P$ . If  $ab \in B$  implies  $a \in B$  or  $b \in B$  for the ideal  $B \neq P$  of  $P$ , then  $B$  is called completely prime.

We collect elementary properties of a cone  $P$  in  $G$ . We can assume that  $P \neq G$ . Let  $U(P) = P \cap P^{-1}$ , the subgroup of units of  $P$ .

- a):**  $J(P) = P \setminus U(P)$  is the maximal right and the maximal left ideal of  $P$ ; it is the Jacobson radical of  $P$  and it is a completely prime ideal of  $P$ .
- b):** The set of right (left)  $P$ -ideals in  $G$  is linearly ordered with respect to inclusion. We define  $I_1 \leq I_2$  if and only if  $I_1 \supseteq I_2$  for right  $P$ -ideals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ .

To see this, one considers first principal right  $P$ -ideals  $aP$  and  $bP$  in  $G$ . Then either  $a^{-1}b \in P$  and  $bP \subseteq aP$  or  $b^{-1}a \in P$  and  $aP \subseteq bP$ . If  $I_2 \not\subseteq I_1$ , then there exists  $a$  in  $I_2 \setminus I_1$  and  $I_1 \subset aP \subseteq I_2$  follows.

- c):** There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of cones  $P' \neq G$  in  $G$  that contain a cone  $P$  and the set of completely prime ideals  $B$  of  $P$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P \subseteq P' \subset G$  be cones in  $G$ . Then  $j' \in J(P')$  and  $j' \notin P$  implies  $j'^{-1} \in P$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $J(P') \subseteq J(P)$  and  $P' = P \cup (P \setminus J(P'))^{-1}$ . Conversely, if  $B \subseteq J(P)$  is a completely prime ideal in  $P$ , then  $P' = P \cup (P \setminus B)^{-1}$  is a cone  $\neq G$  in  $G$ .  $\square$

- d):** Let  $I$  be an ideal in  $P$  with  $I \neq P$  and  $Q = \bigcap I^n \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $Q$  is a completely prime ideal.

*Proof.* If  $c \in P \setminus Q$  and  $ca \in Q$  for some  $a$  in  $P$ , then there exists  $n_0$  with  $c \notin I^{n_0}$ . However, for any  $n$  there exist  $a_i, b_j \in I$  with  $ca = a_1 \dots a_{n_0} b_1 \dots b_n$ . Then  $a_1 \dots a_{n_0} = cd$  for some  $d$  in  $P$  and  $a = db_1 \dots b_n \in I^n$  follows. Hence,  $a \in Q$  and  $Q$  is a completely prime ideal.  $\square$

- e):** A  $P$ -ideal  $I$  will be right principal and left principal if and only if  $I = zP = Pz$  for some  $z \in G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I = z_1P = Pz_2$  with  $z_1, z_2 \in G$ . Then  $z_2 = z_1a$ ,  $z_1 = bz_2$  for some  $a, b \in P$ . Hence,  $bz_1a = z_1$ . Since  $I$  is an ideal, there exists  $b'$  in  $P$  with  $bz_1 = z_1b'$  and  $z_1 = z_1b'a$  follows. Therefore,  $b'a = 1$  and  $a \in U(P)$ , and  $Pz_2 = z_1P = z_1aP = z_2P$ .  $\square$

- f):** Let  $P$  be a cone in  $G$ . The set  $\mathcal{H}(P)$  of all principal  $P$ -ideals of  $G$  forms a group with ideal multiplication as the operation.  $\mathcal{H}(P)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \leq)$  if  $J(P)$  is the only completely prime ideal of  $P$ .

*Proof.* If  $I_1 = z_1P = Pz_1$  and  $I_2 = z_2P = Pz_2$ , then  $I_1I_2 = z_1Pz_2P = z_1z_2P$  and  $(z_1P)^{-1} = z_1^{-1}P$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{H}(P)$  is a group with  $P$  as identity. To prove the second statement let  $P \supset zP = Pz$ . Then  $\bigcap (zP)^n = \emptyset$  since otherwise  $\bigcap (zP)^n$  is a completely prime ideal  $\neq J(P)$  by d).  $\mathcal{H}(P)$  is therefore an ordered Archimedean group and the statement follows from Hölder's Theorem (see [13]).  $\square$

- g):** A right  $P$ -ideal  $I$  is a principal right  $P$ -ideal if and only if  $IJ(P) \neq I$ .

*Proof.* If  $I = zP$ , then  $zP \supset IJ(P) = zJ(P)$ . Conversely, if  $I$  is not principal as a right  $P$ -ideal, then for  $a \in I$  there exists  $b \in I$  with  $aP \subset bP$ ,  $a = bj \in IJ(P)$ ,  $j \in J(P)$ , and  $IJ(P) = I$ .  $\square$

We single out cones with the property in f):

**Definition 1.1.** A cone  $P$  of a group  $G$  has *rank one* if  $J(P)$  is the only completely prime ideal of  $P$ .

It follows from the definitions that a subring  $R$  of a skew field  $D$  is total if and only if the semigroup  $R^* = (R \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$  is a cone in the group  $D^*$ .

This relationship between a cone in a group and a chain domain is generalized in the next definition.

**Definition 1.2.** A total subring  $R$  in a skew field  $D$  is said to be *associated* with a cone  $P$  in a group  $G$  if the following conditions hold:

- i):  $G$  is a subgroup of  $D^*$ , the multiplicative group of  $D$ .
- ii): Every element  $d$  in  $D^*$  can be written as  $d = g_1 u_1 = u_2 g_2$  with  $g_1, g_2$  in  $G$  and  $u_1, u_2$  in  $U(R)$  so that  $P g_1 P = P g_2 P$ .
- iii):  $R \cap G = P$ .

We also say in this case that the cone  $P$  is associated with the chain domain  $R$ .

**Proposition 1.3.** Let the total subring  $R$  of the skew field  $D$  be associated with the cone  $P$  of the group  $G$ . Then:

- i):  $I_0 \rightarrow I_0 R$  defines an isomorphism from the lattice of right  $P$ -ideals to the lattice of nonzero right  $R$ -ideals. The inverse of this mapping assigns  $I \cap G$  to the nonzero right  $R$ -ideal  $I$ .
- ii): The correspondence defined in i) preserves the properties of being an ideal, a completely prime ideal, a prime ideal, and a principal right ideal.

*Proof.* i) If  $I_0$  is a right  $P$ -ideal, then two nonzero elements  $a, b$  in  $I_0 R$  have the form  $a = g_1 u_1, b = g_2 u_2$  for  $g_i \in I_0$  and  $u_i \in U(R)$ . We can assume that  $g_1 P \subseteq g_2 P$ , and  $g_1 = g_2 p, p \in P$  follows. Therefore,  $a \pm b = g_2(p u_1 \pm u_2) \in I_0 R$ ; this shows that  $I_0 R$  is a right  $R$ -ideal, since  $g I_0 \subseteq P \subseteq R$  for some  $g \in G \subseteq D$ . Further, if  $g \in I_0 R \cap G$  for a right  $P$ -ideal  $I_0$ , then  $g = h g' u$  for  $h \in I_0, g' \in P$  and  $u \in U(R)$ . It follows that  $h g' \in I_0$  and  $u \in U(R) \cap G = U(P)$ ; hence,  $g \in I_0$  and  $I_0 R \cap G = I_0$ . Similarly, one can show that  $I \cap G$  is a right  $P$ -ideal if  $I$  is a right  $R$ -ideal and that  $(I \cap G)R = I$ .

For ii) we only show that the right  $P$ -ideal  $I_0$  is a  $P$ -ideal if and only if  $I_0 R$  is an  $R$ -ideal. Let  $r \in R$  and  $h \in I_0$ , a  $P$ -ideal. Then  $r = p_1 u_1$  for  $p_1 \in P, u_1 \in U(R)$  and  $rh = p_1 u_1 h = p_1 k u_2$  for  $u_1 h = k u_2$  with  $u_2 \in U(R)$  and  $h, k \in G$ . By ii) of Definition 1.2 we have  $PhP = PkP$ ;  $k \in I_0$  follows and  $rh \in I_0 R$ , which shows that  $I_0 R$  is also a left  $R$ -module and then an  $R$ -ideal. Conversely, if  $I_0 R$  is an  $R$ -ideal for a right  $P$ -ideal  $I_0$ , then  $I_0 = I_0 R \cap G$  is a  $P$ -ideal.  $\square$

Some variations of the results in this section can be found in [12] and [6].

**1.2. Divisorial ideals.** We consider certain  $P$ -ideals for a cone  $P$  which will form a group in case  $P$  has rank one.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $P$  be a cone in a group  $G$ . The *divisorial closure*  $\widehat{I}$  of a right  $P$ -ideal  $I$  is the intersection of all principal right  $P$ -ideals containing  $I$ :

$$\widehat{I} = \bigcap_{hP \supseteq I} hP.$$

A right  $P$ -ideal  $I$  is called *divisorial* if  $\widehat{I} = I$ .

If we replace the cone  $P$  by a total subring  $R$ , we obtain the definition of the *divisorial closure* of a right  $R$ -ideal and of a *divisorial* right  $R$ -ideal. In addition, we assume that a divisorial right  $R$ -ideal is nonzero.

We collect a list of properties:

Let  $P$  be a cone in a group  $G$ ,  $I$  a  $P$ -right ideal. Then:

a):  $\widehat{I} \supseteq I$ ;

b):  $\widehat{\widehat{I}} = \widehat{I}$ ;

c):  $g\widehat{I} = g\widehat{I}$  for any  $g$  in  $G$ ;

d):  $I$  is non-divisorial if and only if  $J(P)$  is not a principal right ideal and there exists an element  $z$  in  $G$  with  $\widehat{I} = zP$  and  $I = zJ(P)$ . If, in addition,  $I$  is a  $P$ -ideal and  $\text{rank } P = 1$ , then  $\widehat{I} = zP = Pz$  and  $I = zJ(P) = J(P)z$ .

The properties a, b, and c follow directly from the definition. To prove d) we will write  $J$  instead of  $J(P)$  and assume that  $\widehat{I} \supset I$  and that  $z \in \widehat{I} \setminus I$ . Then  $\widehat{I} \supseteq zP \supset I$  and  $\widehat{I} = zP$  follows; then  $I = zJ$ , since  $zjP \supseteq I$  for some  $j \in J(P)$  leads to a contradiction. This also shows that  $J$  is not a principal right ideal. If  $J$  is not a principal right ideal, then  $cP \supseteq zJ$  implies  $z^{-1}cP \supseteq J$  and  $z^{-1}cP \supseteq P$ ,  $cP \supseteq zP$  for  $c, z \in G$ . This means that  $\widehat{I} = zP$  for  $I = zJ$  and hence  $\widehat{I} \supset I$ . If  $zP$  is a  $P$ -ideal, then certainly  $zJ$  is a  $P$ -ideal. Conversely, if  $zJ$  is an ideal, then  $zP$  is an ideal, since otherwise there is an  $a \in P$  and a  $j \in J$  with  $azj = z$ , a contradiction. Finally, we assume that  $\widehat{I} \neq I$  and  $I$  is a  $P$ -ideal and that  $P$  has rank one. Then  $\widehat{I} = zP$  and the left order  $O_\ell(I) = \{g \in G \mid g\widehat{I} \subseteq \widehat{I}\} \neq G$  contains the cone  $P$  as well as the cone  $zPz^{-1}$  both of which are maximal. It follows that  $P = zPz^{-1}$ ,  $Pz = zP = \widehat{I}$  and  $Jz = zJ = I$ .  $\square$

We list a property that was proved in the proof of d):

e):  $I$  is a  $P$ -ideal if and only if  $\widehat{I}$  is a  $P$ -ideal.

The next result shows that in the correspondence between right  $R$ -ideals and right  $P$ -ideals, divisorial right ideals correspond to each other if the chain domain  $R$  is associated with the cone  $P$ .

**Proposition 1.5.** *Let  $R$  be a total subring of the skew field  $D$  associated with the cone  $P$  in a group  $G$ . Then the right  $P$ -ideal  $I$  is divisorial if and only if the right  $R$ -ideal  $IR$  is divisorial.*

*Proof.* Assume  $I$  is divisorial, i.e.,  $I = \widehat{I} = \bigcap_{hP \supseteq I} hP$ . Then  $IR \subseteq hR$  for all  $h \in G$

with  $hP \supseteq I$ , and  $IR \subseteq \bigcap_{hP \supseteq I} hR$ . To show the reverse inclusion, let  $d \in \bigcap_{hP \supseteq I} hR$

for  $hP \supseteq I$  and  $d = hr_h = gm$  for  $g \in G$ ,  $m \in U(R)$ . Hence,  $g = hr_h m^{-1} \in hR \cap G = hP$  and  $g \in \bigcap_{hP \supseteq I} hP = I$ ,  $d \in IR$  follows. Now assume that  $A$  is a divisorial right  $R$ -ideal,  $A = \bigcap_{dR \supseteq A} dR$ . Any such  $d = gm$  for  $g \in G$ ,  $m \in U(R)$ . Hence,

$A \cap G = (\bigcap_{dR \supseteq A} dR) \cap G = \bigcap_{dR \supseteq A} (gR \cap G) = \bigcap_{dR \supseteq A} gP$ , which shows that  $A \cap G$  is divisorial and  $A \cap G$  is nonempty, since  $A$  is nonzero.  $\square$

For any subset  $I$  of a group  $G$  we define the following three subsets of  $G$ : the right order  $O_r(I) = \{g \in G \mid Ig \subseteq I\}$ , the left order  $O_\ell(I) = \{g \in G \mid gI \subseteq I\}$ , and the inverse  $I^{-1} = \{g \in G \mid IgI \subseteq I\}$ .

It follows that  $I^{-1} = \{g \in G \mid gI \subseteq O_r(I)\} = \{g \in G \mid Ig \subseteq O_\ell(I)\}$ .

We have the following two properties where  $P$  is a cone in the group  $G$ :

f): If  $I$  is a right  $P$ -ideal, then  $O_\ell(I)$  is a cone of  $G$  and  $O_r(I)$  is an over cone of  $P$ . Further,  $I$  is a right  $O_r(I)$ -ideal and a left  $O_\ell(I)$ -ideal, and  $I^{-1}$  is a right  $O_\ell(I)$ -ideal and a left  $O_r(I)$ -ideal.

For a proof we observe that for any  $g$  in  $G$  either  $gI \subseteq I$  and  $g \in O_\ell(I)$  or  $I \subset gI$  and  $g^{-1} \in O_\ell(I)$ . The rest of the statements follow immediately.

**g):**  $O_r(J(P)) = O_\ell(J(P)) = P$ , and  $J(P)^2 \neq J(P)$  implies that  $J(P) = zP = Pz$  for some  $z \in P$ .

The first statement follows from Property c) in Section 1.1 since  $O_r(J(P)) \supset P$  implies that  $j^{-1}J(P) \subseteq J(P)$  for some  $j \in J(P)$ . Hence,  $J(P) \subseteq jJ(P)$ , a contradiction that shows  $O_r(J(P)) = P$  and similarly  $O_\ell(J(P)) = P$ .

The second statement follows from Property g) in Section 1.1, its left symmetric version, and Property e) in Section 1.1.  $\square$

Even though one can consider the groupoid of all divisorial  $P$ -ideals for a cone  $P$  of arbitrary rank (see also [2]), we restrict ourselves to the rank one case:

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $P$  be a cone of rank one. Then  $\mathcal{M}(P)$  is the set of all divisorial  $P$ -ideals together with the operation “ $*$ ” defined by:

$$I_1 * I_2 = \widehat{I_1 I_2} \quad \text{for } P\text{-ideals } I_1, I_2.$$

We have the following result:

**Theorem 1.7.** Let  $P$  be a cone of rank one in a group  $G$ . Then:

- $\alpha)$   $\mathcal{M}(P)$  is a linearly ordered group;
- $\beta)$  The inverse of an element  $I$  in  $\mathcal{M}(P)$  is  $I^{-1}$ ;
- $\gamma)$   $\mathcal{H}(P)$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{M}(P)$ .

*Proof.* We show first that the operation defined in Definition 1.6 is associative.

On the set of all  $P$ -ideals we define a relation  $I_1 \sim I_2$  if and only if  $\widehat{I_1} = \widehat{I_2}$ ; this is an equivalence relation.

We are going to show next that for  $P$ -ideals  $I_1, I_2$  the following equivalence holds:

$$(+) \quad I_1 I_2 \sim \widehat{I_1} \widehat{I_2}.$$

If  $I_1 = \widehat{I_1}$  and  $I_2 = \widehat{I_2}$ , then  $(+)$  is trivially true. If  $I_1 \neq \widehat{I_1}$ , then  $\widehat{I_1} = zP \supset zJ(P) = I_1$  and  $J = J(P)$  is not right principal. Also  $\widehat{I_1} = zP = Pz$  is a  $P$ -ideal by Property d).

The equivalence  $(+)$  holds therefore if and only if the following equivalence holds:

$$(++) \quad JI_2 \sim P\widehat{I_2} = \widehat{I_2}.$$

Hence, if  $JI_2 = I_2$ , we are done. Otherwise,  $JI_2 \subset I_2$  and  $I_2 = Pd$  follows for some  $d$  in  $G$  by the left symmetric version of Property g) in Section 1.1. Since  $I_2$  is an ideal, we have  $dP \subseteq Pd$ ,  $P \subseteq d^{-1}Pd$  and the equality  $d^{-1}Pd = P$  since  $P$  has rank one. Then  $dP = Pd = I_2$ ,  $dJ = Jd$  and  $JI_2 = Jd = dJ \sim dP = I_2$  which proves the equivalence  $(++)$  and hence also  $(+)$  in this case.

Finally, we must prove  $(+)$  if  $I_1 = \widehat{I_1}$  and  $\widehat{I_2} \supset I_2$ . Then, as above,  $\widehat{I_2} = aP = Pa \supset aJ = Ja = I_2$  for some  $a$  in  $G$ . The equivalence  $(+)$  then holds if and only if the equivalence  $I_1 J \sim \widehat{I_1} P = I_1$  holds. Using the right symmetric version of arguments used in the proof of  $(++)$ , one shows that  $I_1 J \sim I_1$ . This proves  $(+)$ .

If  $I_1 \sim I'_1$  and  $I_2 \sim I'_2$  for  $P$ -ideals  $I_1, I'_1, I_2, I'_2$ , then  $I_1 I_2 \sim \widehat{I_1} \widehat{I_2} = \widehat{I'_1} \widehat{I'_2} \sim I'_1 I'_2$ . Hence  $\mathcal{M}(P)$  is a factor monoid of the monoid of all  $P$ -ideals, and the operation  $*$  given in the definition for  $\mathcal{M}(P)$  is associative.

Next we show that  $\widehat{II^{-1}} = P$  for  $I$  a  $P$ -ideal, and  $\widehat{I^{-1}I} = P$  follows from similar arguments. Since  $I$  is a  $P$ -ideal,  $I^{-1}$  is a  $P$ -ideal.

If  $II^{-1} = P$ , we are done; otherwise  $II^{-1} \subseteq J(P) = J$ . If  $II^{-1} \subseteq Pz \subseteq J$  for some  $z \in J$ , then  $II^{-1}z^{-1} \subseteq P$  and  $I^{-1}z^{-1} \in I^{-1}$  which implies  $z^{-1} \in O_r(I^{-1}) = P$ , since  $P$  has rank one. This is a contradiction since  $z \in J$ , and  $II^{-1} = J$ ,  $J \neq Pz$  for all  $z \in P$  remains as the only possibility to be considered. It then follows from Property g) that  $J^2 = J$ ,  $J$  is not a principal right ideal, and hence  $\widehat{II^{-1}} = \widehat{J} = P$ .

In order to complete the proof of  $\alpha)$  and  $\beta)$  we show that  $I^{-1}$  is a divisorial  $P$ -ideal for  $I$  a  $P$ -ideal. If on the contrary,  $I^{-1} = zJ \subset zP = \widehat{I^{-1}}$  and  $J$  is not a principal right ideal, then  $zJI \subseteq P$  by the definition of  $I^{-1}$ , and by (+) it follows that  $z\widehat{JI} \subseteq \widehat{P} = P$ . Since  $\widehat{zJ} = zP$ , we obtain  $zI \subseteq z\widehat{I} \subseteq P$ , and hence  $z \in I^{-1} = zJ$ , a contradiction.

This shows that  $\mathcal{M}(P)$  is a group and that  $\beta)$  holds. For  $I_1 \supseteq I_2$  in  $\mathcal{M}(P)$  we define  $I_1 \leq I_2$  and  $\mathcal{M}(P)$  then is a linearly ordered group with  $P$  as identity. Elements in  $\mathcal{H}(P)$  have the form  $I = zP = Pz$  for some  $z$  in  $G$  with  $\widehat{zP} = zP$  and  $(zP)^{-1} = z^{-1}P = Pz^{-1}$ ; see f) in Section 1.1 and  $\gamma)$  follows. This proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.8.** *Let  $P$  be a cone of rank one in a group  $G$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}(P)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(P)$  are Archimedean groups.*

*Proof.* Let  $B \subset P$  be a divisorial ideal. If  $B \subset J(P) = J$  or  $B = J \neq J^2$ , then  $\bigcap B^n = \emptyset$  by Property d) in Section 1.1. If  $J = J^2$ , we have  $\widehat{J} = P$  and hence  $\bigcap B^n = \emptyset$  in all cases, and  $B^{n+1} \subset B^n$ . Then  $\widehat{B^{n+1}} \subseteq B^n$ , since there are no further right ideals between  $B^{n+1}$  and  $\widehat{B^{n+1}}$ . This implies  $\bigcap \widehat{B^n} = \emptyset$ , and it follows that  $\mathcal{M}(P)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(P)$  are Archimedean; see also Property f) in Section 1.1.  $\square$

Related results can be found in [12] and [2].

**1.3. The classification of rank one cones.** The groups  $\mathcal{M}(P)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(P)$  will be used to classify rank one cones  $P$  in groups  $G$  based on the lattice of their ideals. In the following theorem and proof we will write  $J$  instead of  $J(P)$ .

**Theorem 1.9.** *Let  $P$  be a cone of rank one in a group  $G$ . Then exactly one of the following possibilities occurs:*

**A)** : *The cone  $P$  is Archimedean, i.e.,  $aP = Pa$  for all  $a$  in  $P$ . We distinguish two possibilities in this case:*

**A<sub>1</sub>)**:  $\mathcal{M}(P) = \mathcal{H}(P) \cong (\mathbb{Z}, +, \leq)$ , which is exactly the case when  $J^2 \neq J$ . Then every  $P$ -ideal is a power of  $J$  and the cone is called discrete.

**A<sub>2</sub>)**:  $\mathcal{M}(P) \cong (\mathbb{R}, +, \leq)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(P)$  is a dense subgroup of  $\mathcal{M}(P)$ .

**B)** : *The cone  $P$  is nearly simple; i.e.,  $J$  is the only proper ideal in  $P$ . In this case  $\mathcal{M}(P) = \mathcal{H}(P) = \{P\}$ .*

**C)** : *The cone  $P$  is exceptional; i.e., there exists a prime ideal  $Q$  in  $P$  that is not completely prime. Then:*

**i)** : *There are no further ideals between  $J$  and  $Q$ .*

**ii)** : *The ideal  $Q$  is divisorial and  $\mathcal{M}(P) = \text{gr}\{Q\}$  is an infinite cyclic group.*

**iii)** :  $\bigcap Q^n = \emptyset$ .

**iv)** : *There exists an integer  $k \geq 0$  such that  $\mathcal{H}(P) = \text{gr}\{\widehat{Q^k}\}$ . The cone  $P$  is said to be of type  $(C_k)$  in this case.*

If  $P$  is of type  $(C_0)$ , then

$$\cdots \supset (Q^n)^{-1} \supset \cdots \supset Q^{-1} \supset P \supset J \supset Q \supset Q^2 \supset Q^3 \supset \cdots$$

is the chain of  $P$ -ideals.

If  $P$  is of type  $(C_1)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \supset Q^{-2} &= z^{-2}P \supset z^{-2}J \supset Q^{-1} = z^{-1}P \supset z^{-1}J \supset P \supset J \supset zP \\ &= Q \supset zJ \supset z^2P = Q^2 \supset z^2J \supset \cdots \end{aligned}$$

is the chain of  $P$ -ideals.

If  $P$  is of type  $(C_k)$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \supset (Q^{k+1})^{-1} \supset z^{-1}P \supset z^{-1}J \supset (Q^{k-1})^{-1} \supset \cdots \\ \supset Q^{-1} \supset P \supset J \supset Q \supset Q^2 \supset \cdots \supset Q^{k-1} \supset zP \supset zJ \\ = Q^k \supset Q^{k+1} \supset \cdots \supset Q^{2k-1} \supset z^2P \supset z^2J \\ = Q^{2k} \supset Q^{2k+1} \supset \cdots \end{aligned}$$

is the chain of all  $P$ -ideals.

*Proof.* If  $J$  is the only proper ideal of  $P$ , then  $P$  is of type  $B$ .

Otherwise, let  $Q = \bigcup I$  be the union of ideals of  $P$  properly contained in  $J$ . If  $J^2 = J$  and  $J \supset Q$ , then  $P$  is exceptional: for ideals  $I_1 \supset Q$  and  $I_2 \supset Q$  in  $P$  we have  $I_1 \cdot I_2 \supseteq J^2 = J \supset Q$  and  $Q$  is a prime ideal of  $P$ , not completely prime and no further ideal exists between  $J$  and  $Q$ . The divisorial closure  $\widehat{Q}$  of  $Q$  is an ideal that cannot be equal to  $J$ , since  $J$  would then be right principal. Hence,  $\widehat{Q} = Q$  is the smallest positive element in the linearly ordered Archimedean group  $\mathcal{M}(P)$ , and  $\mathcal{M}(P) = \text{gr}\{Q\}$  is an infinite cyclic group. The subgroup  $\mathcal{H}(P)$  has therefore the form  $\mathcal{H}(P) = \text{gr}\{\widehat{Q}^k\}$  for some  $k \geq 0$ ; we say that  $P$  is of type  $(C_k)$ .

We can now describe the  $P$ -ideals in each case  $(C_k)$  if we recall (see Property d) in Section 1.2) that an ideal  $I$  is either divisorial or of the form  $cJ = Jc$  with  $\widehat{I} = cP = Pc$ , some  $c \in G$  and  $J = J^2$ . It will also follow from the rest of the proof that if  $P$  is exceptional, then  $J = J^2$  and  $J \supset Q = \bigcup I$ , where the ideals  $I$  are properly contained in  $J$ , the prime ideal that is not completely prime.

In the case  $(C_0)$  there are no principal ideals  $\neq P$  and the group  $\mathcal{M}(P) = \text{gr}\{Q\}$  contains all  $P$ -ideals  $\neq J$ . In the case  $(C_1)$  the ideal  $Q = zP = Pz$  is principal and  $\mathcal{M}(P) = \mathcal{H}(P)$ . In the case  $(C_k)$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , the ideal  $\widehat{Q}^k$  is principal. However,  $Q^k$  itself cannot be principal, since otherwise  $Q^k = zP$  implies  $Q^k J \neq Q^k$ ; hence,  $QJ \neq Q$  and  $Q$  is principal (see Property g) in Section 1.1). Hence  $\widehat{Q}^k = zP = Pz \supset Q^k = zJ = Jz$  for an element  $z$  in  $P$ .

It remains to consider the case where either  $J \neq J^2$ , or  $J = J^2$  and  $J = Q = \bigcup I$  for ideals  $I$  properly contained in  $J$ . In this case we will prove that  $aP = Pa$  for all  $a$  in  $P$ . If for some  $a$  in  $P$  the right ideal  $aP$  is not a left ideal, then an element  $c$  exists in  $P$  with  $caP \supset aP$  and  $caj = a$  follows for an element  $j$  in  $J$ . By assumption there exists an ideal  $I \subseteq J$  with  $j \in I$  and  $\bigcap I^n = \emptyset$ ; we obtain the contradiction  $a = caj = c^n a j^n \in \bigcap I^n$ . We have  $Pa \subseteq aP$ ,  $P \subseteq aPa^{-1}$  and  $P = aPa^{-1}$  since  $P$  is of rank one. Therefore,  $Pa = aP$  for all  $a$  in  $P$  and  $P$  is invariant.

If  $J \neq J^2$ , then  $J = aP = Pa$ , for some  $a$  in  $P$ , is the smallest positive element in the Archimedean group  $\mathcal{M}(P)$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{M}(P) = \mathcal{H}(P) = \text{gr}\{J\}$  is the group of all  $P$ -ideals.

If  $J = J^2$  and  $J = Q$ , then  $\mathcal{H}(P)$  is isomorphic to a dense subgroup of  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \leq)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(P)$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \leq)$ .  $\square$

If  $R$  is a chain order of rank one in a skew field  $D$ , then  $R^* = R \setminus \{0\}$  is a cone in the group  $D^*$ . We say that  $R$  has type  $(A)$ ,  $(A_1)$ ,  $(A_2)$ ,  $(B)$ ,  $(C)$ , or  $(C_k)$  if and only if the cone  $R^*$  is of the same type.



The next result follows from Propositions 1.3 and 1.5 and Theorem 1.9.

**Corollary 1.10.** *Let  $P$  be a cone associated with the rank one chain domain  $R$ . Then  $P$  and  $R$  have the same type.*

## 2. THE UNIVERSAL COVERING GROUP $\mathbb{G}$ OF $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$

**2.1. The group  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .** By  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  we denote, as usual, the group of  $2 \times 2$  matrices with real entries and determinant equal to 1. Then

$$\mathbb{U} = \left\{ u = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a > 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{S} = \left\{ r(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \mid t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

are two particular subgroups of  $\mathbb{G}$ . Every element  $s \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  can be written in a unique way as

$$s = r(t)u \quad \text{for } r(t) \in \mathbb{S} \quad \text{with } 0 \leq t < 2\pi \quad \text{and } u \in \mathbb{U}.$$

To prove this claim, let  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the Euclidean plane, and let the elements of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  be the representations of linear transformations of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with respect to the basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$ . For every nonzero vector  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  there exists a unique element  $t \in [0, 2\pi)$  with  $\mathbf{a}/\|\mathbf{a}\| = e_1 \cos t + e_2 \sin t$ ; we write  $\arg \mathbf{a} = t$  in this case.

Let  $t = \arg s(e_1)$  for the given element  $s \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  and  $r(-t)s = u \in \mathbb{U}$  for some element  $u$ , since  $r(-t)s(e_1) = ae_1$  for  $a > 0$ . Hence,  $s = r(t)u$  and this representation is unique, since  $\mathbb{U} \cap \mathbb{S} = \{I\}$ ,  $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , the identity of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

**2.2. The group  $\mathbb{G}$ .** We are going to construct the universal covering group  $\mathbb{G}$  of the group  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  in this section. We do this first for the subgroup  $\mathbb{S}$  by fixing a symbol, say  $x$ , and by rewriting the additive group of the real numbers in multiplicative form:

$$R = \{x^t \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}; \quad x^{t_1} \cdot x^{t_2} = x^{t_1+t_2}; \quad x^{t_1} \leq x^{t_2} \Leftrightarrow t_1 \leq t_2.$$

Then  $R$  is a linearly ordered group isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \leq)$ . The mapping  $\tau$  from  $R$  to  $\mathbb{S}$  with  $\tau(x^t) = r(t)$  is a group epimorphism with the cyclic subgroup  $\mathrm{gr}\{x^{2\pi}\}$  as its kernel;  $\tau$  is a cover of the Lie group  $\mathbb{S}$ . Next we define the covering group  $\mathbb{G}$  of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  as the set  $\mathbb{G} = \{x^t u \mid x^t \in R, u \in \mathbb{U}\}$ , the Cartesian product  $R \times \mathbb{U}$ , together with the following operation: If  $x^{t_1} u_1, x^{t_2} u_2$  are two elements in  $\mathbb{G}$  and  $t_2 = 2\pi k + \varphi$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$ , then  $u_1 r(\varphi) u_2 = r(\psi) u$  in  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  for  $u \in \mathbb{U}$ ,  $\psi \in [0, 2\pi)$ , and the product in  $\mathbb{G}$  is defined as  $x^{t_1} u_1 \cdot x^{t_2} u_2 = x^{t_1+2\pi k+\psi} u$ .

The mapping  $\tau$  from above can be extended to a mapping from  $\mathbb{G}$  to  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  by defining

$$\tau(x^t u) = r(t)u.$$

We want to prove that  $\mathbb{G}$  is a group and that  $\tau$  is an epimorphism from  $\mathbb{G}$  onto  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *The mapping  $\tau$  is onto  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , and if  $a \cdot b = c$  for elements  $a, b, c$  in  $\mathbb{G}$ , then  $\tau(c) = \tau(a)\tau(b)$ .*

*Proof.* The element  $x^t u$  in  $\mathbb{G}$  satisfies  $\tau(x^t u) = r(t)u$  for the arbitrary element  $r(t)u$  in  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ ;  $\tau$  is onto. If  $a = x^{t_1} u_1$ ,  $b = x^{t_2} u_2$  in  $\mathbb{G}$ ,  $t_2 = 2\pi k + \varphi$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$  and if  $u_1 r(\varphi) u_2 = r(\psi)u$ ,  $\psi \in [0, 2\pi)$ ,  $u_i, u \in \mathbb{U}$ , then  $c = x^{t_1+2\pi k+\psi} u$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(c) &= r(t_1 + 2\pi k + \psi)u = r(t_1)r(\psi)u = r(t_1)u_1 r(\varphi)u_2 \\ &= \tau(a)r(2\pi k + \varphi)u_2 = \tau(a)\tau(b),\end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma.  $\square$

Several special cases of the associative law for the operation defined for  $\mathbb{G}$  are proved in the next few steps. We can consider  $R$  as well as  $\mathbb{U}$  as subgroups of  $\mathbb{G}$  and the equations

$$(+)\quad x^t \cdot u = x^t u, \quad x^{t_1} \cdot x^t u = x^{t_1+t} u, \quad \text{and} \quad x^t u \cdot u' = x^t u u'$$

follow. We conclude also that  $x^t \cdot a = x^t \cdot b$  implies  $a = b$  for elements  $a, b \in \mathbb{G}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any element  $g = x^t u \in \mathbb{G}$  and any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  the product  $g \cdot x^{\pi m}$  is equal to  $x^{t+\pi m} u$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $\pi m = 2\pi k + \varphi$  with  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\varphi = 0$  if  $m$  is even, and  $\varphi = \pi$  if  $m$  is odd. In both cases  $ur(\varphi) = r(\varphi)u$  follows, which proves the statement of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *For any  $a, b \in \mathbb{G}$  and any integer  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  the following equalities hold:*

$$x^{\pi m} \cdot (a \cdot b) = (x^{\pi m} \cdot a) \cdot b = a \cdot (x^{\pi m} \cdot b).$$

*Proof.* Because of (+) the first equation follows, and we can assume that  $a = u \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $b = x^t \in R$  in the second equation.

It remains to prove the following equality:

$$(x^{\pi m} \cdot u) \cdot x^t = u \cdot (x^{\pi m} \cdot x^t)$$

where  $t = 2\pi k + \varphi$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$  and  $ur(\varphi) = r(\psi)u'$  for  $\psi \in [0, 2\pi)$ ,  $u' \in \mathbb{U}$ .

Then  $(x^{\pi m} \cdot u) \cdot x^t = x^{\pi m+2\pi k+\psi} u'$ . We distinguish three cases in order to compute the right-hand side of the above equation.

In the first case,  $m = 2k'$  is even and the equality follows immediately.

In the second case,  $\pi m = 2\pi k' + \pi$  for some  $k' \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\varphi < \pi$ . Then

$$u \cdot x^{2\pi(k+k')+\varphi+\pi} = x^{2\pi(k+k')} u \cdot x^{\pi+\varphi} = x^{2\pi(k+k')+\pi+\psi} u' = x^{\pi m+2\pi k+\psi} u'$$

since  $ur(\pi + \varphi) = ur(\pi)r(\varphi) = r(\pi)r(\psi)u' = r(\pi + \psi)u'$  in  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ ; the equation is proved in this case.

In the final case,  $\pi m = 2\pi k' + \pi$  for  $k' \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\varphi \geq \pi$ . The right-hand side of the above equation is then equal to

$$u \cdot x^{2\pi(k+k'+1)+\varphi-\pi} = x^{2\pi(k+k'+1)-\pi+\psi} u' = x^{\pi m+2\pi k+\psi} u',$$

which proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $u \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $t \in (\pi m, \pi(m+1))$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $u \cdot x^t = x^{t'} u'$  for  $u' \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $t' \in (\pi m, \pi(m+1))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t = 2\pi k + \varphi$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\varphi \in (0, 2\pi)$ . If  $m = 2k$  is even, then  $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$ ; hence  $\sin \varphi > 0$ . It follows that for any  $u = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{U}$ ; the argument  $\psi$  of  $ur(\varphi)(e_1) = r(\psi)u'(e_1)$  is also in  $(0, \pi)$  since  $\psi = \arg \left[ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi \\ \sin \varphi \end{pmatrix} \right]$  and  $a^{-1} \sin \varphi > 0$ . Hence  $t' = 2\pi k + \psi \in (\pi m, \pi(m+1))$  as stated in the lemma.

If  $m = 1 + 2k$  is odd, then  $t = 2\pi k + \varphi$  and  $\varphi \in (\pi, 2\pi)$ . Then  $\sin \varphi < 0$  and  $\sin \psi$  with  $ur(\varphi) = r(\psi)u'$  is also negative with the above argument; hence,  $\psi \in (\pi, 2\pi)$  and  $t' = 2\pi k + \psi \in (\pi m, \pi(m+1))$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5. a):**  $\mathbb{G}$  is a group;

- b):** The mapping  $\tau$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{G}$  onto  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ ;  
**c):** The center of  $\mathbb{G}$  is the infinite cyclic group generated by  $x^\pi$ .

*Proof.* To show that the operation defined for  $\mathbb{G}$  is associative we consider three elements  $x^{t_i}u_i \in G$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  with  $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $u_i \in \mathbb{U}$  and the equation

$$(*) \quad g_1 = (x^{t_1}u_1 \cdot x^{t_2}u_2) \cdot x^{t_3}u_3 = x^{t_1}u_1 \cdot (x^{t_2}u_2 \cdot x^{t_3}u_3) = g_2.$$

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 this equation holds if and only if the following equation is true:

$$(x^{t_1+\pi k}u_1 \cdot x^{t_2+\pi m}u_2) \cdot x^{t_3+\pi n}u_3 = x^{t_1+\pi k}u_1 \cdot (x^{t_2+\pi m}u_2 \cdot x^{t_3+\pi n}u_3)$$

for integers  $k, m$  and  $n$ .

It follows that it is sufficient to prove  $(*)$  only in the case where  $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in [0, \pi)$ .

For  $g_1 = x^t u'$  and  $g_2 = x^{t'} u''$  with  $t, t' \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u', u'' \in \mathbb{U}$  we apply Lemma 2.1 and obtain

$$r(t)u' = (r(t_1)u_1 \cdot r(t_2)u_2) \cdot r(t_3)u_3$$

and

$$r(t')u'' = r(t_1)u_1 \cdot (r(t_2)u_2 \cdot r(t_3)u_3)$$

in  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  where the operation is associative, and therefore  $r(t)u' = r(t')u''$  follows. This implies  $u' = u''$  and  $t - t' = 2\pi k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It remains to show that  $k = 0$ .

We apply Lemma 2.4 and obtain  $u_1 x^{t_2} = x^{t'_2} u'_1$  for  $u'_1 \in \mathbb{U}$ ,  $t'_2 \in [0, \pi)$ ;  $u'_1 u_2 \cdot x^{t_3} = x^{t'_3} \tilde{u}$  for  $\tilde{u} \in \mathbb{U}$ ,  $t'_3 \in [0, \pi)$ ;  $u_2 x^{t_3} = x^{t'_3} u'_2$  for  $u'_2 \in \mathbb{U}$ ,  $t'_3 \in [0, \pi)$ ; and  $u_1 x^{t_2+t'_3} = x^{t_{2,3}} u''_1$  for  $u''_1 \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $t_{2,3} \in [0, \pi)$ .

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= (x^{t_1}u_1 \cdot x^{t_2}u_2) \cdot x^{t_3}u_3 = (x^{t_1+t'_2}u'_1 u_2) \cdot x^{t_3}u_3 \\ &= x^{t_1+t'_2+\tilde{t}_3} \tilde{u} u_3, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_2 &= x^{t_1}u_1 \cdot (x^{t_2}u_2 \cdot x^{t_3}u_3) = x^{t_1}u_1 \cdot x^{t_2+t'_3}u'_2 u_3 \\ &= x^{t_1+t_{2,3}} u''_1 u'_2 u_3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $t = t_1 + t'_2 + \tilde{t}_3$  and  $t' = t_1 + t_{2,3}$  and therefore

$$t - t' = t'_2 + \tilde{t}_3 - t_{2,3} = 2\pi k.$$

However,  $t'_2 + \tilde{t}_3$  and  $t_{2,3}$  both belong to  $[0, 2\pi)$  and  $k = 0$  and the associative law follows for the operation defined for  $\mathbb{G}$ .

Since  $\mathbb{G}$  has  $e = x^0 E$ , for  $E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , as the identity and  $x^t u$  has  $u^{-1} x^{-t}$  as its inverse,  $\mathbb{G}$  is indeed a group; this proves a).

The statement b) was proved in Lemma 2.1. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $\text{gr}\{x^\pi\}$  is contained in the center  $Z(\mathbb{G})$  of  $\mathbb{G}$ . Conversely, if  $x^t u \in Z(\mathbb{G})$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $u \in \mathbb{U}$ , then an application of Lemma 2.1 shows that  $r(t)u$  is in  $Z(\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ .

Hence  $r(t)u = \pm r(0)$ ,  $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $t = \pi k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  follows. Therefore  $x^t u \in \text{gr}\{x^\pi\}$ , which proves c) and the theorem.  $\square$

See also [1] for the fact that  $\mathbb{G}$  is right orderable, but not locally indicable.

**2.3. The representation of the group  $\mathbb{G}$ .** To each element  $g = x^t u \in \mathbb{G}$  we can assign the projection  $v(g) = v(x^t u) = x^t \in R$ . The mapping  $V : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R, \leq)$  is defined as  $V_g(x^t) = v(gx^t)$  for  $g \in \mathbb{G}$ ,  $x^t \in R$ . That  $V_g$  is indeed an automorphism of  $(R, \leq)$  follows from the next result.

**Lemma 2.6.** *For  $g \in \mathbb{G}$  let  $V_g$  be defined as above. Then:*

- a)  $V_{g_1 g_2} = V_{g_1} \circ V_{g_2}$  for  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{G}$ .
- b)  $V_g$  is the identity mapping if and only if  $g$  is the identity element in  $\mathbb{G}$ .
- c) The stabilizer  $\text{st}(x^t) = \{g \in \mathbb{G} \mid V_g(x^t) = x^t\}$  is equal to  $x^t \mathbb{U} x^{-t} \cong \mathbb{U}$ , which is an Ore group.
- d)  $V_g$  is an automorphism of  $(R, \leq)$  for every  $g \in \mathbb{G}$ .

*Proof.* To prove a) we compute  $v(g_1 g_2 x^t)$  and  $v(g_1 v(g_2 x^t))$ . Let  $g_1 = x^{t_1} u_1$ ,  $g_2 = x^{t_2} u_2$  for  $u_i \in \mathbb{U}$ . Then  $g_1 g_2 x^t = x^{t_1} u_1 x^{t_2} u_2 x^t = x^{t_1} u_1 x^{t_2} x^{t'} u'$  for some  $u' \in \mathbb{U}$ ,  $t' \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $u_2 x^t = x^{t'} u'$ . Further,  $x^{t_1} u_1 x^{t_2+t'} u' = x^{t_1+\tilde{t}} \tilde{u} u'$  for  $u_1 x^{t_2+t'} = x^{\tilde{t}} \tilde{u}$  for  $\tilde{u} \in \mathbb{U}$ ,  $\tilde{t} \in \mathbb{R}$ . It follows that  $v(g_1 g_2 x^t) = x^{t_1+\tilde{t}}$  and that  $v(g_1 v(g_2 x^t)) = v(x^{t_1} u_1 x^{t_2+t'}) = x^{t_1+\tilde{t}}$ ; this proves a).

To prove b), assume  $g = x^{t_1} u$  and  $V_g(x^t) = x^t$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $t = 0$  it follows that  $t_1 = 0$ . We consider  $t = \frac{\pi}{2}$  and assume that  $u = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $V_u(x^{\frac{\pi}{2}}) = x^{\frac{\pi}{2}}$  implies that

$$\arg \left[ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \arg \begin{pmatrix} b \\ a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Hence,  $b = 0$  and  $u = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ . Finally, for  $t = \frac{\pi}{4}$  we must have

$$\arg \left[ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \right] = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

and  $a = a^{-1} = 1$  follows; hence,  $g = e$ , the identity in  $\mathbb{G}$ , and b) follows.

To prove c) we observe that  $\text{st}(x^0) = \{x^{t_1} u_1 \in \mathbb{G} \mid V_g(x^0) = x^{t_1} = x^0\}$  equals  $\mathbb{U}$ . Hence,  $V_g(x^t) = x^t \mathbb{U} x^{-t} \cong \mathbb{U}$ . These stabilizers are Ore groups in the sense that the group ring  $T\mathbb{U}$  over a skew field  $T$  is an Ore domain. This is true since  $\mathbb{U}$  is the semidirect product of the following two torsion free abelian groups:

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid 0 < a \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

This proves c).

Finally, we want to prove d). Since  $V_{x^t}$  is an automorphism of  $(R, \leq)$ , it follows from a) that it is enough to show that  $V_u$  is an automorphism of  $(R, \leq)$  for any  $u \in \mathbb{U}$ . We show first that  $x^{t_2} > x^{t_1}$  implies  $V_u(x^{t_2}) > V_u(x^{t_1})$  which then implies that  $V_u$  is one-to-one and order-preserving. By Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.5(c) we can assume  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, \pi)$ . It then follows that  $t_2 - t_1 \in (-\pi, \pi)$ , and in addition  $t_2 - t_1 > 0$  if and only if

$$\sin(t_2 - t_1) = \text{Det} \begin{pmatrix} \cos t_1 & \cos t_2 \\ \sin t_1 & \sin t_2 \end{pmatrix} > 0.$$

We have  $ur(t_i) = r(d_i)u_i$  for  $d_i \in [0, \pi)$  and  $d_i = \arg(u(\frac{\cos t_i}{\sin t_i})) \in [0, \pi)$ . Then  $\text{Det}(u(\frac{\cos t_i}{\sin t_i})) > 0$ , since  $\text{Det}(u) > 0$ , and, as in the previous argument,

$d_2 > d_1$  follows. This shows that  $x^{d_2} = V_u(x^{t_2}) > x^{d_1} = V_u(x^{t_1})$  for  $t_2 > t_1$  and that  $V_u$  is order-preserving and one-to-one.

It remains to show that  $V_u$  is onto, and by Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.5(c) it is enough to show that  $V_u$  maps the interval  $[x^0, x^\pi]$  onto the interval  $[x^0, x^\pi]$ . This, however, follows from the fact that  $V_u(x^0) = x^0$ ,  $V_u(x^\pi) = x^\pi$  and that  $V_u$  is continuous.  $\square$

We will prove next a technical result which will be used several times.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $g = x^t u \in \mathbb{G}$  with  $t = \pi k + t_0$  and  $x^{t_1} \in R$  with  $t_1 = \pi m + t_{10}$  for  $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t_0, t_{10} \in [0, \pi)$ . Assume that  $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\arg\left(\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}\right) = t_{10}$ .*

*Then  $V_g(x^{t_1}) = x^{\pi(k+m)+t'}$  for  $t' = \arg(r(t_0)u(\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}))$ .*

*Proof.* By definition we have that  $V_g(x^{t_1}) = v(gx^{t_1})$ . Further,  $gx^{t_1} = x^t u x^{t_1} = x^{\pi(k+m)} x^{t_0} u x^{t_{10}}$  since  $x^\pi$  is in the center of  $\mathbb{G}$  by Theorem 2.5(c).

By Lemma 2.4 we have  $u x^{t_{10}} = x^{\tilde{t}} \tilde{u}$  with  $\tilde{u} \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $\tilde{t} = \arg(ur(t_{10})(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix})) \in [0, \pi)$ . Hence,  $x^{t_0} u x^{t_{10}} = x^{t_0+\tilde{t}} \tilde{u}$ . On the other hand,  $t' = \arg(r(t_0)u(\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix})) = t_0 + \tilde{t}$ , since both  $t_0, \tilde{t} \in [0, \pi)$ . It follows that  $gx^{t_1} = x^{\pi(k+m)+t_0+\tilde{t}} \tilde{u}$  and  $V_g(x^{t_1}) = x^{\pi(k+m)+t'}$ .  $\square$

### 3. EXCEPTIONAL CONES IN THE UNIVERSAL COVERING GROUP $\mathbb{G}$

In this section we construct exceptional cones of type  $(C_k)$  for every  $k$  in the universal covering group  $\mathbb{G}$  of  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

We define first two particular elements  $w_1, w_2$  in  $\mathbb{G}$  which will play an important role in this construction. The element  $w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{G}$  and  $\tau(w_1) = w_1$  follows. Next we consider the element  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\alpha = \arg\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right] = \arctan 2 \in (0, \pi)$  and define  $w_2$  as  $x^\alpha u$  where  $u = r(-\alpha)(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) \in \mathbb{U}$ ; hence,  $\tau(w_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $b$  be an element in  $[0, \pi)$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_{w_1^n}(x^b) = x^0$ .*

*Proof.* We consider the real number  $b_n$  with  $x^{b_n} = V_{w_1^n}(x^b)$ . Since  $w_1^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\tau(w_1^n) = w_1^n$ , we can apply Lemma 2.7 and obtain

$$b_n = \arg\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos b \\ \sin b \end{pmatrix}\right] = \arg\left(\cos \frac{b+2n \sin b}{\sin b}\right).$$

If  $b = 0$ , then  $b_n = 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$  and the result follows. If  $b \in (0, \pi)$ , then  $\sin b > 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\cos b + 2n \sin b) = \infty$ ; the statement of the lemma follows.  $\square$

We are now ready to define one of the main objects of this paper:

$$\mathbb{P} = \{g \in \mathbb{G} \mid V_g(x^0) \geq x^0\}.$$

The next result shows that this is an exceptional cone of type  $(C_1)$  in  $\mathbb{G}$ .

**Theorem 3.2. a):** *The set  $\mathbb{P} = \{g \in \mathbb{G} \mid V_g(x^0) \geq x^0\}$  is a cone in  $\mathbb{G}$  with  $U(\mathbb{P}) = \mathbb{U}$ .*

**b):** *Any right  $\mathbb{P}$ -ideal is either a principal right ideal  $x^t \mathbb{P}$  or of the form  $x^t J(\mathbb{P})$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

**c):** *Any  $\mathbb{P}$ -ideal has the form  $x^{\pi m} \mathbb{P}$  or  $x^{\pi m} J(\mathbb{P})$  for some  $m$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .*

**d):** *The cone  $\mathbb{P}$  is exceptional of rank one with  $Q = x^\pi \mathbb{P}$  the prime ideal that is not completely prime;  $\mathbb{P}$  is exceptional of type  $(C_1)$ .*

*Proof.* a) If  $g$  and  $h$  are elements in  $\mathbb{P}$ , then  $V_{gh}(x^0) = V_g(V_h(x^0)) \geq V_g(x^0) \geq x^0$  by Lemma 2.6, a) and d), and  $gh \in \mathbb{P}$  follows.

If  $g$  is not in  $\mathbb{P}$ , then  $V_g(x^0) < x^0$ ; hence,  $x^0 < V_{g^{-1}}(x^0)$  again by Lemma 2.6, and  $g^{-1} \in \mathbb{P}$  follows and  $\mathbb{P}$  is a cone of  $\mathbb{G}$ . It also follows from the above arguments that  $g, g^{-1} \in \mathbb{P}$  implies  $V_g(x^0) = x^0$  and  $g \in \mathbb{U}$ . Conversely,  $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{P}$  and  $U(\mathbb{P}) = \mathbb{U}$  follows. Hence,  $J(\mathbb{P}) = \{g \in \mathbb{G} \mid V_g(x^0) > x^0\}$ .

b) Let  $I$  be any right  $\mathbb{P}$ -ideal in  $\mathbb{G}$ . Then it follows that  $x^\alpha = \inf\{V_g(x^0) \mid g \in I\}$  exists since  $I \subseteq c\mathbb{P}$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{G}$ . We will show that  $\hat{I} = x^\alpha \mathbb{P}$  for the divisorial closure  $\hat{I}$  of  $I$ , see Definition 1.4. By definition we have  $x^\alpha \mathbb{P} \supseteq g\mathbb{P} = x^\beta \mathbb{P}$  for all  $g \in I$  since  $\alpha \leq \beta$ ; hence  $x^\alpha \mathbb{P} \supseteq I$ . Conversely, if  $h \in \mathbb{G}$  with  $h\mathbb{P} = x^\gamma \mathbb{P} \supseteq I$ , then  $\gamma \leq V_g(x^0)$  for all  $g$  in  $I$  and  $\gamma \leq \alpha$  follows; hence  $\hat{I} = x^\alpha \mathbb{P}$ . It follows that either  $I = x^\alpha \mathbb{P} = \hat{I}$  or that  $\hat{I} = x^\alpha \mathbb{P}$  and  $I = x^\alpha J(\mathbb{P})$ ; see Property d) in Section 1.2.

c) Assume that  $x^t \mathbb{P}$  is a  $\mathbb{P}$ -ideal. For  $t = \pi m + t_0$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t_0 \in [0, \pi)$  it follows that  $x^{t_0} \mathbb{P}$  is also a  $\mathbb{P}$ -ideal since  $x^\pi$  is central in  $\mathbb{G}$ . If  $t_0 > 0$ , it follows from Lemma 3.1 that there exists a power  $w_1^n$  of  $w_1$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $w_1^n x^{t_0} \mathbb{P} \supset x^{t_0} \mathbb{P}$ , a contradiction that shows that  $x^t \mathbb{P} = x^{\pi m} \mathbb{P}$ . If  $I = x^t J(\mathbb{P})$  is a  $\mathbb{P}$ -ideal, then  $\hat{I} = x^t \mathbb{P}$  is a  $\mathbb{P}$ -ideal by Property d) in Section 1.2, and  $t = \pi m$  by the above argument.

d) We have  $\mathbb{P} \supset J(\mathbb{P}) \supset x^\pi \mathbb{P} = Q$  and  $Q$  is not a completely prime ideal of  $\mathbb{P}$ , since  $x^{\pi/2} \cdot x^{\pi/2} \in Q$ , but  $x^{\pi/2} \notin Q$ . However,  $Q$  is a prime ideal, since any ideals  $A$  and  $B$  of  $\mathbb{P}$  that contain  $Q$  properly, also contain  $J(\mathbb{P})$ ; hence,  $AB \supseteq J(\mathbb{P})J(\mathbb{P}) = J(\mathbb{P}) \supset Q$ , and it follows that  $Q$  is a prime ideal that is not completely prime. There are no further ideals between  $J(\mathbb{P})$  and  $Q$ , and  $\bigcap Q^n = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{P}$  is an exceptional cone of type  $(C_1)$ ; see Theorem 1.9.  $\square$

We denote by  $F$  the subgroup  $\text{gr}\{w_1, w_2\}$  of  $\mathbb{G}$  generated by  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ . This subgroup is mapped by  $\tau$  onto the subgroup  $\text{gr}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$  of  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  generated by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Since this subgroup of  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  is free (see [15], 14.2.1), the group  $F$  is free of rank 2.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $h_1$  be the element  $w_2 w_1^{-1} w_2$  in  $F$ . Then  $V_{h_1}(x^0) = x^{\arg \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + \pi} \in (x^\pi, x^{\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}})$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $w_2 x^0 = x^\alpha u$  for  $\alpha = \arg \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \in (0, \pi)$  with

$$u = r(-\alpha) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{U}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.7 that

$$V_{w_1^{-1}}(x^\alpha) = x^{t'} = x^{\arg \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}}, \text{ since } w_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{U}$$

and

$$t' = \arg \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \arg \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By a further application of Lemma 2.7 we obtain

$$V_{h_1}(x^0) = V_{w_2} \left( x^{\arg \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}} \right) = x^{t''}$$

with

$$t'' = \arg [\tau(w_2) \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}] = \arg \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \arg \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,  $V_{h_1}(x^0) = x^{\arg \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}} = x^{\pi + \arg \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}}$ , which proves the lemma.  $\square$

In order to construct further cones we consider a subgroup  $H$  of  $\mathbb{G}$  that contains  $F$  and define

$$P_H = H \cap \mathbb{P}.$$

It follows immediately that  $P_H$  is closed under multiplication. If  $g \in H \setminus P_H$ , then  $g \notin \mathbb{P}$  and  $g^{-1} \in H \cap \mathbb{P} = P_H$  follows;  $P_H$  is a cone of  $H$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $P_H x^t \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P} x^t \mathbb{P}$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to prove this for  $t \in [0, \pi)$ , since  $t = k\pi + t_0$ ,  $t_0 \in [0, \pi)$  in the general case with  $x^\pi$  in the center of  $G$ .

If  $t = 0$ , then  $P_H x^t \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P} x^t \mathbb{P}$ . If  $t \in (0, \pi)$ , then for any  $j \in J(\mathbb{P})$  there exists an  $n$  with  $w_1^n x^t \mathbb{P} \supseteq j\mathbb{P}$  by Lemma 3.1. Hence,  $\mathbb{P} x^t \mathbb{P} = J(\mathbb{P}) = \bigcup w_1^n x^t \mathbb{P} \subseteq P_H x^t \mathbb{P}$ , and the statement in the lemma follows.  $\square$

The next result shows that  $F$  contains elements of a certain type.

**Lemma 3.4.** *For any integer  $m$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an element  $x^t u$  in  $F$  with  $u \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $t \in (\pi m, \pi m + \varepsilon)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h_1$  be the element  $w_2 w_1^{-1} w_2$  in  $F$ . Then, by Lemma 3.3, we have  $V_{h_1^{-1}}(x^{\beta+\pi}) = x^0$  where  $\beta = \arg(\frac{3}{4})$ . It follows that  $V_{h_1^{-1}}(x^0) < V_{h_1^{-1}}(x^\beta) = x^{-\pi}$  and that  $V_{h_1^{-N}}(x^0) < x^{-\pi N}$  for any natural number  $N$ .

We conclude that for the given integer  $m$  there exists a natural number  $N$  and an integer  $M < m$  with

$$V_{h_1^{-N}}(x^0) \in [x^{\pi M}, x^{\pi(M+1)}).$$

For  $\varepsilon$  the given real number, there exists by Lemma 3.3 and the continuity of  $V_g$  a  $\delta$  with  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$  and

$$V_{h_1}([x^0, x^\delta]) \subseteq (x^\pi, x^{\pi+\frac{\pi}{2}})$$

and hence

$$(*) \quad V_{h_1}([x^{\pi k}, x^{\pi k+\delta}]) \subseteq (x^{\pi(k+1)}, x^{\pi(k+1)+\frac{\pi}{2}})$$

follows for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

By Lemma 3.1 there exists a natural number  $n_1$  with

$$V_{w_1^{n_1} h}(x^0) \in [x^{\pi M}, x^{\pi M+\delta}] \quad \text{and} \quad h = h_1^{-N} \in F.$$

Hence, by  $(*)$  we obtain

$$V_{h_1 w_1^{n_1} h}(x^0) \in (x^{\pi(M+1)}, x^{\pi(M+1)+\frac{\pi}{2}}).$$

By another application of Lemma 3.1, there exists a natural number  $n_2$  with

$$V_{w_1^{n_2} h_1 w_1^{n_1} h}(x^0) \in (x^{\pi(M+1)}, x^{\pi(M+1)+\delta}) \subseteq (x^{\pi(M+1)}, x^{\pi(M+1)+\varepsilon}).$$

By repeating the last two steps  $m - (M + 1)$  times, the statement of the lemma follows.  $\square$

The next result shows that the cones  $P_H$  are indeed exceptional.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $H \supseteq F$  be a subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}$  and  $P_H = \mathbb{P} \cap H$ . Then:*

- a):  $P_H$  is an exceptional rank one cone in  $H$ .
- b): The mapping  $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(P_H)$  with  $\varphi(x^{\pi m} \mathbb{P}) = x^{\pi m} \mathbb{P} \cap H$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , defines an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P})$  and  $\mathcal{M}(P_H)$ . The inverse of  $\varphi$  is given by  $\varphi^{-1}(C) = \widehat{C\mathbb{P}}$  for  $C$  a divisorial  $P_H$ -ideal.

*Proof.* We recall that  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P})$  is the group of divisorial  $\mathbb{P}$ -ideals in  $\mathbb{G}$  (Definition 1.6) and that  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}) = \text{gr}\{Q\} = \text{gr}(x^\pi \mathbb{P})$  by Theorems 1.9 and 3.2.

If  $C$  is a divisorial  $P_H$ -ideal in  $H$ , then  $C\mathbb{P}$  is a  $\mathbb{P}$ -ideal in  $\mathbb{G}$  by Lemma 3.4. The divisorial closure  $\widehat{C\mathbb{P}}$  of  $C\mathbb{P}$  is therefore equal to some power of  $x^\pi \mathbb{P}$  and  $\widehat{C\mathbb{P}} = x^{\pi m} \mathbb{P}$  follows for some  $m$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We want to prove that  $\widehat{C\mathbb{P}} \cap H = C$  and assume that  $hP_H \supseteq C$  for some  $h \in H$ . Then  $hP_H \mathbb{P} = h\mathbb{P} \supseteq C\mathbb{P}$ ; hence  $h\mathbb{P} \supseteq \widehat{C\mathbb{P}}$ . Therefore,  $hP_H = h\mathbb{P} \cap H \supseteq \widehat{C\mathbb{P}} \cap H$ . It follows that  $C = \widehat{C} \supseteq \widehat{C\mathbb{P}} \cap H \supseteq C$  and  $C = \widehat{C\mathbb{P}} \cap H$ . This shows that  $C$  being a divisorial  $P_H$ -ideal implies  $C = x^{\pi m} \mathbb{P} \cap H$  for some  $m$ . We want to show next that  $(x^{\pi n} \mathbb{P} \cap H) = x^{\pi n} \mathbb{P} \cap H$  for any  $n$ . Since  $(x^{\pi n} \mathbb{P} \cap H)$  is divisorial, we know that  $(x^{\pi n} \mathbb{P} \cap H) = x^{\pi m} \mathbb{P} \cap H$  for some  $m$  by the above argument.

By Lemma 3.5 there exist elements  $x^{t_1} u_1, x^{t_2} u_2 \in F \subseteq H$  with  $t_1 < t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in (\pi(n-1), \pi(n-1) + \frac{\pi}{2})$ .

It follows that

$$x^{t_1} u_1 P_H = x^{t_1} u_1 \mathbb{P} \cap H \supseteq x^{t_2} u_2 \mathbb{P} \cap H = x^{t_2} u_2 P_H \supseteq x^{\pi n} \mathbb{P} \cap H.$$

Hence,  $x^{\pi(n-1)} \mathbb{P} \cap H \supseteq (x^{\pi n} \mathbb{P} \cap H) \supseteq x^{\pi n} \mathbb{P} \cap H$ .

If  $x^{\pi(n-1)} \mathbb{P} \cap H = (x^{\pi n} \mathbb{P} \cap H)$ , then this ideal would also be equal to  $x^{t_1} u_1 P_H$  and  $x^{t_2} u_2 P_H$ . This would imply  $x^{t_1} u_1 P_H \mathbb{P} = x^{t_1} \mathbb{P} = x^{t_2} u_2 P_H \mathbb{P} = x^{t_2} \mathbb{P}$ , a contradiction that shows that  $(x^{\pi n} \mathbb{P} \cap H) = (x^{\pi n} \mathbb{P} \cap H)$  for all  $n$ . This set of divisorial  $P_H$ -ideals does not contain  $J(P_H)$ , does not contain a completely prime ideal (Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5) and no ideal of the form  $aJ(P_H) \neq J(P_H)$ ,  $a \in P_H$ , is completely prime in  $P_H$ . This shows that  $P_H$  has rank one and that  $\mathcal{M}(P_H)$  is infinite cyclic with  $Q_H = x^\pi \mathbb{P} \cap H$  as the positive generator of  $\mathcal{M}(P_H)$ . Since  $J(P_H) \supset Q_H$ , it follows from Theorem 1.9 that  $P_H$  is an exceptional rank one cone in  $H$ . This proves all statements in the lemma.  $\square$

We consider now a condition that will guarantee that  $P_H$  is exceptional of type  $(C_k)$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}$  containing  $F$  with  $H \cap (\text{gr}\{x^\pi\} \times \mathbb{U}) = \text{gr}\{x^{\pi k}\} \times U(P_H)$  for some integer  $k \geq 0$ . Then the exceptional cone  $P_H$  has type  $(C_k)$ .*

*Proof.* It was shown in the previous proposition that  $P_H$  is an exceptional cone with  $\mathcal{M}(P_H) = \text{gr}\{(x^\pi \mathbb{P} \cap H)\}$ . To prove the statement in this proposition it must be shown that  $\mathcal{H}(P_H) = \text{gr}\{x^{\pi k} P_H\}$ , see Theorem 1.9. Hence, let  $gP_H = P_H g$  be a principal ideal in  $H$  (see property e) in Section 1.1).

Then  $g\mathbb{P} = P_H g \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P} g \mathbb{P}$  by Lemma 3.4 and  $g\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P} g$  since  $\mathbb{P}$  has rank one. By Theorem 3.2, c) it follows that  $g = x^{\pi m} u \in H$  for some integer  $m$  and  $u \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $g = x^{\pi k n} u$  for  $u \in U(P_H)$  and some integer  $n$  by assumption. Therefore,  $gP_H = x^{\pi k n} P_H$  and  $\mathcal{H}(P_H) = \text{gr}\{x^{\pi k} P_H\} = \text{gr}\{Q^k\}$  follows for  $Q = x^\pi \mathbb{P} \cap H$ ;  $P_H$  is exceptional of type  $(C_k)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $H_k = \text{gr}\{w_1, w_2, x^{\pi k}\}$  be the subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}$  generated by  $F$  and the central element  $x^{\pi k}$  for an integer  $k \geq 0$ . Then  $P_k = \mathbb{P} \cap H_k$  is an exceptional rank one cone in  $H_k$  of type  $(C_k)$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to verify the conditions in Proposition 3.7 for  $H_k$ .



Assume that

$$(*) \quad x^{\pi kp} w_1^{\nu_1} w_2^{\mu_1} w_1^{\nu_2} w_2^{\mu_2} \cdots w_1^{\nu_n} w_2^{\mu_n} = x^{\pi m} u \in H_k \cap (\text{gr}\{x^\pi\} \times \mathbb{U})$$

for some integers  $p, \nu_i, \mu_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $u \in \mathbb{U}$ . We apply the mapping  $\tau$  (Theorem 2.5b)) to both sides of the above equation and obtain

$$(**) \quad (-1)^{kp} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\nu_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2\mu_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\nu_n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2\mu_n & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^m \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $u = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  with  $b, 0 < a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Since the entries of the matrices at the left side are all integers, it follows that  $a$  and  $a^{-1}$  are integers greater than zero; hence  $a = a^{-1} = 1$ . By a similar argument it follows that  $b$  is an even integer,  $b = 2s$  for some  $s$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^s = w_1^s \in \tau(F)$  follows.

If  $(-1)^{kp}(-1)^m = -1$ , then it follows from (\*\*) that

$$-\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\nu_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2\mu_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\nu_n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2\mu_n & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \tau(F),$$

which is a contradiction, since the group  $\tau(F)$  freely generated by  $\tau(w_1)$  and  $\tau(w_2)$  (see the remarks before Lemma 3.3) does not contain a nontrivial central element.

Therefore,  $(-1)^{kp} = (-1)^m$  can be cancelled in (\*\*) and, using again the fact that  $\tau(w_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\tau(w_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  are free generators of  $\tau(F)$ , it follows that  $n = 1$ ,  $b = 2\nu_1$  if we ignore exponents that could be zero. With  $u = w_1^{\nu_1}$  we can rewrite (\*) as:  $x^{\pi kp} w_1^{\nu_1} = x^{\pi m} w_1^{\nu_1}$ . It follows that  $\nu_1 = s$ ,  $m = kp$  and  $u = w_1^s \in U(P_k)$ ; the condition in Proposition 3.7 is satisfied and Theorem 3.8 follows.  $\square$

#### 4. EXAMPLES OF EXCEPTIONAL RANK ONE CHAIN DOMAINS

In this section we construct domains  $S_k$  associated with the exceptional cones  $P_k$  of type  $(C_k)$  as described in Theorem 3.8.

In Lemma 2.6(c) it was proved that  $T\mathbb{U}$  is an Ore domain for any skew field  $T$  and the subgroup  $\mathbb{U}$  of  $\mathbb{G}$ . We denote by  $K$  the skew field of quotients of  $T\mathbb{U}$  for a given skew field  $T$ ; for example,  $T = \mathbb{Q}$ , the rationals. Let  $K\{\mathbb{G}\}$  be the right  $K$ -vector space and left  $T$ -vector space consisting of all series

$$\gamma = x^{t_1} k_1 + x^{t_2} k_2 + \cdots$$

with  $t_1 < t_2 < \dots$ ,  $k_i \in K$ , and  $\text{supp}(\gamma) = \{x^{t_i} \mid k_i \neq 0\}$  well ordered.

We call  $\text{supp}(\gamma)$  the support of the series  $\gamma$ . If  $k_1 \neq 0$ , then  $v(\gamma) = x^{t_1} \in R$  is the norm of  $\gamma$  and  $v(0) = \infty$  for  $\gamma = 0$ .

Let  $Q = \text{End } K\{\mathbb{G}\}_K$  be the endomorphism ring of the  $K$ -vector space  $K\{\mathbb{G}\}_K$ . For  $q \in Q$  and  $\gamma \in K\{\mathbb{G}\}$  we write  $q[\gamma]$  for the image of  $\gamma$  under  $q$ . The representation  $V : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R, \geq)$  considered in Section 2.3 can be extended to a mapping  $V$  defined on  $Q$  with

$$V_q(x^t) = v(q[x^t]), \quad V_q(\infty) = \infty$$

for  $q \in Q$ ,  $x^t \in R$ , and  $V_q : (R, \infty) \rightarrow (R, \infty)$ . It follows that

$$V_{a+b}(x^t) \geq \min\{V_a(x^t), V_b(x^t)\}$$

for any  $a, b \in Q$  and  $x^t \in R$ . However,  $V_{ab}$  is not equal to  $V_a \circ V_b$  in general.

We recall a definition and a result given by Mathiak in [17].

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $D$  be a skew field and  $(\Gamma, \leq)$  a linearly ordered set. Then a mapping  $V : D^* \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Gamma, \leq)$  is called an *M-valuation* if the following conditions hold:

MV1.  $V_{ab} = V_a \circ V_b$  for any  $a, b \in D^*$ ;

MV2.  $V_{a+b}(h) \geq \min\{V_a(h), V_b(h)\}$  for any  $a, b \in D^*$  with  $a + b \neq 0$  and  $h \in \Gamma$ .

If we add the symbol  $\infty$  for infinity to  $\Gamma$  and define  $V_0(h) = \infty$  and  $V_a(\infty) = \infty$  for all  $h \in \Gamma$ ,  $0, a \in D$ , then MV1 and MV2 will be valid for all elements  $a, b \in D$  and all  $h \in \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ .

The next result follows almost directly from the previous definition; see also [16] and [17].

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $V : D^* \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Gamma, \leq)$  be an  $M$ -valuation for a skew field  $D$  and a linearly ordered set  $(\Gamma, \leq)$  and let  $h$  be an element in  $\Gamma$ .*

*Then the set  $S_h = \{d \in D \mid V_d(h) \geq h\}$  is a total subring of  $D$ . Conversely, any total subring  $S$  in a skew field  $D$  can be obtained in this way for  $\Gamma = \{aS \mid a \in D^*\}$ ,  $aS \geq bS$  if and only if  $aS \subseteq bS$  and  $V_d(aS) = daS$ . The ring  $S$  coincides with  $S_h$  for  $h = S \in \Gamma$ .*  $\square$

The space  $K\{\mathbb{G}\}$  introduced above is also a left  $\mathbb{G}$ -module if we define for  $g \in \mathbb{G}$  and  $\gamma = \sum x^{t_i} k_i \in K\{\mathbb{G}\}$  that

$$g\gamma = x^{t'_1}(u_1 k_1) + x^{t'_2}(u_2 k_2) + x^{t'_3}(u_3 k_3) + \dots$$

where  $g \cdot x^{t_i} = x^{t'_i} u_i$  for  $u_i \in \mathbb{U} \subseteq K$ ,  $t'_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . It follows from Lemma 2.6(d) that  $t'_1 < t'_2 < t'_3 < \dots$  is also well ordered and hence  $g\gamma \in K\{\mathbb{G}\}$ . The group ring  $T\mathbb{G}$  can therefore be considered as a subring of  $Q$ .

If  $A$  is any subring of  $Q$ , then we define  $\mathcal{D}[0, A] = A$  and  $\mathcal{D}[n+1, A]$  as the subring of  $Q$  generated by  $\mathcal{D}[n, A]$  and all inverses of elements of  $\mathcal{D}[n, A]$  in  $Q$ . The union

$$\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}[n, A] = \mathcal{D}[A]$$

is called the *rational closure* of  $A$  in  $Q$ . Let  $\mathbb{D} = \mathcal{D}[T\mathbb{G}]$  be the rational closure of the group ring  $T\mathbb{G}$  in  $Q$ .

The following result can be found in [11] (see [10] also):

**Theorem 4.3.** a) *The rational closure  $\mathbb{D}$  of  $T\mathbb{G}$  in  $Q$  is a skew field.*

b) *The mapping  $V$  restricted to  $\mathbb{D}^*$  is an  $M$ -valuation of  $\mathbb{D}^*$  to  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}, \leq)$ .*

c) *The ring  $S = \{d \in \mathbb{D} \mid V_d(x^0) \geq x^0\}$  is an exceptional rank one chain order in  $\mathbb{D}$  of type  $(C_1)$  associated with the exceptional cone  $\mathbb{P}$  in the group  $\mathbb{G}$ .*  $\square$

In order to construct skew fields that contain rank one exceptional chain orders of type  $(C_k)$  we consider the rational closure  $D_k = \mathcal{D}[TH_k]$  of the group ring  $TH_k$  for the group  $H_k = \text{gr}\{w_1, w_2, x^{\pi k}\}$  (see Theorem 3.8) in  $Q = \text{End } K\{\mathbb{G}\}_K$ .

Since  $D_k \subseteq \mathbb{D} = \mathcal{D}[T\mathbb{G}] \subset Q$  and  $\mathbb{D}$  is a skew field by the above theorem, it follows that  $D_k$  is also a skew field and  $S_k = S \cap D_k$  is a total subring of  $D_k$ .

It follows from Corollary 1.10 and Theorem 3.8 that  $S_k$  is an exceptional rank one chain domain of type  $(C_k)$  if the following theorem is proved:

**Theorem 4.4.** *The total subring  $S_k = S \cap D_k$  is associated with the cone  $P_k = \mathbb{P} \cap H_k$ .*

Before this theorem can be proved, we need the result in the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $\gamma \in K\{\mathbb{G}\}$ . Then*

$$(*) \quad \bigcup_{d \in D_k} \text{supp } d[\gamma] \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in H_k} V_g(\text{supp } \gamma).$$

*Proof.* Let  $Y_\gamma$  be the right side in (\*). Then in order to prove (\*) it is sufficient to prove

$$(**) \quad \text{supp } d[\gamma] \subseteq Y_\gamma$$

for any  $\gamma \in K\{\mathbb{G}\}$  and any  $d \in D_k = \bigcup \mathcal{D}[n, TH_k]$ . We will prove this in five steps using induction on  $n$  for  $n$  the smallest index with  $d \in \mathcal{D}[n, TH_k]$ .

STEP 1. Assume that  $d = x^t u \in H_k$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{U}$  and that  $\gamma = \sum_{i < \Lambda} x^{t_i} k_i \in K\{\mathbb{G}\}$ ,  $0 \neq k_i \in K$  for all ordinals  $i < \Lambda$ .

Then  $d\gamma = \sum_{i < \Lambda} x^{t_i'}(u_i k_i)$  for  $x^t u x^{t_i} = x^{t_i'} u_i \in \mathbb{G}$ ,  $u_i \in \mathbb{U}$ . Hence,  $\text{supp } d[\gamma] = \text{supp } d\gamma = \{x^{t_i'} \mid i < \Lambda\} = \{V_d(x^{t_i}) \mid i < \Lambda\} \subseteq \{V_g(x^{t_i}) \mid g \in H_k, i < \Lambda\} = Y_\gamma$ .

STEP 2. The inclusion (\*\*) follows immediately for  $d \in T$ .

STEP 3. Assume that  $a, b \in D_k$  with  $\text{supp } a[\gamma] \cup \text{supp } b[\gamma] \subseteq Y_\gamma$  for any  $\gamma \in K\{\mathbb{G}\}$ . Then  $\text{supp } (a+b)[\gamma] \subseteq \text{supp } a[\gamma] \cup \text{supp } b[\gamma] \subseteq Y_\gamma$ . Further,  $V_g(Y_\gamma) = Y_\gamma$  for  $g \in H_k$  and hence

$$\text{supp } (a \cdot b)[\gamma] \subseteq Y_{b[\gamma]} = \bigcup_{g \in H_k} V_g(\text{supp } b[\gamma]) \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in H_k} V_g(Y_\gamma) = Y_\gamma.$$

STEP 4. It follows from Steps 1-3 that the statement (\*\*) is true for all  $d \in TH_k = \mathcal{D}[0, TH_k]$  and any  $\gamma \in K\{\mathbb{G}\}$ .

STEP 5. Assume that (\*\*) is true for elements  $d \in \mathcal{D}[n-1, TH_k]$  for some  $n \geq 1$  and all  $\gamma \in K\{\mathbb{G}\}$ .

Let  $d = p^{-1} \in \mathcal{D}[n, TH_k]$  with  $p \in \mathcal{D}[n-1, TH_k]$ . We consider  $\beta = d[\gamma]$  and decompose  $\beta$  into the sum  $\beta = \beta_0 + \beta_1$  with  $\text{supp } (\beta_0) \subseteq Y_\gamma$  and  $\text{supp } (\beta_1) \cap Y_\gamma = \emptyset$ .

Then  $\gamma = p[\beta] = p[\beta_0] + p[\beta_1]$ .

By the induction hypothesis, it follows that

$$\text{supp } (p[\beta_0]) \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in H_k} V_g(\text{supp } \beta_0) \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in H_k} V_g(Y_\gamma) \subseteq Y_\gamma.$$

Hence,  $\text{supp } (p[\beta_1]) = \text{supp } (\gamma - p[\beta_0]) \subseteq \text{supp } \gamma \cup \text{supp } (p[\beta_0]) \subseteq Y_\gamma$ . On the other hand,  $\text{supp } (p[\beta_1]) \subseteq Y_{\beta_1}$  since  $p \in \mathcal{D}[n-1, TH_k]$ . If we assume that there exists an element  $h$  in  $\text{supp } (p[\beta_1])$ , then, on the one hand,

$$h = V_g h' \quad \text{for some } g \in H_k \quad \text{and some } h' \in \text{supp } (\gamma)$$

and on the other hand,

$$h = V_{g'}(h'') \quad \text{for some } g' \in H_k \quad \text{and some } h'' \in \text{supp } (\beta_1).$$

This implies  $h'' = V_{(g')^{-1}g}(h') \in Y_\gamma \cap \text{supp } (\beta_1) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction that shows that  $\text{supp } (\beta_1)$  is empty and  $\text{supp } (\beta) = \text{supp } (\beta_0) \subseteq Y_\gamma$ .

The ring  $\mathcal{D}[n, TH_k]$  is generated by  $\mathcal{D}[n-1, TH_k]$  and all elements  $p^{-1}$  for  $p \in \mathcal{D}[n-1, TH_k] \setminus \{0\}$ , and it now follows by an application of Step 3 that (\*\*) is true for all elements in  $\mathcal{D}[n, TH_k]$  which completes the induction and proves the lemma (see also: [11]).  $\square$

We now return to the proof of Theorem 4.4.

Let  $d$  be a nonzero element in  $D_k$ . Since  $D_k \subseteq \mathbb{D}$  and  $S$  is associated with the cone  $\mathbb{P}$ , the element  $d$  can be decomposed as follows:

$$d = x^t m = q x^{t'} \quad \text{with } m, q \in U(S), \mathbb{P} x^t \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P} x^{t'} \mathbb{P}$$

(see Definition 1.2). It follows from (\*) in Lemma 4.5 with  $\gamma = x^0$  that

$$\text{supp } d[x^0] \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in H_k} V_g(x^0) = \bigcup_{g \in H_k} v(g).$$

Hence,  $v(d[x^0]) = V_d(x^0) = V_{x^t} \circ V_m(x^0) = V_{x^t}(x^0) = x^t$  since  $m \in U(S)$ , and hence  $x^t = v(g)$ ,  $g = x^t u$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{U}$  for some element  $g \in H_k$ .

It follows that  $d = (x^t u)(u^{-1}m)$  for  $x^t u \in H_k$  and  $u^{-1}m = (x^t u)^{-1}d \in D_k$ . Further,  $u^{-1}m \in \mathbb{U} \cdot U(S) \cap D_k = U(S_k)$ , since  $\mathbb{U} \subseteq U(S)$  and  $S \cap D_k = S_k$ .

Applying the same arguments to the element  $d^{-1} = x^{-t'} q^{-1}$ , we conclude that there exists an element  $g' \in H_k$  with  $g' = x^{-t'} w$  for some  $w \in \mathbb{U}$ . Hence, we obtain a decomposition

$$d^{-1} = (x^{-t'} w)(w^{-1} q^{-1}) \quad \text{for} \quad w^{-1} q^{-1} = (g')^{-1} d^{-1} \in D_k \cap U(S) = U(S_k).$$

This proves the first half of condition (ii) in Definition 1.2, if we write  $d = (qw)(w^{-1}x^{t'})$ ,  $qw \in U(S_k)$ ,  $w^{-1}x^{t'} = (g')^{-1} \in H_k$ . It remains to prove the equality

$$P_k x^t u P_k = P_k w^{-1} x^{t'} P_k.$$

Let  $w^{-1}x^{t'} = x^{t''} u''$  for some  $u'' \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $t'' \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $S$  is associated with  $\mathbb{P}$ , it follows that

$$\mathbb{P} x^{t'} \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P} x^{t''} \mathbb{P}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P_k x^t u P_k &= P_k x^t u (\mathbb{P} \cap H_k) = P_k x^t \mathbb{P} \cap H_k \\ &= \mathbb{P} x^t \mathbb{P} \cap H_k = \mathbb{P} x^{t'} \mathbb{P} \cap H_k = \mathbb{P} x^{t''} \mathbb{P} \cap H_k \\ &= P_k x^{t''} u'' \mathbb{P} \cap H_k = P_k x^{t''} u'' P_k \\ &= P_k w^{-1} x^{t'} P_k \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 3.4 for the third and sixth equality.

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.4.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.6.** *The chain domain  $S_k$  is exceptional of rank one and of type  $(C_k)$ .*

#### REFERENCES

1. G. M. Bergman. *Right-orderable groups that are not locally indicable*. Pacific J. Math. **147** (1991), 243-248. MR **92e**:20030
2. H. H. Brungs, H. Marubayashi, and E. Osmanagic. *A classification of prime segments in simple Artinian rings*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 3167-3175. MR **2001b**:16055
3. H. H. Brungs and M. Schröder. *Prime segments of skew fields*, Canad. J. Math. **47** (1995), 1148-1167. MR **97c**:16021
4. H. H. Brungs and G. Törner. *Chain rings and prime ideals*. Arch. Math. **27** (1976), 253-260. MR **54**:7537
5. H. H. Brungs and G. Törner. *Extensions of chain rings*. Math. Zeit. **185** (1984), 93-104. MR **85d**:16012
6. H. H. Brungs and G. Törner. *Ideal theory of right cones and associated rings*. J. Algebra **210** (1998), 145-164. MR **99k**:20113
7. P. M. Cohn, Skew Fields, Cambridge University Press, 1995. MR **97d**:12003
8. N. I. Dubrovin. *Chain domains*. Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. Ser. 1. **37**(1980), 51-54. MR **81g**:16004
9. N. I. Dubrovin. *An example of a chain prime ring with nilpotent elements*. Mat. Sbornik **48** (1984), 437-444. MR **84f**:16012
10. N. I. Dubrovin. *The rational closure of group rings of left-orderable groups*. Mat. Sbornik **184**(7) (1993), 3-48. MR **94g**:16035

11. N. I. Dubrovin. *The rational closure of group rings of left-ordered groups*. SM-DU-254, Duisburg, 1994, 96 pp.
12. T. V. Dubrovin and N. I. Dubrovin. *Cones in groups*. Sbornik Mathematics **187**(7) 1996, 1005-1019. MR **98c**:20082
13. L. Fuchs. *Teilweise geordnete algebraische Strukturen*, Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1966. MR **34**:4386
14. V. K. Goel and S. K. Jain.  $\pi$ -injective modules and rings whose cyclics are  $\pi$ -injective. Comm. Algebra **6** (1978), 59-73. MR **58**:11016
15. M. I. Kargapolov and Ju. I. Merzljakov. *Fundamentals of the Theory of Groups*. Springer-Verlag, 1979. MR **80k**:20002
16. K. Mathiak. *Zur Bewertungstheorie nicht kommutativer Körper*, J. Algebra **73**, (1981), No. 2, 586-600. MR **83c**:12026
17. K. Mathiak. *Valuations of skew fields and projective Hjelmslev spaces*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1175, Springer-Verlag, 1986. MR **87g**:16002
18. B. L. Osofsky. *Noncommutative rings whose cyclic modules have cyclic injective hulls*. Pacific J. Math. **25** (1968), 331-340. MR **38**:186
19. E. C. Posner. *Left valuation rings and simple radical rings*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1963), 458-465. MR **27**:3665

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON T6G 2G1, CANADA

*E-mail address*: `hbrungs@math.ualberta.ca`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VLADIMIR STATE UNIVERSITY, GORKI STR. 87, 600026 VLADIMIR, RUSSIA

*E-mail address*: `ndubrovin@mail.ru`